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ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: Low back pain (LBP) is a common and challenging problems in primary health care. Many people consult osteopaths regarding LBP. The biopsychosocial model is significant in LBP research, highlighting the effects of psychological factors on the development and outcomes of LBP episodes. However to date there have been no studies carried out in osteopathic clinics to investigate these relationships.

Aims: This study aims to establish whether there is an association between length of LBP episode, acute or chronic, the type of pain experience, depression, anxiety and disability in people presenting to a student osteopathic clinic. The associations between dimensions of pain, disability levels, depression and anxiety were also examined.

Procedure: The study took place at the Victoria University Osteopathic Medicine Clinic. Participants were volunteers who presented with acute (n=20) or chronic (n=20) LBP and had received no treatment in the prior 6 months. They completed the Short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ), the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and the Roland and Morris Disability Questionnaire (RM-24) prior to commencing osteopathic treatment. Differences between the acute and chronic groups were examined via independent-samples t-tests. Relationships between dimensions of pain, psychological measures, and disability levels were examined using Pearson correlations.

Results: No significant differences were found between the acute and chronic low back pain groups for the pain (APRI, SPRI, TPI, PPI and VAS), anxiety, depression and disability variables. Correlations were found between all pain dimensions and
disability with all pain dimensions ($r_{\text{SPRI}} = 0.492$; $r_{\text{APRI}} = 0.569$; $r_{\text{TPI}} = 0.568$; $r_{\text{PPI}} = 0.595$; $r_{\text{VAS}} = 0.511$). Anxiety and depression were strongly correlated ($r = 0.541$) and anxiety and levels of affective pain (APRI) ($r = 0.36$) were also related. Disability was not correlated with anxiety ($r = 0.024$) or depression ($r = 0.1$) and pain dimensions were not correlated with anxiety or depression.

**Conclusion:** The results suggest that the population attending an osteopathic clinic with LBP do not fit the biopsychosocial model prevalent in current literature. More research is required in the future to confirm these findings.

**Keywords:** osteopathy, low back pain – acute and chronic, depression, anxiety, disability
INTRODUCTION

Low back pain (LBP) is one of the most common and challenging problems in primary health care. 79.2% of the Australian population have LBP at some time in their lives, and 2.7% of these people seek care from osteopaths.\(^1\) Low back pain is associated with enormous costs, particularly in the westernized world. In particular, chronic LBP is a major cause of medical expenses, work absenteeism and disability.\(^2\) Our study investigated which factors may vary between people with acute and chronic low back pain.

Pain perception and responses are extremely subjective and vary widely between individuals, depending upon many different physical and psychological factors. A sound scientific explanation of the aetiology of spine pain, particularly its development into a chronic state, has been elusive. One belief is that pain has many facets and thus cannot be easily explained by simple models.\(^3\) Currently a biopsychosocial model is prevalent in research, with psychological factors closely associated with both chronic,\(^3,4\) and with acute and sub acute pain.\(^5,6\)

In a recent study of 3000 randomly selected Australian adults, Walker et al.\(^7\) found that more than 10% of people had a high-disability LBP problem in the 6 months prior to the survey. This high point prevalence demonstrates the importance of understanding disability arising from LBP. It is conventionally accepted that pain produces or motivates disability. However, much evidence indicates that pain is not related to functional capacity in a linear fashion.\(^8\) In fact people with similar intensities of pain may function at very different levels. For example, some individuals who experience mild pain exhibit significant disability, whereas some that
have high pain demonstrate low levels of disability. This inconsistency means that pain cannot be considered to have a strong correlation with disability.

There is much evidence that psychological profiles are important in the natural history of low back pain. Various scores produced from psychological questionnaires correlate highly with work absence, claims for financial compensation, and treatment response. Some questionnaires are useful for predicting the cases of acute back pain that are likely to progress to chronic pain and disability. Recognition that these are a part of human behaviour and have a strong psychological component is an important part of the recent advance in understanding the aetiology and progression of low back pain.

