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Many music festivals fail because the experiences offered do not ensure relevance and meaning to the
attendee. Engagement with new and virtual landscapes and with the enhanced sensory feelings and
imaginations that technologies can offer may alleviate this. Utilizing a futures frame, this conceptual
article contributes to the pursuit of successful future event design by applying a normative visionary
methodology—employing trend analysis, scenarios, and science fiction to create prototypes that may
assist in the formation of appropriate experience options and opportunities for music festivals of the
future. It is proposed that this technique may aid positive social outcomes.
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Introduction

As both an attraction for visitors (Bernick & Boo, 2013; Lee, Charles, & Lee, 2012; Mayfield &
Crompton, 1995; Roselyne, 2011) and as an aspect of everyday social life, the festival is a manifesta-
tion of the experience economy (Evans, 2009; Pegg & Patterson, 2010). Festivals stimulate attendees’
emotions, memories, fantasies, and the formation of multiple images (Ferdinand & Williams, 2013; Holbrook & Hirschman, 1982). They also include activities that are often formed by or gain fund-
ing to meet a variety of political and public policy functions (Dredge & Whitford, 2010; Whitford,
2009). They are intrinsically linked to society and its well-being (Ballantyne, Ballantyne, & Packer,
2014; Packer & Ballantyne, 2011).

In thinking about society we need to look to the future. As a multidisciplinary approach, futures
studies is not only an exploratory activity, it is a
base for ensuring appropriate strategy now and into the future. Put simply, futures studies can be used to ask big questions and to form responses to these questions. The speed of technological, economic, and social change and the way in which our lives interact with these changes requires deeper questioning. As Godet and Roubelat (1996) imply, “the faster you drive, the further your headlights must shine” (p. 165). A futures methodology therefore can heighten capacity for an individual or organization to anticipate change (Glenn, 2009). For the music festival to survive it is vital to assess current and future environments, that is, to deal not only with current issues such as restraints on funding, a decreasing number of volunteers (Lockstone & Smith, 2009), the desire by attendees for a novel experience, the impact of online access to music (Gibson & Connell, 2005; McCarthy, 2013), and the pressures of climate change on outside events (Mair, 2014), but also to consider the lesser known or unknown factors that may eventuate.

Music festivals are the focus of this conceptual article, which asks how technology stimulates change in experience needs and how, through scenario planning or forms of future visioning (Birtchnell & Urry, 2013; van der Helm, 2009), festival management may be able to precipitate or drive experience needs. That is, a process by which technological advancements (science fact) allows observation of the way in which nonwork (play) activity is changing, trend analysis (consumer fact) allows observation of the way in which the future can be said to be manifest now, and science fiction (fictional representation) allows for a paradigmatic explanation of how such things could occur. These processes may then be used to form prototypes (approximations) for the future (Bell, Fletcher, Greenhill, Griffiths, & McLean, 2013; Graham, Callaghan, & Greenhill, 2013).

Accordingly, this conceptual work contributes to the pursuit of successful future event design by applying a normative visionary methodology—utilizing science fiction to create prototypes that may assist in the formation of appropriate experience options and opportunities for music festivals of the future. It is proposed that this technique may aid positive social outcomes.

Literature Review

Staged events such as music festivals may be popular because of their clear fit into the experience economy, which in itself can be considered as a megatrend in today’s society (Bille, 2012; Mehmetoglu & Engen, 2011)—they are social manifestations. A driving force behind this megatrend in developed and developing countries is the need of individuals to create their own identities (Arcodia & Whitford, 2006; Hauptfleisch, 2006) and to shape their personalities in lives characterized by increasing freedom and an improving economy (Yeoman, 2013). As such, experiences function as a personal source of information for the stories people tell about their lives (Mehmetoglu & Engen, 2011).

At the same time, technological advancements have altered the way in which consumers spend their leisure time; influencing the value of personal stories, social functioning, and even affecting experiences themselves. Current forms of technology and future emerging technologies associated with thought identification, “neuroimagining” and dream capture, are dramatically changing forms of experience. These technological advancements, as well as social and experiential shifts, have ensured that music festival participation is an increasingly significant societal activity, now and into the future (Ballantyne et al., 2014; Brooks, Magnin, & O’Halloran, 2009).

Music Festivals, Technology, and Experience

Music festivals in their more contemporary format may be viewed as emerging from the post-Second World War period (Gibson & Connell, 2012) and what Frey (1994) describes as a deficit of live artistic performance in society. Currently, most cities or regions are likely to host at least one music festival regularly. The rapid growth in the number and geographical spread of these event types since the 1990s has been spectacular (Frey, 1994; Gibson, 2007).

Music festivals are unique special events (Bowen & Daniels, 2005; Gelder & Robinson, 2009) that attract audiences for a variety of reasons. Further, the atmosphere of music festivals offers socialization
and other non-music-oriented experiences vital to their success (Bowen & Daniels, 2005; Nordvall, Pettersson, Svensson, & Brown, 2014).

As an example, the Glastonbury Festival (founded in 1970) is described as the largest greenfield festival of music and performance in the world (Glastonbury, 2011). In their media-centered analysis of Glastonbury in 2010, Flinn and Frew (2014) describe the event as commanding a focal position within the culture of music festivals. As with other researchers (Gelder & Robinson, 2009), they report that with an ageing demographic profile, it also has appeal to new markets and offer new opportunities for socialization, necessitating some change.

