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1 Introduction

Means occur throughout mathematics and there has been enormous growth in their study (see, for example, [1]). The utility of means as a cornerstone in nonlinear analysis can be enhanced by determining their properties and relations subsisting between them.

Most simply, for $n > 1$ let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ denote an $n$–tuple of nonnegative numbers and $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ an associated $n$–tuple of nonnegative weights. To avoid triviality we assume that $P_n := \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i > 0$. The weighted arithmetic mean of $x$ is

$$A_n(p, x) := \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i.$$

Similarly if $x$ is an $n$–tuple of positive numbers and $P_n > 0$, we may define the weighted geometric mean of $x$ to be

$$G_n(p, x) := \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{p_i} \right)^{1/P_n}.$$

Even within the restricted canvas of arithmetic and geometric means there are interesting new results to be found. An overview is given in Chapter II of [5]. Recently the authors [3] derived striking properties for

$$\eta_n(p, x) := \left[ G_n(p, x) \right]^{A_n(p, x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(p, x) := \frac{[A_n(p, x)]^2}{G_n(p, x)}$$

and some related quantities.
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The novelty of some of the results of [3] lies in treating such quantities as functions of the variable $x$ rather than comparing different means of fixed values $x_i$. Here we extend this motif and look more closely at weighted geometric means as functions of all their arguments.

Put

$$P := \{I | I \subset \mathbb{N}, 0 < |I| < \infty\},$$

$$J^*(I) := \{x | p = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, x_i > 0 \forall i \in I \} \quad (I \in \mathcal{P}),$$

$$J(I) := \{p | p = (p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, p_i \geq 0 \forall i \in I, P_I > 0\} \quad (I \in \mathcal{P}),$$

where $P_I := \sum_{i \in I} p_i$. We remark that $J(I) \cap J(J) \neq \emptyset$ for all $I, J \in \mathcal{P}$. In particular we do not require that $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$. For $I, J \in \mathcal{P}$ with $I \cap J = \emptyset$, we may view $I \cup J$ as $I + J$. This is useful for succinct reference to properties of functions of $I$ in terms of subadditivity.

For $I \in \mathcal{P}$, $p \in J(I)$ and $x \in J^*(I)$, we define the geometric mean of $x$ with weights $p$ to be

$$G_I(p, x) := \left(\prod_{i \in I} x_i^{p_i}\right)^{1/P_I}.$$

In this paper we uncover results arising from regarding $G_I(p, x)$ as a function of the three arguments $I$, $p$, $x$. Some basic properties are addressed in Section 2. Section 3 considers interpolations. We close in Section 4 by giving some closely related results pertaining to the entropy of a random variable assuming a finite number of values.

2 Basic results

For $I \in \mathcal{P}$, we define an ordering on $J^*(I)$ by writing $x \geq y \ (x, y \in J^*(I))$ if and only if $x_i \geq y_i$ for all $i \in I$.

In this article, we shall make repeated use of the arithmetic mean – geometric mean – harmonic mean inequality with general nonnegative weights. This states the following.

**PROPOSITION A.** For $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta > 0$ and positive numbers $a$, $b$, we have

$$\frac{\alpha a + \beta b}{\alpha + \beta} \geq a^{\alpha/(\alpha + \beta)} b^{\beta/(\alpha + \beta)} \geq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha} \frac{a}{b} + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\beta} \frac{b}{a}.$$

We begin with the following basic theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1.** Let $I \in \mathcal{P}$ and $p \in J(I)$. Then the mapping $G_I(p, \cdot)$ is superadditive and monotone nondecreasing on $J^*(I)$.

**Proof.** With relabelling of the elements of $I$, the theorem reduces to a corresponding result for $G_n(p, \cdot)$, which is just Theorem 2.2 of [3].

For $I \in \mathcal{P}$, $p \in J(I)$ and $x \in J^*(I)$, define $\varphi(I, p, x) := P_I G_I(p, x)$.

