



VICTORIA UNIVERSITY
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

A General Divergence Measure for Monotonic Functions and Applications in Information Theory

This is the Published version of the following publication

Dragomir, Sever S (2004) A General Divergence Measure for Monotonic Functions and Applications in Information Theory. RGMIA research report collection, 7 (1).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/17072/>

A GENERAL DIVERGENCE MEASURE FOR MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS AND APPLICATIONS IN INFORMATION THEORY

S.S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. A general divergence measure for monotonic functions is introduced. Its connections with the f -divergence for convex functions are explored. The main properties are pointed out.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space satisfying $|\mathcal{A}| > 2$ and μ be a σ -finite measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) . Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all probability measures on (X, \mathcal{A}) which are absolutely continuous with respect to μ . For $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$, let $p = \frac{dP}{d\mu}$ and $q = \frac{dQ}{d\mu}$ denote the *Radon-Nikodym* derivatives of P and Q with respect to μ .

Two probability measures $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ are said to be *orthogonal* and we denote this by $Q \perp P$ if

$$P(\{q = 0\}) = Q(\{p = 0\}) = 1.$$

Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a convex function that is continuous at 0, i.e., $f(0) = \lim_{u \downarrow 0} f(u)$.

In 1963, I. Csiszár [2] introduced the concept of f -divergence as follows.

Definition 1. Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$. Then

$$(1.1) \quad I_f(Q, P) = \int_X p(x) f\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right] d\mu(x),$$

is called the f -divergence of the probability distributions Q and P .

We now give some examples of f -divergences that are well-known and often used in the literature (see also [3]).

1.1. The Class of χ^α -Divergences. The f -divergences of this class, which is generated by the function χ^α , $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$, defined by

$$\chi^\alpha(u) = |u - 1|^\alpha, \quad u \in [0, \infty)$$

have the form

$$(1.2) \quad I_f(Q, P) = \int_X p \left| \frac{q}{p} - 1 \right|^\alpha d\mu = \int_X p^{1-\alpha} |q - p|^\alpha d\mu.$$

From this class only the parameter $\alpha = 1$ provides a distance in the topological sense, namely the *total variation distance* $V(Q, P) = \int_X |q - p| d\mu$. The most prominent special case of this class is, however, *Karl Pearson's χ^2 -divergence*.

Date: 13 January, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 94Axx, 26D15, 26D10.

Key words and phrases. f -divergence, Convexity, Divergence measures, Monotonic functions.

1.2. **Dichotomy Class.** From this class, generated by the function $f_\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$f_\alpha(u) = \begin{cases} u - 1 - \ln u & \text{for } \alpha = 0; \\ \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} [\alpha u + 1 - \alpha - u^\alpha] & \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}; \\ 1 - u + u \ln u & \text{for } \alpha = 1; \end{cases}$$

only the parameter $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ($f_{\frac{1}{2}}(u) = 2(\sqrt{u} - 1)^2$) provides a distance, namely, the *Hellinger distance*

$$H(Q, P) = \left[\int_X (\sqrt{q} - \sqrt{p})^2 d\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Another important divergence is the *Kullback-Leibler divergence* obtained for $\alpha = 1$,

$$KL(Q, P) = \int_X q \ln \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) d\mu.$$

1.3. **Matsushita's Divergences.** The elements of this class, which is generated by the function φ_α , $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ given by

$$\varphi_\alpha(u) := |1 - u^\alpha|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \quad u \in [0, \infty),$$

are prototypes of metric divergences, providing the distances $[I_{\varphi_\alpha}(Q, P)]^\alpha$.

1.4. **Puri-Vineze Divergences.** This class is generated by the functions Φ_α , $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$ given by

$$\Phi_\alpha(u) := \frac{|1 - u|^\alpha}{(u + 1)^{\alpha-1}}, \quad u \in [0, \infty).$$

It has been shown in [4] that, this class provides the distances $[I_{\Phi_\alpha}(Q, P)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$.

