



**VICTORIA UNIVERSITY**  
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

*A Generalization of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality  
with Four Free Parameters and Applications*

This is the Published version of the following publication

Masjed-Jamei, Mohammad and Dragomir, Sever S (2008) A Generalization of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality with Four Free Parameters and Applications. Research report collection, 11 (2).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/17616/>

# A GENERALIZATION OF THE CAUCHY-SCHWARZ INEQUALITY WITH FOUR FREE PARAMETERS AND APPLICATIONS

MOHAMMAD MASJED-JAMEI AND SEVER S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. A generalization of the well-known Cauchy-Schwarz inequality with four free parameters is given for both discrete and continuous cases. Some particular cases of interest are also analyzed.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^n$  and  $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^n$  be two sequences of real numbers. It is well known that the discrete version of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality [3] can be stated as:

$$(1.1) \quad \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k \right)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2.$$

The equality case holds in (1.1) if and only if the sequences are proportional meaning that there exists a real number  $r$  so that  $a_k = r b_k$  for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

To date, a large number of generalizations and refinements of (1.1) have been mentioned in the literature, see for example the survey paper [4], the book [7] and the numerous references therein.

In this paper, we present a further generalization of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in terms of four free parameters and study some particular cases of interest.

## 2. A GENERALIZATION OF THE CAUCHY-SCHWARZ INEQUALITY

The first result is incorporated in:

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^n$  and  $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^n$  are two sequences of real numbers and  $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$  then*

$$(2.1) \quad \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k + A_1 \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k + B_1 \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 + C_1 \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right]^2 \\ \leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + A_2 \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k + B_2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 + C_2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right] \\ \times \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 + A_3 \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k + B_3 \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 + C_3 \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right],$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15, 26D20.

*Key words and phrases.* Generalization and refinement of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Wagner inequality.

in which the coefficients involved are defined in the following matrix equation

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 & C_1 \\ A_2 & B_2 & C_2 \\ A_3 & B_3 & C_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} p + s + ps + qr & r(1+p) & q(1+s) \\ 2q(1+p) & p(p+2) & q^2 \\ 2r(1+s) & r^2 & s(s+2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, the inequality (2.1) is equivalent to

$$(2.2) \quad \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k + \frac{p+s+ps+qr}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k + \frac{r(1+p)}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 + \frac{q(1+s)}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right]^2 \\ \leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (pa_k + qb_k) \sum_{k=1}^n ((p+2)a_k + qb_k) \right] \\ \times \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (ra_k + sb_k) \sum_{k=1}^n (ra_k + (s+2)b_k) \right],$$

and is a generalization of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for  $A_i = B_i = C_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . The equality holds if  $a_k = b_k$  and  $A_i = B_i = C_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

*Proof.* Let us define the positive quadratic polynomial  $Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$(2.3) \quad Q(x; p, q, r, s) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \left( a_k + \frac{p}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{q}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right) x + \left( b_k + \frac{r}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right) \right]^2,$$

in which  $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^n, \{b_k\}_{k=1}^n$  are real numbers. Since a simple calculation reveals that

$$(2.4) \quad Q(x; p, q, r, s) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( a_k + \frac{p}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{q}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 x^2 \\ + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \left( a_k + \frac{p}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{q}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right) \left( b_k + \frac{r}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right) x \\ + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( b_k + \frac{r}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \geq 0$$

for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the discriminant  $\Delta$  of  $Q$  must be negative, i.e.

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{4}\Delta = \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \left( a_k + \frac{p}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{q}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right) \left( b_k + \frac{r}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right) \right]^2 \\ - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \left( a_k + \frac{p}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{q}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right) \\ \times \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \left( b_k + \frac{r}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right) \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, the elements of  $\Delta/4$  can be simplified as follows:

$$(2.6a) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \left( a_k + \frac{p}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{q}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right) \left( b_k + \frac{r}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k + \frac{p+s+ps+qr}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \\ + \frac{r(1+p)}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 + \frac{q(1+s)}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2,$$

$$(2.6b) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \left( a_k + \frac{p}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{q}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \\ = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + \frac{2q(1+p)}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \\ + \frac{p(p+2)}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 + \frac{q^2}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2,$$

$$(2.6c) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \left( b_k + \frac{r}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \frac{s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \\ = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 + \frac{2r(1+s)}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \\ + \frac{r^2}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 + \frac{s(s+2)}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2.$$

So, by replacing the results (2.6) in inequality (2.5), the first part of Theorem 1 is proved.