**THEOREM 2.2.** We have the following.

(i) The mapping $\varphi(I, \cdot, x)$ is subadditive and positive homogeneous.
(ii) The mapping $\varphi(\cdot, p, x)$ is subadditive as an index set mapping.

(iii) The mapping $\varphi(I, p, \cdot)$ is superadditive, monotone nondecreasing and positive homogeneous.

Proof. Suppose $I \in \mathcal{P}$. For $p, q \in \mathcal{J}(I)$ and $x \in \mathcal{J}^*(I)$, we have

$$G_I(p + q, x) = \left( \prod_{i \in I} x_i^{p_i} \right)^{1/P_I} \prod_{j \in I} x_j^{q_j} \left( \prod_{j \in I} x_j^{q_j} \right)^{1/Q_I} = \left[ G_I(p, x) \right]^{P_I/(P_I + Q_I)} [G_I(q, x)]^{Q_I/(P_I + Q_I)}.$$

The choices $a = G_I(p, x)$, $b = G_I(q, x)$ and $\alpha = P_I$, $\beta = Q_I$ in the first inequality in Proposition A provide

$$G_I(p + q, x) \leq \frac{P_J G_I(p, x) + Q_J G_I(q, x)}{P_I + Q_I},$$

so that

$$\varphi(I, p + q, x) \leq \varphi(I, p, x) + \varphi(I, q, x)$$

and $\varphi(I, \cdot, x)$ is subadditive. It is also positive homogeneous, since for $\alpha > 0$

$$\prod_{i \in I} \alpha^{p_i/P_I} = \alpha.$$

For (ii), assume that $I, J \in \mathcal{P}$ with $I \cap J = \emptyset$. We have

$$G_{I \cup J}(p, x) = \left( \prod_{k \in I \cup J} x_k^{p_k} \right)^{1/P_{I \cup J}} = \left[ \prod_{i \in I} x_i^{p_i} \right]^{P_I/P_{I \cup J}} \left[ \prod_{j \in J} x_j^{p_j} \right]^{P_J/P_{I \cup J}} = \left[ G_I(p, x) \right]^{P_I/(P_I + P_J)} \left[ G_J(p, x) \right]^{P_J/(P_I + P_J)} \leq \frac{P_I G_I(p, x) + P_J G_J(p, x)}{P_I + P_J},$$

again invoking the first inequality in Proposition A. Thus

$$\varphi(I \cup J, p, x) \leq \varphi(I, p, x) + \varphi(J, p, x),$$

giving the second part of the enunciation.

The stated subadditivity and monotonicity properties for $\varphi(I, p, \cdot)$ in (iii) are immediate from Theorem 2.1, while positive homogeneity follows from $G_I(\alpha p, x) = G_I(p, x)$ for $\alpha > 0$.

For $I \in \mathcal{P}$, $p \in \mathcal{J}(I)$, $x \in \mathcal{J}^*(I)$ we define $\varphi_2(I, p, x) := \sqrt{\varphi(I, p, x)}$.

Remark 2.3. The mapping $\varphi_2(I, \cdot, x)$ is subadditive on $\mathcal{J}(I)$.

Proof. For $p, q \in \mathcal{J}(I)$ we have

$$\varphi_2(I, p + q, x) = \sqrt{\varphi(I, p + q, x)}.$$
The second inequality of Proposition A with $a$ thus

\[
\mu(p, x) + \mu(p, q, x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \mu(I, p + q, x) \geq 0.
\]

REMARK 2.5. whence we derive the inequality in (i). The second follows similarly. □

For $I \in \mathcal{P}$, $p \in \mathcal{J}(I)$, $x \in \mathcal{J}^*(I)$, define

\[
\mu(I, p, x) := P_I^2G_I(p, x).
\]

The basic properties of $\mu$ are embodied in the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.4.** Suppose $I \in \mathcal{P}$.

