



**VICTORIA UNIVERSITY**  
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

*On the value distribution of  $\phi(z)[f(z)]^{n-1}f^{(k)}(z)$*

This is the Published version of the following publication

Yu, Kit-Wing (2001) On the value distribution of  $\phi(z)[f(z)]^{n-1}f^{(k)}(z)$ .  
RGMIA research report collection, 4 (2).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/17392/>

# ON THE VALUE DISTRIBUTION OF $\varphi(z)f^{n-1}(z)f^{(k)}(z)$

KIT-WING YU

**Abstract** In this paper, the value distribution of  $\varphi(z)f^{n-1}(z)f^{(k)}(z)$  is studied, where  $f(z)$  is a transcendental meromorphic function,  $\varphi(z)(\neq 0)$  is a function such that  $T(r, \varphi) = o(T(r, f))$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $n$  and  $k$  are positive integers such that  $n = 1$  or  $n \geq k + 3$ . This generalizes a result of Hiong.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULT

In 1940, Milloux [5] showed that

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a non-constant meromorphic function and  $k$  be a positive integer. Further, let*

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i(z) f^{(i)}(z),$$

where  $a_i(z) (i = 0, 1, \dots, k)$  are small functions of  $f(z)$ . Then we have

$$m\left(r, \frac{\phi}{f}\right) = S(r, f)$$

and

$$T(r, \phi) \leq (k + 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

From this, it is easily for us to derive the following inequality which states a relationship between  $T(r, f)$  and the 1-point of the derivatives of  $f$ . For the proof, please see [4], [7] or [8],

**Theorem B.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a non-constant meromorphic function and  $k$  be a positive integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) \\ &\quad - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

---

*Date:* April 30, 2001.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30D35, 30A10.

*Key words and phrases.* derivatives, inequality, meromorphic functions, small functions, value distribution.

This paper is typeset using  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ .

In fact, the above estimate involves the consideration of the zeros and poles of  $f(z)$ . Then a natural question is: Is it possible to use only the counting functions of the zeros of  $f(z)$  and an  $a$ -point of  $f^{(k)}(z)$  to estimate the function  $T(r, f)$ ? Hiong proved that the answer to this question is yes. Actually, Hiong [6] obtained the following inequality

**Theorem C.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a non-constant meromorphic function. Further, let  $a, b$  and  $c$  be three finite complex numbers such that  $b \neq 0, c \neq 0$  and  $b \neq c$ . Then*

$$T(r, f) < N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-b}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-c}\right) \\ - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r, f)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Following this idea, a natural question to Theorem C is: Can we extend the three complex numbers to small functions of  $f(z)$ ? In [9], by studying the zeros of the function  $f(z)f'(z) - c(z)$ , where  $c(z)$  is a small function of  $f(z)$ , the author generalized the above inequality under an extra condition on the derivatives of  $f^{(k)}(z)$ . In fact, we have

**Theorem D.** *Suppose that  $f(z)$  is a transcendental meromorphic function and that  $\varphi(z) (\neq 0)$  is a meromorphic function such that  $T(r, \varphi) = o(T(r, f))$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then for any finite non-zero distinct complex numbers  $b$  and  $c$  and any positive integer  $k$  such that  $\varphi(z)f^{(k)}(z) \neq \text{constant}$ , we have*

$$T(r, f) < N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{(k)}-b}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{(k)}-c}\right) \\ - N(r, f) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{(\varphi f^{(k)})'}\right) + S(r, f)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

In this paper, we are going to show that Theorem D is still valid for all positive integers  $k$ . As a result, this generalizes Theorem C to small functions completely. More generally, we show that

**Theorem.** *Suppose that  $f(z)$  is a transcendental meromorphic function and that  $\varphi(z) (\neq 0)$  is a meromorphic function such that  $T(r, \varphi) = o(T(r, f))$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Suppose further that  $b$  and  $c$  are any finite non-zero distinct complex numbers, and  $k$  and  $n$  are positive integers. If  $n = 1$  or  $n \geq k + 3$ , then we have*

$$T(r, f) < N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \frac{1}{n} \left[ N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}-b}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}-c}\right) \right] \\ - \frac{1}{n} \left[ N(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{(\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)})'}\right) \right] + S(r, f) \quad (1)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

If  $f(z)$  is entire, then (1) is true for all positive integers  $n(\neq 2)$ .

