



VICTORIA UNIVERSITY
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

Ky Fan Inequality and Bounds for Differences of Means

This is the Published version of the following publication

Gao, Peng (2002) Ky Fan Inequality and Bounds for Differences of Means.
RGMIA research report collection, 5 (3).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/17735/>

KY FAN INEQUALITY AND BOUNDS FOR DIFFERENCES OF MEANS

PENG GAO

ABSTRACT. We prove an equivalent relation between Ky Fan-typed inequalities and certain bounds for the differences of means. We also generalize a result of H. Alzer, S. Ruscheweyh and L. Salinas.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $P_{n,r}(\mathbf{x})$ be the generalized weighted power means: $P_{n,r}(\mathbf{x}) = (\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i x_i^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}$, where $\omega_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Here $P_{n,0}(\mathbf{x})$ denotes the limit of $P_{n,r}(\mathbf{x})$ as $r \rightarrow 0^+$. We shall write $P_{n,r}$ for $P_{n,r}(\mathbf{x})$ when there is no risk of confusion.

In this paper, we always assume $0 < x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$. To any given \mathbf{x} we associate $\mathbf{x}' = (1 - x_1, 1 - x_2, \dots, 1 - x_n)$ and write $A_n = P_{n,1}, G_n = P_{n,0}, H_n = P_{n,-1}$. When $1 - x_i \geq 0$ for all i , we define $A'_n = P_{n,1}(\mathbf{x}')$ and similarly for G'_n, H'_n . We also let $\sigma_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i [x_i - A_n]^2$.

The following counterpart of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, due to Ky Fan, was first published in the monograph *Inequalities* by Beckenbach and Bellman [7]:

Theorem 1.1. For $x_i \in (0, 1/2]$,

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{A'_n}{G'_n} \leq \frac{A_n}{G_n}$$

with equality holding if and only if $x_1 = \dots = x_n$.

In this paper we consider the validity of the following additive Ky Fan-typed inequalities (with $x_1 < x_n < 1$):

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{x_1}{1 - x_1} < \frac{P'_{n,r} - P'_{n,s}}{P_{n,r} - P_{n,s}} < \frac{x_n}{1 - x_n}$$

Note by a change of variables, $x_i \rightarrow 1 - x_i$, the left-hand side inequality is equivalent to the right-hand side inequality in (1.2). One can deduce (see [9]) theorem 1.1 from the case $r = 1, s = 0, x_n \leq 1/2$ in (1.2), which is a result of H. Alzer [5]. P.Gao [9] later proved the validity of (1.2) for $r = 1, -1 \leq s < 1, x_n \leq 1/2$.

What's worth mentioning is a nice result of P. Mercer [12], who showed the validity of $r = 1, s = 0$ in (1.2) is a consequence of a result of D.I. Cartwright and M.J. Field [8], who established the validity of $r = 1, s = 0$ for the following bounds for the differences between power means ($r > s$):

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{r - s}{2x_1} \sigma_n \geq P_{n,r} - P_{n,s} \geq \frac{r - s}{2x_n} \sigma_n$$

where the constant $(r - s)/2$ is best possible (see [10]).

We point out that inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) do not hold for all $r > s$. We refer the reader to the survey article [2] and the references therein for an account of Ky Fan's inequality and to the articles [4], [5], [10], [11] for other interesting refinements and extensions of (1.3).

Mercer's result reveals a close relation between (1.3) and (1.2) and it is our main goal in the paper to prove that the validities of (1.3) and (1.2) are equivalent for fixed r and s . As a consequence of

Date: August 14, 2002.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D15, 26D20.

Key words and phrases. Ky Fan's inequality, generalized weighted means.

this result, we will give a characterization of the validity of (1.3) for $r = 1$ or $s = 1$. A solution of an open problem from [11] is also given.

Among numerous sharpenings of Ky Fan's inequality in the literature, we have the following inequalities connecting the three classical means (with $\omega_i = 1/n$ here):

$$(1.4) \quad \left(\frac{H_n}{H'_n}\right)^{n-1} \frac{A_n}{A'_n} \leq \left(\frac{G_n}{G'_n}\right)^n \leq \left(\frac{A_n}{A'_n}\right)^{n-1} \frac{H_n}{H'_n}$$

The right-hand side inequality of (1.4) is due to P.F.Wang and W.L.Wang[14] and the left-hand side inequality was proved recently by H. Alzer, S. Ruscheweyh and L. Salinas[6].

It is natural to ask whether one can extend the above inequality to the weighted case and by using the same idea as in [6], we will show this indeed is true in section 5.

2. THE MAIN THEOREM

Theorem 2.1. *For fixed $r > s$, the following inequalities are equivalent:*

(i). inequality (1.2) for $x_n \leq 1/2$; (ii). inequality (1.2); (iii). inequality (1.3).