1.5. **Divergences of Arimoto-type.** This class is generated by the functions

$$\Psi_\alpha(u) := \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \left[(1 + u^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - 2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} (1 + u) \right] & \text{for } \alpha \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}; \\ (1 + u) \ln 2 + u \ln u - (1 + u) \ln (1 + u) & \text{for } \alpha = 1; \\ \frac{1}{2} |1 - u| & \text{for } \alpha = \infty. \end{cases}$$

It has been shown in [5] that, this class provides the distances $[I_{\Psi_\alpha}(Q, P)]^{\min(\alpha, \frac{1}{\alpha})}$ for $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $\frac{1}{2}V(Q, P)$ for $\alpha = \infty$.

2. SOME CLASSES OF NORMALISED FUNCTIONS

We denote by $\mathcal{M}^\ddagger([0, \infty))$ the class of *monotonic nondecreasing functions* defined on $[0, \infty)$ and by $\mathcal{M}s([0, \infty))$ the class of *measurable functions* on $[0, \infty)$. We also consider $\mathcal{L}e_1([0, \infty))$ the class of measurable functions $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the property that

$$(2.1) \quad g(t) \leq g(1) \leq g(s) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \leq s < \infty.$$

It is obvious that

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{M}^\ddagger([0, \infty)) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}e_1([0, \infty)),$$

and the inclusion (2.2) is strict.

We say that a function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *normalised* if $f(1) = 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{s_0}([0, \infty))$ the class of all normalised measurable functions defined on $[0, \infty)$. We also need the following classes of functions

$$\mathcal{C}o([0, \infty)) := \{f \in \mathcal{M}_{s_0}([0, \infty)) \mid f \text{ is continuous convex on } [0, \infty)\};$$

$$\mathcal{D}_0([0, \infty)) := \{f \in \mathcal{M}_{s_0}([0, \infty)) \mid f(t) = (t-1)g(t), \forall t \in [0, \infty), g \in \mathcal{M}^\#([0, \infty))\};$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_0([0, \infty)) := \{f \in \mathcal{M}_{s_0}([0, \infty)) \mid f(t) = (t-1)g(t), \forall t \in [0, \infty), g \in \mathcal{L}e_1([0, \infty))\}.$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{D}_0([0, \infty))$ and $\mathcal{O}_0([0, \infty))$ and taking into account that the strict inclusion (2.2) holds, we deduce that

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{D}_0([0, \infty)) \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_0([0, \infty)),$$

and the inclusion is strict.

For the other two classes, we may state the following result.

Lemma 1. *We have the strict inclusion*

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{C}o([0, \infty)) \subsetneq \mathcal{D}_0([0, \infty)).$$

Proof. We will show that any continuous convex function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is normalised may be represented as:

$$(2.5) \quad f(t) = (t-1)g(t) \text{ for any } t \in [0, \infty),$$

where $g \in \mathcal{M}^\#([0, \infty))$.

Now, let $f \in \mathcal{C}o([0, \infty))$. For $\lambda \in [D_-f(1), D_+f(1)]$, define

$$g_\lambda(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(t)}{t-1} & \text{if } t \in [0, 1) \cup (1, \infty), \\ \lambda & \text{if } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

We use the following well known result [1, p. 111]:

If Ψ is convex on (a, b) and $a < s < t < u < b$, then

$$(2.6) \quad \Psi(s, t) \leq \Psi(s, u) \leq \Psi(t, u),$$

where

$$\Psi(s, t) = \frac{\Psi(t) - \Psi(s)}{t - s}.$$

If Ψ is strictly convex on (a, b) , equality will not occur in (2.6).

If we apply the above result for $0 < s < t < 1$, then we can state

$$\frac{f(s)}{s-1} \leq \frac{f(t)}{t-1}.$$

Taking the limit over $t \rightarrow 1, t < 1$, we deduce

$$\frac{f(s)}{s-1} \leq D_-f(1)$$

showing that for $0 < t < 1$, we have $g_\lambda(t) \leq \lambda$.

Similarly, we may prove that for $1 < t < \infty$, $g_\lambda(t) \geq \lambda$. If we use the same result for $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$, then we may write

$$\frac{f(t_1)}{t_1-1} \leq \frac{f(t_2)}{t_2-1},$$

which gives $g_\lambda(t_1) \leq g_\lambda(t_2)$ for $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$.

