



VICTORIA UNIVERSITY
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

A Weighted Interpolation for Jensen's Integral Inequality and Some Converse Results

This is the Published version of the following publication

Dragomir, Sever S, Pearce, Charles and Pecaric, Josep (2001) A Weighted Interpolation for Jensen's Integral Inequality and Some Converse Results. RGMIA research report collection, 4 (1).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/17382/>

A WEIGHTED INTERPOLATION FOR JENSEN'S INTEGRAL INEQUALITY AND SOME CONVERSE RESULTS

S.S. DRAGOMIR, C.E.M. PEARCE, AND J. PEČARIĆ

ABSTRACT. A weighted interpolation of Jensen's integral inequality and some applications for Hadamard's inequality are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex mapping and $f, p : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $p(t) \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$ be measurable and such that p , fp and $\phi \circ f \cdot p$ are integrable on $[a, b]$. Then

$$(1.1) \quad \phi \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right) \leq \frac{\int_a^b (\phi \circ f)(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt},$$

provided that $\int_a^b p(t) dt > 0$.

The inequality (1.1) is well known in the literature as the *Jensen's weighted integral inequality*.

In 1996, Dragomir and Goh [21] proved a counterpart result for convex mappings of n -variables, in terms of the Theory of Probability. We mention here only the uni-dimensional version of their result:

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \leq \frac{\int_a^b (\phi \circ f)(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} - \phi \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \int_a^b (\phi' \circ f)(t) \cdot f(t) p(t) dt \\ - \frac{1}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \int_a^b (\phi' \circ f)(t) p(t) dt \cdot \frac{1}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt,$$

provided that all the integrals exist and ϕ is differentiable convex on \mathbb{R} .

In this paper we point out some refinements of (1.1) and their counterparts as suggested by (1.2). Some particular examples are also examined.

2. THE RESULTS

We will start with the following weighted refinement of Jensen's integral inequality (1.1).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Convex Functions, Jensen's integral inequality, Hadamard's inequality.

Theorem 1. *If ϕ, p and f are as above, u_1, \dots, u_k are nonnegative numbers and $U_k := \sum_{i=1}^k u_i > 0$, then we have the following inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.1) \quad & \phi \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \\
& \leq \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \\
& \leq \frac{\int_a^b \phi(f(t)) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt}
\end{aligned}$$

holds.

Proof. By Jensen's inequality for integrals of the form $\underbrace{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b}_{k \text{ times}}$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2) \quad & \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k} \\
& \geq \phi \left(\frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

As a simple calculation shows us that:

$$\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k = \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right] p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
& = \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-1} \int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

then the inequality (2.2) gives us the first inequality in (2.1).

Define the functions

$$y_i : [a, b] \rightarrow I, y_i = y_i(t_1, \dots, t_k), i = \overline{1, k}$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned}
y_1 & : = \frac{u_1 f(t_1) + u_2 f(t_2) + \dots + u_{k-1} f(t_{k-1}) + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \\
y_2 & : = \frac{u_k f(t_1) + u_1 f(t_2) + \dots + u_{k-2} f(t_{k-1}) + u_{k-1} f(t_k)}{U_k}
\end{aligned}$$

$$y_k := \frac{u_2 f(t_1) + u_3 f(t_2) + \dots + u_k f(t_{k-1}) + u_1 f(t_k)}{U_k}$$

where $t_1, \dots, t_k \in [a, b]$.

Using Jensen's discrete inequality for the convex map ϕ and for the elements $y_1, \dots, y_k \in I$, we have that:

$$\phi\left(\frac{y_1 + \dots + y_k}{k}\right) \leq \frac{\phi(y_1) + \dots + \phi(y_k)}{k},$$

and taking into account that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y_1 + \dots + y_k}{k} &= \frac{(u_1 + \dots + u_k)(f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k))}{kU_k} \\ &= \frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \end{aligned}$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi\left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \left[\phi\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{u_k f(t_1) + \dots + u_{k-1} f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \phi\left(\frac{u_2 f(t_1) + \dots + u_1 f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $t_1, \dots, t_k \in [a, b]$.

