



**VICTORIA UNIVERSITY**  
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

*Generalizations of Weighted Ostrowski Type  
Inequalities for Mappings of Bounded Variation and  
their Applications*

This is the Published version of the following publication

Tseng, Kuei-Lin, Hwang, Shiow-Ru and Dragomir, Sever S (2006)  
Generalizations of Weighted Ostrowski Type Inequalities for Mappings of  
Bounded Variation and their Applications. Research report collection, 9 (1).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/17469/>

# GENERALIZATIONS OF WEIGHTED OSTROWSKI TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR MAPPINGS OF BOUNDED VARIATION AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

KUEI-LIN TSENG, SHIOW RU HWANG, AND S.S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish some generalizations of weighted Ostrowski type Inequalities, and give several applications for  $r$ -moments, expectation of a continuous random variable and the Beta mapping.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this section, let  $a < b$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $I_n : a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b$  be a partition of the interval  $[a, b]$ ,  $\xi_i \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ),  $l_i := x_{i+1} - x_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) and  $\nu(l) = \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} l_i$ .

The *Ostrowski's inequality* [10, p. 469], states that if  $f'$  exists and is bounded on  $(a, b)$ , then, for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , we have the inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - f(x)(b-a) \right| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{4}(b-a)^2 + \left( x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^2 \right] \|f'\|_\infty,$$

where

$$\|f'\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in (a,b)} |f'(t)| < \infty.$$

Now if  $f$  is as above, then we can approximate the integral  $\int_a^b f(t) dt$  by the *Ostrowski quadrature formula*  $A_O(f, I_n, \xi)$ , having an error given by  $R_O(f, I_n, \xi)$ , where

$$A_O(f, I_n, \xi) := \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i) l_i,$$

and the remainder satisfies the estimation

$$|R_O(f, I_n, \xi)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{1}{4} l_i^2 + \left( \xi_i - \frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2} \right)^2 \right] \|f'\|_\infty.$$

For some recent results which generalize, improve and extend this classic inequality (1.1), see the papers [2, 3, 8, 9].

Recently, Dragomir [2] proved the following two Ostrowski type inequalities for mappings of bounded variation:

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15, 26D10.

*Key words and phrases.* Ostrowski type inequalities, Bounded variation.

The first author is supported in part by grant NSC 93-2115-M-156-002 of the National Science Council of Taiwan.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a mapping of bounded variation. Then

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t)dt - f(x)(b-a) \right| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2}(b-a) + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , where  $\bigvee_a^b(f)$  denotes the total variation of  $f$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ . The constant  $\frac{1}{2}$  is the best possible.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $A_O(f, I_n, \xi)$  and  $R_O(f, I_n, \xi)$  be as above and let  $f$  and  $\bigvee_a^b(f)$  be defined as in Theorem 1, then we have

$$\int_a^b f(t)dt = A_O(f, I_n, \xi) + R_O(f, I_n, \xi),$$

and the remainder term  $R_O(f, I_n, \xi)$  satisfies the estimation

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |R_O(f, I_n, \xi)| &\leq \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2}l_i + \left| \xi_i - \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_a^b(f) \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{2}\nu(l) + \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} \left| \xi_i - \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_a^b(f) \\ &\leq \nu(l) \bigvee_a^b(f). \end{aligned}$$

The constant  $\frac{1}{2}$  is sharp in (1.3).

The *Simpson's inequality*, states that if  $f^{(4)}$  exists and is bounded on  $(a, b)$ , then

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t)dt - \frac{b-a}{3} \left[ \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} + 2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] \right| \leq \frac{(b-a)^5}{2880} \|f^{(4)}\|_\infty,$$

where

$$\|f^{(4)}\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in (a,b)} |f^{(4)}(t)| < \infty.$$

Let  $f$  be as above, then we can approximate the integral  $\int_a^b f(t)dt$  by the *Simpson's quadrature formula*  $A_S(f, I_n)$ , having an error given by  $R_S(f, I_n)$ , where

$$A_S(f, I_n) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{l_i}{3} \left[ \frac{f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} + 2f\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}\right) \right],$$

and the remainder satisfies the estimation

$$|R_S(f, I_n)| \leq \frac{1}{2880} \|f^{(4)}\|_\infty \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} l_i^5.$$

For some recent results which generalize, improve and extend this classic inequality (1.4), see the papers [4] – [7], [12] – [14].