In a similar fashion we can prove that for $1 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$, $g_\lambda(t_1) \leq g_\lambda(t_2)$, and thus we may conclude that the function g_λ is monotonic non-decreasing on the whole interval $[0, \infty)$.

If we consider now the function $f(t) = (t-1)e^{\eta t}$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, we observe that $f'(t) = (\eta t - 3)e^{\eta t}$, $f''(t) = 8e^{\eta t}(2t-1)$ which shows that f is not convex on $[0, \infty)$. Obviously, $f \in \mathcal{D}_0([0, \infty))$, and thus the inclusion (2.4) is indeed strict. ■

Remark 1. If $f \in \mathcal{D}_0([0, \infty))$ and $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{M}^\#([0, \infty))$ are two functions with

$$f(t) = (t-1)g_1(t), \quad f(t) = (t-1)g_2(t)$$

for each $t \in [0, \infty)$, then we get

$$(t-1)[g_1(t) - g_2(t)] = 0$$

for any $t \in [0, \infty)$ showing that $g_1(t) = g_2(t)$ for each $t \in [0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$. They may have different values in $t = 1$.

3. SOME FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTIES OF f -DIVERGENCE FOR $f \in \mathcal{Co}([0, \infty))$

For $f \in \mathcal{Co}([0, \infty))$ we obtain the $*$ -conjugate function of f by

$$f^*(u) = uf\left(\frac{1}{u}\right), \quad u \in (0, \infty).$$

It is also known that if $f \in \mathcal{Co}([0, \infty))$, then $f^* \in \mathcal{Co}([0, \infty))$.

The following two theorems contain the most basic properties of f -divergences. For their proof we refer the reader to Chapter 1 of [6] (see also [3]).

Theorem 1 (Uniqueness and Symmetry Theorem). *Let f, f_1 be continuous convex on $[0, \infty)$.*

(i) *We have*

$$I_{f_1}(Q, P) = I_f(Q, P),$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f_1(u) = f(u) + c(u-1),$$

for any $u \in [0, \infty)$;

(ii) *We have*

$$I_{f^*}(Q, P) = I_f(Q, P),$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if there exists a constant $d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f^*(u) = f(u) + d(c-1),$$

for any $u \in [0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2 (Range of Values Theorem). *Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function on $[0, \infty)$.*

For any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$, we have the double inequality

$$(3.1) \quad f(1) \leq I_f(Q, P) \leq f(0) + f^*(0).$$

(i) *If $P = Q$, then the equality holds in the first part of (3.1).*

If f is strictly convex at 1, then the equality holds in the first part of (3.1) if and only if $P = Q$;

- (ii) If $Q \perp P$, then the equality holds in the second part of (3.1).
 If $f(0) + f^*(0) < \infty$, then equality holds in the second part of (3.1) if and only if $Q \perp P$.

Define the function $\tilde{f} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\tilde{f}(u) = \frac{1}{2}(f(u) + f^*(u))$. The following result is a refinement of the second inequality in Theorem 2 (see [3, Theorem 3]).

Theorem 3. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}o([0, \infty))$ with $f(0) + f^*(0) < \infty$. Then

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \leq I_f(Q, P) \leq \tilde{f}(0) V(Q, P)$$

for any $Q, P \in \mathcal{P}$.

4. A GENERAL DIVERGENCE MEASURE

If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a general measurable function, then we may define the f -divergence in the same way, i.e., if $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$, then

$$I_f(Q, P) = \int_X p(x) f \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x).$$

For a measurable function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we may also define the δ -divergence by the formula

$$\delta_g(Q, P) = \int_X [q(x) - p(x)] g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x).$$

It is obvious that the δ -divergence of a function g may be seen as the f -divergence of the function f , where $f(t) = (t-1)g(t)$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$.

If $f \in \mathcal{C}o([0, \infty))$ and since $f(t) = (t-1)g_\lambda(t)$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad g_\lambda(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(t)}{t-1} & \text{if } t \in [0, 1) \cup (1, \infty), \\ \lambda & \text{if } t = 1; \end{cases}$$

and $\lambda \in [D_-f(1), D_+f(1)]$, shows that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}o([0, \infty))$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad I_f(Q, P) = \delta_{g_\lambda}(Q, P) \quad \text{for any } P, Q \in \mathcal{P},$$

i.e., the f -divergence for any normalised continuous convex function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ may be seen as the δ -divergence of the function g_λ defined by (4.1).