As an immediate application of our theorem, we have

**Corollary 1.** *If we take  $n = 1$  in the theorem, then we have Theorem D.*

**Corollary 2.** *If we take  $n = 1$ ,  $\varphi(z) \equiv 1$  and  $f(z) = g(z) - a$ , where  $a$  is any complex number, then we obtain Theorem C.*

**Remark 1.** We shall remark that our main theorem and corollaries are also valid if  $f(z)$  is rational since  $\varphi(z) \equiv \text{constant}$  and  $\varphi(z)f^{n-1}(z)f^{(k)}(z) \not\equiv \text{constant}$  in this case.

Here, we assume that the readers are familiar with the basic concepts of the Nevanlinna value distribution theory and the notations  $m(r, f)$ ,  $N(r, f)$ ,  $\bar{N}(r, f)$ ,  $T(r, f)$ ,  $S(r, f)$  and etc., see e.g. [1].

## 2. LEMMAE

For the proof of the main result, we need the following three lemmatae.

**Lemma 1.** [3] *If  $F(z)$  is a transcendental meromorphic function and  $K > 1$ , then there exists a set  $M(K)$  of upper logarithmic density at most*

$$\delta(K) = \min\{(2e^{K-1} - 1)^{-1}, (1 + e(K-1)) \exp(e(1-K))\}$$

such that for every positive integer  $q$ ,

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin M(K)} \frac{T(r, F)}{T(r, F^{(q)})} \leq 3eK. \quad (2)$$

If  $F(z)$  is entire, then we can replace  $3eK$  by  $2eK$  in (2).

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that  $f(z)$  is a transcendental meromorphic function and that  $\varphi(z) (\not\equiv 0)$  is a meromorphic function such that  $T(r, \varphi) = o(T(r, f))$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Suppose further that  $k$  and  $n$  are positive integers. If  $n = 1$  or  $n \geq k + 3$ , then  $\varphi(z)f^{n-1}(z)f^{(k)}(z) \not\equiv \text{constant}$ .*

**Proof:** Without loss of generality, we suppose that the constant is 1. If  $n = 1$ , then  $\varphi f^{(k)} \equiv 1$ . Hence,  $T(r, \varphi) = T(r, f^{(k)}) + O(1)$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  and this implies that

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin M(K)} \frac{T(r, f)}{T(r, f^{(k)})} = \infty.$$

This contradicts Lemma (1).

If  $n \geq k + 3$ , then  $T(r, \varphi f^{(k)}) = (n-1)T(r, f)$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  and

$$(n-1)T(r, f) \leq T(r, f^{(k)}) + S(r, f) \quad (3)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . On the other hand,

$$T(r, f^{(k)}) \leq (k+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \quad (4)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . By (3) and (4), we have  $n \leq k+2$ , a contradiction.

Hence, we have  $\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)} \not\equiv \text{constant}$  in both cases and the lemma is proven.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $f(z)$  is entire, then  $\varphi(z)f^{n-1}(z)f^{(k)}(z) \not\equiv \text{constant}$  for all positive integers  $n(\neq 2)$  and  $k$ .*

**Proof:** For the case  $n=1$ , we still have  $T(r, \varphi) = T(r, f^{(k)}) + O(1)$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , so a contradiction to Lemma (1) again.

For  $n \geq 3$ , instead of (4), we have

$$T(r, f^{(k)}) \leq T(r, f) + S(r, f) \quad (5)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

So by (3) and (5), we have  $n \leq 2$ , a contradiction.