(i) If $p, q \in \mathcal{J}^*(I)$ and $x \in \mathcal{J}^*(I)$, then

\[
\mu(I, p, x) + \mu(I, q, x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \mu(I, p + q, x) \geq 0.
\]

(ii) If $p \in \mathcal{J}(I) \cap \mathcal{J}(J)$ ($I \cap J = \emptyset$) and $x \in \mathcal{J}^*(I) \cap \mathcal{J}^*(J)$, then

\[
\mu(I, p, x) + \mu(J, p, x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \mu(I \cup J, p, x) \geq 0.
\]

**Proof.** Put $\alpha = P_I$, $\beta = Q_I$ and $a = P_I G_I(p, x)$, $b = Q_I G_I(q, x)$ in the first inequality of Proposition A. Then

\[
\frac{P_I^2G_I(p, x) + Q_I^2G_I(q, x)}{P_I + Q_I} \geq \frac{P_I + Q_I}{P_I + Q_I} \geq \frac{P_I + Q_I}{P_I + Q_I} = \frac{P_I + Q_I}{2},
\]

so that

\[
\frac{P_I^2G_I(p, x) + Q_I^2G_I(q, x)}{P_I + Q_I} \geq \frac{P_I + Q_I}{2} G_I(p + q, x)
\]

whence we derive the inequality in (i). The second follows similarly. □

**REMARK 2.5.** Since $\mu(I, \alpha p, x) = \alpha^2 \mu(I, p, x)$ for $\alpha > 0$, the inequality in (i) gives

\[
0 \leq \mu \left( I, \frac{p + q}{2}, x \right) = \frac{1}{4} \mu(I, p + q, x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu(I, p, x) + \frac{1}{2} \mu(I, q, x).
\]

Thus $\mu(I, \cdot, x)$ may be viewed as a multivariate Jensen–convex map.

The following theorem gives results closely related to the subadditivity results in Theorem 2.2 (i), (ii).

**THEOREM 2.6.**

(i) Suppose $I \in \mathcal{P}$, $x \in \mathcal{J}^*(I)$ and $p, q \in \mathcal{J}(I)$. Then

\[
\frac{G_I(p, x) + G_I(q, x)}{G_I(p + q, x)} \geq \frac{(P_I + Q_I)^2}{P_I^2 + Q_I^2} \geq 0.
\]
(ii) Suppose \( I, J \in \mathcal{P} \) \((I \cap J = \emptyset)\), \( p \in \mathcal{J}(I) \cap \mathcal{J}(J) \) and \( x \in \mathcal{J}^*(I) \cap \mathcal{J}^*(J) \). Then

\[
\frac{G_I(p, x) + G_J(p, x)}{G_{I \cup J}(p, x)} \geq \frac{P_{I \cup J}^2}{P_I^2 + P_J^2} \geq 0.
\]

**Proof.** (i) By the first inequality in Proposition A

\[
\frac{P_I \cdot G_I(p, x)}{P_I + Q_I} + Q_I \cdot \frac{G_I(q, x)}{Q_I} \geq \left( \frac{G_I(p, x)}{P_I} \right)^{P_I/(P_I + Q_I)} \left( \frac{G_I(q, x)}{Q_I} \right)^{Q_I/(P_I + Q_I)}
\]

which gives

\[
\frac{G_I(p, x) + G_I(q, x)}{P_I + Q_I} \geq \frac{G_I(p + q, x)}{(P_I)^{P_I/(P_I + Q_I)}(Q_I)^{Q_I/(P_I + Q_I)}}.
\]

A further application of Proposition A yields

\[
\frac{P_I^2 + Q_I^2}{P_I + Q_I} \geq (P_I)^{P_I/(P_I + Q_I)}(Q_I)^{Q_I/(P_I + Q_I)}.
\]

Multiplying together these two inequalities provides

\[
\frac{(G_I(p, x) + G_I(q, x))(P_I^2 + Q_I^2)}{(P_I + Q_I)^2} \geq G_I(p + q, x),
\]

which gives the first part of the enunciation. Again the second follows similarly. \(\square\)

To conclude this section, consider the function of a nonnegative real variable defined by

\[
\phi(t) = \phi(t, I, p, q, x) := \frac{(P_I + tQ_I)G_I(p + tq, x)}{Q_I G_I(q, x)},
\]

where \( I \in \mathcal{P}, p, q \in \mathcal{J}(I), x \in \mathcal{J}^*(I) \).