Integrating this inequality on $[a, b]^k$ we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad &\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi\left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \left[\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi\left(\frac{u_2 f(t_1) + \dots + u_1 f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right]. \end{aligned}$$

However, it can be easily seen that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ &= \dots = \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi\left(\frac{u_2 f(t_1) + \dots + u_1 f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality (2.3) gives us the second inequality in (2.3).

Finally, by Jensen's discrete inequality for the weights u_1, \dots, u_k we can write:

$$\phi\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) \leq \frac{u_1 (\phi \circ f)(t_1) + \dots + u_k (\phi \circ f)(t_k)}{U_k}$$

for all $t_1, \dots, t_k \in [a, b]$.

Integrating this inequality on $[a, b]^k$ we deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{U_k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b [u_1 (\phi \circ f)(t_1) + \dots + u_k (\phi \circ f)(t_k)] p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ & = \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-1} \int_a^b (\phi \circ f)(t) p(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and the last inequality in (2.1) is proved. \square

Corollary 1. *Let ϕ and u_i ($i = \overline{1, k}$) be defined as above. Then the following interpolation of Hadamard's integral inequality is valid:*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad \phi \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) & \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{t_1 + \dots + t_k}{k} \right) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{u_1 t_1 + \dots + u_k t_k}{U_k} \right) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \phi(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. *The first inequality in (2.4) was proved in [4], the second inequality in (2.4) was proved in [19] and the last inequality in (2.4) was proved in [12].*

3. SOME CONVERSES OF THE ABOVE RESULTS. THE UNWEIGHTED CASE

We shall start with the following result which gives a converse for the first inequality in (2.1).

Theorem 2. *Suppose that ϕ, p and f are as above. Then one has the inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad 0 & \leq \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} - \phi \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) f(t_1) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \\ & \quad - \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \cdot \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k}, \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ'_+ is the right derivative of ϕ on \dot{I} .

Proof. As ϕ is convex on I , we can write that

$$(3.2) \quad \phi(x) - \phi(y) \geq \phi'_+(y)(x - y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \dot{I}.$$

where $\phi'_+(\cdot)$ is the right derivative of ϕ which is a nonnegative mapping on \mathring{I} .
Choosing

$$x = \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \text{ and } y = \frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k},$$

we deduce the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right) - \phi \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \\ & \geq \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} - \frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all t_1, \dots, t_k in $[a, b]$.

Integrating this inequality on $[a, b]^n$ we easily deduce the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad & \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} - \phi \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \\ & \times \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k f(t_i) \right) \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k f(t_i) \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ & - \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \cdot \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k f(t_i) \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \\ & = \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k f(t_i) \right) f(t_1) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ & - \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \cdot \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k f(t_i) \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k}, \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem is proved. \square

The result embodied in (3.1) can be completed by the following one:

Theorem 3. *With the above assumptions, one has the inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad & \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) f(t_1) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \\ & - \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \cdot \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[\frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b \left[\phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \cdots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \right]^2 p(t_1) \cdots p(t_k) dt_1 \cdots dt_k \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\times \left[\frac{\int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} - \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. By Schwartz's inequality for multiple integrals we can write:

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \cdots + f(t_k)}{k} \right)$$

$$\times \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \cdots + f(t_k)}{k} - \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right) p(t_1) \cdots p(t_k) dt_1 \cdots dt_k$$

$$\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b \left[\phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \cdots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \right]^2 p(t_1) \cdots p(t_k) dt_1 \cdots dt_k \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b \left[\frac{f(t_1) + \cdots + f(t_k)}{k} \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. - \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right]^2 p(t_1) \cdots p(t_k) dt_1 \cdots dt_k \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Now, let us compute the integral

$$I = \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b \left[\frac{f(t_1) + \cdots + f(t_k)}{k} - \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right]^2 p(t_1) \cdots p(t_k) dt_1 \cdots dt_k$$

$$= \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b \left[\left(\frac{f(t_1) + \cdots + f(t_k)}{k} \right)^2 - 2 \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \cdots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right.$$

$$\left. + \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right)^2 \right] p(t_1) \cdots p(t_k) dt_1 \cdots dt_k$$