Recently, Dragomir [6] proved the following two Simpson type inequalities for mappings of bounded variation:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f$  and  $\bigvee_a^b(f)$  be defined as in Theorem 2. Then

$$(1.5) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t)dt - \frac{b-a}{3} \left[ \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} + 2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] \right| \leq \frac{1}{3}(b-a) \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

The constant  $\frac{1}{3}$  is the best possible.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $A_S(f, I_n)$  and  $R_S(f, I_n)$  be as above and let  $f$  and  $V_a^b(f)$  be defined as in Theorem 3, then we have

$$\int_a^b f(t)dt = A_S(f, I_n) + R_S(f, I_n)$$

and the remainder term  $R_S(f, I_n)$  satisfies the estimation

$$(1.6) \quad |R_S(f, I_n)| \leq \frac{1}{3} \nu(l) \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

The constant  $\frac{1}{3}$  is the best possible.

In this paper, we establish weighted generalizations of Theorems 1 – 4, and give several applications for  $r$ -moments, expectation of a continuous random variable and the Beta mapping.

## 2. SOME INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES

**Theorem 5.** Let  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be continuous and positive on  $(a, b)$  and let  $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable such that  $h'(t) = g(t)$  on  $[a, b]$ . Let  $c = h^{-1}\left(\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2}h(b)\right)$  and  $d = h^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}h(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(b)\right)$ . Suppose that  $f$  and  $V_a^b(f)$  are defined as in Theorem 4. Then, for all  $x \in [c, d]$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt - \left[ (1 - \alpha)f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_a^b g(t)dt \right| \leq K \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f),$$

where

$$K := \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \int_a^b g(t)dt + \left| h(x) - \frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2} \right|, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \int_a^b g(t)dt + \left| h(x) - \frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_a^b g(t)dt \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_a^b g(t)dt, & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $V_a^b(f)$  denotes the total variation of  $f$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ . In (2.1), the constant  $\frac{\alpha}{2}$  as  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and the constant  $\frac{1-\alpha}{2}$  as  $\frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1$  are the best possible.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in [c, d]$ . Define

$$s(t) := \begin{cases} h(t) - \left[ \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2}h(b) \right], & t \in [a, x] \\ h(t) - \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2}h(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(b) \right], & t \in [x, b] \end{cases}.$$

Using integration by parts, we have the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_a^b s(t) df(t) \\
 &= \left[ h(t) - \left[ \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h(b) \right] \right] \cdot f(t) \Big|_{t=a}^{t=x} - \int_a^x f(t) g(t) dt \\
 &\quad + \left[ h(t) - \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2} h(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h(b) \right] \right] \cdot f(t) \Big|_{t=x}^{t=b} - \int_x^b f(t) g(t) dt \\
 &= \left[ (1 - \alpha) f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] [h(b) - h(a)] - \int_a^b f(t) g(t) dt \\
 (2.2) \quad &= \left[ (1 - \alpha) f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_a^b g(t) dt - \int_a^b f(t) g(t) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is well known [1, p. 159] that if  $\mu, \nu : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are such that  $\mu$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and  $\nu$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ , then  $\int_a^b \mu(t) d\nu(t)$  exists and [1, p. 177]

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \int_a^b \mu(t) d\nu(t) \right| \leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |\mu(x)| \bigvee_a^b(\nu).$$