In their analytical snapshot of the present, Flinn and Frew (2014) view recent changes to the Glastonbury Festival as examples of modern festivity, and as systematic of a commodification of experience, which they see as happening in many music festivals. In addition to changes to the physical environment of these festivals, they highlight the significance of attempts by management to manage the macro and social media. They conclude that this attempt has the potential to remove (for the festival attendee) the unique individualistic experience of “rough comfort” (p. 429). Their study utilizes a media framing methodology (Falkheimer, 2007; Robertson & Rogers, 2009; Scheufele & Tewksbury, 2007) focusing on social media to assess the way in which festivals (and social interactions within them) are framed. In viewing the current business involvement in social media as a conspiratorial attempt by corporations to manipulate consumption, their study predicts a future in which cocreation (Rihova, Buhalis, Moital, & Gouthro, 2014; Sanders & Stappers, 2008) and the power of social media are transformative and the power of technology becomes vital.

Robertson and Brown (2014) apply a more operational design view of the future of festivals; agreeing that technology and social media are transforming both the experience and the control of the festival, while proposing that convergence of operations and community will not make the professionalism of design, leadership, and safety any less important than at present. Rather, they imply that the aggregation of information and discussion relating to festivals will harmonize community cocreation and professionalism. Recent music festivals, such as the electronic dance music festival TomorrowWorld (www.tomorrowworld.com), already take on board integration of the event experience within the event’s operation.

In 2014, TomorrowWorld received approximately 160,000 guests. This 10-year-old event seeks to integrate experience with IT operations and thus enhance the presence of the festival attendee (Luxford & Dickinson, 2015). As well as access to streaming performances in any number of locations, 3D technology allows virtual integration to the extent that individual artists can offer their own virtual experience within the festival, for example, offer the opportunity to “hangout backstage with a DJ before going on stage with them” (http://www.huffingtonpost.com/jeremy-wilson/the-future-of-music-festi_b_6806904.html).

Interestingly, in 2015 it was the management of the “real” TomorrowWorld experience (as affected by 3 days of rain) that severely diminished the overall experience. With inaccessible car parks, severe traffic congestion, and reportedly thousands of stranded festival goers (http://www.atlantamagazine.com/news-culture-articles/scenes-from-tomorrowworld-2015-music-and-mud/) and severe restrictions placed on entrance to the festival on the final day, organizers were forced to apologize and give refunds (www.tomorrowland.com/news). Social media became both messenger and agitator through this time.

Although social media in the context of music festivals has received relatively little research coverage (Hudson & Hudson, 2013; N. L. Williams, Inversini, Buhalis, & Ferdinand, 2015), the current variants of social media available to the industry and utilized by event attendees, such as social publishing (e.g., blogs, YouTube, Flickr, Slideshare, Twitter), social collaboration (e.g., instant messaging, crowdsourcing), social networking (e.g., Facebook, FriendFeed, and Linkedin), social feedback (e.g., Yelp and TripAdvisor), and blogging/microblogging (e.g., Twitter, Blogger, and Tumblr) are extensive and, for many festival attendees and festival operators, very common and significant streams of information and interaction. Similarly, the development of on-site festival technology—from streaming technology and integration into
handheld or touchable technology (e.g., a multi-layering of mobile video and interactive mapping installations)—is well established. These have an increasing significance to festival attendees and those working in and managing events.

This article does not offer a judgment or a record of the forms of social media and other technology because their development is so dynamic. Instead, it concerns itself with the possible function of social communication and technological platforms in adding value to the festival experience of the future—and contributing to positive social outputs. As such, it also utilizes (but is not driven by) a body of knowledge that documents the prospect for social inclusion and other positive social and cultural benefits from music festivals (Andersson, Armbrecht, & Lundberg, 2012; Laing & Mair, 2015).

Cocreation and Value of Experience

The importance of added value derived by users from their experience is well recognized in the tourism literature (Smith, 1994; Stamboulis & Skayannis, 2003; Van Rekom, 1995). From a mixed methodological analysis of the impact of music festivals on the psychological and social well-being of young people attending, Packer and Ballantyne (2011) conclude that there are four clear facets of experience. These are music experience; festival experience; social experience; and separation experience. Each of these contributes to the overall experience value of a music festival. Southern et al. (2014) highlight the significance of the social experience; expounding three types of social interaction that need focus to best ensure positive festival experiences. These are known-group socialization, external socialization (i.e., the way in which new meetings are formed), and audience socialization (i.e., the positive social experience in the enclosure of an event or festival).

The benefits to understanding the possible experiences of music festival goers of the future are threefold. First, in understanding experience, organizers have a greater opportunity to ensure the best and most positive experience for the festival visitor while mitigating the negatives, and “enhance psychological and social wellbeing” (Packer & Ballantyne, 2011, p. 179). The music experience in itself can have a profound link with human emotion (Packer & Ballantyne, 2011; Zbikowski, 2010). Moreover, the musical form offers not only an avenue for self-expression, it can also bring with it knowledge and what Langer (1953) describes as “a tonal analogue of emotive life” (p. 27). Thus, music experiences can be transformative and can remove the recipient from the sense of the everyday (Boyce-Tillman, 2009) by bringing a sense of the other (i.e., a state of liminality) (Boyce-Tillman, 2009; Howard-Grenville, Golden-Biddle, Irwin, & Mao, 2011). The transformative and liminal capacity of the music festival experience is likely to be seen as a central component of the business model that organizers of these events are likely to adhere to, now and in the future.