In what follows, we point out some fundamental properties of the δ -divergence.

Theorem 4. Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function on $[0, \infty)$ and $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$. If there exists the constants m, M with

$$(4.3) \quad -\infty < m \leq g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] \leq M < \infty$$

for μ -a.e. $x \in X$, then we have the inequality

$$(4.4) \quad |\delta_g(Q, P)| \leq \frac{1}{2} (M - m) V(Q, P).$$

Proof. We observe that the following identity holds true

$$(4.5) \quad \delta_g(Q, P) = \int_X [q(x) - p(x)] \left[g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - \frac{m+M}{2} \right] d\mu(x)$$

By (4.3), we deduce that

$$\left| g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - \frac{m+M}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} (M-m)$$

for μ -a.e. $x \in X$.

Taking the modulus in (4.5) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_g(Q, P)| &\leq \int_X |q(x) - p(x)| \left| g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - \frac{m+M}{2} \right] \right| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (M-m) \int_X |q(x) - p(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (M-m) V(Q, P) \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality (4.4) is proved. ■

The following corollary is a natural consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 1. *Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function on $[0, \infty)$. If*

$$m := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t \in [0, \infty)} g(t) > -\infty, \quad M := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [0, \infty)} g(t) < \infty,$$

then for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$, we have the inequality

$$(4.6) \quad |\delta_g(Q, P)| \leq \frac{1}{2} (M-m) V(Q, P).$$

Remark 2. *We know that, if $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a normalised continuous convex function and if $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} f^*(t) = \lim_{u \downarrow 0} [uf(\frac{1}{u})] =: f^*(0)$, then we have the inequality [Theorem 2.3]*

$$(4.7) \quad I_f(Q, P) \leq \frac{f(0) + f^*(0)}{2} V(Q, P),$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$. We can prove this inequality by the use of Corollary 1 as follows.

We have

$$I_f(Q, P) = \delta_{g_\lambda}(Q, P),$$

where

$$g_\lambda(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(t)}{t-1} & \text{if } t \in [0, 1) \cup (1, \infty), \\ \lambda & \text{if } t = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda \in [D_-f(1), D_+f(1)]$ and $g_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}^\#([0, \infty))$. We observe that for any $t \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$g_\lambda(t) \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} g_\lambda(t) = -f(0) = m > -\infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_\lambda(t) &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g_\lambda(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t-1} = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\frac{f(\frac{1}{u})}{\frac{1}{u}-1} \right] \\ &= \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\frac{uf(\frac{1}{u})}{1-u} \right] = f^*(0) = M < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Corollary 1 for $m = -f(0)$ and $M = f^*(0)$, we deduce the desired inequality (4.7).

The following result also holds.

Theorem 5. *Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function on $[0, \infty)$ and $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$. If there exists a constant K with $K > 0$ such that*

$$(4.8) \quad \left| g\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) - g(1) \right| \leq K \left| \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right|^\alpha,$$

for μ -a.e. $x \in X$, where $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ is a given number, then we have the inequality

$$(4.9) \quad |\delta_g(Q, P)| \leq KI_{\chi^{\alpha+1}}(Q, P).$$

Proof. We observe that the following identity holds true

$$(4.10) \quad \delta_g(Q, P) = \int_X [q(x) - p(x)] \left[g\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right] - g(1) \right] d\mu(x).$$

Taking the modulus in (4.10) and using the condition (4.8), we have successively

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_g(Q, P)| &\leq \int_X |q(x) - p(x)| \left| g\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right] - g(1) \right| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq K \int_X [p(x)]^{-\alpha} |q(x) - p(x)|^{\alpha+1} d\mu(x) \\ &\leq KI_{\chi^{\alpha+1}}(Q, P) \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality (4.9) is obtained. ■

The following corollary holds.