Now, using (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad & \left| \int_a^b f(t) g(t) dt - \left[ (1 - \alpha) f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| \\
 & \leq \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |s(t)| \bigvee_a^b(f).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h(t) - \left[ \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h(b) \right]$  is increasing on the interval  $[a, x)$ ,  $h(t) - \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2} h(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h(b) \right]$  is increasing on the interval  $[x, b]$ ,  $\max\{\sigma, \rho\} = \frac{\sigma + \rho}{2} + \frac{1}{2} |\sigma - \rho|$  for  $\sigma, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\left| h(x) - \frac{h(a) + h(b)}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{2} (h(b) - h(a)) = \frac{1 - \alpha}{2} \int_a^b g(t) dt,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |s(t)| \\
 &= \max \left\{ h(x) - \left[ \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h(b) \right], \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2} h(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h(b) \right] - h(x), \frac{\alpha}{2} [h(b) - h(a)] \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \frac{1 - \alpha}{2} [h(b) - h(a)] + \left| h(x) - \frac{h(a) + h(b)}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} [h(b) - h(a)] \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \frac{1 - \alpha}{2} \int_a^b g(t) dt + \left| h(x) - \frac{h(a) + h(b)}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_a^b g(t) dt \right\} \\
 (2.5) \quad &= K.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain (2.1).

Suppose  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . We assume that the inequality (2.1) holds with a constant  $C_1 > 0$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt - \left[ (1-\alpha)f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \right] \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| \\ \leq \left[ C_1 \int_a^b g(t) dt + \left| h(x) - \frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{as } t \in [a, b] \setminus \left\{ h^{-1} \left( \frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2} \right) \right\} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{as } t = h^{-1} \left( \frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2} \right) \end{cases}.$$

Then  $f$  is with bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ , and

$$\int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt = 0, \quad \bigvee_a^b(f) = 1$$

and for  $x = h^{-1} \left( \frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2} \right)$ , we get in (2.1)

$$\frac{1-\alpha}{2} \leq C_1,$$

which implies the constant  $\frac{1-\alpha}{2}$  is the best possible.

Suppose  $\frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . We assume that the inequality (2.1) holds with a constant  $C_2 > 0$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt - \left[ (1-\alpha)f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \right] \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| \\ \leq C_2 \int_a^b g(t) dt \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{as } t \in [a, b) \\ 1 & \text{as } t = b \end{cases}.$$

Then  $f$  is with bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  and

$$\int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt = 0, \quad \bigvee_a^b(f) = 1,$$

we get in (2.1)

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \leq C_2$$

which implies the constant  $\frac{\alpha}{2}$  is the best possible.

This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

Under the conditions of Theorem 5, we have the following remarks and corollaries.

**Remark 1.**

- (1) If we choose  $\alpha = 0$  and  $g(t) \equiv 1, h(t) = t$  on  $[a, b]$ , then the inequality (2.1) reduces to (1.2).
- (2) If we choose  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}, g(t) \equiv 1, h(t) = t$  on  $[a, b]$  and  $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ , then the inequality (2.1) reduces to (1.5).
- (3) If we choose  $\alpha = 0$ , then for all  $x \in [a, b]$  the inequality (2.1) reduces to the following inequality

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt - f(x) \cdot \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g(t) dt + \left| h(x) - \frac{h(a) + h(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f),$$

which is the “weighted Ostrowski” inequality.

- (4) If we choose  $\alpha = 1$ , then the inequality (2.1) reduces to the following inequality

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g(t) dt \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

which is the “weighted trapezoid” inequality.

- (5) If we choose  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $x = h^{-1}\left(\frac{h(a) + h(b)}{2}\right)$ , then the inequality (2.1) reduces to the following inequality

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt - \left[ \frac{2}{3}f(x) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{3} \int_a^b g(t) dt \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

which is the “weighted Simpson” inequality.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, f \in C^{(1)}[a, b]$ . Then we have the inequality

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt - \left[ (1 - \alpha)f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| \leq K \cdot \|f'\|_1$$

for all  $x \in [c, d]$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_1$  is the  $L_1$ -norm, namely

$$\|f'\|_1 := \int_a^b |f'(t)| dt.$$

**Corollary 2.** Let  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Lipschitzian mapping with the constant  $L > 0$ . Then we have the inequality

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt - \left[ (1 - \alpha)f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| \leq KL(b - a)$$

for all  $x \in [c, d]$ .