A review study by Pincus et al. produced strong evidence for the role of distress and depression in acute and sub acute stages of LBP and in the development of long-term disability. However, it has been established that people with chronic pain score higher on depression scales than people with acute pain. Most depression scales include somatic items, such as fatigue, weight, and sleep problems, that may muddy the reporting of depression in people with pain. In particular, the impact of pain can cause a heavy endorsement of these somatic items, inflating the overall scores of depression gained on these scales.

Persistent LBP is not only determined by clinical factors at the time of onset but by the pre-morbid psychological state. LBP sufferers who show symptoms of depression/distress represent a group in which effective interventions may result in substantially reduced morbidity and healthcare costs. Disability, including loss of work and reduction in activity, is commonly influenced by a range of psychosocial factors, such as attitudes and beliefs held by the patient, behaviour, emotions, such as
fear and low mood.\textsuperscript{22} Psychosocial factors appear to be important markers for the risk of developing long-term disability, making it vital to identify at-risk clients early.\textsuperscript{6, 14}

However, the strong associations between psychometric scores and various aspects of behaviour related to back pain do not prove that "abnormal" psychosocial characteristics precede or cause back problems.\textsuperscript{23} Further evidence that the psychological condition of a patient prior to the development of symptoms of chronic disability may contribute to its evolution, was explored in a previous study by Linton & Andersson.\textsuperscript{9} In this study, non-symptomatic participants who received cognitive behaviour therapy had significantly fewer days off work and consumed smaller amounts of health care over a 12-month period than a group who received the usual medical treatment. They concluded that early psychological oriented interventions might be helpful in preventing the development of chronic disability. It could actually be argued that depression and antisocial attitudes merely reflect normal human reactions to vague diagnosis, ineffectual treatment, and inconsiderate employers. Further research is required to determine the interaction between psychological characteristics and back pain.

The report of injuries and pain, and the seeking of health care, is usually influenced by a complex interaction of medical, work-related beliefs and behaviour, and other psychosocial factors.\textsuperscript{24, 25} Primary health care practitioners see the majority of acute LBP cases, of which only a very small minority require referral to specialists.\textsuperscript{24} For this reason, primary health care professionals are in a unique position to identify potential problems in their clients at an early stage, allowing them to modify their management strategies to gain optimal outcomes. Further
understanding, generally, in the manual therapy field, and specifically, in the holistic osteopathic field can only be of benefit to practitioners and patients alike.

As osteopathy is a holistic therapy it should explore the interaction of mood state and the benefits of addressing the patient’s psychological state as an adjunct to regular physical therapy. Previous research of this type has been carried out in populations seeking physiotherapy,\textsuperscript{26} chiropractic\textsuperscript{27, 28} and other forms of manual health care.\textsuperscript{29, 30} To date there have been no studies on this field carried out in osteopathic clinics. In this study we aimed to make a start on filling this gap, generate information that is specific to identifying psychological profiles for LBP patients presenting at a student osteopathic clinic, and provide some data for cross-checking against some of the findings from the research reviewed above. Confirmation of the connection between psychological state and LBP may have a two-fold benefit for the osteopathic profession, firstly it may allow the use of strategies that have been developed in current research to be utilised within the osteopathic field, and secondly it may stimulate the development of specific osteopathic treatment modalities in the treatment of LBP patients.

Specifically, we investigated the extent to which people with acute versus chronic low back pain differ in dimensions of pain, levels of disability and the occurrence of symptoms of depression and anxiety. We also explored the association between dimensions of pain, disability levels, depression and anxiety.
METHODS

Aims

The aims in this study were: a) to identify whether people with acute versus chronic low back pain differ in dimensions of pain, b) to identify whether people with acute versus chronic low back pain differ in their levels of disability, c) to identify whether people with acute versus chronic low back pain differ in the occurrence of symptoms of depression and anxiety, and d) to investigate the association between dimensions of pain, disability levels, depression and anxiety.

Participants

Forty adult volunteers who had not received treatment in the previous 6 months were recruited from the Victoria University Osteopathic Medicine Clinic for this study. After being informed of the nature and purpose of this study written consent was obtained from all participants. All volunteers were within the age range of 18-60 years (average age 27.3 years) and presented with self-reported, uncomplicated LBP. Participants (n=20, male 9, female 11) who presented with LBP of less than 2 weeks duration formed the acute LBP group. Participants (n=20, male 8, female 12) who presented with LBP of 6 months or longer were grouped together as the chronic LBP group. The Human Research Ethics Committee of Victoria University approved the study. Volunteers were excluded from this study if they were experiencing lower limb pain, numbness, tingling or weakness linked to their LBP, or if they had a previous diagnosis of clinical depression.

