On an Inequality of Diananda, II

ON AN INEQUALITY OF DIANANDA, II

PENG GAO

ABSTRACT. We extend the result in part I (Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **2003**(2003), 2061-2068; MR1990724 (2004f:26027)) of certain inequalities among the generalized power means.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $P_{n,r}(\mathbf{x})$ be the generalized weighted means: $P_{n,r}(\mathbf{x}) = (\sum_{i=1}^n q_i x_i^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}$, where $P_{n,0}(\mathbf{x})$ denotes the limit of $P_{n,r}(\mathbf{x})$ as $r \to 0^+$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$ and $q_i > 0 (1 \leq i \leq n)$ are positive real numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 1$. In this paper, we let $q = \min q_i$ and always assume $n \geq 2, 0 \leq x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$.

We define $A_n(\mathbf{x}) = P_{n,1}(\mathbf{x}), G_n(\mathbf{x}) = P_{n,0}(\mathbf{x}), H_n(\mathbf{x}) = P_{n,-1}(\mathbf{x})$ and we shall write $P_{n,r}$ for $P_{n,r}(\mathbf{x})$, A_n for $A_n(\mathbf{x})$ and similarly for other means when there is no risk of confusion.

For mutually distinct numbers r, s, t and any real number α, β, we define

$$\Delta_{r,s,t,\alpha,\beta} = |\frac{P_{n,r}^\alpha - P_{n,t}^\alpha}{P_{n,r}^\beta - P_{n,s}^\beta}|,$$

where we interpret $P_{n,r}^0 - P_{n,s}^0$ as $\ln P_{n,r} - \ln P_{n,s}$. When $\alpha = \beta$, we define $\Delta_{r,s,t,\alpha}$ to be $\Delta_{r,s,t,\alpha,\alpha}$. We also define $\Delta_{r,s,t}$ to be $\Delta_{r,s,t,1}$.

Bounds for $\Delta_{r,s,t,\alpha,\beta}$ have been studied by many mathematicians. For the case $\alpha \neq \beta$, we refer the reader to the articles [2, 7, 10] for the detailed discussions. In the case $\alpha = \beta$ and $r > s > t$, we seek the following bound

$$f_{r,s,t,\alpha}(q) \geq \Delta_{r,s,t,\alpha} \geq g_{r,s,t,\alpha}(q), \tag{1.1}$$

where $f_{r,s,t,\alpha}(q)$ is a decreasing function of q and $g_{r,s,t,\alpha}(q)$ is an increasing function of q.

For $r = 1, s = 0, \alpha = 0, t = -1$ in (1.1), we can take $f_{1,0,t,0}(q) = 1/q, g_{1,0,t,0}(q) = 1/(1-q)$, when $q_i = 1/n, 1 \leq i \leq n$, this is the well-known Sierpiński's inequality[12](see [5] for a refinement of this). If we further require $t, \alpha > 0$, then consideration of the case $n = 2, x_1 \to 0, x_2 = 1$ leads to the choice $f_{r,s,t,\alpha} = C_{r,s,t}((1-q)^\alpha), g_{r,s,t,\alpha} = C_{r,s,t}(q^\alpha)$, where

$$C_{r,s,t}(x) = \frac{1 - x^{1/t - 1/r}}{1 - x^{1/s - 1/r}}, t > 0; C_{r,s,0}(x) = \frac{1}{1 - x^{1/s - 1/r}}.$$

We will show in Lemma 2.1 that $C_{r,s,t}(x)$ is an increasing function of $x (0 < x < 1)$ so the above choice for f, g is plausible. From now on, we will assume f, g to be so chosen.

Note when $t > 0$, the limiting case $\alpha \to 0$ in (1.1) leads to Liapunov's inequality(see [8, p. 27]):

$$\Delta_{r,s,t,0} = \frac{\ln P_{n,r} - \ln P_{n,t}}{\ln P_{n,r} - \ln P_{n,s}} \leq \frac{s(r-t)}{t(r-s)} =: C(r,s,t). \tag{1.2}$$

From this(or by letting $q \to 0$ when $\alpha = 1$), one easily deduces the following result of H.Hsu[9](see also [1]): $\Delta_{r,s,t} \leq C(r,s,t), r > s > t > 0$.

