

1 **MS EEENG-1733-R2**
2 **Mathematical Model for the Sequential Pick-Up of Chemical Contaminants by**
3 **Magnetic Particles**

4
5 **REVISED MANUSCRIPT - Revision #2**
6

7 Stephen W. Bigger¹; Kasup Munaweera²; Lawrence N. Ngeh³; Peter Dann⁴ and John
8 D. Orbell⁵
9

10 **CE Database Subject Headings:** Iron compounds; Chemicals; Pollutants; Particles;
11 Oils; Mathematical models
12

13 **Author Keywords:** Iron powder; Chemical contaminants; Magnetic particles; Oil
14

15 **Abstract**
16

17 Two conceivable types of mathematical model, i.e. exponential or hyperbolic, that
18 describe the sequential pick-up of a contaminant from a substrate upon successive
19 treatment with magnetic particles, have been developed and tested. The models were
20 applied to sets of experimental data spanning extremes of system behavior. Allowance
21 was made within each model to account for departure from ideality. The non-ideal
22 hyperbolic model was identified as being the one that can be better applied to the
23 experimental data. The successful application of this model to a given data set
24 enables a pick-up efficiency that is based on all of the available experimental data to
25 be accurately determined. Thus it was found that the pick-up efficiency is highly
26 correlated with one of the fitting parameters introduced to account for non-idealized
27 behavior. The ability to accurately assess removal efficiency in the sequential pick up
28 of chemical contaminants by magnetic particles is essential for the optimization of
29 this technology for practical application in the field, particularly with respect to
30 environmental remediation.
31

32 ¹ Professor and Deputy Dean, Faculty of Health, Engineering and Science, Victoria Univ., P.O. Box
33 14428, Melbourne, Victoria 8001, Australia (corresponding author).
34 E-mail: Stephen.Bigger@vu.edu.au

35 ² Research Student, School of Engineering and Science, Victoria Univ., P.O. Box 14428, Melbourne,
36 Victoria 8001, Australia.

37 ³ Senior Lecturer in Chemistry, School of Engineering and Science, Victoria Univ., P.O. Box 14428,
38 Melbourne, Victoria 8001, Australia.

39 ⁴ Research Manager, Phillip Island Nature Parks, P.O. Box 97, Cowes, Phillip Island, Victoria 3991,
40 Australia.

41 ⁵ Professor of Chemistry, Institute for Sustainability and Innovation, Victoria Univ., P.O. Box 14428,
42 Melbourne 8001, Australia.
43

44 **Introduction**

45

46 Magnetic particle technology has well-established and emerging applications across a
47 wide range of discipline areas (Safarikova and Safarik 2001; Orbell *et al.* 2007a). For
48 example, in the medical arena, functionalized magnetic particles have been applied to
49 diagnostics (Nakamura and Matsunaga 1993), the separation of cancer cells (Wang *et*
50 *al.* 1993) and the mechanical conditioning of bone cells *in vitro* (Cartmell *et al.* 2002).
51 Magnetic particle technology has also been applied to water clarification and
52 decolorization (Anderson and Priestley 1983), sewage treatment (Priestley, 1990;
53 Booker *et al.* 1991), the separation of radioactive materials (Nunez *et al.* 1996), the
54 removal of pesticides from water (Lawruk *et al.* 1993) and as catalyst supports (Wang
55 *et al.* 2000). Other workers have reported that magnetite and maghemite particles
56 exhibit high removal efficiency for the remediation of dispersants and oil (Chun and
57 Park 2001).

58

59 A more specific environmental application of this technology, that shows great
60 promise in a series of published proof-of-principle experiments, involves the use of
61 oil sequestering (zero valence) iron powder for the magnetic removal of oil from
62 contaminated wildlife. This work demonstrates the effective removal of a wide range
63 of oil contaminants, including an oil/seawater emulsion, from feathers and plumage
64 (Orbell *et al.* 1999; Orbell *et al.* 2004), the ability to optimize contaminant removal
65 from feathers by varying the physical properties of the iron particles themselves (Dao
66 *et al.* 2006a), the effectiveness of "magnetic cleansing" for the removal of weathered
67 and tarry contamination from feathers and plumage and the role of pre-conditioners in
68 this process (Orbell *et al.* 2005; Dao *et al.* 2006c) as well as the acute temperature
69 dependency and the thermodynamics of the pickup phenomenon (Dao *et al.* 2006b).
70 The potential of this technology to remove oil contamination from the surface of rock
71 has also been demonstrated (Orbell *et al.* 2007b).

72

73 Traditional detergent-based methods for cleansing oiled wildlife remain very labor
74 intensive and require expensive equipment and facilities (Massey 2006). The so-
75 called "wet" detergent-based methods also damage the feathers necessitating lengthy
76 periods of rehabilitation and the waste disposal is difficult to manage. On the other
77 hand, the application of magnetic particle technology to this problem, *vide supra*, is a

78 relatively inexpensive "dry" cleaning process that offers significant advantages, since
79 iron powder is both non-toxic and is a non-irritant, and has been shown not to damage
80 feather microstructure as a consequence of the cleansing process (Orbell *et al.* 1999).
81 It also enables full control over both contaminant and cleansing agent and,
82 importantly, offers portability of equipment that could enable a "quick clean" to be
83 provided to the animal in the field (either upon first encounter or within a holding
84 bay) thereby removing the worst of the contamination as quickly as possible. This
85 would be particularly advantageous when, as is often the case, the contaminant
86 contains toxic and/or corrosive components that can be ingested, inhaled or absorbed
87 through the skin.