Corollary 2. *Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function on $[0, \infty)$ with the property that there exists a constant K with the property that*

$$(4.11) \quad |g(t) - g(1)| \leq K |t - 1|^\alpha,$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, \infty)$, where $\alpha > 0$ is a given number. Then for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$, we have the inequality

$$(4.12) \quad |\delta_g(Q, P)| \leq KI_{\chi^{\alpha+1}}(Q, P).$$

Remark 3. *If the function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder continuous with a constant $H > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 1]$, i.e.,*

$$|g(t) - g(s)| \leq H |t - s|^\beta,$$

for any $t, s \in [0, \infty)$, then obviously (4.7) holds with $K = H$ and $\alpha = \beta$.

If $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitzian with the constant $L > 0$, i.e.,

$$|g(t) - g(s)| \leq L |t - s|,$$

for any $t, s \in [0, \infty)$, then

$$(4.13) \quad |\delta_g(Q, P)| \leq KI_{\chi^2}(Q, P),$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Finally, if g is locally absolutely continuous and the derivative $g' : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is essentially bounded, i.e., $\|g'\|_{[0, \infty), \infty} := \text{ess sup}_{t \in [0, \infty)} |g'(t)| < \infty$, then we have the inequality

$$(4.14) \quad |\delta_g(Q, P)| \leq \|g'\|_{[0, \infty), \infty} I_{\chi^2}(Q, P),$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

The following result concerning f -divergences for f convex functions holds.

Theorem 6. Let $f : [0, \infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function on $[0, \infty)$. If $\lambda \in [D_-f(1), D_+f(1)]$ ($\lambda = f'(1)$ if f is differentiable at $t = 1$), and there exists a constant $K > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$(4.15) \quad |f(t) - \lambda(t-1)| \leq K|t-1|^{\alpha+1},$$

for any $t \in [0, \infty)$, then we have the inequality

$$(4.16) \quad 0 \leq I_f(Q, P) \leq KI_{\chi^{\alpha+1}}(Q, P),$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. We have

$$I_f(Q, P) = \int_X [q(x) - p(x)] g_\lambda \left[\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right] d\mu(x) = \delta_{g_\lambda}(Q, P),$$

where

$$g_\lambda(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(t)}{t-1} & \text{if } t \in [0, 1) \cup (1, \infty), \\ \lambda & \text{if } t = 1, \end{cases}$$

and $\lambda \in [D_-f(1), D_+f(1)]$.

Applying Corollary 2 for g_λ , we deduce the desired result. ■

5. THE POSITIVITY OF δ -DIVERGENCE FOR $g \in \mathcal{M}^\ddagger([0, \infty))$

The following result holds.

Theorem 7. If $g \in \mathcal{M}^\ddagger([0, \infty))$, then $\delta_g(Q, P) \geq 0$ for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. We use the identity

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_g(Q, P) &= \int_X [q(x) - p(x)] g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X p(x) \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right] g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_X \int_X p(x) p(y) \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - \frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] \left[g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - g \left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] \right] d\mu(x) d\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g \in \mathcal{M}^\ddagger([0, \infty))$, then for any $t, s \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$(t-s)(g(t) - g(s)) \geq 0$$

giving that

$$\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - \frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] \left[g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - g \left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] \right] \geq 0$$

for any $x, y \in X$.

Using the representation (5.1), we deduce the desired result. ■

The following corollary is a natural consequence of the above result.

Corollary 3. If $f \in \mathcal{D}_0([0, \infty))$, then $I_f(Q, P) \geq 0$ for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{D}_0([0, \infty))$, then there exists a $g \in \mathcal{M}^\ddagger([0, \infty))$ such that $f(t) = (t-1)g(t)$ for any $t \in [0, \infty)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_f(Q, P) &= \int_X p(x) f\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right] d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X p(x) \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1\right] g\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right] d\mu(x) \\ &= \delta_g(Q, P) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed. ■

In fact, the following improvement of Theorem 7 holds.

Theorem 8. *If $g \in \mathcal{M}^\ddagger([0, \infty))$, then*

$$(5.2) \quad \delta_g(Q, P) \geq |\delta_{|g|}(Q, P)| \geq 0,$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Since g is monotonic nondecreasing, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - \frac{q(y)}{p(y)}\right] \left[g\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right] - g\left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)}\right]\right] \\ &= \left|\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - \frac{q(y)}{p(y)}\right) \left(g\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right] - g\left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)}\right]\right)\right| \\ &\geq \left|\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - \frac{q(y)}{p(y)}\right) \left(\left|g\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right]\right| - \left|g\left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)}\right]\right|\right)\right| \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in X$.