**THEOREM 2.7.** On \([0, \infty)\) we have that

(i) the mapping \( \phi \) is convex;

(ii) \( \phi - 1 \) is convex nonincreasing;

(iii) the inequality

\[
\frac{P_I G_I(p, x)}{Q_I G_I(q, x)} + t \geq \phi(t) \geq 0
\]

is satisfied.

**Proof.** For (i), let \( \alpha, \beta \geq 0 \) with \( \alpha + \beta = 1 \) and \( t_1, t_2 \geq 0 \). By Theorem 2.1

\[
\phi(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) = \frac{\varphi(I, \alpha(p + t_1q) + \beta(p + t_2q), x)}{\varphi(I, q, x)} \\
\leq \frac{\alpha \varphi(I, p + t_1q, x) + \beta \varphi(I, p + t_2q, x)}{\varphi(I, q, x)} \\
= \alpha \phi(t_1) + \beta \phi(t_2),
\]

giving the convexity of \( \phi \). That of \( \phi - 1 \) follows immediately.
Suppose that \( t_2 > t_1 \geq 0 \). Then we have
\[
\phi(t_2) = \phi(t_1 + (t_2 - t_1)) = \frac{\varphi(I, p + t_1q + (t_2 - t_1)q, x)}{\varphi(I, q, x)} \\
\leq \frac{\varphi(I, p + t_1q, x) + (t_2 - t_1)\varphi(I, q, x)}{\varphi(I, q, x)} = \phi(t_1) + t_2 - t_1,
\]
so that
\[
\phi(t_2) - t_2 \leq \phi(t_1) - t_1 \quad \text{for all} \quad t_2 > t_1 \geq 0,
\]
and we have (ii).

The first inequality in (iii) follows by the monotonicity of \( \varphi - \1 \) and to the fact that \( \phi(0) = \frac{P_I G_I(p, x)}{Q_I G_I(q, x)} \). The second is immediate. \( \square \)

### 3 Interpolation

In this section we derive some refinements to the superadditivity of \( G_I(p, \cdot) \). Suppose \( I \in \mathcal{P} \), \( p \in \mathcal{J}(I) \) and \( x, y \in \mathcal{J}^*(I) \). For each positive integer \( k \) we define
\[
g_k = g_k(I, p, x, y) := \left[ \prod_{i_1, \ldots, i_k \in I} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k x_{i_j}^{1/k} + \prod_{j=1}^k y_{i_j}^{1/k} \right\} \prod_{\ell=1}^k p_{i_{\ell}} \right]^{1/P_I^k},
\]
so that in particular
\[
g_1 = \prod_{i \in I} (x_i + y_i)^{p_i/P_I} = G_I(p, x + y).
\]

Our first result interpolates through the quantities \( g_k \) the inequality
\[
G_I(p, x + y) \geq G_I(p, x) + G_I(p, y)
\]
established in Theorem 2.1. To this end we make use of the following interpolation of Jensen’s discrete inequality due to Pečarić and Dragomir [6].

**Theorem B.** Let \( I \) be a real interval and \( f : I \to \mathbb{R} \) a convex mapping. Suppose \( I \in \mathcal{P} \), \( a_i \in I \) for \( i \in I \), \( p, q \in \mathcal{J}(I) \), \( a \in \mathcal{J}^*(I) \) and that \( k \) is a positive integer. Then
\[
f \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i a_i \right) \leq h_{k+1} \leq \ldots \leq \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(a_i),
\]
where
\[
h_k = h_k(I, p, f, a) := \frac{1}{P_I^k} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \in I} p_{i_1} \ldots p_{i_k} f \left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^k a_{i_\ell} \right).
\]

We note that in particular
\[
h_1 = \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(a_i).
\]
We shall also invoke the following related result of Dragomir \cite{Dragomir2} for the weighted case.