88

89 In order to facilitate the development and realization of the above field application, it
90 is essential to develop a rigorous quantitative assessment of the relative efficiency of
91 contaminant removal, especially with respect to initial contaminant removal (the
92 "quick clean"). Depending upon a particular application, the characteristics of
93 contaminant pick-up may be assessed experimentally by measuring the percentage of
94 contaminant harvested by the particles, P , and plotting this as a function of a
95 parameter such as the particle-to-chemical ratio, R , (*non-sequential* pick-up) (Orbell
96 *et al.* 1997) or as a function of the number of treatments or applications, n , (*sequential*
97 pick-up) (Orbell *et al.* 1999); the latter being more relevant to the use of this
98 technology for the cleansing of oiled wildlife since the oiled substrate is saturated
99 with the particles at each treatment.

100

101 With the primary aim of gaining greater insight into the physico-chemical basis for
102 the pick-up phenomenon, previous work has derived a mathematical model for the
103 *non-sequential* pick-up of a range of liquid organic compounds from a glass substrate,
104 together with associated computer software that successfully applied the model to
105 experimental data (Bigger *et al.* 2010). In developing the *non-sequential* model, it
106 was recognized that real systems depart significantly from idealized behavior and so
107 allowance was made within the model to account for this. Such an approach to
108 processing the data also gives rise to a quantitative estimate of the extent to which a
109 given system departs from idealized behavior. This, in turn, is related to the
110 efficiency of sequestration. The approach thus enables the relative pick-up
111 efficiencies of various systems to be quantitatively determined, albeit for *in vitro*

112 experiments in which the parameter P is monitored as a function of the variable R .
113 However, this method does not provide information about the pick-up efficiency
114 when such particles are applied *sequentially* to contaminated substrates such as
115 feathers, fur or rocks, where the most convenient basis for experimentation is the
116 number of successive treatments, n , rather than the R parameter.

117

118 Thus in view of the need to assess the efficiency of pick-up of contaminants from
119 various substrates on successive treatments with magnetic particles, and buoyed by
120 the success of the previous non-sequential modeling, it was decided to explore the
121 simplest mathematical model that would enable such experimental data to be
122 processed and compared. To date, there exists no quantitative method of assessment
123 for such systems that enables a single parameter to be derived that comprehensively
124 reflects the efficiency of contaminant removal. Such an assessment and parameter
125 will be essential in the future exploration and refinement of contaminant removal
126 systems, such as the "quick clean" technology described previously.

127

128 The aim of this paper is therefore to examine conceivable mathematical models that
129 can be applied to real pick-up systems of this type and to test the respective merits of
130 these when applied to a wide range of *sequential* data that is indicative of the
131 extremes of expected system behavior. The experimental data set used here to test the
132 mathematical model is a series of P versus n isotherms, representing the use of iron
133 powder to magnetically remove eight different contaminant mixtures, ranging from
134 low to high viscosity, from feather clusters.

135

136

137 **Theory**

138

139 ***The Contaminant Pick-Up Data Fitting Protocol***

140 A set of $\{n, P(n)\}$ data pairs where n is the number of treatments and $P(n)$ is the
141 cumulative percentage pick-up of contaminant upon treatment n in a contaminant
142 pick-up experiment for a given contaminant-substrate system, can be empirically
143 modeled by observing that: (i) the efficiency of pick-up as defined by the gradient of
144 the $P(n)$ versus n plot decreases with an increasing number of treatments and (ii) such
145 a plot passes through the origin. These experimental observations are the basis of the

146 following two alternate approaches that have been identified and which lead to
147 mathematical models that describe the variation of $P(n)$ with n .

148

149

150 ***Exponential Model***

151 An exponential model can be derived by assuming that the efficiency of pick-up of
152 the remaining contaminant after n treatments is proportional to the amount of
153 contaminant remaining to be picked up at that point in the treatment process. In this
154 case the efficiency decreases with the number of treatments suggesting that the
155 removal mechanism is one where successive layers of contaminant are removed upon
156 successive treatments. Each treatment can be considered as a process in which the
157 equilibrium associated with the partitioning of the contaminant between the substrate
158 and the magnetic particles is shifted in such a way that it favors the transfer of the
159 contaminant from the substrate to the particles.

160

161 If the efficiency of pick-up is taken to be the gradient of the pick-up curve at any
162 point in the treatment process, equation (1) applies under the assumption used as the
163 basis of this model:

164

$$165 \quad dP_1(n)/dn = -k_1 P_1(n) \quad (1)$$

166

167 where $P_1(n) = P_\infty - P(n)$ which is the difference between P_∞ , the percentage pick-up
168 after an infinite number of treatments and $P(n)$, the percentage pick-up after n
169 treatments, and k_1 is a constant. The negative sign in this equation accounts for the
170 decreased pick-up efficiency as n increases, which is in accordance with the
171 experimentally observed behavior. Integrating equation (1) between the
172 corresponding limits $\{n = 0, P_1(0) = P_\infty\}$ and $\{n, P_1(n) = P_\infty - P(n)\}$ yields:

173

$$174 \quad P(n) = P_\infty[1 - \exp(-k_1 n)] \quad (2)$$

175

176 In a previous study (Bigger et al., 2010) involving the derivation of a pick-up
177 function, the initial pick-up efficiency was identified as a useful criterion for
178 comparing the efficiencies of different systems. In the case of the current exponential

179 model, this can be derived by differentiating equation (2) with respect to n and finding
180 an expression for the derivative at $n = 0$. This enables the initial pick-up efficiency
181 for the ideal exponential model, v_0 , to be obtained as $v_0 = k_1 P_\infty$. This approach has
182 the advantage of utilizing the entire $\{n, P(n)\}$ data set collected during a given
183 contaminant pick-up experiment to derive a single number that reflects the pick-up
184 efficiency of the system.