$$= \frac{1}{k^2} \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b \sum_{i,j=1}^k f(t_i) f(t_j) p(t_1) \cdots p(t_k) dt_1 \cdots dt_k$$

$$- \frac{2k \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right) \int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{k} \cdot \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt}$$

$$+ \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{k \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-1}}{k^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b f(t_i) f(t_j) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{k^2} \\
&\quad - \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-2} \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{k^2} \left[k \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-1} \right. \\
&\quad + k(k-1) \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-2} \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \\
&\quad \left. - k^2 \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-2} \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \left[\int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-1} - \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-2} \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using the inequality (3.5) for the above representation of f we deduce the inequality (3.4). \square

The following corollary is important:

Corollary 2. *Assume that $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex on the interval I and $\sup_{x \in I} |\phi'_+(x)| = M < \infty$. Thus, with the above assumptions for f and p we have that:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
&= \inf_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\ k \geq 1}} \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
&= \phi \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. As

$$\phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \leq M,$$

we get that (see (3.1) and (3.3)):

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi\left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
&\quad - \phi\left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{M}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[\frac{\int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} - \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt}\right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. □

4. SOME CONVERSES OF THE ABOVE RESULTS. THE WEIGHTED CASE

We shall start with the following converse inequality:

Theorem 4. *Suppose that ϕ, p and f are as above and $(U_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are strictly positive. Then we have the inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \\
&\quad - \phi\left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) f(t_1) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \\
&\quad - \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \cdot \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi'_+(\cdot)$ is the right derivative of ϕ on \mathring{I} .

Proof. As ϕ is convex on I , we can write that:

$$\phi(x) - \phi(y) \geq \phi'_+(y)(x - y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathring{I}.$$

Choosing

$$x = \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt}$$

and

$$y = \frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}$$

we deduce the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right) - \phi \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) \\ \geq & \phi'_+ \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} - \frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all t_1, \dots, t_k in $[a, b]$.

Integrating this inequality on $[a, b]^n$ we can easily deduce the inequality (4.1). We shall omit the details. \square

The result embodied in (4.1) can be completed by the following one:

Theorem 5. *With the above assumptions, one has the inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad 0 & \leq \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) f(t_1) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \\ & - \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \\ & \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k u_i^2}{U_k^2}} \left[\frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \right. \\ & \quad \times \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[\phi'_+ \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) \right]^2 p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \left. \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \left[\frac{\int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} - \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Schwartz's inequality for multiple integrals, we can write :

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad & \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) \\ & \times \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} - \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[\phi'_+ \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) \right]^2 p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} - \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right]^2 p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us compute the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
&I(u) \\
&: = \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} - \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right]^2 p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
&= \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \frac{(u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k))^2}{U_k^2} p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
&\quad - 2 \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right)^2 \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{U_k^2} \left[\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^k u_i^2 f^2(t_i) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} u_i u_j \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b f(t_i) f(t_j) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right] \\
&\quad - 2 \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \cdot \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-1} \cdot \frac{U_k \int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{U_k} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right)^2 \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k \\
&= \left[\frac{1}{U_k^2} \sum_{i=1}^k u_i^2 \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-1} \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} u_i u_j \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-2} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2 \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-2} + \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-2} \\
&= \frac{1}{U_k^2} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^k u_i^2 \right) \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt \int_a^b p(t) dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} u_i u_j \right) \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \right] \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-2} \\
&\quad - \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-2} \\
&= \frac{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-2}}{U_k^2} \times \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^k u_i^2 \right) \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt \int_a^b p(t) dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} u_i u_j \right) \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 - U_k^2 \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k u_i^2}{U_k^2} \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-2} \left[\int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt \int_a^b p(t) dt - \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using the inequality (4.3) we deduce (4.2). \square

The above theorem has the following important corollary:

Corollary 3. *Assume that $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex on the interval I and $\sup_{x \in I} |\phi'_+(x)| = M < \infty$. Then with the above assumptions for f and p and if:*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_1^2 + \dots + u_k^2}{(u_1 + \dots + u_k)^2} = 0, \quad u_i \geq 0 (i \in \mathbb{N})$$

then we have:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k} = \phi \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right).$$

5. A BOUND FOR THE DIFFERENCE $\varphi_k - \varphi_{k+1}$

In this section, we investigate the difference

$$\varphi_k - \varphi_{k+1}, \quad k \geq 1$$

where:

$$\varphi_k := \frac{\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

We know (see the inequality (1.1)) that:

$$(5.1) \quad \phi \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right) \leq \varphi_{k+1} \leq \varphi_k \leq \dots \leq \varphi_1 = \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 1$.