In a contemporary business management model, experience is already a core component of the business management process (Hallberg & Harsløf, 2013; Newbery & Farnham, 2013). It is not only a response to an environment in which traditional institutions and their hierarchies are becoming less trusted (Boswijk, 2013; Grabner-Krauter, 2010), for the provider it is also a way of ensuring added value for the experience. Understanding experience will allow greater efficiencies for the business providing the experience, including greater ease in communication between the consumer (the attendee), human resources (staff), and revenue streams (Hallberg & Harsløf, 2013). Experience is thus a core part of the business management platform in which the values of the individual and the business must coexist and relate to their respective values (Brand & Rocchi, 2011; Verhoeef et al., 2009). It is in recognition of this coexistence that the significance of collective creativity (cocre- ation) and its capacity to provide more value to the experience (Sanders & Stappers, 2008; van Limburg, 2008), and the dynamic nature of inter- relations within, has necessitated further research (Rihova et al., 2014).

Although it is not the purpose of this article to discuss the interrelations of cocreativity, it is prudent to indicate that relationships can be demonstrated between performance and audience (Brown & Hutton, 2013; Hagen, 1991; Ryan, 2012); audience and organization (Ramaswamy & Gouillart, 2010; Ryan, 2012); audience and audience (customer to customer) (Rihova et al., 2014); and communities with performance (Hollands, 2010;
Robertson & Yeoman, 2014). All these interrelationships have implications for the four facets of experience previously stated. It is also important to recognize that cocreation has the potential to affect the perceived quality of production, as well as its market value, in a negative and positive frame (Robertson & Brown, 2014). Indeed cocreation has potential for producing collaborations that may also be contested and negative (Flinn & Frew, 2014; Walmsley, 2013). From either viewpoint there is recognition that cocreation outcomes have far-ranging social implications.

Value

From the literature reviewed, there is agreement that increasing the value of experience for the festival attendee (as individual and collaborator) has an association with the intellectual or emotional depth of participation or involvement. Accordingly, music festival attendees could benefit from a greater understanding of the components that promote their own transformative experience in order to manipulate, personalize, or completely design the experience (Boyce-Tillman, 2009, 2013).

In seeking depth of participation and ensuring platforms for festival attendees to pursue transformative experience, individually or collaboratively, it is vital that this is seen not as a continuum but as multiple possibilities for the future. Furthermore, transformative experience also needs to be framed so as to ensure authentic futures (i.e., futures that engage their actors). One way to work towards this is through the use of scenario building. Scenario building is used by creative and arts organizations to inform strategic action (Rhisiart, 2013; Selwood, 2007). Scenario planning has been applied to event management research by Moital, Jackson, and Couillard (2013), Yeoman (2013), and Yeoman, Robertson, and Smith (2012). This conceptual article contributes to this body of work to form appropriate experience options and opportunities (scenarios) for music festivals of the future.

Methodological Approach

As a conceptual base, the authors propose a number of visionary futures that demonstrate how music festivals may be experienced. The way in which technology is changing consumption is considered, as is music festival form and the society of which it is a part. The fictional prototyping process introduced by Johnson (2011) and applied by Graham et al. (2013) to the traditional product development process informs this study. In essence, this process asks: what is the technology, how can the technology be used, who is the customer, what is the experience that is required, and how will their experience be enabled? It refers to technological advancements (science fact), trend analysis (consumer fact), and science fiction (fiction) to form prototypes (approximations) of what the future experience at music festivals may be like (see Fig. 1). The following sections discuss the value of these four elements and how they interact, and how they are used and mediated to ensure understanding and utility.

Prototyping

For all areas of business, the acceleration of technological development has had a clear influence on design. The same is true for festivals (Ballantyne et al., 2014; Brown & James, 2004; Nelson, 2009; Yeoman, 2013; Yeoman, Robertson, & Wheatley, 2015).

Prototypes have the capacity to give a reflective analysis of business visions (i.e., bring sensory substance to a concept). They are used in all types of business, and are underwritten by a general vision relating to the strategic direction in which the business wishes to progress. Prototyping and visions have been criticized for giving only limited consideration of their social impact (Southern et al., 2014) or indeed their design quality (Brown & James, 2004). More recent discussion of prototyping in the discipline of service design identifies the need to manage interaction and related interface issues, for example, between people and technology, and affective design, that is, “bringing emotion and play into a rational design and engineering mindset” (Saco & Goncalves, 2008, p. 11). It is defined by its holistic nature (i.e., the social context, its stakeholders, and the customer experience). In this interdisciplinary perspective it is possible to divide the activity into six areas. These are: understanding (assessing); thinking (framing); generating (exploring);
Science Fiction

Science fiction has been utilized as an inspiration for design prototypes for a long time and is at the root of many innovative designs (Bell et al., 2013; Birtchnell & Urry, 2013). By systematically altering technological, social, or biological conditions, science fiction attempts to explain the possible consequences of design (Parker, Fournier, & Reedy, 2007). Importantly, as the writings are rational portrayals of possible futures (Putnam, 1981), science fiction actually informs future studies. Indeed, they contextualize technologies within the social sphere and explore their implications (Kirby, 2010; Southern et al., 2014). Hence, when representing or explaining a science fiction approach, explanation is validated and purposeful, inspiring prototyping and also instructive to business management (Bell et al., 2013; Birtchnell & Urry, 2013; Graham et al.,

Figure 1. Relationship between forms of management, models, scenarios, and prototypes (after Bell et al., 2013).
of future analysis (i.e., triangulation), trend analysis contributes to understanding possible changes rather than constants.