185

186 The model can be empirically adjusted to accommodate any deviation from idealized
187 behavior that may be experimentally observed in the case of real systems. Such
188 deviation may be caused by impurities and/or irregularities on the surface of the
189 magnetic particles that may cause disproportionate pick-up upon successive
190 treatments. An adjustment can be achieved by allowing the constant k_1 to vary with n
191 in an empirical power law relation. Whence:

192

$$193 \quad k_1 = f(n) = c_1 n^{m_1} \quad (3)$$

194

195 where c_1 and m_1 are constants. Equation (4) can be readily derived from equations
196 (2) and (3) thus:

197

$$198 \quad P(n) = P_\infty [1 - \exp(-c_1 n^{m_1+1})] \quad (4)$$

199

200 The incorporation of an empirical power law relation to account for non-idealized
201 behavior renders a derivative function of equation (4) with respect to n that vanishes
202 at $n = 0$ and so the derived function cannot be used to obtain the initial pick-up
203 efficiency of a non-ideal system. Nonetheless, other efficiency parameters can be
204 defined such as v_1 , the pick-up efficiency after one treatment (i.e. $n = 1$). In the case
205 of an exponential model, v_1 can, in principle, be calculated from experimental data
206 and is given by:

207

$$208 \quad v_1 = [dP(n)/dn]_{n=1} = c_1(m_1 + 1)P_\infty \exp(-c_1) \quad (5)$$

209

210

211 **Hyperbolic Model**

212 A hyperbolic model can be derived by assuming that the difference between the
213 percentage pick-up after an infinite number of treatments, P_∞ , and the function $P(n)$ is
214 inversely proportional to n . This difference corresponds to the amount of contaminant
215 that remains on the substrate after the n th treatment. Similarly to the exponential case
216 explored above, the removal mechanism in the hyperbolic model is once again
217 consistent with the notion that successive layers of contaminant are removed upon
218 subsequent treatments. Thus in the case of the hyperbolic model:

219

220
$$P_\infty - P(n) \propto 1/n \tag{6}$$

221

222 Re-arranging equation (6) and allowing for the function $P(n)$ to be finite at $n = 0$ gives
223 rise to equation (7):

224

225
$$P(n) = P_\infty - k_2/(n + b) \tag{7}$$

226

227 where k_2 and b are constants.

228

229 Considering equation (7) and the required condition that $P(0) = 0$ it is clear that $b =$
230 k_2/P_∞ and so equation (8) is obtained:

231

232
$$P(n) = nP_\infty^2/(nP_\infty + k_2) \tag{8}$$

233

234 The derivative function of equation (8) with respect to n can also be obtained and
235 evaluated at $n = 0$ to produce an expression for v_0' the initial pick-up efficiency for the
236 ideal hyperbolic model. In this case $v_0' = P_\infty^2/k_2$.

237

238 Using a similar approach to the case of the exponential model, the deviation of a real
239 system from idealized behavior can be taken into account by allowing k_2 to vary with
240 n in an empirical power relation thus:

241

242
$$k_2 = f(n) = c_2n^{m_2} \tag{9}$$

243

244 where c_2 and m_2 are constants. In this case, equation (8) can be re-written as follows:

245

$$246 \quad P(n) = nP_\infty^2 / (nP_\infty + c_2n^{m_2}) \quad (10)$$

247

248 Similarly to the case of the non-ideal exponential model, the derivative of the non-

249 ideal hyperbolic model equation vanishes at $n = 0$. Nonetheless, the derivative

250 function of equation (10) with respect to n can be evaluated for $n = 1$ to render an

251 expression for an efficiency parameter, v_1' :

252

$$253 \quad v_1' = [dP(n)/dn]_{n=1} = c_2P_\infty^2(1 - m_2)/(P_\infty + c_2)^2 \quad (11)$$

254

255 Thus, v_1' is a single parameter that represents the pick-up efficiency after a single

256 treatment in the case of the non-ideal hyperbolic model. Indeed, defined efficiency

257 parameters such as v_0 , v_1 , v_0' and v_1' can be used as arbitrary measures to compare the

258 efficiencies of different systems where the $\{n, P(n)\}$ data have been collected under

259 standardized conditions.

260

261

262 **Materials and Methods**

263

264 Jasmine Crude Oil (JCO) (viscosity, 682 cSt at 50°C) was supplied by Leeder

265 Consulting, Victoria, Australia. Diesel was obtained from a commercial service

266 station. Iron powder was supplied by Höganäs AB, Sweden, and was described by

267 the manufacturer as "spongy annealed superfine" (Grade MH 300.29). The feathers

268 used in this study were the breast/contour feathers of the Mallard Duck (*Anas*

269 *platyrhynchos*).

270

271 The JCO is a solid at ambient temperature and a stock quantity of 30 g was melted at

272 50°C (over a water bath) for the purpose of applying the more viscous contaminants

273 to the feather clusters and for preparing Diesel/JCO mixtures. A series of these

274 mixtures was prepared in order to access a range of contaminant viscosities, i.e. 0:100

275 (pure JCO), 20:80 (viscosity, 174 cSt at 22°C), 30:70, 40:60, 50:50, 60:40, 70:30 and

276 80:20, by volume. All contamination and removal experiments were subsequently
277 conducted at 22°C.

278

279 Four feathers were tied into a cluster and weighed (f_1). The feather cluster was then
280 dipped into a beaker of a liquid contaminant to achieve saturation. The cluster was
281 allowed to drain on a tared Petri dish for 10 min prior to being re-weighed (f_2). The
282 cluster was then removed from the dish and the residual mass, r_1 , was recorded.