Procedure

Upon first presentation to the Victoria University Osteopathic Medicine Clinic participants completed the Short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ), the
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and the Roland and Morris Disability Questionnaire (RM-24). Questionnaires were completed prior to commencing osteopathic treatment.

**Measures**

Three questionnaires, the Short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SFMPQ), the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and the Roland and Morris Disability Questionnaire (RM-24) are commonly used in the primary care setting. They were chosen for their relevance to the manual therapy field.

The short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ) comprises 15 pain descriptors, which represent the sensory, and the affective dimension of the pain experience. It also includes a visual analogue scale (VAS) and ordinal present pain index (PPI). The SF-MPQ was developed from the standard McGill Pain Questionnaire. Each pain descriptor is ranked on a four-point intensity scale (0=none to 3=severe). The three items of the SF-MPQ return five scores. A sensory pain rating index (SPRI), an affective pain rating index (APRI), and a total pain index (TPI) are returned from the word list, and the VAS and PPI each return single scores.

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) is a reliable tool that measures symptoms of anxiety and depression. It is a 14-item scale that establishes the presence and severity of symptoms of anxiety and depression over the previous week. Each item was scored from 0 to 3. A total score of greater than ten is indicative of clinically significant levels of depression and/or anxiety. The HADS has been validated in many clinical and non-clinical populations. It is favoured in clinical populations because the items avoid physical symptoms of psychological distress that
may overlap with medical illnesses. This makes it a suitable instrument to assess psychological state in individuals with co-morbid illnesses, such as LBP.\textsuperscript{30}

The Roland and Morris Disability Questionnaire (RM-24) is a validated scale for measuring disability as it relates to LBP.\textsuperscript{33} The scale consists of 24 yes/no statements that represent limitations in different activities of daily living relevant to low back pain. Each statement ticked is worth 1 point, with the scores ranging from 0 (no disability) to 24 (extremely severe disability). It has a scale width appropriate for primary care settings (eg; osteopathic practice) where clients are ambulatory and low levels of disability are expected.

**Statistical methods**

Raw data was collated using Microsoft Excel and all data were analysed using the statistical package SPSS Version 11. Differences between the acute and chronic groups were examined via independent-samples \( t \)-tests. A 2-tailed \( t \)-test was performed to test for non-directional differences between the groups. Relationships between dimensions of pain, psychological measures, and disability levels were examined using Pearson correlations.
RESULTS

As seen in Table 1, the acute LBP population showed normal distribution of results for all pain dimensions measured, with all but VAS producing a trend towards the lower end of mild pain intensity. Anxiety measures were generally within the non-anxious range, with an average of 5.6, with only 10% scoring within the anxious range (>11). None of the acute LBP scored levels of depression that fell within the depressed range. Similarly, most participants recorded low levels of disability, with only 15% registering a disability scoring between 12.5-17.5 out of a possible maximal disability (24).

The chronic LBP group also produced normal distributions for all pain dimensions and showed an average pain intensity that was largely above that recorded by acute sample (see Table 1). Measurements of anxiety levels produced only three participants who recorded clinically significant levels of anxiety (>11). Levels of depression were found to be insignificant in the chronic group, with only one patient scoring at a depressed level (12). Similarly, levels of disability were all markedly lower than the acute group, with a maximum score of 10 and an average of 3.8.