The consideration of $n = 2, x_2 \to x_1$ shows that the two inequalities in (1.1) can't hold simultaneously and from now on by saying (1.1) holds for $r > s > t \geq 0, \alpha > 0$, we mean the left-hand side

Date: October 25, 2004.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26D15.
Key words and phrases. power mean inequality.

inequality in (1.1) holds when $C_{r,s,t}((1-q)^{\alpha}) \geq (r-t)/(r-s)$ and the right-hand side inequality in (1.1) holds when $(r-t)/(r-s) \geq C_{r,s,t}(q^{\alpha})$.

More generally, for any set $\{a,b,c\}$ with a,b,c mutually distinct and non-negative, we let $r = \max\{a,b,c\}, t = \min\{a,b,c\}, s = \{a,b,c\}/\{r,t\}$. By saying (1.1) holds for the set $\{a,b,c\}, \alpha > 0$ we mean (1.1) holds for $r > s > t \geq 0, \alpha > 0$.

In the case $\alpha = 1$, a result of P. Diananda([3], [4])(see also [1],[11]) shows (1.1) holds for $\{1, 1/2, 0\}$ and recently, the author[6] has shown that (1.1) holds for $\{r, 1, 0\}$ and $\{r, 1, 1/2\}$, where $r \in (0, \infty)$. It is the goal of this paper to further extend the result in [6].

2. Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. *For $0 < x < 1, 0 \leq t < s < r, C_{r,s,t}(x)$ is a strictly increasing function of x. In particular, for $0 < q \leq 1/2$, $C_{r,s,t}(1-q) \geq C_{r,s,t}(q)$.*

Proof. We may assume $t > 0$. Note $C_{r,s,t}(x) = C_{1,t/r,s/r}(x^{1/r})$, thus it suffices to prove the lemma for $C_{1,r,s}$ with $1 > r > s > 0$. By the mean value theorem,

$$\frac{1/s-1}{1/r-1} \cdot \frac{1-x^{1/r-1}}{1-x^{1/s-1}} = \eta^{1/r-1/s} < x^{1/r-1/s}$$

for some $x < \eta < 1$ and this implies $C'_{1,r,s}(x) > 0$ which completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.2. *For $1/2 < r < 1$, $C_{1,r,1-r}(1/2) > r/(1-r)$.*

Proof. By setting $x = r/(1-r) > 1$, it suffices to show $f(x) > 0$ where $f(x) = 1-2^{-x}-x(1-2^{-1/x})$. Now $f''(x) = (\ln 2)^2 2^{-x} x^{-3}(2^{x-1/x} - x^3)$ and let $g(x) = (x-1/x)\ln 2 - 3\ln x$. Note $g'(x)$ has one root in $(1,\infty)$ and $g(1) = 0$, it follows that $g(x)$ hence $f''(x)$ has only one root x_0 in $(1,\infty)$. Note when $f''(x) > 0$ for $x > x_0$, this together with the observation that $f(1) = 0, f'(1) = \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2} > 0, \lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = 1 - \ln 2 > 0$ shows $f(x) > 0$ for $x > 1$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $0 < q \leq 1/2$. For $0 < s < r < 1, r+s \geq 1$, $C_{1,r,s}(1-q) > (1-s)/(1-r)$. For $0 \leq s < 1 < r$, $C_{r,1,s}(1-q) > (r-s)/(r-1)$ and for $1 < s < r$, $C_{r,s,1}(1-q) > (r-1)/(r-s)$.*

Proof. We shall give a proof for the case $1 > r > s > 0, r+s \geq 1$ here and the proofs for the other cases are similar. We note first that in this case $1/2 < r < 1$. By Lemma 2.1, it suffices to prove $C_{1,r,s}(1/2) > (1-s)/(1-r)$. Consider

$$f(s) = (1-r)(1-(\frac{1}{2})^{1/s-1}) - (1-s)(1-(\frac{1}{2})^{1/r-1}).$$

We have $f(r) = 0$ and Lemma 2.2 implies $f(1-r) > 0$. Now $f'(r) = 2^{1-1/r}g(1/r)$ where $g(x) = -\ln 2(x^2-x) + 2^{x-1} - 1$ with $1 < x < 2$. One checks easily $g(1) = g'(1) = 0, g''(x) < 0$ which implies $g(x) < 0$. Hence $f'(r) < 0$, this combined with the observation that

$$f''(s) = (1-r)\ln 2(\frac{1}{2})^{1/s-1}(2s - \ln 2)/s^4$$

has at most one root and $f''(r) > 0, f(1-r) > 0, f(r) = 0$ implies $f(s) > 0$ for $1-r \leq s < r$. □