283 Hence, the mass of the contaminant-laden feathers, f_3 , for further experimentation is
284 given by equation (12):

285

$$286 \quad f_3 = f_2 - r_1 \quad (12)$$

287

288 At ambient temperature (22°C), the contaminated feathers were then completely
289 covered with the iron powder in order for absorption and adsorption of the
290 contaminant to occur. At least a minute is provided for this although a previous study
291 has indicated that the absorption/adsorption process is almost instantaneous
292 (unpublished results). The contaminant-laden iron particles were then harvested from
293 the feathers using a magnetic tester (Alpha Magnetics, Victoria, Australia). The
294 stripped feather cluster was then re-weighed (f_4). The percentage pick-up of the
295 contaminant, P , was calculated in accordance with equation (13):

296

$$297 \quad P = [(f_3 - f_4)/(f_3 - f_1)] \times 100\% \quad (13)$$

298

299 A number of applications, n , were performed until a constant value of P was
300 achieved. Isotherms, such as that shown below in Figure 1, are generated by plotting
301 $P(n)$ versus n .

302

303 **Results and Discussion**

304

305 To explore each of the above models, a computer program was written to read $\{n,$
306 $P(n)\}$ data sets generated during contaminant pick-up experiments and to produce the
307 best fit to the data in accordance with the model under investigation. The program

308 incorporates a linear regression analysis to evaluate the c and m parameters where
309 appropriate and consequently generates a $P(n)$ versus n isotherm that is fitted to the
310 experimental data. The various models proposed above were applied to two cases that
311 represent extreme system behavior with regard to the experimentally observed
312 efficiency of contaminant pick-up.

313

314 The first case is the pick-up isotherm observed for the removal of 100% Jasmine
315 Crude Oil (JCO) from duck feather clusters at 22°C using MH 300.29 iron particles.
316 This system is representative of one with a relatively low efficiency where the
317 function $P(n)$ gradually approaches an asymptotic upper limit of close to 100% after
318 *ca.* $n = 16$ contaminant removal treatments. The second case that was chosen is the
319 isotherm for the removal of an 80:20 Diesel/JCO mixture from the same substrate and
320 under the same experimental conditions. This system exhibits a very high pick-up
321 efficiency where the function $P(n)$ rapidly approaches the asymptotic upper limit after
322 *ca.* $n = 1$ treatment.

323

324 Figure 1 shows plots of $P(n)$ versus n for the removal of 100% JCO and the 80:20
325 Diesel/JCO mixture from duck feather clusters at 22°C. The solid lines are the
326 computer-generated fits to the data using the exponential model for an ideal system
327 depicted by equation (2) with fit parameters. It is clear from the plots that the ideal
328 exponential model fits neither set of experimental data satisfactorily despite the
329 seemingly reasonable values of the regression coefficients calculated in the fitting
330 routine using $\{n, \ln((1 - P(n))/P_\infty)\}$ transformed data in accordance with equation (2).

331

332 >>>INSERT **Figure 1**

333

334 Making an allowance for non-ideal behavior in the exponential model by invoking a
335 power law relationship for the variation of k_1 (see equations (3) and (4)) has little
336 effect on the quality of fit of the experimental data. Figure 2 shows the fit that was
337 achieved for the 100% JCO data when the non-ideal model was applied. The fit for
338 the ideal model is also shown for comparison. These data suggest that although there
339 is a slight improvement in the fit obtained by allowing for non-ideal behavior in the
340 exponential model the fit remains quite poor suggesting that the exponential model is

341 not applicable to these systems. Consequently, the pick-up efficiency defined as in,
342 say, equation (5) may have limited value for these systems. The regression
343 coefficient calculated in the non-ideal exponential model fitting routine using the
344 $\{\ln(n), \ln(\ln(P_\infty/(P_\infty - P(n))))\}$ transformed data in accordance with equation (4)
345 suggests the fit is better than that obtained in the ideal case and this is reflected in the
346 fitted line appearing slightly closer to the experimental data than that for the ideal
347 case.

348

349 >>>INSERT **Figure 2**

350

351 Figure 3 shows plots of $P(n)$ versus n for the removal of 100% JCO and the 80:20
352 Diesel/JCO mixture from duck feather clusters at 22°C where the data have been
353 fitted with the ideal hyperbolic model in each case (see equation (8)). It is clear that a
354 much more satisfactory fit is achieved compared with the ideal and non-ideal
355 exponential models. Nonetheless, the visual fit of the 100% JCO data in particular
356 together with the regression coefficients calculated from the $\{n, nP_\infty(P_\infty -$
357 $P(n))/P(n)\}$ transformed data in accordance with equation (8), suggests the ideal
358 hyperbolic model still does not produce an optimal fit. Furthermore, the seemingly
359 better visual fit of the 80:20 Diesel/JCO data is attributed to the apparently high
360 removal efficiency exhibited by this system where the initial rapid rise in the $P(n)$
361 data is followed by little variation in those data that lie close to the 100% asymptote.
362

363 For these systems it appears that the pick-up efficiencies as defined by parameters
364 such as v_0 may only be close approximations to what in reality are the true values.
365 Thus a further refinement of the fitting model by allowing for a deviation from ideal
366 behavior has been invoked in order to deliver a more acceptable fit to the data and
367 thereby enable a more accurate assessment of pick-up efficiencies to be made.