Scenarios and Vignettes

Scenarios or scenario planning are used frequently in future studies—in the public and private sector (Robertson & Yeoman, 2014). Shell Oil, for example, has used scenarios to aid its strategic development and capacity since the 1970s (www.shell.com/scenarios). By analyzing underlying social, economic, and political conditions and utilizing a “what if . . . was to happen view” a company (or music festival) may be able to identify, evaluate, and consider emergent trends and uncertainties (Varum & Melo, 2010; Yeoman, 2008; Yeoman & McMahon-Beattie, 2005; Yeoman et al., 2012). Constructing scenarios can be used to overcome possible slips in the decision-making process, as well as overconfidence or tunnel vision, which may occur when faced with considering the future
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*Figure 2. Relationship between forms of management model and scenarios, prototypes, trend analysis, and science (fiction and fact).*
magic nostalgia; leisure upgrade; mobile living; performative leisure; authentic experience; affluence; ageless society; consuming with ethics; and accumulation of social capital. These trends are not repeated in this work, but reference is made to them in the scenarios, in the discussion, and in the concluding section.

The trends discussed are split in two sections. The first (shorter section) introduces two macro trends—urbanization and forms of living and learning. The second (longer section) looks at consumer trends, which are shaping future festivals.

Urbanization

Urban centers already account for over 50% of world population (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [IPCC], 2014). Projections indicate that the world’s urban population is expected to increase by 72% by 2050 (from 3.6 billion in the year 2011 to 6.3 billion in the year 2050). The proportion of the world that will have 10 million or more citizens will increase dramatically (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, 2012) and in many cities the process of suburbanization is in reverse with a move towards more dense city centers, encouraging new forms of leisure and work (Moir, Moonen, & Clark, 2014). Festivals are a part of this growing urban system and an increasingly significant part of the cultural proposition that cities can offer in response to its inhabitants and visitors desire for experience (Pratt, 2014).

Living and Learning Longer

Trend analysis by Yeoman (2013) indicates that people around the world feel themselves becoming elderly far later in life than ever before. In Germany, France, the Nordic countries, and the UK, 34–45% of those in the age cohort 70–75 years of age do not perceive themselves as being elderly. People are living more actively for longer and one trend that supports this prolonging of an active lifestyle has been increasing participation in tertiary education and the phenomena of later entrance to tertiary education (Future Foundation, 2015e).

Younger people also perceive that ongoing participation in training and education is important.
Indeed, they are concerned that they may not be able to get a job without continuing professional development (Future Foundation, 2015b). In a more volatile working environment, with shorter contracts and fewer positions offering on-going permanent employment, and where there is greater access to sources of knowledge, young people are reskilling on an ongoing basis. As signpost of that trend, a worldwide analysis of social media posts (2,855,842 posts—95% twitter, 5% Facebook) over a 31-month period recorded the number of instances of the following keywords being used: learning/learn/learned; new skills/new things; addicted to learning/love learning. Although there were fluctuations through the years of study, there was a substantial increase year on year, recording 4,015 usages on February 13, 2011, and 56,986 usages on February 15, 2015 (Future Foundation 2015b).

A signal of this growth is the emergence of companies offering services, workshops, and courses that provide short or one-to-one courses or training. An example is MassMutual, a US life-assurance company whose subsidiary organization, Society of Grownups (www.societyofgrownups.com), has responded to what it perceives as a trend towards millennials feeling unprepared as they enter into a life after formal education. The company offers a number of short courses and events that are “designed to help you find out who you are, sort out what you really want, and reach your goals—personal, professional, or financial” (www.societyofgrownups.com).

Moreover, it is not only during work time that people are seeking new skills. People are also seeking to derive new purpose from their nonwork activities. One other strong signal of a linkage between desire to learn and leisure experience comes from the international analysis by Future Foundation (2015a), which documents that an average of 45% of respondents saw learning a new skill or activity from entertainment as either valuable or very valuable.

Desire for Personal Control

A wide-reaching consumer trend is that people want to be in control of their environment at all times. nVision’s figures from Europe suggest that up to 72% of the population wish to appear in control of their life at all times (Future Foundation, 2015c). This may be a response to the feeling of having to multitask in life (e.g., in career, in parenting, in creating and monitoring lifestyle and health) and may also be the consequence of a perceived need to communicate and manage a successful, effective, and visible social media presence at all times (Yeoman, 2013).

As the variety of both experiential and traditional service products expand, and social media perpetuates choice, so too a desire for clarity arises. By utilizing a number of online and social media, people look for curated online content as part of their own curation of online media (Duh et al., 2012; Lui, 2015; Proctor, 2010). Accordingly, information sources such as TripAdvisor—which appear to integrate expert opinion with user input—are more influential than reference sources that do not include collaborative advice. There is evidence that in the UK (in 2014), nearly a third of the population were influenced by online experts (Future Foundation, 2015d).

Convergence and Depth of Experience(s)

The desire for immersive, engaging, and personalized experience will become more prevalent as technology facilitates it. The integration of user-generated content (UGC) through an increasing array of third party hardware and online platforms with official material is a recognized trend. The British Broadcasting Company (BBC) used new media technology to give viewers and attendees of both the 2014 Commonwealth Games and Glastonbury Festival (2014) a new interactive and immersive experience. Venue Explorer (www.bbc.co.uk/rd/projects/venue-explorer) enables viewers to zoom in from a complete view of a stadium to a particular area. Their Augmented Video Player (developed in 2012 to enhance sport-event coverage) allows the layering of additional content (e.g., from different video feeds, social media, and official broadcasts) and is a response by the BBC to meet the changing immersive needs of its audiences (Velt et al., 2015).