Statistical comparison using a two-tailed independent measures t-test showed no significant difference between the acute and chronic low back pain groups in the pain (APRI, SPRI, TPI, PPI and VAS), anxiety, and depression and disability variables. These results are outlined in Table 1.
Table 1. Mean, standard deviation and significance scores (independent samples t-test).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>characteristic</th>
<th>acute</th>
<th>chronic</th>
<th>significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2-tailed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age [mean (SD)] (yr)</td>
<td>25.45 (6.06)</td>
<td>29.15 (12.55)</td>
<td>0.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>variable [mean (SD)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPRI</td>
<td>8.40 (5.99)</td>
<td>9.55 (4.97)</td>
<td>0.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRI</td>
<td>1.55 (2.78)</td>
<td>1.60 (1.81)</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPI</td>
<td>9.95 (8.02)</td>
<td>11.15 (6.09)</td>
<td>0.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI</td>
<td>1.50 (1.15)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.64)</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>3.54 (1.74)</td>
<td>2.54 (1.71)</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anxiety</td>
<td>5.55 (3.69)</td>
<td>7.25 (4.29)</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>depression</td>
<td>2.65 (1.93)</td>
<td>3.15 (3.28)</td>
<td>0.560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disability</td>
<td>5.50 (5.25)</td>
<td>3.75 (2.86)</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPRI = sensory pain rating index; APRI = affective pain rating index; TPI = total pain index; PPI = present pain index; VAS = visual analogue scale
Table 2. Pearson correlation (r) of total population (N=40) for dimensions of pain, psychological measures and disability level:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SPRI</th>
<th>APRI</th>
<th>TPI</th>
<th>PPI</th>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>Anxiety</th>
<th>Depression</th>
<th>Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPRI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRI</td>
<td>0.574**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPI</td>
<td>0.963**</td>
<td>0.773**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.435**</td>
<td>0.337*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>0.360*</td>
<td>0.456**</td>
<td>0.429**</td>
<td>0.599**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.360*</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.541**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>0.492**</td>
<td>0.569**</td>
<td>0.568**</td>
<td>0.595**</td>
<td>0.511**</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

SPRI = sensory pain rating index; APRI = affective pain rating index; TPI = total pain index;

PPI = present pain intensity; VAS = visual analogue scale.
Exploratory analysis of pain dimension, mood states and disability levels were then performed (see Table 2). It can be seen that the pain dimensions are all correlated with each other as expected. Disability was found to correlate highly with all pain dimensions, showing a strong relationship between pain dimensions and disability in the population measured. The measurements of psychological distress, namely anxiety and depression are strongly correlated with each other. A significant relationship between symptoms of anxiety and levels of affective pain (APRI) were found. An interesting finding was that disability was not correlated with measurements of mood (anxiety and depression). Another significant finding is that pain was not correlated with anxiety or depression.

Further analysis was performed to determine how much variance was shared between pain dimensions, mood states and disability. This was achieved by calculating the coefficient of determination ($r^2$) as seen in Table 3. The values of pain dimensions contributed between 11% and 93% in their relationships with each other. The highest level was produced by the relationship between sensory pain (SPRI) and total pain (TPI), as one would expect when the SPRI contributed a possible 11 out of the highest possible TPI (15). A weak relationship exists between anxiety and affective pain (APRI), with only 13% of variance shared. Disability and pain shared a variance of between 24% and 35%, representing a moderately strong relationship. Anxiety and depression shared a variance of 29%.
Table 3. Coefficient of determination ($r^2$) of total population (N=40) for dimensions of pain, psychological measures and disability level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SPRI</th>
<th>APRI</th>
<th>TPI</th>
<th>PPI</th>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>Anxiety</th>
<th>Depression</th>
<th>Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPRI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRI</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPI</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPRI = sensory pain rating index; APRI = affective pain rating index; TPI = total pain index; PPI = present pain intensity; VAS = visual analogue scale
DISCUSSION

Psychological profiles are known to differ between the acute and chronic LBP states. People with chronic back pain usually score higher on depression scales than their acute LBP counterparts. Functional capacity is not linearly related to the report of pain. Axis I psychopathologies (DSM4-TM), such as anxiety and depression, are evident in people with acute, subacute, and chronic LBP. The acute and chronic LBP samples tested in our study showed no differences in their pain dimensions, mood states, or disability levels. Anxiety and depression were found to have only very small correlation with disability levels or pain dimensions, contrary to our expectations based on the available literature. Some less expected associations between variables were found in the current research, including a strong relationship between pain and disability, and a weak relationship between anxiety and levels of affective pain. The mood states (anxiety and depression) were predictably correlated with each other in both samples.