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

**Proof:** First of all, by the given conditions and Lemma 2, we know that  $\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)} \not\equiv \text{constant}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore, we have

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^n}\right) \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) + O(1). \quad (6)$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^n}\right) &= T(r, \varphi f^n) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^n}\right) + O(1), \\ m\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}}\right) &= T(r, \varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}}\right) + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

and (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \varphi f^n) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^n}\right) + T(r, \varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}}\right) \\ &\quad + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Since  $\varphi(z)f^{n-1}(z)f^{(k)} \not\equiv \text{constant}$ , from the second fundamental theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}) &< N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)} - b}\right) + \\ &\quad N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)} - c}\right) - N_1(r) + S(r, \varphi f^{(k)}) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , where  $b$  and  $c$  are two non-zero distinct complex numbers and, as usual,  $N_1(r)$  is defined as

$$N_1(r) = 2N(r, \varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}) - N(r, (\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)})') + N\left(r, \frac{1}{(\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)})'}\right).$$

Let  $z_0$  be a pole of order  $p \geq 1$  of  $f$ . Then  $f^{n-1} f^{(k)}$  and  $(f^{n-1} f^{(k)})'$  have a pole of order  $k + np$  and  $k + np + 1$  at  $z_0$  respectively. Thus  $2(k + np) - (k + np + 1) = k + np - 1 \geq p$  and

$$N_1(r) \geq N(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{(\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)})'}\right) + S(r, f). \quad (9)$$

It is clear that  $S(r, f^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$  and  $m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) = S(r, f)$ . Thus by (7), (8) and (9),

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \varphi f^n) &< N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^n}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)} - b}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)} - c}\right) \\ &\quad - N(r, f) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{(\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)})'}\right) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since  $T(r, \varphi) = o(T(r, f))$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have the desired result.

If  $f$  is entire, then by Lemma (??), we still have  $\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)} \not\equiv \text{constant}$  for all positive integers  $n (\neq 2)$ , (8) and (9). Thus the same argument can be applied and the same result is obtained.

#### 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND A CONJECTURE

**Remark 2.** We expect that our theorem is also valid for the case  $n = 2$  if  $f(z)$  is entire.

**Remark 3.** In [10], Zhang studied the value distribution of  $\varphi(z)f(z)f'(z)$  and he obtained the following result: *If  $f(z)$  is a non-constant meromorphic function and  $\varphi(z)$  is a non-zero meromorphic function such that  $T(r, \varphi) = S(r, f)$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , then*

$$T(r, f) < \frac{9}{2}\overline{N}(r, f) + \frac{9}{2}\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f f' - 1}\right) + S(r, f)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Hence, by this remark, we expect the following conjecture would be true.

**Conjecture.** *Let  $n$  and  $k$  be positive integers. If  $n = 1$  or  $n \geq k + 3$ ,  $f(z)$  is a non-constant meromorphic function and  $\varphi(z)$  is a non-zero meromorphic function such that  $T(r, \varphi) = S(r, f)$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , then*

$$T(r, f) < \frac{9}{2}\overline{N}(r, f) + \frac{9}{2}\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + S(r, f)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1964.
- [2] W. K. Hayman, Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives, Ann. Math. 70 (1959), 9-42.
- [3] W. K. Hayman and J. Miles, On the growth of a meromorphic function and its derivatives, Complex Variables 12 (1989), 245-260.
- [4] H. Milloux, Extension d'un théorème de M. R. Nevanlinna et applications, Act. Scient. et Ind. no.888, 1940.
- [5] H. Milloux, Les fonctions méromorphes et leurs dérivées, Paris, 1940.
- [6] K. L. Hiong, Sur la limitation de  $T(r, f)$  sans intervention des pôles, Bull. Sci. Math., 80 (1956), 175-190.
- [7] L. Yang, Value distribution theory and its new researches (Chinese), Beijing, 1982.
- [8] H. X. Yi and C. C. Yang, On the uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions (Chinese), Science Press, China, 1996.
- [9] K. W. Yu, A note on the product of a meromorphic function and its derivative, to appear in Kodai Math. J.
- [10] Q. D. Zhang, On the value distribution of  $\varphi(z)f(z)f'(z)$  (Chinese), Acta Math. Sinica 37 (1994), 91-97.

UNITED CHRISTIAN COLLEGE, 9 & 11 TONG YAM STREET, TAI HANG TUNG., SHAMSHUIPO, KOWLOON, HONG KONG, CHINA

*E-mail address:* maykw00@alumni.ust.hk or kitwing@hotmail.com