Further, in their exploratory analysis of a sample of television and online viewers of Glastonbury Festival by Velt et al. (2015), respondents indicated a desire to undertake “covert reporting” in which they could capture the perspectives of other festivals attendees; of being able to interview band members on stage and behind the scenes; and of being able to
craft a festival theme around their mobile devices (i.e., bringing together text and various visual technologies in real time and as a multimedia diary). More recently, the Adelaide Symphony Orchestra in Australia partnered with the company Jumpgate Virtual Reality to offer virtual-reality experiences for audience members. By wearing headsets, the audience was able to mingle with musicians on stage, as well as stand next to the conductor (Keen, 2015). Already now, virtual reality allows activity previously unattainable. In part this is because the realism of the virtual environment is not as important as the social performance the platform can offer. As Grinberg, Careaga, Mehl, and O’Connor’s (2014) exploratory behavioral analysis of 35 participants in a virtual hotel showed (using the well-known virtual platform Second Life), social engagement experience is more significant to the participants’ sense of immersion than is their spatial (physical) engagement.

Performative, Immediate, and Cocreative Leisure

The capabilities of mobile devices are transforming the way in which consumers access the Internet. The boundaries of interaction are also becoming increasingly blurred (Velt et al., 2015; Yeoman, 2013) with traditional conceptualizations of the relationships between communication technology and the body (Campbell & Park, 2008; Hampton, Sessions, & Her, 2011; Oksman & Rautinnen, 2003; Walsh, White, Cox, & Young, 2010) becoming more fuzzy.

For an increasing percentage of the population, smart-mobile technology and social networking have aided and pushed real-time narratives (photographic, text, voice, and video) online. It is easier than ever before for consumers to share, and to review activities and experiences, and influence (or be influenced by) peers through multiple mobile choice options (Good, 2012; van Cleemput, 2012). Leisure consumption is becoming synchronous to its broadcast (and discussion). Moreover, status and sense of self for many are wrapped up in these broadcasts, whether they are tweets, Facebook posts (Axelsson, 2010; Hollenbeck & Kaikati, 2012), or visual stories—using social media platforms such as Storify (https://storify.com), Slideshare (www.slideshare.net), or real-time streamed video broadcasts and international discussions using applications such as Periscope (www.periscope.tv) or Meerkat (https://meerkatapp.co). This is evidence of performative leisure (Future Foundation, 2013; Hannam, 2004), where the consumer is increasingly willing to use leisure moments as real-time records of achievement. Concomitantly, it is also further evidence of a consumer time sensitivity.

The trend of time sensitivity has long permeated the consumer mindset, encouraging us to eliminate “dead” time and use all moments of the day for constructive or rewarding activity (Yeoman, 2008). The result is an ever-growing desire for greater efficiency and convenience in what consumer’s do—something that has been coined the culture of immediacy (Kleijnen, Ruytor, & Wetzels, 2007). In turn, immediacy of service delivery has become a rooted expectation among consumers (Farquhar & Rowley, 2009).

This desire to ensure that all time is active has, at face value, and controversially, harvested an increasing number of downloadable game applications (apps) (Future Foundation, 2015a). Further, it would appear that games are being used to avoid what may be perceived as spare moments or boring activities (Bailey, Pritchard, & Kernohan, 2015). Games are also used in social situations (face to face or online), and the concept of gamification has arisen to describe the utility of game design in contexts outside of games (Xu, Weber, & Buhalis, 2013). Gamification has become strongly associated with marketing, particularly mobile marketing (Bolan, 2015; Zichermann & Cunningham, 2011), and is considered significant in eliciting motivation and behavioral change in tourism (Xu et al., 2013) and enhancing participation in corporate events (McLoughlin, 2015).

Accordingly, in Looking at Present Trends

Accordingly, in looking at present trends, the consumer is predominantly urban and involved in leisure for a greater number of years than ever before. Smartphones host more activity, with more advanced and sophisticated functions and games. They improve the user’s playing experience and also play a part in people’s sense of learning, improvement, and preparation for play and nonplay living. They can also be used to record and broadcast live
achievement. Play is difficult to define and increasingly difficult to separate from the everyday behaviors of life (Turner, 1969).

Play is liminal, where liminality is the threshold between reality and the unreal. The anthropologist Victor Turner (1969) defined liminality further as being an ambiguous state of being; a transition, a period, or a space “betwixt and between” normal states or conditions—and this is present in many rituals, both sacred and secular. From a tourism perspective, liminal spaces are well documented in the literature (Andrews & Roberts, 2012), with technology acting as the bridge between reality and (science) fiction.

The Future: How Technology Could Shape Play and Enhance Festival Experience

The previous section identified the relationship between play and technology as a pattern of consumer behavior and provided an evidence base to determine how the present will shape the future.

The next section explores the future, making explanatory claims about future technologies that may seem to be in the realms of science fiction but which can be contextualized by the definition and purpose of Bergman, Karlsson, and Axelsson (2010). A scenario is presented below capturing eleven quantum leap technologies, which further integrates the technology/play paradigm. The scenario has been distilled into a vignette; the short story of Bridgette Wilson at Glastonbury Music Festival in 2030. Eleven technologies that have informed the vignette are addressed here.

Scenario: Glastonbury 2030

Glastonbury is the World’s most popular and high profile music festival. A place to see Elton John Jnr, the Top Cats, XX Top, and Zion Hell play. This is the diary of Bridgette Wilson, a twenty-five-year-old Londoner who has just completed an M.Sc. in Nanotechnology Textile Design. Bridgette has a passion for technology, has all the latest gadgets, and is particularly proud of a new interactive iLens IX that, with a twitch or a blink of an eye, can access the virtual world. Glastonbury is “the” festival to be at and Bridgette and her friends have their tickets for Glastonbury 2030. Bridgette also has a passion for music of all types, whether it be pop, glamour or zing tang.