The following theorem holds:

Theorem 6. *Suppose that $\phi : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex mapping on the interval I , p is nonnegative on $[a, b]$, $\int_a^b p(t) dt > 0$, and $f : [a, b] \rightarrow I$ is continuous on $[a, b]$. Then we have the inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.2) \quad 0 &\leq \varphi_k - \varphi_{k+1} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{1}{\left[\int_a^b p(t) dt\right]^{k+1}} \\
&\quad \times \left[\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) f(t_1) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right. \\
&\quad \times \int_a^b p(t) dt \\
&\quad \left. - \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right]
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. As ϕ is convex, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_{k+1})}{k+1} \right) - \phi \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \\
&\geq \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_{k+1})}{k+1} - \frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \\
&= \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \left[\frac{kf(t_{k+1}) - (f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k))}{k(k+1)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t_1, \dots, t_{k+1} \in [a, b]$ and $k \geq 1$.

Integrating this inequality on $[a, b]^{k+1}$ we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^{k+1}} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_{k+1})}{k+1} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
&- \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{1}{k+1} \left[\frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \right. \\
&\quad \times \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^{k+1}} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left. \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_{k+1}) dt_1 \dots dt_{k+1} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{k+1} \left[\frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \right. \\
&\quad \times \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the inequality (5.1) is proved. \square

The above result can be completed by the following one:

Theorem 7. *With the above assumptions one has*

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.3) \quad &\frac{1}{k+1} \frac{1}{\left[\int_a^b p(t) dt\right]^{k+1}} \\
&\times \left[\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) f(t_1) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \int_a^b p(t) dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right] \\
&\leq c_k \left[\frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \right. \\
&\quad \times \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[\phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \right]^2 p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \int_a^b p(t) dt \left. \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left[\frac{\int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} - \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k(k+1)}}.$$

Proof. It can easily be seen that the first membership of the inequality (5.3) is in fact:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^{k+1}} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \\ & \times \left[f(t_{k+1}) - \frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right] p(t_1) \dots p(t_{k+1}) dt_1 \dots dt_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Cauchy-Buniakowsky-Schwartz inequality for multiple integrals we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^{k+1}} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \\ & \times \left[f(t_{k+1}) - \frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right] p(t_1) \dots p(t_{k+1}) dt_1 \dots dt_{k+1} \int_a^b p(t) dt \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^{k+1}} \right. \\ & \times \left. \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[\phi'_+ \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) \right]^2 p(t_1) \dots p(t_{k+1}) dt_1 \dots dt_{k+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \times \left(\frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^{k+1}} \right. \\ & \times \left. \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[f(t_{k+1}) - \frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right]^2 p(t_1) \dots p(t_{k+1}) dt_1 \dots dt_{k+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute the integral:

$$\begin{aligned} I & : = \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[f(t_{k+1}) - \frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right]^2 p(t_1) \dots p(t_{k+1}) dt_1 \dots dt_{k+1} \\ & = \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left(f^2(t_{k+1}) - 2f(t_{k+1}) \cdot \frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right)^2 \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_{k+1}) dt_1 \dots dt_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^k \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt - 2 \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-1} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{k^2} \left[\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b (f^2(t_1) + \dots + f^2(t_k)) p(t_1) \dots p(t_{k+1}) dt_1 \dots dt_{k+1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b f(t_i) f(t_j) p(t_1) \dots p(t_{k+1}) dt_1 \dots dt_{k+1} \right] \\
&= \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-1} \left[\int_a^b p(t) dt \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt - 2 \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{k} \left(k \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt \int_a^b p(t) dt + \frac{2k(k-1)}{2} \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-1}}{k} \left[k \int_a^b p(t) dt \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt - 2k \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt \int_a^b p(t) dt + \frac{(2k-2)}{2} \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-1}}{k} \left[(k+1) \int_a^b p(t) dt \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (k+1) \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{(k+1)}{k} \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-1} \left[\int_a^b p(t) dt \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt - \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using the above inequality we deduce the desired result. \square