To prove the second part (i.e. the equality condition) let us assume that  $b_k = va_k$  and substitute it into (2.1) to get

$$(2.7) \quad \left[ v \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + (C_1 v^2 + A_1 v + B_1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \right]^2 \\ = \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + (C_2 v^2 + A_2 v + B_2) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \right] \\ \times \left[ v^2 \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + (C_3 v^2 + A_3 v + B_3) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \right].$$

After some computations, the above equality leads to the nonlinear system

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} (C_1 v^2 + A_1 v + B_1)^2 = (C_2 v^2 + A_2 v + B_2)(C_3 v^2 + A_3 v + B_3), \\ 2v(C_1 v^2 + A_1 v + B_1) = v^2(C_2 v^2 + A_2 v + B_2) + (C_3 v^2 + A_3 v + B_3). \end{cases}$$

Obviously, one of the solutions of equation (2.8) is:  $A_i = B_i = C_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $v = 1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** *We can observe that there exist various sub-cases of inequality (2.1). However, due to page limitations, we only consider a particular case of (2.1) and investigate its sub-cases. Naturally, other special cases can be separately studied. The details are left to the interested reader.*

### 3. THE PARTICULAR CASE $B_1 = C_1 = 0$

A total of four cases can occur for the inequality (2.1) when  $B_1 = C_1 = 0$ . They are, respectively:

- (i)  $(r, q) = (0, 0)$ ,
- (ii)  $(r, s) = (0, -1)$ ,
- (iii)  $(p, q) = (-1, 0)$ ,
- (iv)  $(p, s) = (-1, -1)$ .

**3.1. Case  $q = r = 0$  and  $p, s \in R$  in (2.1).** In this case  $B_1 = C_1 = A_2 = C_2 = A_3 = B_3 = 0$  and the inequality (2.1) is reduced to

$$(3.1) \quad \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k + \frac{p+s+ps}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right]^2 \\ \leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + \frac{p(p+2)}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \right] \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 + \frac{s(s+2)}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right].$$

This inequality has some interesting sub-cases as follows:

**3.1.1. Sub-case 1.**  $p = s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2, 0)$  (**A generalization of the Wagner inequality**). The following inequality for sequences of real numbers is known in the literature as the Wagner inequality [9] (see also [6]):

Let  $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^n$  and  $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^n$  be two sequences of real numbers. If  $w \geq 0$  then

$$(3.2) \quad \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k + w \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right]^2 \leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + w \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \right] \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 + w \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right].$$

To obtain (3.2) it is enough in (3.1) to assume that

$$\frac{p+s+ps}{n} = \frac{p(p+2)}{n} = \frac{s(s+2)}{n} \geq 0,$$

which holds for  $p = s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2, 0)$  and gives the Wagner inequality for  $w = \frac{p(p+2)}{n} \geq 0$ .

Note that in (3.1) if  $p(p+2) \leq 0$  and  $s(s+2) \leq 0$ , then

$$(3.3) \quad \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k + \frac{p+s+ps}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right]^2 \leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + \frac{p(p+2)}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \right] \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 + \frac{s(s+2)}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right] \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 \right) \Leftrightarrow p \in [-2, 0] \quad \text{and} \quad s \in [-2, 0].$$

**3.1.2. Sub-case 2.**  $p = s \in [-2, 0]$  (**A refinement for the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality**). Suppose in (3.1) that  $p = s \in [-2, 0]$  and  $p(p+2) = u$ . Consequently  $u \in [-1, 0]$ . By noting these assumptions we can obtain a refinement for inequality (1.1). For this purpose, first the following inequality should be considered, which is directly provable via some algebraic computations

$$(3.4) \quad \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + \frac{u}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \right] \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 + \frac{u}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right] \leq \left[ \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{u}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right]^2,$$

because (3.4) eventually leads to

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{u}{n} \left[ \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right]^2 \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad u \in [-1, 0].$$

Hence, by referring to inequalities (3.1) and (3.4), one can at last conclude:

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^n$  and  $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^n$  be two positive sequences of real numbers and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k - \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right]^2 \\ & \leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 - \frac{\alpha}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \right] \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 - \frac{\alpha}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq \left[ \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right]^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k \right)^2 \\ & \leq \left( \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k + \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 - \frac{\alpha}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 - \frac{\alpha}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2} \right)^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2. \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds in (3.7) when  $b_k = \lambda a_k$  ( where  $\lambda$  is a constant).

For other refinements of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we refer the reader to [1] and [10].

**3.1.3. Sub-case 3.** It may be interesting to add that if  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{s} = -1$  for  $p, s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then (3.1) is reduced to

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k \right)^2 & \leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + \frac{p(p+2)}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \right] \\ & \quad \times \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 + \frac{s(s+2)}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $p = s = -2$  gives the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

3.2. **Case  $r = 0$ ,  $s = -1$  and  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  in (2.1).** In this case  $B_1 = C_1 = A_3 = B_3 = 0$  and the inequality (2.1) is reduced to:

$$(3.9) \quad \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right]^2 \\ \leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right] \\ \times \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n (p+1)a_k + qb_k \right)^2 \right].$$

However, since

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \geq 0,$$

the best option for  $p, q$  in (3.9) is when  $p = -1$  and  $q = 0$ . Furthermore, note that the third mentioned case, i.e.  $p = -1$ ,  $q = 0$  and  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ , gives the same result as in (3.9).