368

369 >>>INSERT **Figure 3**

370

371 In contrast to the case of the exponential model the allowance for a deviation from
372 ideal behavior *via* a power law relationship between k_2 and n (see equation (10))
373 produces a comparatively acceptable fit of the experimental data for the two extreme

374 systems that are under investigation. This is apparent in Figure 4 where the non-ideal
375 hyperbolic model has been applied to both the 100% JCO and the 80:20 Diesel/JCO
376 data. Furthermore the regression coefficient data calculated from the $\{\ln(n),$
377 $\ln(nP_{\infty}(P_{\infty} - P(n))/P(n))\}$ transformed data in accordance with equation (10) show a
378 considerable improvement on the respective data generated from the ideal hyperbolic
379 model depicted in Figure 3. In order to investigate further the apparent better fit of
380 the non-ideal hyperbolic model compared to the ideal hyperbolic model a statistical
381 analysis was performed on the calculated average regression coefficient obtained
382 when each model was applied in fitting each of the contaminant systems studied. At
383 the 95% confidence limit the average regression coefficients are $r^2_{av}(\text{ideal hyperbolic}$
384 $\text{model}) = 0.579 \pm 0.038$ and $r^2_{av}(\text{non-ideal hyperbolic model}) = 0.816 \pm 0.034$ which
385 demonstrates that the better fit obtained with the non-ideal hyperbolic model is
386 statistically significant. The above observations collectively suggest that of the
387 various models examined, the non-ideal hyperbolic model provides the best fit to the
388 experimental data and thus equation (11) might be applied to such experimental data
389 in order to evaluate contaminant pick-up efficiencies in these systems.

390

391 >>>INSERT **Figure 4**

392

393 To investigate the latter assertion more fully the computer fitting software was used to
394 generate an expanded section of the non-ideal hyperbolic fitted function for the 100%
395 JCO system in the range $n = 0$ to 2.0. These data are shown in Figure 5 that illustrates
396 clearly the sigmoidal nature of the function particularly for systems such as the 100%
397 JCO that exhibit relatively low pick-up efficiency at a correspondingly low number of
398 treatments. Thus the pick-up efficiency as defined by the gradient of the fitted
399 function close to the origin will not give a true indication of the efficiency of the
400 system. For example, the gradient of the fitted function in Figure 5 at the theoretical
401 point $n = 0.04$ which is denoted $[dP(n)/dn]_{n=0.4}$ is significantly less than that at
402 the point of inflexion of the function, $[dP(n)/dn]_{\text{max}}$. Furthermore, as the fitted
403 function changes along with the different systems under investigation the inflexion
404 point may move particularly with regard to its abscissa value. In such cases equation
405 (11) will render an inaccurate estimate of the pick-up efficiency. In recognition of
406 these features of the non-ideal hyperbolic fitted function the computer analysis

407 software was modified to include it finding the maximum gradient, $v_{\max} =$
408 $[dP(n)/dn]_{\max}$, and reporting this as the preferred measure of the pick-up efficiency of
409 the system.

410

411 >>>INSERT **Figure 5**

412

413 The hyperbolic model is based on the assumption that the difference between the
414 percentage pick-up after an infinite number of treatments, P_{∞} , and the function $P(n)$ is
415 inversely proportional to n , the number of treatments in the removal process. Such a
416 mathematical treatment is consistent with a mechanism involving a sequential series
417 of equilibria where at each step the contaminant is partitioned between the surfaces of
418 the substrate and the high surface area iron powder particles. This process can be
419 viewed as being analogous to a Soxhlet extraction process in which a target
420 compound is shifted from one phase to another in a sequence of cycles each of which
421 involves the setting of a new equilibrium that is governed by a constant partition
422 coefficient at constant temperature. In the current system, it is believed the removal
423 of the contaminant mixture from the surface of the substrate (feathers) is achieved *via*
424 a surface adsorption/absorption phenomenon that is, in turn, driven by the lowering of
425 the surface free energy of the iron particles when the contaminant mixture is
426 transferred.

427

428 Although the nature of the experiments performed in the current work makes it
429 difficult to clearly and unequivocally ascertain the mechanism of the adsorption an
430 insight into the physical chemistry aspects of the process may be achieved by dividing
431 both sides of equation (8) by the constant P_{∞} to yield equation (14):

432

$$433 \quad P(n)/P_{\infty} = nP_{\infty}/(nP_{\infty} + k_2) \quad (14)$$

434

435 The form of this equation bears remarkable resemblance to the Langmuir adsorption
436 isotherm (Langmuir 1918) if one recognizes the $P(n)/P_{\infty}$ term as being representative
437 of the fraction of the total surface sites on the iron particles that are available to
438 adsorb the contaminant and one invokes the approximation that the cumulative
439 amount of contaminant that is picked up after n treatments is proportional to n .

440 Clearly, in any attempt to map equation (14) to the Langmuir adsorption isotherm
441 model one would also have to assume that the constant $k_2 \approx 1$. The latter assumption
442 is necessary to obtain complete correspondence with the Langmuir model but any
443 departure of k_2 from unity in a real system, as in the current study, would presumably
444 reflect the fact that the Langmuir isotherm itself is an idealized case and the implicit
445 assumptions that are made in its derivation are seldom all true (Daniels and Alberty,
446 1966). Furthermore, the analogous nature of the hyperbolic pick-up model to the
447 Langmuir adsorption isotherm as revealed in equation (14) suggests the adsorption is
448 most likely a physisorption process rather than chemisorption as the former is more
449 commonly associated with a fit to a Langmuir-type adsorption isotherm (Castellan,
450 1983).

451

452 Under some circumstances, the point of inflexion identified in Figure 5 might be
453 interpreted as a transition from one type of mechanism to another. However, in
454 reference to the current work it is suggested that the point of inflexion is an inherent
455 feature of the non-ideal mathematical fitting function and does not necessarily
456 indicate a transition in the removal mechanism. Evidence for this is twofold: firstly,
457 over the extensive range of system viscosities studied in the current work the
458 inflexion point only becomes significant for high viscosity (low removal efficiency)
459 systems and secondly, when the point of inflexion is of significance with regard to
460 calculating the initial pick-up efficiency, it occurs at $n < 1$. This is clearly in the
461 theoretical domain as far as assigning a physical meaning to the result is concerned
462 and would thus suggest that a single mechanism prevails for $n \geq 1$.