The following corollary is interesting:

Corollary 4. *Let ϕ, f and p be as above and assume that $M := \sup_{x \in I} |\phi'_+(x)| < \infty$. Then we have:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1}) n^\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq \alpha < 1.$$

Proof. By the above two theorems we have:

$$0 \leq \varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1} \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{n(n+1)}} \left[\frac{\int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} - \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ which proves the statement. \square

6. A BOUND FOR THE DIFFERENCE $\varphi_k(u) - \varphi_k$

Now, for the mappings ϕ, p and f as above and for the positive weights $(u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ we can introduce the following sequence:

$$\varphi_k(u) := \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k.$$

For $u_i = 1, i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\varphi_k(\mathbb{I}) = \varphi_k,$$

where $\mathbb{I} = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \dots)$ and, by Theorem 1 we have the inequalities:

$$(6.1) \quad \phi\left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt}\right) \leq \varphi_k \leq \varphi_k(u) \leq \frac{\int_a^b \phi(f(t)) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt}$$

for all $u = (u_i), i \in \mathbb{N}$

It is natural to ask what happens to the difference $\varphi_k(u) - \varphi_k$.

Theorem 8. *With the above assumptions for the mappings ϕ, p and f one has the inequality:*

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \varphi_k(u) - \varphi_k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k. \end{aligned}$$

for all $k > 0$.

Proof. By the convexity of ϕ we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi\left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) \\ &\geq \phi'_+\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} - \frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t_i \in [a, b]$.

Integrating the above inequality on $[a, b]^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi\left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
& - \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
\geq & \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) \\
& \times \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
& - \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) \\
& \times \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k
\end{aligned}$$

and the estimation (6.2) is proved. \square

Theorem 9. *With the above assumptions for ϕ, p, f , and u , one has the estimation:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.3) \quad & \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) \\
& \times \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
& - \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) \\
& \times \left(\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k}\right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
\leq & \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k (ku_i - U_k)^2}{k^2 U_k^2}} \left[\frac{\int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} - \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt}\right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \times \left[\frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \right. \\
& \times \left. \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[\phi'_+\left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k}\right) \right]^2 p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first membership of the above inequality is in fact:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi'_+ \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} - \frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k. \end{aligned}$$

By the Schwartz-Buniakowsky-Cauchy integral inequality we have:

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[\phi'_+ \left(\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right) \right]^2 p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right]^2 p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We must compute the integral:

$$\begin{aligned} J_k &= \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[\frac{u_1 f(t_1) + \dots + u_k f(t_k)}{U_k} - \frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_k)}{k} \right]^2 p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ &= \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k (ku_i - U_k) f(t_i)}{U_k k} \right]^2 p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2 U_k^2} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \sum_{i,j=1}^k (ku_i - U_k) (ku_j - U_k) f(t_i) f(t_j) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2 U_k^2} \left[\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^k (ku_i - U_k)^2 f^2(t_i) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (ku_i - U_k) (ku_j - U_k) f(t_i) f(t_j) p(t_1) \dots p(t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2 U_k^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (ku_i - U_k)^2 \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-1} \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (ku_i - U_k) (ku_j - U_k) \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt \right)^2 \left(\int_a^b p(t) dt \right)^{k-2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^{k-2}}{k^2 U_k^2} \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (ku_i - U_k)^2 \left[\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right) \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt - \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt\right)^2 \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt\right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (ku_i - U_k)^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (ku_i - U_k)(ku_j - U_k) \right] \right] \\
&= \frac{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^{k-2}}{k^2 U_k^2} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k (ku_i - U_k)^2 \left[\int_a^b p(t) dt \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt - \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt\right)^2 \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt\right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (ku_i - U_k)\right)^2 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a simple calculation shows us that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (ku_i - U_k) = kU_k - kU_k = 0,$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_k &= \frac{\left(\int_a^b p(t) dt\right)^{k-2}}{k^2 U_k^2} \sum_{i=1}^k (ku_i - U_k)^2 \\
&\quad \times \left[\int_a^b p(t) dt \int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt - \left(\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt\right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above inequality we deduce the desired estimation from (6.3).