3. The Main Theorems

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\alpha = 1$. For the set $\{1,r,s\}$, with $1,r,s$ mutually distinct and $r > s \geq 0, r+s \geq 1$, the left-hand side inequality of (1.1) holds. The equality holds if and only if $n = 2, x_1 = 0, q_1 = q$.*

Proof. The case $s = 0$ was treated in [6], so we may assume $s > 0$ here. We shall give a proof for the case $1 > r > s > 0$ here and the proofs for the other cases are similar. Define

$$D_n(\mathbf{x}) = A_n - P_{n,r} - C(1-q)(A_n - P_{n,s}), C(x) = \frac{1-x^{1/r-1}}{1-x^{1/s-1}}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we need to show $D_n \geq 0$ and we have

$$\frac{1}{q_n}\frac{\partial D_n}{\partial x_n} = 1 - P_{n,r}^{1-r}x_n^{r-1} - C(1-q)(1 - P_{n,s}^{1-s}x_n^{s-1}). \tag{3.1}$$

By a change of variables: $\frac{x_i}{x_n} \to x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, we may assume $0 \leq x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n = 1$ in (3.1) and rewrite it as

$$g_n(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}) := 1 - P_{n,r}^{1-r} - C(1-q)(1 - P_{n,s}^{1-s}). \tag{3.2}$$

We want to show $g_n \geq 0$. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}) \in [0,1]^{n-1}$ be the point in which the absolute minimum of g_n is reached. We may assume $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_{n-1}$. If $a_i = a_{i+1}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ or $a_{n-1} = 1$, by combing a_i with a_{i+1} and q_i with q_{i+1} or a_{n-1} with 1 and q_{n-1} with q_n, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that we can reduce the determination of the absolute minimum of g_n to that of g_{n-1} with different weights. Thus without loss of generality, we may assume $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{n-1} < 1$.

If $\mathbf{a}$ is a boundary point of $[0,1]^{n-1}$, then $a_1 = 0$, and we can regard g_n as a function of $a_2, \cdots, a_{n-1}$, then we obtain

$$\nabla g_n(a_2, \cdots, a_{n-1}) = 0.$$

Otherwise $a_1 > 0$, $\mathbf{a}$ is an interior point of $[0,1]^{n-1}$ and

$$\nabla g_n(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}) = 0.$$

In either case $a_2, \cdots, a_{n-1}$ solve the equation

$$(r-1)P_{n,r}^{1-2r}x^{r-1} + C(1-q)(1-s)P_{n,s}^{1-2s}x^{s-1} = 0.$$

The above equation has at most one root(regarding $P_{n,r}, P_{n,s}$ as constants), so we only need to show $g_n \geq 0$ for the case $n = 3$ with $0 = a_1 < a_2 = x < a_3 = 1$ in (3.2). In this case we regard g_3 as a function of x and we get

$$\frac{1}{q_2}g_3'(x) = P_{3,r}^{1-2r}x^{r-1}h(x),$$

where

$$h(x) = r - 1 + (1-s)C(1-q)(q_2x^{s/2} + q_3x^{-s/2})^{(1-2s)/s}(q_2x^{r/2} + q_3x^{-r/2})^{(2r-1)/r}.$$

If $q_2 = 0$(note $q_3 > 0$), then

$$h(x) = r - 1 + (1-s)C(1-q)q_3^{1/s-1/r}x^{s-r}.$$

One easily checks that in this case $h(x)$ has exactly one root in $(0,1)$. Now assume $q_2 > 0$, then

$$h'(x) = (1-s)C(1-q)P_{3,s}^{1-3s}P_{3,r}^{r-1}x^{-\frac{r+s+2}{2}}p(x),$$

where

$$p(x) = (r-s)(q_2^2x^{r+s} - q_3^2) + (r+s-1)q_2q_3(x^r - x^s).$$

Now

$$p'(x) = x^{s-1}((r^2-s^2)q_2^2x^r + (r+s-1)q_2q_3(rx^{r-s} - s)) := x^{s-1}q(x).$$

If $r + s \geq 1$ then $q'(x) > 0$ which implies there can be at most one root for $p'(x) = 0$. Since $p(0) < 0$ and $\lim_{x\to\infty} p(x) = +\infty$, we conclude that $p(x)$ hence $h'(x)$ has at most one root. Since $h(1) < 0$ by Lemma 2.3 and $\lim_{x\to 0^+} h(x) = +\infty$, this implies $h(x)$ has exactly one root in $(0,1)$.

Thus $g_3'(x)$ has only one root x_0 in $(0,1)$. Since $g_3'(1) < 0$, $g_3(x)$ takes its maximum value at x_0. Thus $g_3(x) \geq \min\{g_3(0), g_3(1)\} = 0$.