One explanation of the insignificant levels of anxiety and depression in this study is that clients at the osteopathic teaching clinic self select when they attend. Put bluntly, people with LBP they perceive to be severe or dangerous may choose to seek care from “qualified professionals” rather than students. Care seeking decisions are motivated by medical beliefs and a variety of other psychosocial factors such as health locus of control as well as market forces such as cost of care, and practical considerations like travel and parking arrangements. Once a person makes a decision to self-refer, they generally become proactive to seek a remedy. The morose nature of severely depressed people means that they may not seek care at all, particularly at a
student osteopathic clinic. Similarly, anxious people are unlikely to seek care from students.

Health locus of control (HLC) is a construct widely used in health research. It refers to an individual’s belief in his or her control over illness.\(^{34}\) In general, lifestyle-based outcomes are more positive in people who strongly believe in their internal control over illness,\(^{35}\) but practitioner-driven interventions may be most powerful in people who believe strongly in the control of healthcare professionals over disease (i.e., powerful-others locus of control).\(^ {36}\) Although HLC was not specifically measured in this study, the psychological domains of anxiety and depression may be evidence of participants’ health beliefs as well as responses or antecedents to the condition of LBP. We are unsure whether people with strong powerful-others HLC are likely to seek care for their LBP from student osteopaths. Osteopathy is somewhat known for being a holistic therapy which uses lifestyle-type interventions (e.g., nutrition, exercise, and other “wellness” interventions),\(^ {37}\) and thus may also be an appealing therapy option for people with strong internal health loci of control. It is probable that the participants in this study displayed a range of health beliefs, some of which influenced their decision to seek osteopathic care. Follow-up research could include the investigation of HLC to investigate its influence on people who attend a student osteopathic clinic.

Although counter-intuitive, some epidemiological studies have shown that disc degeneration and other causes of LBP may develop because of the personal characteristics of a person, rather than any exposure to physical activities.\(^ {25, 38}\) These characteristics may be genetic or acquired from a person’s life experience, and are intrinsically related to the psychological make-up of the individual. Many studies
have recognised the effects of psychosocial factors (such as depressive tendencies) on all aspects of LBP behaviour, including reporting and response to treatment. LBP is a condition in which pain is episodic, thus the level of pain at any single point in time doesn’t indicate where the patients are in relation to their characteristic pain level. In health care, patients with pain tend to seek care when there is a flare-up in the level of pain or when their level of pain is no longer tolerable. Generally, people seek treatment when they are at their worst. Over time it is likely their presenting symptoms will improve, treatment or no treatment, because all extreme states seek equilibria. This phenomenon is known as ‘regression to the mean’. In this study, we assessed patients who had not received treatment for at least 6 months, and their care seeking was likely to have been driven by pain. Depression and anxiety may have been somewhat overshadowed by the more predominant feature of pain. This hypothesis could reasonably be explored in a future controlled clinical trial to plot the psychological profiles of people with LBP over a course of treatment or no treatment.

There is some question as to why the patients had not received treatment for 6 months, particularly the chronic LBP group. This lack of treatment seeking may have been driven by pain, however it may be due to individual personality differences or simply due to their financial situation (i.e. patients attending a student clinic may be driven by the low costs involved, as they probably cannot afford to pay to see a qualified practitioner). Further examination of this may be beneficial in future research.
Some studies of people with LBP have used psychological scales that include somatic items that may inflate the overall scores. It is possible that the current study did not find significant levels of anxiety and depression because the scale used (HADS) removed the somatic items that may inflate the overall scores. Recent research in the LBP population have suggested that psychological instruments that do not include somatic items should be utilised.¹⁹

This study describes a subset of people that attend a student osteopathic clinic, in particular people who attend a student osteopathic clinic. The results may have a contribution to treatment within this clinic, and in osteopathic clinics treating an Australian population. For instance, the mild, but positive relationship between affective pain and anxiety will be useful in clients who present with pain symptoms described as ‘tiring/exhausting’, ‘sickening’ or ‘punishing’. These symptoms, if reported, can indicate an anxious person, which in turn should be considered and managed when treating.