3.3. **Case  $p = s = -1$  and  $q, r \in \mathbb{R}$  in (2.1).** In this case  $B_1 = C_1 = A_2 = A_3 = 0$  and the inequality (2.1) is reduced to

$$(3.11) \quad \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k + \frac{qr-1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right]^2 \\ \leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 + \frac{q^2}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right] \\ \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 + \frac{r^2}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \right].$$

An interesting case in (3.11) is when  $q = r = 1$ , i.e.

$$(3.12) \quad \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k \right)^2 \leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \right\} \right] \\ \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 + \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right\} \right].$$

#### 4. A GENERALIZATION OF THE CAUCHY-BUNYAKOVSKY INEQUALITY

In a similar manner, the integral version of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, which is known in the literature as the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky inequality [2] and has the form

$$(4.1) \quad \left( \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx \right)^2 \leq \int_a^b f^2(x)dx \int_a^b g^2(x)dx,$$



can also be generalized as follows.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two integrable functions on  $[a, b]$  and  $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, the following inequality holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad & \left[ \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx + A_1^* \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx \right. \\
& \left. + B_1^* \left( \int_a^b f(x)dx \right)^2 + C_1^* \left( \int_a^b g(x)dx \right)^2 \right]^2 \\
& \leq \left[ \int_a^b f^2(x)dx + A_2^* \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx \right. \\
& \left. + B_2^* \left( \int_a^b f(x)dx \right)^2 + C_2^* \left( \int_a^b g(x)dx \right)^2 \right] \\
& \quad \times \left[ \int_a^b g^2(x)dx + A_3^* \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx \right. \\
& \quad \left. + B_3^* \left( \int_a^b f(x)dx \right)^2 + C_3^* \left( \int_a^b g(x)dx \right)^2 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

in which

$$M^* = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^* & B_1^* & C_1^* \\ A_2^* & B_2^* & C_2^* \\ A_3^* & B_3^* & C_3^* \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{b-a} \begin{pmatrix} p+s+ps+qr & r(1+p) & q(1+s) \\ 2q(1+p) & p(p+2) & q^2 \\ 2r(1+s) & r^2 & s(s+2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, the inequality (4.2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad & \left[ \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx + \frac{p+s+ps+qr}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{r(1+p)}{b-a} \left( \int_a^b f(x)dx \right)^2 + \frac{q(1+s)}{b-a} \left( \int_a^b g(x)dx \right)^2 \right]^2 \\
& \leq \left[ \int_a^b f^2(x)dx + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (pf(x) + qg(x))dx \int_a^b ((p+2)f(x) + qg(x))dx \right] \\
& \quad \times \left[ \int_a^b g^2(x)dx + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (rf(x) + sg(x))dx \int_a^b (rf(x) + (s+2)g(x))dx \right],
\end{aligned}$$

and is a generalization of the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky inequality for  $A_i^* = B_i^* = C_i^* = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . The equality holds if  $f(x) = g(x)$  and  $A_i^* = B_i^* = C_i^*$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

Although the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1, by defining the positive quadratic polynomial

$$(4.4) \quad R(x; p, q, r, s) = \int_a^b \left[ \left( f(t) + \frac{p}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx + \frac{q}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right) x \right. \\ \left. + \left( g(t) + \frac{r}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx + \frac{s}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right) \right]^2 dt \geq 0,$$

we should however note that the following relations are to be used in the proof:

$$(4.5) \quad \int_a^b \left( f(x) + \frac{p}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx + \frac{q}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right) \\ \times \left( g(x) + \frac{r}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx + \frac{s}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right) dx \\ = \int_a^b f(x)g(x) dx + \frac{p+s+ps+qr}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \int_a^b g(x) dx \\ + \frac{r(1+p)}{b-a} \left( \int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^2 + \frac{q(1+s)}{b-a} \left( \int_a^b g(x) dx \right)^2,$$

and

$$\int_a^b \left( f(x) + \frac{p}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx + \frac{q}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right)^2 dx \\ = \int_a^b f^2(x) dx + \frac{2q(1+p)}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \int_a^b g(x) dx \\ + \frac{p(p+2)}{b-a} \left( \int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^2 + \frac{q^2}{b-a} \left( \int_a^b g(x) dx \right)^2,$$

and

$$\int_a^b \left( g(x) + \frac{r}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx + \frac{s}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right)^2 dx \\ = \int_a^b g^2(x) dx + \frac{2r(1+s)}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \int_a^b g(x) dx \\ + \frac{r^2}{b-a} \left( \int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^2 + \frac{s(s+2)}{b-a} \left( \int_a^b g(x) dx \right)^2,$$

respectively. Moreover, we note that all the mentioned sub-cases for inequality (2.1) could similarly be considered for the continuous case (4.2).