The theorem is thus proved. \square

The following corollary is important for our purposes.

Corollary 5. *With the above assumptions and if $M := \sup_{x \in I} |\phi'_+(x)| < \infty$ then we have the estimation:*

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \varphi_k(u) - \varphi_k \\
&\leq M \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k (ku_i - U_k)^2}{k^2 U_k^2}} \left[\frac{\int_a^b f^2(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} - \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt}\right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \geq 1$ and $u > 0$.

By the use of the above corollary we can complete our convergence result from Corollary 3:

Corollary 6. *With the above assumptions for ϕ, f, p and if $u_k > 0$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) are such that:*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k (ku_i - U_k)^2}{k^2 U_k^2} = 0$$

then we also have:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k(u) = \phi \left(\frac{\int_a^b f(t) p(t) dt}{\int_a^b p(t) dt} \right).$$

7. SOME APPLICATIONS FOR HADAMARD'S INEQUALITIES

Let $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex mapping on the interval of real numbers I . The following double inequality is well-known in the literature as Hadamard's inequality:

$$(7.1) \quad \phi \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \leq \int_a^b \phi(x) dx \leq \frac{\phi(a) + \phi(b)}{2}.$$

In paper [4], S.S. Dragomir, J. Pečarić and J. Sándor proved the following interpolation of the first inequality in (7.1) :

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \phi \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)^{k+1}} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_{k+1}}{k+1} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_{k+1} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_k}{k} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_k \\ & \leq \dots \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \phi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \geq 1$. Note that this inequality is also a particular case of (1.1).

In paper [12], S.S. Dragomir pointed out the following weighted interpolation of Hadamard's first inequality:

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) & \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{q_1 x_1 + \dots + q_k x_k}{Q_k} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \phi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

where $q_i \geq 0$ ($i = \overline{1, k}$), $Q_k := \sum_{i=1}^k q_i > 0$ and $k \geq 1$.

The last inequality was improved in the paper [19] by S.S. Dragomir and C. Buşe who proved among others that:

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(b-a)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_k}{k} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)^k} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{q_1 x_1 + \dots + q_k x_k}{Q_k} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_k \end{aligned}$$

for all q_i ($i = \overline{1, k}$) as above and $k \geq 1$.

Note that the above result follows now as a particular case of Theorem 1.

From Corollary 3 we can obtain the following result which was pointed out by another argument and in a more general case by C. Buşe, S.S. Dragomir and D. Barbu [20].

If $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and $\sup_{x \in I} |\phi'_+(x)| = M < \infty$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_1^2 + \dots + q_n^2}{(q_1 + \dots + q_n)^2} = 0 \quad (q_i > 0, i \in \mathbb{N})$$

then we have the limit:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(b-a)^n} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{q_1 x_1 + \dots + q_n x_n}{Q_n} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &= \phi \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us define the sequences:

$$h_n(q) := \frac{1}{(b-a)^n} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \phi \left(\frac{q_1 x_1 + \dots + q_n x_n}{Q_n} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

where $q = (q_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ and

$$h_n := h_n(\mathbb{I}) \quad \text{where } \mathbb{I} = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \dots)$$

is a constant sequence.

Proposition 1. *Let $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex mapping for which we have $M := \sup_{x \in I} |\phi'_+(x)| < \infty$. Then for all $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$ one has the converse inequality*

$$0 \leq h_n - h_{n+1} \leq \frac{M(b-a)}{2\sqrt{3}\sqrt{n(n+1)}}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$.