Multiplying (5.3) by $p(x)p(y) \geq 0$ and integrating on X^2 , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_X \int_X p(x)p(y) \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - \frac{q(y)}{p(y)}\right) \left[g\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right] - g\left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)}\right]\right] d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ &\geq \left|\int_X \int_X p(x)p(y) \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - \frac{q(y)}{p(y)}\right) \left(g\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right] - g\left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)}\right]\right) d\mu(x) d\mu(y)\right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the representation (5.1) and the same identity for $|g|$, we deduce the desired inequality (5.2). ■

Before we point out other possible refinements for the positivity inequality $\delta_g(Q, P) \geq 0$, where $g \in \mathcal{M}^\ddagger([0, \infty))$, we need the following divergence measure as well:

$$\bar{\delta}_h(Q, P) := \int_X |q(x) - p(x)| h\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right] d\mu(x)$$

which will be called the *absolute δ -divergence* generated by the function $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is assumed to be measurable on $[0, \infty)$.

The following result holds.

Theorem 9. *If $g \in \mathcal{M}^\ddagger([0, \infty))$, then*

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\delta_g(Q, P) \\ &\geq \max\left\{|\bar{\delta}_g(Q, P) - V(Q, P) I_g(Q, P)|, |\bar{\delta}_{|g|}(Q, P) - V(Q, P) I_{|g|}(Q, P)|\right\} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Since g is monotonic, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - \frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right) \left(g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - g \left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] \right) \\ &= \left| \left[\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right) - \left(\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} - 1 \right) \right] \left[g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - g \left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] \right] \right| \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \left| \left[\left| \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right| - \left| \frac{q(y)}{p(y)} - 1 \right| \right] \left[g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - g \left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] \right] \right| \\ \left| \left[\left| \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right| - \left| \frac{q(y)}{p(y)} - 1 \right| \right] \left[\left| g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] \right| - \left| g \left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] \right| \right] \right| \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in X$.

If we multiply (5.5) by $p(x)p(y) \geq 0$ and integrate, we deduce

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_X \int_X p(x)p(y) \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - \frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right) \left(g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - g \left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] \right) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \left| \int_X \int_X p(x)p(y) \left[\left| \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right| - \left| \frac{q(y)}{p(y)} - 1 \right| \right] \right. \\ \quad \left. \times \left[g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - g \left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] \right] d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right| \\ \left| \int_X \int_X p(x)p(y) \left[\left| \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right| - \left| \frac{q(y)}{p(y)} - 1 \right| \right] \right. \\ \quad \left. \times \left[\left| g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] \right| - \left| g \left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] \right| \right] d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right| \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in X$.

Now, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \int_X p(x)p(y) \left[\left| \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right| - \left| \frac{q(y)}{p(y)} - 1 \right| \right] \left[g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - g \left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] \right] d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_X \int_X p(x)p(y) \left[\left| \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right| g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] + \left| \frac{q(y)}{p(y)} - 1 \right| g \left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] \right] d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ &\quad - \int_X \int_X p(x)p(y) \left[\left| \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right| g \left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] + \left| \frac{q(y)}{p(y)} - 1 \right| g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] \right] d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ &= 2 \int_X p(y) d\mu(y) \int_X p(x) \left| \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right| g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x) \\ &\quad - 2 \int_X p(x) \left| \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right| d\mu(x) \int_X p(y) g \left[\frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \right] d\mu(y) \\ &= 2 [\bar{\delta}_g(Q, P) - V(Q, P) I_g(Q, P)], \end{aligned}$$

and a similar identity holds for the quantity in the second branch of (5.6).

Finally, using the representation (5.1), we deduce the desired inequality (5.4). ■

6. THE POSITIVITY OF δ -DIVERGENCE FOR $g \in \mathcal{L}e_1([0, \infty))$

The following result extending the positivity of δ -divergence for monotonic functions, holds.