**THEOREM C.** Suppose the conditions of Theorem B apply and \( q \in J(I) \). Then

\[
    f \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i a_i \right) \leq h_k^* \leq \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(a_i),
\]

where

\[
    h_k^* = h_k^*(I, p, f, a) := \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \in I} p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_k} f \left( \frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^k q_{i_\ell} a_{i_\ell}}{\sum_{\ell=1}^k q_\ell} \right).
\]

Our first interpolation result is as follows.

**THEOREM 3.1.** Suppose \( I \in P, p \in J(I), x, y \in J^*(I) \). Then for each positive integer \( k \)

\[
    G_I(p, x + y) \geq g_2 \geq g_3 \geq \cdots \geq g_k \geq g_{k+1} \geq \cdots \geq G_I(p, x) + G_I(p, y).
\]

**Proof.** Applying Theorem B to the convex map \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) given by \( f(x) := \ln(1 + e^x) \) and then exponentiating yields

\[
    1 + \exp \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i a_i \right) \leq u_{k+1} \leq u_k \leq \cdots \leq u_1,
\]

where for \( k \geq 1 \)

\[
    u_k := \left[ \prod_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \in I} \left( 1 + \exp \left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} \right) \right) \right]^{1/P_I}.
\]

Set \( a_i := \ln(x_i/y_i) \) (\( i \in I \)). This gives

\[
    1 + \prod_{i \in I} \left( \frac{x_i}{y_i} \right)^{p_i} \leq v_{k+1} \leq v_k \leq \cdots \leq v_1,
\]

where

\[
    v_k := \left[ \prod_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \in I} \left( 1 + \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \frac{x_{i_j}}{y_{i_j}} \right)^{1/k} \right\} \right) \right]^{1/P_I}.
\]

Since

\[
    1 + \prod_{i \in I} \left( \frac{x_i}{y_i} \right)^{p_i} = \frac{G_I(p, x) + G_I(p, y)}{G_I(p, y)}
\]

and the expression for \( v_k \) may be rearranged as

\[
    v_k = \frac{1}{G_I(p, y)} \left[ \prod_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \in I} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k x_{i_j}^{1/k} + \prod_{j=1}^k y_{i_j}^{1/k} \prod_{\ell=1}^k p_{i_\ell} \right\}^{1/P_I} \right],
\]

we have the stated result. \( \square \)

Similarly Theorem C leads to the following weighted interpolation result.
THEOREM 3.2. Suppose \( I \in \mathcal{P} \), \( p, q \in \mathcal{J}(I) \), \( x, y \in \mathcal{J}^*(I) \). Let \( k \) be a positive integer and set \( Q_k := \sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i \). Then

\[
G_I(p, x + y) \geq g_k \geq g_k^* \geq G_I(p, x) + G_I(p, y),
\]

where

\[
g_k^* = g_k(I, p, x, y) := \left[ \prod_{i_1, \ldots, i_k \in I} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{k} x_{i_j}^{q_j/Q_k} + \prod_{j=1}^{k} y_{i_j}^{q_j/Q_k} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i \right]^{1/P_k}.
\]

\[
\square
\]

4 The entropy mapping

Suppose \( I \in \mathcal{P} \) and \( p \in \mathcal{J}(I) \). Let \( X \) be a random variable with finite range \( \{x_i | i \in I\} \) and corresponding probability vector \( p \), that is, \( p_i = P(X = x_i) \) for \( i \in I \). For \( b > 0 \), the \( b \)-entropy of \( X \) is defined by

\[
H_b(X) := \sum_{i \in I} p_i \log_b (1/p_i).
\]

We have the following result.