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 4 we have the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq h_n - h_{n+1} \leq \frac{M(b-a)}{\sqrt{n(n+1)}} \left[\frac{\int_a^b t^2 dt}{b-a} - \frac{\left(\int_a^b t dt \right)^2}{(b-a)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{M(b-a)}{2\sqrt{3}\sqrt{n(n+1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2. *The above inequality shows us that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n^\alpha (h_n - h_{n+1})] = 0$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ which is an improvement from the results of [16].*

The weighted case is embodied in the following proposition:

Proposition 2. *With the above assumptions one has the converse inequality*

$$0 \leq h_n(q) - h_n \leq \frac{M(b-a)}{2\sqrt{3}} \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (nq_i - Q_n)^2}{n^2 Q_n^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and $q_i > 0$ ($i = \overline{1, n}$).

For other results connected with Hadamard's inequality see the recent papers [3], [7], [9], [12], [13], [16], [18], [19] and [20] where further references are given.

8. A CONCRETE EXAMPLE

Suppose that $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\phi(x) = \exp(x) = e^x$. As above, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.1) \quad l_n(q) &: = \frac{1}{(b-a)^n} \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b \exp\left(\frac{q_1 x_1 + \cdots + q_n x_n}{Q_n}\right) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
&= \frac{1}{(b-a)^n} \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b \exp\left(\frac{q_1 x_1}{Q_n}\right) \cdots \exp\left(\frac{q_n x_n}{Q_n}\right) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\int_a^b \exp\left(\frac{q_i x}{Q_n}\right) dx}{(b-a)} \right] \\
&= \frac{Q_n^n}{\prod_{i=1}^n q_i} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\exp\left(\frac{q_i b}{Q_n}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{q_i a}{Q_n}\right)}{b-a} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a < b$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$(8.2) \quad l_n(n) = l_n = n^n \left(\frac{\exp\left(\frac{b}{n}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)}{b-a} \right)^n$$

By the use of the above theorem we can state the following results.

(1) The sequence l_n given in (8.2) is monotonic nonincreasing and

$$\exp\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} l_n \leq \frac{\exp(b) - \exp(a)}{b-a}, a < b;$$

(2) One has the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
& n^n \left(\frac{\exp\left(\frac{b}{n}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)}{b-a} \right)^n \\
& \leq \frac{Q_n^n}{\prod_{i=1}^n q_i} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\exp\left(\frac{q_i b}{Q_n}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{q_i a}{Q_n}\right)}{b-a} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{\exp(b) - \exp(a)}{b-a}, a < b;
\end{aligned}$$

(3) If $\frac{q_1^2 + \cdots + q_n^2}{(q_1 + \cdots + q_n)^2} \rightarrow 0$ then $l_n(q) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(4) One has the converse inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq n^n \left(\frac{\exp\left(\frac{b}{n}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)}{b-a} \right)^n - (n+1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{\exp\left(\frac{b}{n+1}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{a}{n+1}\right)}{b-a} \right)^{n+1} \\
& \leq \frac{b(b-a)}{2\sqrt{3}\sqrt{n(n+1)}} \text{ for } a < b;
\end{aligned}$$