**Corollary 3.** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a monotonic mapping. Then we have the inequality

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt - \left[ (1 - \alpha)f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| \leq K \cdot |f(b) - f(a)|$$

for all  $x \in [c, d]$ .

**Remark 2.** The following inequality is well-known in the literature as the Bullen's inequality [11, p. 141]:

$$(2.6) \quad \int_a^b f(t)dt \leq \frac{b-a}{2} \left[ f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \right] \leq (b-a) \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2},$$

where  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex. Using the above results and (2.1), letting  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $g(t) \equiv 1$  on  $[a, b]$ ,  $h(t) = t$  on  $[a, b]$ ,  $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ , we obtain the following error bound of the first inequality in (2.6),

$$0 \leq \frac{b-a}{2} \left[ f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \right] - \int_a^b f(t)dt \leq \frac{1}{4} (b-a) \bigvee_a^b(f),$$

provided that  $f$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ .

### 3. APPLICATIONS FOR QUADRATURE FORMULA

Throughout this section, let  $a < b$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\alpha, g$  and  $h$  be defined as in Theorem 5. Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $I_n : a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$  and  $c_i = h^{-1}\left(\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(x_i) + \frac{\alpha}{2}h(x_{i+1})\right)$ ,  $d_i = h^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}h(x_i) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(x_{i+1})\right)$  and  $\zeta_i \in [c_i, d_i]$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ). Put  $L_i := h(x_{i+1}) - h(x_i) = \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} g(t)dt$  and define the sum

$$A_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[ (1-\alpha) f(\zeta_i) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} \right] L_i$$

and

$$R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta) = \int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt - A_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta).$$

We have the following approximation of the integral  $\int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $f$  be defined as in Theorem 5 and let

$$\int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt = A_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta) + R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta),$$

then, the remainder term  $R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)$  satisfies the estimation

$$\begin{aligned} |R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K_i \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}(f) \\ &\leq M_1 \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f) \\ &\leq M_2 \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f) \\ &\leq M_3 \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$



where

$$\begin{aligned}
K_i &:= \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha}{2} L_i + \left| h(\zeta_i) - \frac{h(x_i) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right|, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} L_i + \left| h(\zeta_i) - \frac{h(x_i) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} L_i \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} L_i, & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases} \\
&\quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1), \\
M_1 &:= \begin{cases} \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} L_i + \left| h(\zeta_i) - \frac{h(x_i) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right| \right\}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \nu(L) + \left| h(\zeta_i) - \frac{h(x_i) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} \nu(L) \right\} \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} \nu(L), & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}, \\
M_2 &:= \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \nu(L) + \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} \left| h(\zeta_i) - \frac{h(x_i) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right|, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \nu(L) + \left| h(\zeta_i) - \frac{h(x_i) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} \nu(L) \right\} \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} \nu(L), & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}, \\
M_3 &:= \begin{cases} (1-\alpha) \nu(L), & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} \nu(L), & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases},
\end{aligned}$$

and  $\nu(L) := \max \{L_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . In the third inequality of (3.1), the constant  $\frac{\alpha}{2}$  as  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and the constant  $\frac{1-\alpha}{2}$  as  $\frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1$  are the best possible.

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 5 on the intervals  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) to get

$$\left| \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(t)g(t) dt - \left[ (1-\alpha) f(\zeta_i) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} \right] L_i \right| \leq K_i \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}(f),$$

for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

Using this and the generalized triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(t)g(t) dt - \left[ (1-\alpha) f(\zeta_i) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} \right] L_i \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K_i \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}(f) \\
& \leq \left( \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} K_i \right) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}(f) = M_1 \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f) \leq M_2 \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f)
\end{aligned}$$

and the first inequality, second inequality and third inequality in (3.1) are proved.