The findings of this study suggest the population attending an Australian student osteopathic clinic with LBP do not fit the biopsychosocial model prevalent in current literature. More research is required to confirm these findings.
CONCLUSION

The study found that a sample of LBP population attending a student osteopathic clinic in Australia show no differences in levels of pain, depression, anxiety and disability between those presenting with acute or chronic pain. Some of the correlations indicated by previous research were not found, with no relationship apparent between depression levels and severity of pain. However, links were found between disability and pain dimensions and between levels of anxiety and the presence of affective pain. This is potentially useful information for student practitioners who treat LBP clients in teaching clinic settings, however, follow-up research, involving a larger number of participants, is required to validate these preliminary findings and determine whether they are translatable to non-student osteopathic clinics and similar health care settings.
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Appendix A. Information to participants form

INFORMATION TO PARTICIPANTS

We invite you to be a part of a study investigating low back pain and the interaction of psychological factors and disability. This study will involve three short questionnaires (approximately 15 minutes completion time), which will examine factors such as levels of pain, anxiety, depression and ability to perform general daily activities.

This study aims to identify possible issues facing people with low back pain. The outcomes of this study may influence the treatment of people with low back pain in osteopathic practice, highlight potential developmental and maintaining factors of low back pain and form a greater understanding of issues effecting people with low back pain. Further understanding of the interactions between pain, depression and disability may be of benefit to practitioners and patients alike. In the event that any potential issues of concern arise, participants will be provided with written feedback from the investigator. The data from this research may be published. In this case, your confidentiality will be protected by the use of an alphanumerical coding system.

In addition to this information leaflet you will find an informed consent form, which must be filled out in pen and returned to the student investigator. Completion of this form implies consent to participation in this study. The researchers will in NO way identify individual participants. Participation in this study is voluntary.

Procedures:

Three questionnaires regarding low back pain are to be undertaken. They include a pain questionnaire, a psychological questionnaire and a survey about general daily activities. Each volunteer will be screened to ensure that his or her low back pain is uncomplicated.

The following eligibility and exclusion criteria shall be observed:

1. Participants must be between the ages of 18-60 years.
2. Each participant must have uncomplicated low back pain. Any presence of lower limb pain, numbness, tingling or weakness linked to the low back pain will exclude participation.
3. Participants must not have a previous diagnosis of clinical depression.
4. Each participant must sign an informed consent for before participation in this study.

If participants have any queries regarding the study then they can forward any question to the researchers at Victoria University City Flinders Campus on (03) 9248 1111 or to Melainie Cameron (Principal Investigator), on (03) 9248 1149.

Any queries about your participation in this project may be directed to the researcher Melainie Cameron (Principal Investigator) (03) 9248 1149. If you have any queries or complaints about the way you have been treated, you may contact the Secretary, University Human Research Ethics Committee, Victoria University of Technology, PO Box 14428 MCMC, Melbourne, 8001 (telephone no: 03-9688 4710).
Appendix B. Informed consent form

CERTIFICATION BY PARTICIPANTS

I, of

certify that I am at least 18 years old and that I am voluntarily giving my consent to participate in the research entitled:

Pain, depression, anxiety and disability in back pain: Do people seeking osteopathic treatment fit known profiles?

being conducted at Victoria University of Technology by:

Melainie Cameron – B.App.Sc (Ost), M.H.Sc. (Research)
Caroline Delaney – BSc (Clinical Sciences), BSc

Participation in this study will involve the completion of three questionnaires regarding low back pain, they include a pain questionnaire, a psychological questionnaire and a survey about general daily activities.

I certify that the objectives of the research, together with any risks and safeguards associated with the procedures listed hereunder to be carried out in the research, have been fully explained to me and that I freely consent to participation involving the use on me of these procedures.

I certify that I have had the opportunity to have any questions answered and that I understand that I can withdraw from this research at any time and that this withdrawal will not jeopardise me in any way.

I have been informed that the data from this research may be published and that the information I provide will be kept confidential.

Signed: .............................................  }
Witness other than the researcher:  }

Date: ....................