463

464 The variation of the non-ideal hyperbolic model fitting parameters c_2 and m_2 together
465 with the maximum pick-up efficiency between the two extreme limits of 100% JCO
466 (i.e. zero %(v/v) Diesel) and 80:20 Diesel/JCO (i.e. 80% (v/v) Diesel) was explored
467 for the removal of a selection of different Diesel/JCO mixtures from duck feather
468 clusters using MH 300.29 iron particles at 22°C. The results are given in Table 1
469 along with the regression coefficient r^2 pertaining to each analysis. In analyzing the
470 experimental data to produce Table 1 it became apparent that wide variability in the
471 calculated c_2 and v_{\max} parameters in particular occurred in systems of high pick-up
472 efficiency, requiring in some cases experimental measurements to be reproducible to

473 within *ca.* $\pm 0.2\%$ in order to obtain meaningful trends. This is consistent with the
474 observation that in highly efficient systems $P(n)$ rises rapidly to *ca.* 100% after only
475 one or two treatments rendering the few data in this region of the pick-up isotherm
476 critical in the ultimate determination of the fit parameters. These observations are
477 reflected in the apparent deviation from the overall trend exhibited by the 70% (v/v)
478 Diesel data in Table 1.

479

480 >>>INSERT **Table 1**

481

482 Consideration of equation (10) in comparison with the ideal equation (8) reveals that
483 the parameters c_2 and m_2 both express the deviation of a given system from idealized
484 behavior with parameter c_2 expressing the "magnitude" or indeed "efficiency" with
485 which this occurs and the parameter m_2 expressing the "order" of the deviation. In the
486 limiting case where $m_2 = 0$, equation (10) collapses to give equation (8) with $c_2 = k_2$
487 and the system is considered to behave ideally. The data in Table 1 indicate that the
488 parameter c_2 is large in cases where the system exhibits a relatively low efficiency
489 and *vicé versa*. This apparent correlation was tested further by plotting the reciprocal
490 of v_{\max} as a function of c_2 and is shown in Figure 6.

491

492 >>>INSERT **Figure 6**

493

494 It is clear from Figure 6 that the two parameters v_{\max} and c_2 are highly correlated
495 suggesting that the parameter c_2 is also a measure of the pick-up efficiency of a given
496 system. Furthermore, the data in Table 1 can be used to explore the range of
497 k_2 values within the experimental domain. In particular, the calculated value of k_2
498 ranges from 59.8 to 236 across the domain for the removal of 100% JCO where the
499 removal process is seen to be relatively inefficient compared to the 80:20 Diesel/JCO
500 system. In the case of the latter the value of k_2 ranges from 0.564 to 4.43 and clearly
501 encompasses the case where $k_2 = 1$ corresponding to the idealized Langmuir
502 adsorption isotherm discussed above. From the physical chemistry point of view this
503 may suggest that contaminant removal by a chemisorption process predominates in

504 systems that demonstrate high removal efficiencies and that significant departure from
505 this occurs in systems of low removal efficiency.

506

507 The data in Table 1 also suggest that the value of m_2 across the various runs fluctuates
508 around a mean of $m_2 = -0.52 \pm 0.13$, implying almost an inverse square root order
509 exists with respect to the variable n , the number of treatments. It remains to be seen
510 whether the value of m_2 fluctuates within these limits for other systems and whether
511 values of c_2 outside the limits observed in this study are possible indicating the
512 existence of more extreme system behavior. However, this is the subject of ongoing
513 investigations in our laboratory.

514

515 **Conclusions**

516

517 Two approaches to mathematically modeling the sequential contaminant pick-up from
518 a given substrate with magnetic particles have been explored and allowance has been
519 made within the models to accommodate departure from idealized behavior.

520 Acceptable fits of the experimental data representing the extremes in expected system
521 behaviors were only obtained using the non-ideal hyperbolic model. This suggests
522 that the non-ideal hyperbolic model may be generally applicable to these systems. The
523 application of the mathematical model to the experimentally obtained pick-up data
524 enables the entire data set to be used in the evaluation of the pick-up efficiency of the
525 system. This has obvious benefits for the routine study and comparison of different
526 systems.

527

528 An analogy between the derived mathematical model and the Langmuir adsorption
529 isotherm was identified and found to provide a possible link between the model and
530 the underlying physical chemistry of the removal process. This has suggested that the
531 contaminant removal is akin to chemisorption in systems that demonstrate high
532 removal efficiencies and that deviation from this process occurs in systems where the
533 removal efficiency is low. It is not possible to infer the nature of the latter from the
534 results of the current experiments.

535

536 It was found that the c_2 fitting parameter in the non-ideal hyperbolic model is highly
537 correlated with the pick-up efficiency of these systems that comprise a single
538 contaminant pair. However, other more complex, multi-contaminant systems were not
539 explored in the current work and may not be described adequately by the proposed
540 model.

541

542 **Acknowledgements**

543

544 This work was conducted with the generous support of the Australian Research
545 Council under ARC Linkage Grant #LP0989407. We are also grateful to the Penguin
546 Foundation, Phillip Island, for their support of this work.