For the fourth inequality in (3.1), we observe that

$$\left| h(\zeta_i) - \frac{h(x_i) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \cdot L_i \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1);$$

and then

$$\max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} \left| h(\zeta_i) - \frac{h(x_i) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \nu(L)$$

and  $M_2 \leq M_3$ . Thus the theorem is proved. ■

Under the conditions of Theorem 6, we have the following remarks and corollaries.

**Remark 3.**

- (1) If we choose  $\alpha = 0$  and  $g(t) \equiv 1, h(t) = t$  on  $[a, b]$  and  $\zeta_i = \zeta_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ), then the inequality (3.1) reduces to (1.3).
- (2) If we choose  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}, g(t) \equiv 1, h(t) = t$  on  $[a, b]$  and  $\zeta_i = \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ), then the third inequality in (3.1) reduces to (1.6).

**Corollary 4.** In Theorem 6, let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Lipschitzian mapping with the constant  $L > 0$  and choose  $\zeta_i := h^{-1} \left( \frac{h(x_i) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right)$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ). Then

$$M_1 := \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2} \nu(L), & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} \nu(L), & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and we have the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt &= A_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta) + R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[ (1-\alpha) f(\zeta_i) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} \right] L_i + R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta) \end{aligned}$$

and the remainder satisfies the estimation

$$|R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)| \leq M_1 L(b-a).$$

**Corollary 5.** In Theorem 6, let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a monotonic mapping and let  $\zeta_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) and  $M_1$  be defined as in Corollary 4. Then the remainder  $R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)$  satisfies the estimation

$$|R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)| \leq M_1 \cdot |f(b) - f(a)|.$$

The case of equidistant divisions is embodied in the following corollary and remark:

**Corollary 6.** Suppose that

$$x_i := h^{-1} \left[ h(a) + \frac{i(h(b) - h(a))}{n} \right] \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &:= h(x_{i+1}) - h(x_i) \\ &= \frac{h(b) - h(a)}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \int_a^b g(t) dt \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1). \end{aligned}$$

In Theorem 6, let  $\zeta_i = h^{-1} \left( \frac{h(x_i) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right)$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ), then

$$M_1 := \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2n} \int_a^b g(t) dt, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2n} \int_a^b g(t) dt, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and we have the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt &= A_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta) + R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_a^b g(t) dt \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[ (1-\alpha) f(\zeta_i) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} \right] L_i \\ &\quad + R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta) \end{aligned}$$

and the remainder satisfies the estimate

$$|R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)| \leq M_1 \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

**Remark 4.** If we want to approximate the integral  $\int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt$  by  $A_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)$  with an accuracy less than  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we need at least  $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  points for the partition  $I_n$ , where

$$K_\varepsilon := \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2\varepsilon} \int_a^b g(t) dt, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2\varepsilon} \int_a^b g(t) dt, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}, \quad n_\varepsilon := \left\lceil K_\varepsilon \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f) \right\rceil + 1$$

and  $[r]$  denotes the Gaussian integer of  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### 4. SOME INEQUALITIES FOR RANDOM VARIABLES

Throughout this section, let  $0 < a < b$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $X$  be a continuous random variable having the continuous probability density function  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which is positive on  $(a, b)$  and assume that the  $r$ -moment

$$E_r(X) := \int_a^b t^r g(t) dt,$$

is finite.

**Theorem 7.** *The inequality*

$$(4.1) \quad \left| E_r(X) - \left[ (1-\alpha) \cdot \left( h^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \right)^r + \alpha \cdot \frac{a^r + b^r}{2} \right] \right| \leq \overline{K} \cdot |b^r - a^r|$$

holds where  $h(t) = \int_a^t g(x) dx$  ( $t \in [a, b]$ ) and

$$\overline{K} := \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* If we put  $f(t) = t^r$ , and  $x = h^{-1} \left( \frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2} \right)$  in Corollary 3, then

$$\overline{K} = K = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and we obtain the inequality

$$(4.2) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt - \left[ (1-\alpha) \cdot f\left(h^{-1}\left(\frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2}\right)\right) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \right] \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| \leq \overline{K} \cdot |f(b) - f(a)|.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt &= E_r(X), \quad h(a) = 0, \quad h(b) = \int_a^b g(t) dt = 1, \\ \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} &= \frac{a^r+b^r}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad |f(b) - f(a)| = |b^r - a^r|, \end{aligned}$$