Theorem 10. *If $g \in \mathcal{L}e_1([0, \infty))$, then $\delta_g(Q, P) \geq 0$ for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. We use the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.1) \quad \delta_g(Q, P) &= \int_X [q(x) - p(x)] g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x) \\
 &= \int_X p(x) \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right] g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x) \\
 &= \int_X p(x) \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right] \left[g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - g(1) \right] d\mu(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $g \in \mathcal{L}e_1([0, \infty))$, then for any $t \in [0, \infty)$ we have

$$(t - 1)[g(t) - g(1)] \geq 0$$

giving that

$$\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right) \left[g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - g(1) \right] \geq 0$$

for any $x \in X$.

Using the representation (6.1), we deduce the desired result. ■

Corollary 4. *If $f \in \mathcal{O}_0([0, \infty))$, then $I_f(Q, P) \geq 0$ for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{O}_0([0, \infty))$, then there exists a $g \in \mathcal{L}e_1([0, \infty))$ such that $f(t) = (t - 1)g(t)$ for any $t \in [0, \infty)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_f(Q, P) &= \int_X p(x) f \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x) \\
 &= \int_X p(x) \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right] g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x) \\
 &= \delta_g(Q, P) \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed. ■

The following improvement of Theorem 10 holds.

Theorem 11. *If $g \in \mathcal{L}e_1([0, \infty))$, then*

$$(6.2) \quad \delta_g(Q, P) \geq |\delta_{|g|}(Q, P)| \geq 0$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Since $g \in \mathcal{L}e_1([0, \infty))$, we obviously have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.3) \quad &\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right] \left[g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - g(1) \right] \\
 &= \left| \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right) \left(g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - g(1) \right) \right| \\
 &\geq \left| \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right) \left(\left| g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] \right| - |g(1)| \right) \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (6.3) by $p(x) \geq 0$ and integrating on X , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X p(x) \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right] \left[g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - g(1) \right] d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X p(x) \left| \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right) \left(\left| g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] \right| - |g(1)| \right) \right| d\mu(x) \\ &\geq \left| \int_X p(x) \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right) \left(\left| g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] \right| - |g(1)| \right) d\mu(x) \right| \\ &= |\delta_{|g|}(Q, P)|, \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality (6.2) is proved. ■

7. BOUNDS IN TERMS OF THE χ^2 -DIVERGENCE

The following result may be stated.

Theorem 12. *Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function such that there exists the constants $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ with*

$$(7.1) \quad \gamma \leq g'(t) \leq \Gamma \quad \text{for any } t \in (0, \infty).$$

Then we have the inequality

$$(7.2) \quad \gamma D_{\chi^2}(Q, P) \leq \delta_g(Q, P) \leq \Gamma D_{\chi^2}(Q, P),$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Consider the auxiliary function $h_\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h_\gamma(t) := g(t) - \gamma(t-1)$. Obviously, h_γ is differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ and since, by (7.1),

$$h'_\gamma(t) = g'(t) - \gamma \geq 0$$

it follows that h_γ is monotonic nondecreasing on $[0, \infty)$.

Applying Theorem 7, we deduce

$$\delta_{h_\gamma}(Q, P) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{h_\gamma}(Q, P) &= \delta_{g-\gamma(\cdot-1)}(Q, P) \\ &= \int_X [q(x) - p(x)] \left[g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - \gamma \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right] \right] d\mu(x) \\ &= \delta_g(Q, P) - \gamma D_{\chi^2}(Q, P), \end{aligned}$$

then the first inequality in (7.2) is proved.

The second inequality may be proven in a similar manner by using the auxiliary function $h_\Gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h_\Gamma(t) := \Gamma(t-1) - g(t)$. ■

The following corollary is a natural application of the above theorem.

Corollary 5. *Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function on $(0, \infty)$ with $f(1) = 0$. If there exist the constants $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ with the property that:*

$$(7.3) \quad \gamma(t-1)^2 + f(t) \leq f'(t)(t-1) \leq f(t) + \Gamma(t-1)^2$$

for any $t \in (0, \infty)$, then we have the inequality:

$$(7.4) \quad \gamma D_{\chi^2}(Q, P) \leq I_f(Q, P) \leq \Gamma D_{\chi^2}(Q, P)$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. We know that for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$, we have (see for example (4.2)):

$$I_f(Q, P) = \delta_{g_{f'(1)}}(Q, P),$$

where

$$g_{f'(1)} = \begin{cases} \frac{f(t)}{t-1} & \text{if } t \in [0, 1) \cup (1, \infty), \\ f'(1) & \text{if } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

We observe that, by the hypothesis of the corollary, $g_{f'(1)}$ is differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$g'_{f'(1)}(t) = \frac{f'(t)(t-1) - f(t)}{(t-1)^2}$$

for any $t \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$.