547

548 **Notation**

549

550	b	constant used in the hyperbolic pick-up model
551	c_1	proportionality constant allowing for deviation for idealized
552		behaviour in the exponential pick-up model
553	c_2	proportionality constant allowing for deviation for idealized
554		behaviour in the hyperbolic pick-up model
555	f_1	mass of feather cluster
556	f_2	mass of feather cluster plus excess contaminant
557	f_3	mass of contaminated feather cluster
558	f_4	mass of magnetically stripped feather cluster
559	k_1	proportionality constant used in the exponential pick-up model
560	k_2	proportionality constant used in the hyperbolic pick-up model
561	m_1	exponential constant allowing for deviation from idealized
562		behaviour in the exponential pick-up model
563	m_2	exponential constant allowing for deviation from idealized
564		behaviour in the hyperbolic pick-up model
565	n	number of treatments issued to a given oil-contaminated system
566		using magnetic particles
567	P	percentage pick-up of contaminant
568	$P(n)$	cumulative percentage pick-up of contaminant from the system
569		upon treatment n
570	P_∞	cumulative percentage pick-up after an infinite number of
571		treatments
572	$P_1(n)$	the difference between P_∞ and $P(n)$ expressed in the exponential
573		pick-up model
574	r	linear regression coefficient
575	r_1	mass of residual contaminant
576	v_0	initial contaminant pick-up efficiency derived from the ideal
577		exponential pick-up model
578	v_1	contaminant pick-up efficiency after one treatment derived from the
579		exponential pick-up model

580	v_0'	initial contaminant pick-up efficiency derived from the ideal
581		hyperbolic pick-up model
582	v_1'	contaminant pick-up efficiency after one treatment derived from the
583		hyperbolic pick-up model
584	v_{\max}	maximum gradient of the $P(n)$ versus n isotherm as fitted by the
585		hyperbolic pick-up model
586		
587		

588 **References**

589

590 Anderson, N. J., and Priestley, A. J. (1983). "Colour and turbidity removal with
591 reusable magnetic particles - V." *Water Resour.*, 17(10), 1227-1233.

592

593 Bigger, S. W., Ngeh, L. N. and Orbell, J. D. (2010) "Mathematical Model for the
594 Sequestering of Chemical Contaminants by Magnetic Particles", *J. Envir. Engrg.*,
595 **136**, 1255-1259.

596

597 Booker, N. A., Keir, D., Priestley, A. J., Ritchie, C. B., Sudarmana, D. L., and Woods,
598 M. A. (1991). "Sewage clarification with magnetite particles." *Water Sci. Technol.*,
599 23, 1703 -1712.

600

601 Cartmell, S. H., Dobson, J., Verschueren, S., Hughes, S., and El Haj, A. J. (2002).
602 "Mechanical conditioning of bone cells *in vitro* using magnetic nanoparticles."
603 *Technology, European Cells and Materials*, 4(2), 130-131.

604

605 Castellan, G. W., (1983). "Physical Chemistry", 3rd ed., Addison-Wesley, pp. 549-
606 550.

607

608 Chun, C. L., and Park, J. W. (2001). "Oil spill remediation using magnetic
609 separation." *J. Envir. Eng.*, 127(5), 443-449.

610

611 Daniels, F. and Alberty, R. A., (1966). "Physical Chemistry", 3rd ed., John Wiley
612 and Sons, New York, pp. 287-289.

613

614 Dao, H. V., Ngeh, L. N., Bigger, S. W., and Orbell, J. D. (2006a). "The achievement
615 of 100% removal of oil from feathers employing magnetic particle technology." *J.*
616 *Env. Eng.*, 132, 555-559.

617

618 Dao, H. V., Maher, L. A., Ngeh, L. N., Bigger, S. W., Orbell, J. D., Healy, M., Jessop,
619 R., and Dann, P. (2006b). "Removal of petroleum tar from bird feathers utilizing
620 magnetic particles." *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, 4, 111-113.

621

622 Dao, H. V., Orbell, J. D., Ngeh, L. N., Bigger, S. W., Healy, M., Jessop, R., and
623 Dann, P. (2006c). "Magnetic cleansing of weathered/tarry oiled feathers – the role of
624 pre-conditioners." *Marine Poll. Bull.*, 52, 1591-1594.

625

626 Langmuir, I., (1918). "The adsorption of gases on plane surfaces of glass, mica and
627 platinum." *JACS*, 40(9),1361-1403.

628

629 Lawruk, T. S., Lachman, C. E., Jourdan, S. W., Fleeker, J. R., Herzog, D. P., and
630 Rubio, F. M. (1993). "Determination of metolachlor in water and soil by a rapid
631 magnetic particle-based ELISA." *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 41 (9), 1426-1431.

632

633 Massey, G. L., (2006). "Summary of an oiled bird response." *J. Exotic Pet Medicine*,
634 15(1), 33-39.

635

636 Nakamura, N., and Matsunaga, T. (1993). "Highly sensitive detection of
637 allergen using bacterial magnetic particles." *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 281(3), 585-589.

638

- 639 Nunez, L., Buchholz, M., Kaminski, M., Aase, S. B., Brown, N. R., and Vandegrift,
640 G. F. (1996). "Actinide separation of high-level waste using solvent extractions on
641 magnetic microparticles." *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, 31(10), 1393-1407.
642
- 643 Orbell, J. D., Dao, H. V., Ngeh, L. N., and Bigger, S. W. (2007a). "Magnetic
644 particle technology in environmental remediation and wildlife rehabilitation."
645 *Environmentalist*, 27, 175–182.
646
- 647 Orbell, J. D., Dao, H. V., Kapadia, J., Ngeh, L. N., Bigger, S. W., Healy, M., Jessop,
648 R., and Dann, P. (2007b). "An investigation into the removal of oil from rock utilizing
649 magnetic particle technology." *Mar. Pollution Bull.*, 54, 1958–1961.
650
- 651 Orbell, J. D., Dao, H. V., Ngeh, L. N., Bigger, S. W., Healy, M., Jessop, R., and
652 Dann, P. (2005). "Acute temperature dependency in the cleansing of tarry feathers
653 utilizing magnetic particles." *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, 3, 25–27.
654
- 655 Orbell, J.D., Godhino, L., Bigger, S. W., Nguyen, T. M., and Ngeh, L. N. (1997).
656 "Oil spill remediation using magnetic particles – an experiment in environmental
657 technology." *J. Chem. Educ.*, 74, 1446-1448.
658
- 659 Orbell, J. D., Ngeh, L. N., Bigger, S. W., Zabinskas, M., Zheng, M., Healy, M.,
660 Jessop, R., and Dann, P. (2004). "Whole-bird models for the magnetic cleansing of
661 oiled feathers." *Marine Poll. Bull.*, 48, 336-340.
662