(5) One has the converse inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{Q_n^n}{\prod_{i=1}^n q_i} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\exp\left(\frac{q_i}{Q_n} b\right) - \exp\left(\frac{q_i}{Q_n} a\right)}{b-a} \right) - n^n \left(\frac{\exp\left(\frac{b}{n}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)}{b-a} \right)^n \\ &\leq \frac{b(b-a)}{2\sqrt{3}} \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (nq_i - Q_n)^2}{n^2 Q_n^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $q_i > 0, i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similar inequalities can be obtained for some other concrete mappings such as: $\phi(x) = \ln x, x > 0$, $\phi(x) = -\cos x, x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, $\phi(x) = \cosh x, x \in \mathbb{R}$, etc., but we omit the details.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. DRAGOMIR, A refinement of Jensen's inequality, *Gaz. Math. Metod.*(Bucharest), **10** (1989), 190-191.
- [2] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Some inequalities for convex functions, *Macedonian Acad. of Sci. and Arts*, **10** (1989), 25-28.
- [3] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Two refinements of Hadamard's inequalities, *Coll. of Sci. Pap. of the Fac. of Sci., Kragujevac (Yugoslavia)*, **11** (1990), 23-26.
- [4] S. S. DRAGOMIR, J. PEČARIĆ and J. SÁNDOR, A note on the Jensen-Hadamard inequality, *Anal. Num. Theor Approx.*, **19** (1990), 21-28.
- [5] S. S. DRAGOMIR, An improvement of Jensen's inequality, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Romania* (Bucharest), **34**(4) (1990), 291-296.
- [6] S. S. DRAGOMIR, An improvement of Jensen's inequality, *Mat. Bilten*, (Scopje), **15** (1991), 35-37.
- [7] S. S. DRAGOMIR, A mapping in connection with Hadamard's inequalities, *An. Östr. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Maths* (Wien), **128** (1991), 17-20.
- [8] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Some refinements of Ky Fan's inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **163** (1992), 317-321.
- [9] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Two mappings in connection to Hadamard's inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **167** (1992), 45-56.
- [10] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Some refinements of Jensen's inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **168** (1992), 518-522.
- [11] S. S. DRAGOMIR and D. M. MILOŠEVIĆ, A sequence of mappings connected with Jensen's inequality and applications, *Mat. Vesnik* (Belgrade), **44** (1992), 113-121.
- [12] S. S. DRAGOMIR, On Hadamard's inequality for convex functions, *Mat. Balkanica*, **6** (1992), 215-222.
- [13] S. S. DRAGOMIR, A refinement of Hadamard's inequality for isotonic linear functionals, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, (Taiwan) **24** (1993), 101-106.
- [14] S. S. DRAGOMIR, A sequence of mappings connected with Jensen's inequality, *Riv. Mat. Pura Appl. (Italy)*, **17** (1995), 3-9.
- [15] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Two mappings associated with Jensen's inequality, *Extracta Math.*, **8** (1993), 102-106.
- [16] S. S. DRAGOMIR, D. BARBU and C. BUŞE, A probabilistic argument for the convergence of some sequences associated with Hadamard's inequality, *Studia Univ. "Babeş-Bolyai" Math.* (Romania), **38** (1) (1993), 29-33.
- [17] S. S. DRAGOMIR, A further improvement of Jensen's inequality, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **25** (1) (1994), 29-36.
- [18] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Some remarks on Hadamard's inequality for convex functions, *Extracta Math.*, **9**(2) (1994), 89-94.
- [19] S. S. DRAGOMIR and C. BUŞE, Refinements of Hadamard's inequality for multiple integrals, *Utilitas Math.*, **47** (1995), 193-195.
- [20] S. S. DRAGOMIR, C. BUŞE and D. BARBU, The convergence of some sequences connected to Hadamard's inequality, *Demonstratio Math.*, **29** (1996), 53-59.

- [21] S. S. DRAGOMIR and C.J. GOH, A counterpart of Jensen's continuous inequality and applications in Information theory, *RGMA*, <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/Jensen.html>
- [22] J. PEČARIĆ and S. S. DRAGOMIR, A refinement of Jensen's inequality and applications, *Studia Univ. "Babeş-Bolyai"*, **34** (1) (1989), 15-19.
- [23] J. PEČARIĆ and S. S. DRAGOMIR, On an inequality of Godunova-Levin and some refinements of Jensen's integral inequality, *Itin. Sem. on Funct. Eq. Approx. and Convex.*, (Cluj), 1989, 139-146.
- [24] J. E. PEČARIĆ and S. S. DRAGOMIR, On some integral inequalities for convex functions, *Bull. Inst. Pol. Iaşi.* (Romania), **36** (1-4) (1990), 19-23.

S.S. DRAGOMIR, SCHOOL OF COMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATICS, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001 AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever@matilda.vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/SSDragomirWeb.html>

C.E.M. PEARCE, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE, ADELAIDE, SOUTH AUSTRALIA, AUSTRALIA 5005

E-mail address: cpearce@maths.adelaide.edu.au

URL: <http://www.maths.adelaide.edu.au/Applied/staff/cpearce.html>

J. PEČARIĆ, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF TEXTILE TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, CROATIA.

E-mail address: pecaric@mahazu.hazu.hr

URL: <http://mahazu.hazu.hr/DepMPCS/indexJP.html>