THEOREM D. The \( b \)-entropy of \( X \) satisfies

\[
0 \leq \log_b |I| - H_b(X) \leq \frac{1}{\ln b} \left[ |I| \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2 - 1 \right].
\]

Furthermore \( H_b(X) = 0 \) if and only if \( p_i = 1 \) for some \( i \) and \( H_b(X) = \log_b |I| \) if and only if each \( p_i = 1/|I| \).

The first displayed inequality and special cases are standard. The second inequality was established in Theorem 4.3 of [4] by Dragomir and Goh.

Suppose \( J \subset I \) and denote by \( X_J \) the restriction of \( X \) to the range \( \{x_i | i \in J\} \) with corresponding (renormalised) probabilities

\[
P(X = x_j) = p_j^J := p_j/P_J \text{ for } j \in J.
\]

The entropies \( H_b(X) \) and \( H_b(X_J) \) are related as follows.

THEOREM 4.1. The mapping \( \varphi : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R} \) given by

\[
\varphi(I) := P_I^{1-1/P_I} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\ln b} P_I H_b(X_I) \right]
\]

is subadditive as an index set mapping.

Proof. Suppose \( I = J \cup K \) with \( J \cap K = \emptyset \) and \( J, K \neq \emptyset \). Without loss of generality we may suppose \( p \in \mathcal{J}^*(I) \). Define \((1/p) \in \mathcal{J}^*(I)\) by \((1/p)_i = 1/p_i \ (i \in I)\). We have

\[
P_J G_J(p, 1/p) = P_J \exp \left[ \sum_{j \in J} p_j \frac{\log_b (1/p_j)}{\ln b} \right] = P_J \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\ln b} \sum_{j \in J} p_j^J P_J \log_b \left( \frac{1}{P_J} \right) \right],
\]
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\[
\begin{align*}
&= P_J \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\ln b} \left( P_J \sum_{j \in J} p_J^j \log_b \left( \frac{1}{p_J^j} \right) + P_J \log_b \left( \frac{1}{P_J} \sum_{j \in J} p_J^j \right) \right) \right] \\
&= P_J \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\ln b} P_J H_b(X_J) \right] \exp \left[ \ln \left( \frac{1}{P_J} \right)^{1/P_J} \right] \\
&= P_J^{1-1/P_J} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\ln b} P_J H_b(X_J) \right] \\
&= \varphi(J).
\end{align*}
\]

Similar results hold for \( P_K G_K(p, 1/p) \) and for \( P_I G_I(p, 1/p) \). By Theorem 2.2 we have

\[
P_I G_I(p, 1/p) \leq \sum_{L=J,K} P_L G_L(p, 1/p),
\]

so that

\[
\varphi(I) \leq \varphi(J) + \varphi(K),
\]

and the theorem is proved. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose \( I = J \cup K, J \cap K = \emptyset, J, K \neq \emptyset \) and the random variable \( X \) has range \( \{x_i | i \in I\} \). Then

\[
\frac{1}{2} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\ln b} H_b(X) \right] \leq \sum_{L=J,K} P_L^{2-1/P_L} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\ln b} P_L H_b(X_L) \right].
\]

**Proof.** From Theorem 2.4 (ii) we have the relation

\[
\frac{1}{2} \mu(J \cup K, p, 1/p) \leq \sum_{L=J,K} \mu(L, p, 1/p).
\]

The desired result now follows from the definition

\[
\mu(L, p, 1/p) := P_L^2 G_L(p, 1/p)
\]

taken for \( L = J, K, J \cup K \) and the representation

\[
G_L(p, 1/p) = P_L^{-1/P_L} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\ln b} P_L H_b(X_L) \right]
\]

derived in the previous theorem. \( \square \)

Finally, we may use Theorem 2.6 (ii) to provide the following.

**Theorem 4.3.** Under the conditions of Theorem 4.2 we have

\[
\sum_{L=J,K} P_L^{1-1/P_L} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\ln b} P_L H_b(X_L) \right] \geq \frac{1}{P_J^2 + P_K^2} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\ln b} H_b(X) \right].
\]
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