Any queries about your participation in this project may be directed to the researcher Melainie Cameron (Principal Investigator) (03) 9248 1149. If you have any queries or complaints about the way you have been treated, you may contact the Secretary, University Human Research Ethics Committee, Victoria University of Technology, PO Box 14428 MCMC, Melbourne, 8001 (telephone no: 03-9688 4710).
Appendix C. SF-MPQ, HADS and RM-24 questionnaires

Age = ............  Sex (please tick) = Male

Please tick the box that best describes the duration of your low back pain:
<2 weeks
>6 months

SFMPQ

Please read each word below, and decide whether it describes what your pain feels like. If a word does not describe your pain tick none, and go on to the next item. If a word does describe your pain, then rate how strongly you have felt that sensation by ticking the mild, moderate or severe box.

My pain felt like it was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. THROBBING</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. SHOOTING</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. STABBING</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. SHARP</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. CRAMPING</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. GNAWING</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. HOT-BURNING</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. ACHING</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. HEAVY</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. TENDER</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. SPLITTING</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. TIRING-EXHAUSTING</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. SICKENING</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. FEARFUL</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. PUNISHING-CRUEL</td>
<td>0)</td>
<td>1)</td>
<td>2)</td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please put a mark on the scale to show how bad your usual pain has been these days.

How bad is your pain now?

0 NO PAIN
1 MILD
2 DISCOMFORTING
3 DISTRESSING
4 HORRIBLE
5 EXCRUCIATING

HADS

Please read each item and tick the reply which comes closest to how you have been feeling in the PAST WEEK.

1. **I feel tense or ‘wound up’**:
   - Most of the time
   - A lot of the time
   - From time to time, occasionally
   - Not at all

2. **I still enjoy the things I used to enjoy**:
   - Definitely as much
   - Not quite as much
   - Only a little
   - Hardly at all

3. **I get a sort of frightened feeling as if something awful is about to happen**:
   - Very definitely and quite badly
   - Yes, but not too badly
   - A little, but it doesn’t worry me
   - Not at all

4. **I can laugh and see the funny side of things**:
   - As much as I always could
   - Not quite so much now
   - Definitely not so much now
   - Not at all

5. **Worrying thoughts go through my mind**:
   - A great deal of the time
   - A lot of the time
   - From time to time but not too often
   - Only occasionally

6. **I feel cheerful**:
   - Not at all
   - Not often
   - Sometimes
   - Most of the time

7. **I can sit at ease and feel relaxed**:
   - Definitely
   - Usually
   - Not often
   - Not at all
8. I feel as if I am slowed down:
   Nearly all the time
   Very often
   Sometimes
   Not at all

9. I get a sort of frightened feeling like 'butterflies' in the stomach:
   Not at all
   Occasionally
   Quite often
   Very often

10. I have lost interest in my appearance:
    Definitely
    I don't take so much care as I should
    I may not take quite as much care
    I take just as much care as ever

11. I feel restless as if I have been on the move:
    Very much indeed
    Quite a lot
    Not very much
    Not at all

12. I look forward with enjoyment to things:
    As much as I ever did
    Rather less than I used to
    Definitely less than I used to
    Hardly at all

13. I get sudden feelings of panic:
    Very often indeed
    Quite often
    Not very often
    Not at all

14. I can enjoy a good book or radio or TV program:
    Often
    Sometimes
    Not often
    Very seldom

When your back hurts, you may find it difficult to do some of the things you normally do.

This list contains some sentences that people have used to describe themselves when they have back pain. When you read them, you may find that some stand out because they describe you today. As you read the list, think of yourself today. When you read a sentence that describes you today, fill the box to the left of the sentence. If the sentence does not describe you, then leave the box blank and go on to the next one. Remember, only mark the sentence if you are sure that it describes you today.