Over 200 artists are playing at Glastonbury including some new haptic 3D and avatar versions. In Pyramid 22, Elton John Jr. is performing a rendition of Rocket Man while Bridgette’s digital tattoo replays the original from 1972. This leads Bridgette to think about rockets, NASA, the moon, allowing her to enter a dream of floating in outer space all to the background of Elton John Jr.’s music. Her dream management recording system captures all of her thoughts and she shares her own rendition with friends and family via her semantic sphere. Moving to Pyramid 32, Hunky Mike is in full flow. Crikey, Bridgette really feels sexy, her clothes have created a sexual arousal in response to the performer’s six pack and sensational music. In Pyramid 33 Bridgette can feel the vibrations of zing music through her bracelet indicator. This music is heavy, fast and loud—a head banger special. She decides she needs a beer and purchases a couple of London Prides using her contact lens retinal recognition system. In Pyramid 43 the Avatar Xeatles are playing a range of classic hits—she quickly gets into the groove with a sense of flowers, the 1960s and Abbey Road. The music even gets mixed with Elvis Priestly, Pixie Dott and Tinchy Wink. Bridgette is now creating her own music, mixing it up with friends and artists. “Who’s this?” she says, bumping into Maxine Wong on the dance floor. Quickly, using her facial recognition system, she finds out everything about Maxine from the semantic sphere. “Hi Maxine, how’s Rosie the cat these days” Bridgette enquires. What a concert, she’s been bopping all day long and captured all the concert for remixing later. Can’t wait till next year, she thinks, all my friends will be so envious.

Technology One: Cerebral Networks

Advances in nanotechnologies will allow the transmission of information to others. Bridgette can see, hear, smell, and feel the experience as she immerses herself into the festival experience. How does this work?

Artificial neurons resembling biological neurons are being developed through advances in nanotechnology. As part of parallel computation technology, these artificial neurons can connect to several biological ones. Cerebral networks are therefore a composition
of interconnecting artificial neurons (programming constructs that mimic the properties of biological neurons). Through reversal deactivation techniques the cerebral network is dynamic, contributing to several (positive) behavior attributes and competencies. Its plasticity tends to minimize induced deficits and contributes to network operations through feed-forward and lateral connections (Arbib, 1995).

There is another advancement that influences the experience dynamic and this is a combination of DNA manipulation, therapy, tissue generation, and robotics (Gullans & Enriquez, 2011). Named Homo evolutis by Gullans and Enriquez, this development in evolution results in the formation of hominids. Hominids take direct and deliberate control over the evolution of their own species and others. In this environment music festival goers may work with engineers to ensure the best experience is facilitated by a fusion of tissue enhancement and robotic programming.

Technology Two: Digital Tattoos

Although cerebral networks record experiences, new systems will allow people to play back and enjoy those experiences. Music festival goers may have digital tattoos that use a platform implanted under the skin (Mieke, 2008; Sad, 2012), tapping into the bloodstream and converting oxygen and glucose into electric power. The display functions by changing the color of smart-ink pixels tattooed over the implant (http://www.tomorrowstourist.com/viewpoint.php), allowing tattoos to act as touch screen input devices. It will be possible to manage cell phone calls or access the Internet by tapping on the arm, using the tattoo as a control and communication device.

Technology Three: Emotional Capture

Although brain implants and digital tattoos may appeal to some, others might prefer classic digital devices. For example, the Philips Rationalizer (Phillips, 2013) concept is an emotional mirror that reflects a person’s emotional levels. It uses an on-wrist monitor and a small display that alerts the user when emotions are raised as this data is transformed into a pattern through color intensity that is reflected by the monitor.

Technology Four: Feel the Beat in Your Pants

Already seen in gloves that allow gesture-based computing (Ganapati, 2010), in the future, materials used for clothing will have microprocessors and LEDs embedded to create smart textiles. Clothes made entirely of circuit boards could be used to generate music, also to record heartbeats, and perhaps remix these sounds into music. As the scenario indicates, smart textiles will be able to sense the environment, as well as sensing stimuli from that environment, react to them, and adapt to circumstances. Accordingly, clothing will be considered as a second skin and will be brought into an individual’s intimate space. In order to enable these smart textiles, a sensor and an actuator are required. A processing unit will drive the actuator on the basis of signals from the sensor. Thus, an intelligent garment will have five functions: sensors, data processors, actuators, information storage, and communication (Mistry & Maes, 2009).

Technology Five: Semantic Web

The Semantic Web is the very near future generation of World Wide Web (WWW) technology, enabling machines to understand the meaning of information on the WWW (Hendler & Berners-Lee, 2010). The search engine, like the brain, must be able to understand texts and queries in the most intuitive way and should be able to communicate information or data back to the user. Powerset, Hakia, and now Google are the major companies currently involved in this new technology. Google’s conversational search, using the hummingbird algorithm, is already available (Sullivan, 2013), allowing voice activated searching and a responsive development of that search. The Semantic web means that rather than having one authorized broadcast of a festival, there will thousands of microbroadcasts, all tagged with semantic information based on facts and emotions (Lopez, Fernandez, Motta, & Stieler, 2012) contributed by individual festival goers.

Technology Six: Curated Content

Digital curation, as lead by information professionals (Elizabeth, 2007), has been slow to develop (P. Williams, John, & Rowland, 2009), but with
technological advances and the capacity to accumulate information, any piece of online digital content or social media feed will soon be collectively curated. An alternative to content generation, content curation amasses content from a variety of sources and delivers it in an organized fashion (Garthwaite, Clausen, Hovey, & Ownes, 2012). Similarly, festival goers will be able to mix and remix their own versions of a festival, create films, audio soundscapes, or sensory maps as each person will become their own editor (Arcand, 2011).