(4.1) follows from (4.2). ■

If we choose  $r = 1$  in Theorem 7, then we have the following remark:

**Remark 5.** *If  $E(X)$  is the expectation of the random variable  $X$ , then*

$$\left| E(X) - \left[ (1-\alpha) \cdot h^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \alpha \cdot \frac{a+b}{2} \right] \right| \leq \overline{K} \cdot (b-a).$$

## 5. AN INEQUALITY FOR THE BETA MAPPING

The following mapping is well-known in the literature as the *Beta mapping*:

$$\beta(p, q) := \int_0^1 t^{p-1} (1-t)^{q-1} dt, \quad p > 0, q > 0.$$

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $p > 0$ ,  $q > 1$  and  $n$  be a positive integer. Then the inequality*

$$(5.1) \quad \left| \beta(p, q) - \frac{1}{np} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{i}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{q-1} + \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{i+1}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{q-1} \right) + (1-\alpha) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{2i+1}{2n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{q-1} \right\} \right| \leq \overline{M}$$

holds where

$$\overline{M} := \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2np}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2np}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* If we put  $a = 0$ ,  $b = 1$ ,  $f(t) = (1-t)^{q-1}$ ,  $g(t) = t^{p-1}$  and  $h(t) = \frac{t^p}{p}$  ( $t \in [0, 1]$ ) in Corollary 6, then,  $\int_a^b g(t)dt = \frac{1}{p}$ ,  $h^{-1}(t) = (pt)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  ( $t \in [0, 1]$ ),  $x_i = \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ),  $\zeta_i = \frac{2i+1}{2np}$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ),  $V_a^b(f) = 1$  and  $\overline{M} = M_1$ , so that the inequality (5.1) holds. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. M. Apostol, *Mathematical Analysis*, Second Edition, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1975.
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings with bounded variation and applications, *Math. Inequal. Applics.*, **4**(1) (2001), 59–66.
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski's integral inequality for Lipschitzian mappings and applications, *Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math.*, **46**(1) (2001), 33–40.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, On Simpson's quadrature formula and applications, *Mathematica*, **43**(66)(2) (2001), 185–194.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, On Simpson's quadrature formula for Lipschitzian mappings and applications, *Soochow J. Math.*, **25**(2) (1999), 175–180.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, On Simpson's quadrature formula for mappings of bounded variation and applications, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **30**(1) (1999), 53–58.
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, R. P. Agarwal and P. Cerone, On Simpson's inequality and applications, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **5**(6) (2000), 533–579.
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, A generalization of Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings with bounded variation and applications in numerical integration, *Bull. Australian Math. Soc.*, accepted.
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, A new generalization of Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings whose derivatives are bounded and applications in numerical integration and for special means, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **11**(1) (1998), 105–109.
- [10] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A. M. Fink, *Inequalities for Functions and their Integrals and Derivatives*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.
- [11] J. E. Pečarić, F. Proschan, Y. L. Tong, *Convex Functions, Partial Orderings, and Statistical Applications*, Academic Press Inc., 1992.
- [12] J. Pečarić and S. Varošanec, A note on Simpson's inequality for Lipschitzian functions, *Soochow J. Math.*, **27**(1) (2001), 53–57.
- [13] J. Pečarić and S. Varošanec, A note on Simpson's inequality for functions of bounded variation, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **31**(3) (2000), 239–242.
- [14] N. Ujević, New bounds for Simpson's inequality, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **33**(2) (2002), 129–138.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ALETHEIA UNIVERSITY, TAMSUI, TAIWAN 25103  
*E-mail address*: `kltseng@email.au.edu.tw`

CHINA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NANKANG, TAIPEI, TAIWAN 11522

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,  
 PO Box 14428, MCMC, VICTORIA 8001, AUSTRALIA.  
*E-mail address*: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`  
*URL*: `http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/dragomir`