1. I stay at home most of the time because of my back.
2. I change positions frequently to try and get my back comfortable.
3. I walk more slowly than usual because of my back.
4. Because of my back, I am not doing any of the jobs that I usually do around the house.
5. Because of my back, I use a handrail to get upstairs.
6. Because of my back, I lie down to rest more often.
7. Because of my back, I have to hold on to something to get out of an easy chair.
8. Because of my back, I try to get other people to do things for me.
9. I get dressed more slowly than usual because of my back.
10. I only stand up for short periods of time because of my back.
11. Because of my back, I try not to bend or kneel down.
12. I find it difficult to get out of a chair because of my back.
13. My back is painful almost all the time.
14. I find it difficult to turn over in bed because of my back.
15. My appetite is not very good because of my back pain.
16. I have trouble putting on my socks (or stockings) because of the pain in my back.
17. I only walk short distances because of my back pain.
18. I sleep less well because of my back.
20. I sit down for most of the day because of my back.
21. I avoid heavy jobs around the house because of my back.
22. Because of my back pain, I am more irritable and bad tempered with people than usual.
23. Because of my back, I go upstairs more slowly than usual.
24. I stay in bed most of the time because of my back.

I am no longer employed or am no longer able to perform my usual daily duties (eg home based activities).

MEMORANDUM

TO: Melanie Cameron, Edwina Ryan (Caroline Delaney)  
Principal Investigators  
Education

FROM: Prof Colin Torrance  
Acting Chair  
Human Research Ethics Committee  
Faculty of Human Development

DATE: January 29, 2008

SUBJECT: Approval of application involving human subjects

Thank you for your submission detailing amendments to the research protocol for the project titled, *Pain, depression, anxiety and disability in back pain: Do people seeking osteopathic treatment fit known profiles?* (HRETH.FHD.097/03).

The proposed amendments have been accepted by the Faculty Human Research Ethics Committee and approval for application HRETH.FHD.097/03 has been granted from 5/2/04 to 5/2/06.

Please note that, the Faculty Human Research Ethics Committee must be informed of the following: any changes to the approved research protocol, project timelines, any serious or unexpected adverse effects on participants, and unforeseen events that may effect continued ethical acceptability of the project. In these unlikely events, researchers must immediately cease all data collection until the Committee has approved the changes.

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact me on ext 4486.

The Committee wishes you all the best for the conduct of the project.

Prof Colin Torrance  
Acting Chair  
Human Research Ethics Committee  
Faculty of Human Development
### Appendix E. Raw data - acute group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>participant</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>sex</th>
<th>sensory</th>
<th>affective</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>PPI</th>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>anxiety</th>
<th>depression</th>
<th>disability</th>
<th>unemployed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A27</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A33</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A34</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A35</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix F. Raw data - chronic group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>participant</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>sex</th>
<th>sensory</th>
<th>affective</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>PPI</th>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>anxiety</th>
<th>depression</th>
<th>disability</th>
<th>unemployed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C26</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C31</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C33</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C38</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix G. SPSS output Pearson correlations - acute group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F</strong></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D</strong></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix H. SPSS output Pearson correlations - chronic group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>b</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: c
**: c
Appendix I. SPSS output Pearson correlations - total population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: p < .05
*: p < .01
### Appendix J. SPSS output descriptive statistics

#### Group Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LBP GROUP</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SENSORY</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.4000</td>
<td>5.98595</td>
<td>1.33850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.5500</td>
<td>4.96806</td>
<td>1.11089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFFECTIV</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.5500</td>
<td>2.78104</td>
<td>.62186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.6000</td>
<td>1.81804</td>
<td>.40653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.9500</td>
<td>8.01627</td>
<td>1.79249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.1500</td>
<td>6.08946</td>
<td>1.36165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.5000</td>
<td>1.14708</td>
<td>.25649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.2500</td>
<td>.63867</td>
<td>.14281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.5400</td>
<td>1.74459</td>
<td>.39010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.5400</td>
<td>1.70862</td>
<td>.38206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANXIETY</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.5500</td>
<td>3.69174</td>
<td>.82550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.2500</td>
<td>4.29044</td>
<td>.95937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPRESSI</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.6500</td>
<td>1.92696</td>
<td>.43088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.1500</td>
<td>3.28113</td>
<td>.73368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISABILI</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.5000</td>
<td>5.24655</td>
<td>1.17316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.7500</td>
<td>2.86310</td>
<td>.64021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* LBP group 1 – acute group
  LBP group 2 – chronic group
### Appendix K. SPSS output - Independent samples t-test

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

40