Technology Seven: Virtual Experiences

Although at present the experience economy is part of a megatrend (Lorentzen, 2009; Mehmetoglu & Engen, 2011), future technology will bring about a virtual experience trend. Already, holograms are a reality and appear in festival experiences (Harris, 2013). A hologram being a 3D photograph made with the aid of a laser, which can serve multiple functions (e.g., as a combined lens, aspheric corrector, beam combiner, and narrow filter) (Taylor, 2013). The notion that live performance “takes place at specific time and location and can be listened to only once” (Paleo & Wijnberg, 2006, p. 50) will be challenged as technology allows live moments to be recorded and/or played elsewhere. While there are queries as to whether or not having 3D holographic television sets for day-to-day viewing adds value, their benefit for live broadcasts and significant events is being discussed more positively (Johnston, 2013).

In its bid to host the 2022 World Cup, Japan proposed beaming matches directly on to pitches in stadiums throughout the world. This was to be made possible by placing 200 8K Ultra-HD TV cameras around the stadium. This 360-degree coverage would record live matches and provide images to be shown on massive flatbed screens laid on the pitch of 400 stadiums across 208 countries (Tanimoto, 2012). If this type of technology were applied to festivals, auto stereoscopic and volumetric displays could be used to provide three dimensional images without requiring the audience to wear specialist equipment.

Currently, auto stereoscopic and volumetric displays are not suitable for large screen theaters and the expense not justifiable for small audience numbers (Yi-Pai, Chen, & Yi-Chang, 2012). However, these concerns will become a thing of the past if 3D television becomes part of the home infrastructure. As holograms are essentially a thin film and not sensitive to the shape of the substrate to which it is attached, a full-wall television screen becomes a practical proposition. The key to this type of innovation is a photorefractive polymer, a plastic material that allows 3D images to be recorded and updated every 2 seconds (Blanche et al., 2010).

Technology Eight: Dream Management

By 2050, festival goers will be able to overplay their dreams through a contact lens (as Bridgette does in the scenario) in order to imagine and enhance experiences along with image recognition and emotion detection. Already, research into recording dreams and memories is being carried out at the University of California, using a magnetic resonance imaging scanner while analyzing the flow of blood through the visual cortex (Nishimoto et al., 2011). In this scenario, dreams will be recorded and may be downloaded for future use. Festival goers will be able to relocate the Glastonbury experience to Bali, Las Vegas, or even create their own festival space anywhere they might desire.

Technology Nine: Mind Control

In the scenario above, Bridgette orders drinks via the festival’s mind-reading ordering and delivery bar service. Even using current technology, consumers can use both the power of their mind and physical gestures to play video games. The 3D Vision Gesture Control System (www.gesturetek.com) is a highly precise user interface for interacting with any display screen from any distance, allowing hand motions to control functions in the same way that a keyboard and mouse do at present. This technology allows people to transfer their abilities to a 3D avatar while interacting in real time with computer-generated characters and objects. At the moment, this technology is being experienced in the gaming world (e.g., Nintendo and X-Box 360 game applications) (Hallaian, Lau, Redlawsk, & Franke, 2012) and is emerging in other fields (Erp, Lotte, & Tangermann, 2012). Mind control or Brain–Computer Interfacing (Erp et al., 2012) was
recently demonstrated by Samsung using Electroencephalography (EEG) technology via a Galaxy tablet, as part of a research program to help people with mobility impairments connect with the world (Young, 2013). As a consequence, future festival goers may be able to interact and direct their personalized festival experiences more easily than ever before.

**Discussion: Can Technology Enhance Music Festivals as a Liminal Space in the Future?**

As options and opportunities of experience, technical and media choices have gained pace, many music festival experiences have failed to ensure relevance and meaning to the attendee. Although music festivals such as Glastonbury have in times past been able to offer liminal experiences (i.e., an opportunity for joyful play) (Boyce-Tillman, 2009), too often the commonality of music festivals—and their ever increasing number and similarity as leisure experiences—have served to weaken this potential. Engagement with new and virtual landscapes and with the enhanced sensory feelings and imaginations that technologies offer to attendees have delivered new experiences to music festivals. Technology contributes at the interface of music and coshared experience with individual emotional platforms. Importantly, as part of a prototyping process, virtual technology will aid the design of liminal experience at festivals. What is perhaps surprising—in a time of individualism—is that social interaction is very much part of that liminal world, as research on social behavior and traits of those using virtual online environments has indicated (Grinberg et al., 2014; Witmer & Singer, 1998).

Grinberg et al. (2014) suggest that opportunities for social engagement within the virtual platform Second Life were found to be more significant for the user than were real-life engagements, despite the latter being more realistic (graphically). The conclusion the authors drew from this response was that the social experience had a greater impact on the respondents’ sense of immersion than did the spatial experience.

Event managers as experience managers will attempt to record the liminal capacity of event attendees through the very technology that enhances it. The challenge will be in how this wealth of data can be edited, and how to confirm a sustainable form of packaging; replaying and reviewing of the festival experience that continues to resonate with the individual and/or cosharing partners and giving opportunity for immersive social experience.
Proximity to information and the growth of sophisticated editorial and cross-evaluating technology will allow knowledge to grow exponentially. So while consumers are currently experiencing a period of change that may be identified as a late stage of modernity, consumers are also moving into a more fluid, postmodern existence, making the individual (the “you”) part of the cultural growth rather than a consequence of it (Malaska, 2001; Yeoman, 2008). However, the place of the individual will also be aligned to the type or range of technology that is owned—and associated social value that is ascribed to it.