Thus we have shown $g_n \geq 0$, hence $\frac{\partial D_n}{\partial x_n} \geq 0$ with equality holding if and only if $n = 1$ or $n = 2, x_1 = 0, q_1 = q$. By letting x_n tend to x_{n-1}, we have $D_n \geq D_{n-1}$(with weights $q_1, \cdots, q_{n-2}, q_{n-1} + q_n$). Since C is an increasing function of q, it follows by induction that $D_n > D_{n-1} > \cdots > D_2 = 0$ when $x_1 = 0, q_1 = q$ in D_2. Else $D_n > D_{n-1} > \cdots > D_1 = 0$. Since we assume $n \geq 2$ in this paper, this completes the proof. □

The relations between (1.1) and (1.2) seems to suggest that if the left-hand side inequality of (1.1) holds for $r > s > t \geq 0, \alpha > 0$, then the left-hand side inequality of (1.1) also holds for $r > s > t \geq 0, k\alpha$ with $k < 1$ and if the right-hand side inequality of (1.1) holds for $r > s > t \geq 0, \alpha > 0$, then the right-hand side inequality of (1.1) also holds for $r > s > t \geq 0, k\alpha$ with $k > 1$. We don't know the answer in general but for a special case, we have the following:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $r > s > 0$, if the right-hand side inequality of* (1.1) *holds for $\{r, s, 0\}, \alpha > 0$, then it also holds for $\{r, s, 0\}, k\alpha$ with $k > 1$. If the left-hand side inequality of* (1.1) *holds for $\{r, s, 0\}, \alpha > 0$, then it also holds for $\{r, s, 0\}, k\alpha$ with $0 < k < 1$.*

Proof. We will only prove the first assertion here and the second can be proved similarly. By the assumption, we have

$$P^{\alpha}_{n,r} - G^{\alpha}_n \geq \frac{1}{1 - (q^{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r}}}(P^{\alpha}_{n,r} - P^{\alpha}_{n,s}).$$

We write the above as

$$P^{\alpha}_{n,s} \geq (q^{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r}} P^{\alpha}_{n,r} + (1 - (q^{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r}})G^{\alpha}_n. \tag{3.3}$$

We now need to show for $k > 1$,

$$P^{k\alpha}_{n,s} \geq (q^{k\alpha})^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r}} P^{k\alpha}_{n,r} + (1 - (q^{k\alpha})^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r}})G^{k\alpha}_n.$$

Note by (3.3), via setting $w = (q^{k\alpha})^{1/s-1/r}, x = G_n/P_{n,r}$, it suffices to show

$$f(x) =: (w + (1 - w)x^k)^{1/k} - w^{1/k} - (1 - w^{1/k})x \leq 0,$$

for $0 \leq w, x \leq 1$. Note

$$f'(x) = (1 - w)(wx^{-k} + (1 - w))^{1/k-1} - (1 - w^{1/k}),$$

thus $f'(x)$ can have at most one root in $(0, 1)$, note also $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ and $f'(1) > 0$, we then conclude $f(x) \leq 0$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and this completes the proof. □

References

[1] P.S. Bullen, N.S. Mitrinović and P.M.Vasić, Means and their inequalities, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht,1988.

[2] D. I. Cartwright and M. J. Field, A refinement of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **71** (1978), 36–38.

[3] P. H. Diananda, On some inequalities of H. Kober, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **59** (1963), 341-346.

[4] P. H. Diananda, "On some inequalities of H. Kober": Addendum, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **59** (1963), 837-839.

[5] P. Gao, Ky Fan inequality and bounds for differences of means, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **2003**(2003), 995-1003.

[6] P. Gao, On an inequality of Diananda, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **2003**(2003), 2061-2068.

[7] P. Gao, Certain bounds for the differences of means, *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **4**(4), Article 76, 10 pp. (electronic), 2003.

[8] G.H.Hardy, J.E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1952.

[9] L.C. Hsu, Questions 1843,1844, *Math. Student*, **23** (1955), 121.

[10] A.McD. Mercer, Some new inequalities involving elementary mean values, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **229** (1999), 677-681.

[11] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A. M. Fink, *Classical and new inequalities in analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1993.

[12] W. Sierpiński, On an inequality for arithmetic, geometric and harmonic means, *Warsch. Sitzungsber.*, **2** (1909), 354-358(in Polish).

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

E-mail address: penggao@umich.edu