- 663 Orbell, J. D., Tan, E. K., Coutts, M., Bigger, S. W., and Ngeh, L. N. (1999).
664 "Cleansing oiled feathers – magnetically." *Marine Poll. Bull.*, 38, 219-221.
665
666 Priestley, A. J. (1990). "Sewage treatment using magnetite particles." *Water and*
667 *Wastewater Int.* 5, 32-33.
668
669 S Safarikova, M. and Safarik, I. (2001). "The application of magnetic techniques in
670 biosciences." *J. Magnetic and Electrical Separation*, 10, 223-252.
671
672 Wang, S. Y., Makl, K. L., Chen, L. Y., Tzeng, C. H., Hu, C. P., and Chang, C. M.
673 (1993). "Elimination of malignant tumor cells from human bone marrow using
674 monoclonal antibodies and immunomagnetic beads." *Anticancer Res.*, 13 (6A), 2281-
675 2285.
676
677 Wang L., Feng, L. X., and Xie, T. (2000). "Novel magnetic polyethylene
678 nanocomposites produced by supported nanometer magnetic Ziegler-Natta catalyst."
679 *Polym. Int.*, 49(2), 184-188.

680 **Table 1.** Non-ideal hyperbolic model parameters c_2 and m_2 together with the
681 maximum pick-up efficiency v_{\max} and regression coefficient for the removal of
682 various Diesel/JCO mixtures from duck feather clusters using MH 300.29 iron
683 particles at 22°C.

684
685

%Diesel (v/v)	c_2	m_2	v_{\max}	r^2
0	236	-0.507	34.5	0.972
20	175	-0.671	43.9	0.967
30	120	-0.615	54.6	0.977
40	55.3	-0.548	89.1	0.827
50	19.2	-0.496	182	0.850
60	8.87	-0.462	317	0.655
70	5.03	-0.122	474	0.443
80	4.43	-0.761	354	0.834

686

687 **Figure Captions**

688

689 **Figure 1** Plots of $P(n)$ versus n for the removal of: (a) 100% JCO (open circles) and
690 (b) a 80:20 mixture of Diesel and JCO (filled circles) from duck feather
691 clusters using MH 300.29 iron particles at 22°C. Solid lines are the
692 computer-generated fits to the data using the exponential model for an
693 ideal system depicted by equation (2) with fit parameters $k_1 = -0.214$, $r^2 =$
694 0.960 (System (a)) and $k_1 = -0.253$, $r^2 = 0.922$ (System (b)).

695

696 **Figure 2** Plots of $P(n)$ versus n for the removal of 100% JCO from duck feather
697 clusters using MH 300.29 iron particles at 22°C. The experimental data
698 are fitted using the exponential model assuming: (a) an ideal system in
699 accordance with equation (2) that produces fit parameters $k_1 = -0.214$, $r^2 =$
700 0.960 (grey solid line) and (b) a non-ideal system in accordance with
701 equation (4) that produces fit parameters $m_1 = -0.238$, $c_1 = 0.462$ and $r^2 =$
702 0.987 (black solid line).

703

704 **Figure 3** Plots of $P(n)$ versus n for the removal of: (a) 100% JCO (open circles) and
705 (b) a 80:20 mixture of Diesel and JCO (filled circles) from duck feather
706 clusters using MH 300.29 iron particles at 22°C. Solid lines are the
707 computer-generated fits to the data using the hyperbolic model for an
708 ideal system depicted by equation (8) with fit parameters $k_2 = 99.4$, $r^2 =$
709 0.848 (System (a)) and $k_2 = 1.31$, $r^2 = 0.723$ (System (b)).

710

711 **Figure 4** Plots of $P(n)$ versus n for the removal of : (a) 100% JCO (open circles)
712 and (b) a 80:20 mixture of Diesel and JCO (filled circles) from duck
713 feather clusters using MH 300.29 iron particles at 22°C. The
714 experimental data have been fitted using the hyperbolic model assuming a
715 non-ideal system in accordance with equation (10). Fit parameters: $m_2 =$
716 -0.507 , $c_2 = 236$, $r^2 = 0.972$ (System (a)) and $m_2 = -0.761$, $c_2 = 4.43$, $r^2 =$
717 0.834 (System (b)).

718

719 **Figure 5** Expanded plot of the computer fitted curve for System (a) in Figure 4
720 showing the sigmoidal nature of the function depicted by equation (10).
721 The small open circles are the data points generated by the program in its
722 iterative calculations performed at a step interval of $\delta n = 0.04$ units. The
723 solid line is the continuous function drawn through the points.

724

725 **Figure 6** Plot of c_2 versus $1/v_{\max}$ for the systems given in Table 1. The linearity of
726 this plot confirms high extent of correlation between the parameter c_2 and
727 the reciprocal of the maximum pick-up efficiency.