Cultural and social capital is a personal statement as well as a social manifestation. Technology in festivals does have the potential to facilitate liminal space. It may also allow new cultural identities to emerge. It is possible that new patterns and new styles of cultural development will emerge, fed by the sharing of information and the splicing, commenting, and arrangement of image, music, and review.

What Are the Implications of Future Technologies for Music Festivals?

The value of prototyping and scenario planning has been discussed in this article. The principles of prototyping have been applied in looking at technology, lifestyle, and festivals in the future. The following questions have been asked, in sequence: What is the technology? How will it be used? Who is the consumer? What is the experience? How will the experience be enabled? In addition, a wider vision question has been asked; how will society be improved?

For businesses, the technology–play paradigm is already here. Across all demographic groups, relentless innovation in the gaming–play paradigm means interactive marketing will become more intelligent. It will target specific music festival goers at specific moments, offering incentives, overlaying of experiences, and directing experience possibilities. The importance of understanding self-concept (i.e., the complete ideal one holds of oneself) has already been recognized as being vital to festival management. In the future, this will be far more accountable in driving promotional images and messages used to support, as well as negotiate the self-image desired by, or attractive to, music festival goers (McGuiness & Overy, 2011). Congruity of self-image with music festival experience will become ever more significant for festival marketers. Similarly, the music festival experience will become more significant for the social experience in an increasingly urbanized future.

As both liminal space and as a place of multiform (e.g., learner, educated, socially active, performer, and measured), music festivals will have to offer more than a music experience. As the urban environment becomes more significant and the need to ensure multiple activities in a livable city increases, music festivals will coexist with other activities. One example of this is the way in which retail space and festivals will combine (Robertson & Lees, 2015).

Retail and events can be a response to urbanized society, where the most efficient and socially satisfying use of space is of paramount importance (IPCC, 2014). As both an example of sustainable living and an act of social living, festivals will converge with the built environment more regularly to ensure more efficient use of space. As current visual mapping (projection) is showing, technology can be used very effectively to change the look and sense of place (through smells, lights, utility of worn technology, and sensory tattooing). That is, it can provide platforms for experiences without requiring major structural (building) development. As urbanization develops, it will become increasingly important that open spaces (without buildings) remain in cities to ensure air flow and to offer visual and psychological relief. Therefore, music festivals and retail outlets will often converge to create a cojoined experience in which existing space can be utilized.

Urban spatial strategies of the future will allow the interaction of technologies and multivarious strategies (e.g., urban development, cultural development, festival development, tourism segmentation) but will also be pleasing and attractive and music festivals will be part of that activity. Covert reportage and instant broadcasting will encourage and activate more fashion consciousness—festival attendees will want to look good, therefore proximity to retail venues will be normal. As a form of cocreativity, attendees and performers will be both consuming and cocreative actors of experience (i.e., consumactors) (Cachinho, 2014; Robertson & Lees, 2015).
Concluding Thoughts

This conceptual article began by assessing and highlighting the significance of the relationship of music festivals with technology and the experience that this has helped to facilitate. A review of the related literature suggests that the success of music festivals now and into the immediate future will have an asynchronous relationship with their capacity to allow cocreative activity—and the offering of added (depth of) value. The festival industry (and the media that relate to it) is responding to this.

The benefit in understanding the possible experiences of music festival goers of the future is threefold. First, it has been identified that in understanding experience, organizers have greater opportunity to ensure the best and most positive experience for the festival visitor and to negate a negative one, and “enhance, psychological and social wellbeing” (Packer & Ballantyne, 2011, p. 179). Secondly, experience is a core component of a business management process (Hallberg & Harsløf, 2013; Newbery & Farnham, 2013), often enabling both added value to the experience (the product). Thirdly, it offers greater efficiencies, for example, greater ease in communication between the consumer (the attendee), the human resources (staff), and revenue streams (Hallberg & Harsløf, 2013), that is, ensuring management of experience for transaction success (Verhoef et al., 2009). The literature that has sprung from Pine and Gilmore (1998, 2011) is extensive, particularly so in respect of the tourism management discipline and cultural studies. Although less developed in the festival and event management research area, coverage has certainly grown. The function of this article was not to discuss the existing literature but, rather, to indicate the importance (in a rapidly changing and competitive field) of looking into the future.

In research we often dismiss science fiction but, as Bergman et al. (2010) conclude, science fiction has a role to play in bringing explanatory claims to the future. So, again, while many see science fiction as irrelevant it can (and should) be seen as element of real cognitive understanding. As has been indicated, science fiction adds both reflective and participatory value to the prototyping process. Convergence of trends and wider changes will influence festival provision. Demand for the individual, personalized experience, the changing capacities of technology, the fluidity of algorithms that integrate with our wants and desires, and the normalization of cocreative activity allows for a very dynamic future. The success of future festivals will inevitably need to ensure correlation with the intellectual or emotional depth of the participant and their type of involvement. It is possible, indeed, that the future may require options for bespoke event experiences that exclude the virtual and in which technology may iteratively diminish or increase in its significance (Robertson & Brown, 2014).

The contribution of this article lies, firstly, in presenting how consumers behave in a digital world in which play and technology enhance the liminal state. Secondly, the article offers an explanation of how technology could enhance the festival experience through social capital without diluting authenticity. Finally, this work may act as a guide to the future of music festival consumption and be of benefit to those organizations involved in the practice and business of music festival (experience) management. As Mair and Whitford (2013) conclude in their extensive review of current research in the event management area, “There will always be interesting, innovative and diverse studies contributing to the growing body of literature pertaining to events” (p. 16). In attempting to look at what experience may mean to goers of music festivals in the future, we add to this.
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