For the sake of completeness, we can state, for instance, the following result:

**Corollary 2** (A refinement of the Cauchy- Bunyakovsky inequality).

Let  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two positive integrable functions on  $[a, b]$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad & \left( \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx \right)^2 \\
& \leq \left( \frac{\alpha}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx + \sqrt{\int_a^b f^2(x)dx - \frac{\alpha}{b-a} \left( \int_a^b f(x)dx \right)^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \sqrt{\int_a^b g^2(x)dx - \frac{\alpha}{b-a} \left( \int_a^b g(x)dx \right)^2} \right)^2 \\
& \leq \int_a^b f^2(x)dx \int_a^b g^2(x)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

### 5. A UNIFIED APPROACH FOR THE CLASSIFICATION OF (2.1) AND (4.2)

As we observed in the previous sections, there were respectively two special matrices  $M$  and  $M^*$  for inequalities (2.1) and (4.2) having 9 elements. Hence, each sub-case of these two inequalities can be characterized by  $M$  or  $M^*$  directly. For instance, the discrete inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.1) \quad & \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k - \frac{s+2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{k=1}^n b_k - \frac{r}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \right]^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (ra_k + sb_k) \sum_{k=1}^n (ra_k + (s+2)b_k) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which is a generalization of (1.1) for  $r = 0$  and  $s = -2$ , has the characteristic matrix

$$(5.2) \quad M(\text{Ineq. (5.1)}) = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} -s-2 & -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2r(1+s) & r^2 & s(s+2) \end{pmatrix},$$

while the continuous inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.3) \quad & \left[ \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx + \frac{p}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx + \frac{q}{b-a} \left( \int_a^b g(x)dx \right)^2 \right]^2 \\
& \leq \left[ \int_a^b f^2(x)dx + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (pf(x) + qg(x))dx \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \int_a^b ((p+2)f(x) + qg(x))dx \right] \left( \int_a^b g^2(x)dx \right),
\end{aligned}$$

corresponds to the matrix

$$(5.4) \quad M^*(\text{Ineq. (5.3)}) = \frac{1}{b-a} \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & q \\ 2q(1+p) & p(p+2) & q^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, for inequalities (3.2), (3.9), (3.11) and (3.12) we have

$$(5.5) \quad M(\text{Ineq. (3.2)}) = \frac{p(p+2)}{n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M(\text{Ineq. (3.9)}) = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2q(1+p) & p(p+2) & q^2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M(\text{Ineq. (3.11)}) = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} qr-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & q^2 \\ 0 & r^2 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M(\text{Ineq. (3.12)}) = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally we mention that the usual Cauchy-Schwarz and Cauchy-Bunyakovsky inequalities correspond to respectively  $M = 0$  and  $M^* = 0$ , which can be obtained for  $p = q = r = s = 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Alzer, A refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **168** (1992), 596–604.
- [2] V.Y. Buniakowski, Sur quelques inegalites concernant les integrales aux differences finies, *Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg*, (7) **1** (1859), No. 9, 1-18.
- [3] A.L. Cauchy, *Cours d'Analyse del Ecole Royale Polytechnique, I<sup>re</sup> Partie, Analyse Algebrique*, Paris, 1821.
- [4] S.S. Dragomir, A survey on Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz type discrete inequalities, *J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **4**(2003), No. 3, Article 63.
- [5] S.S. Dragomir, On the Cauchy–Buniakowsky–Schwarz inequality for sequences in inner product spaces, *Math. Inequalities Appl.*, **3**(2000), 385–398.
- [6] P. Flor, Uber eine Unglichung von S.S. Wagner, *Elemente Math.*, **20** (1965), 136.
- [7] D.S. Mitrinovic, J.E. Pecaric and A.M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/ Boston/ London, 1993.
- [8] W. L. Steiger, On a generalization of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **76**(1969), 815–816.
- [9] S.S. Wagner, *Amer. Math. Soc., Notices*, **12** (1965), 220.
- [10] L. Zheng, Remark on a refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **218** (1998), 13–21.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, K.N.TOOSI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, P.O.BOX 15875-4416, TEHRAN, IRAN

*E-mail address:* mmjamei@aut.ac.ir

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY MC, VICTORIA 8001, AUSTRALIA.

*E-mail address:* sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

*URL:* <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/dragomir/>