Using (7.3), we deduce that

$$\gamma \leq g'_{f'(1)}(t) \leq \Gamma$$

for $t \in (0, \infty)$, and applying Theorem 12 above, for $g = g_{f'(1)}$, we deduce the desired inequality (7.4). ■

8. BOUNDS IN TERMS OF THE J -DIVERGENCE

We recall that the *Jeffreys divergence* (or J -divergence for short) is defined as

$$(8.1) \quad J(Q, P) := \int_X [q(x) - p(x)] \ln \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x),$$

where $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

The following result holds.

Theorem 13. *Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function such that there exists the constants $\phi, \Phi \in \mathbb{R}$ with*

$$(8.2) \quad \phi \leq tg'(t) \leq \Phi \quad \text{for any } t \in (0, \infty).$$

Then we have the inequality

$$(8.3) \quad \phi J(Q, P) \leq \delta_g(Q, P) \leq \Phi J(Q, P),$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Consider the auxiliary function $h_\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h_\phi(t) := g(t) - \phi \ln t$. Obviously, h_ϕ is differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ and, by (8.2),

$$h'_\phi(t) = g'(t) - \frac{\phi}{t} = \frac{1}{t} [tg'(t) - \phi] \geq 0,$$

for any $t \in (0, \infty)$, showing that the function is monotonic nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

Applying Theorem 7, we deduce

$$\delta_{h_\phi}(Q, P) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{h_\phi}(Q, P) &= \delta_{g-\phi \ln(\cdot)}(Q, P) \\ &= \int_X [q(x) - p(x)] \left[g \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] - \phi \ln \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] \right] d\mu(x) \\ &= \delta_g(Q, P) - \phi J(Q, P), \end{aligned}$$

then the first inequality in (8.3) is proved.

The second inequality may be proven in a similar manner by using the auxiliary function $h_\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h_\Phi(t) := \Phi \ln t - g(t)$. ■

The following corollary is a natural application of the above theorem.

Corollary 6. *Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function on $(0, \infty)$ with $f(1) = 0$. If there exist the constants $\phi, \Phi \in \mathbb{R}$ with the property that:*

$$(8.4) \quad \phi(t-1)^2 + tf(t) \leq t(t-1)f'(t) \leq tf(t) + \Phi(t-1)^2$$

for any $t \in (0, \infty)$, then we have the inequality:

$$(8.5) \quad \phi J(Q, P) \leq I_f(Q, P) \leq \Phi J(Q, P)$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

The proof is similar to the one in Corollary 5 and we omit the details.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. de BARRA, *Measure Theory and Integration*, Ellis Horwood Ltd., 1981.
- [2] I. CSISZÁR, Eine informationstheoretische Ungleichung und ihre Anwendung auf den Beweis der Ergodizität von Markoffschen Ketten. (German) *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.* **8** (1963) 85–108.
- [3] P. CERONE, S.S. DRAGOMIR and F. ÖSTERREICHER, Bounds on extended f -divergences for a variety of classes, *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **6**(2003), No.1, Article 5. [ONLINE: <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v6n1.html>].
- [4] P. KAFKA, F. ÖSTERREICHER and I. VINCZE, On powers of f -divergence defining a distance, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, **26** (1991), 415-422.
- [5] F. ÖSTERREICHER and I. VAJDA, A new class of metric divergences on probability spaces and its applicability in statistics. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* **55** (2003), no. 3, 639–653.
- [6] F. LIESE and I. VAJDA, *Convex Statistical Distances*, Teubner – Texte zur Mathematik, Band **95**, Leipzig, 1987.

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
PO Box 14428, MCMC 8001, VICTORIA, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/SSDragomirWeb.html>