Proof. (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from a similar argument as given in [12], (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is trivial, so it suffices to show (i) \Rightarrow (iii):

Fix $r > s$, assuming (1.2) holds for $x_n \leq 1/2$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $x_1 < x_n$. For a given $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, let $\mathbf{y} = (\epsilon x_1, \epsilon x_2, \dots, \epsilon x_n)$. We can choose ϵ small so that $\epsilon x_n \leq 1/2$ and now apply the right-hand side inequality (1.2) for \mathbf{y} , we get

$$(2.1) \quad x_n(P_{n,r}(\mathbf{x}) - P_{n,s}(\mathbf{x})) > \frac{1 - \epsilon x_n}{\epsilon^2} (P_{n,r}(\mathbf{y}') - P_{n,s}(\mathbf{y}'))$$

By letting ϵ tend to 0, it is easy to verify the limit of the expression on the right-hand side of (2.1) is $(r - s)\sigma_n/2$. We can consider the left-hand side of (2.1) by a similar argument and this completes the proof. \square

3. AN APPLICATION OF THEOREM 2.1

Lemma 3.1. *If inequality (1.3) holds for $r > s$ then $0 \leq r + s \leq 3$.*

Proof. Let $n = 2$, write $\omega_1 = 1 - q$, $\omega_2 = q$, $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 1 + t$ with $t \geq -1$. Let

$$D(t; r, s, q) = \frac{r - s}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 w_i [x_i - A_2]^2 - P_{2,r} + P_{2,s}$$

For $t \geq 0$ then $D(t; r, s, q) \geq 0$ implies the validity of the left-hand side inequality of (1.3) while for $-1 \leq t \leq 0$, $D(t; r, s, q) \leq 0$ implies the validity of the right-hand side inequality of (1.3).

Using the Taylor series expansion of $D(t; r, s, q)$ around $t = 0$, it is readily seen that $D(0; r, s, q) = D^{(1)}(0; r, s, q) = D^{(2)}(0; r, s, q) = 0$. Thus by the Lagrangian remainder term of the Taylor expansion:

$$D(t; r, s, q) = \frac{D^{(3)}(\theta t; r, s, q)}{3!} t^3$$

with $0 < \theta < 1$.

Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} D^{(3)}(\theta t; r, s, q) = D^{(3)}(0; r, s, q)$$

a necessary condition for (1.3) to hold is $D^{(3)}(0; r, s, q) > 0$ for $0 \leq q \leq 1$. Calculation yields

$$D^{(3)}(0; r, s, q) = (r - s)q(q - 1)((3 - 2r - 2s)q - (3 - r - s))$$

It is easy to check that this is equivalent to $0 \leq r + s \leq 3$. \square

Theorem 3.1. *If $r = 1$, inequality (1.3) holds if and only if $-1 \leq s < 1$. If $s = 1$, inequality (1.3) holds if and only if $1 < r < 2$.*

Proof. A result of P.Gao[9] shows the validity of (1.2) for $r = 1, -1 \leq s < 1, x_n \leq 1/2$ and a similar result of him[10] shows the validity of (1.2) for $s = 1, 1 < r \leq 2, x_n \leq 1/2$. Thus it follows from theorem 2.1 that (1.3) holds for $r = 1, -1 \leq s < 1$ and $s = 1, 1 < r \leq 2$. This proves the “if” part of the statement and the “only if” part follows from the previous lemma. \square

We note here a special case of the above corollary answers an open problem of A. M. Mercer[11], namely, we have shown:

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{x_1} \sigma_n \geq A_n - H_n \geq \frac{1}{x_n} \sigma_n$$

4. TWO LEMMAS

Lemma 4.1. *Let x, b, u, v be real numbers with $0 < x \leq b, u \geq 1, v \geq 1$, then $f(u, v, x, b) \leq 0$ where*

$$(4.1) \quad f(u, v, x, b) = \frac{u + v - 1}{ux + vb} + \frac{1}{x^2(u/x + v/b)} - \frac{1}{x} - \frac{u + v - 2}{b^2(u + v)^2} v(x - b)$$

with equality holding if and only if $x = b$ or $u = v = 1$.

Proof. Let $x < b$ and $u > 1, v > 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(u, v, x, b) &= v(b - x) \left(-\frac{(u - 1)b + (v - 1)x}{x(bv + ux)(bu + vx)} + \frac{(u - 1) + (v - 1)}{b^2(u + v)^2} \right) \\ &< \frac{v(b - x)}{xb^2(u + v)^2} [((u - 1) + (v - 1))x - (u - 1)b - (v - 1)x] \\ &= -\frac{v(u - 1)(b - x)^2}{xb^2(u + v)^2} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $b^2(u + v)^2 > (bv + ux)(bu + vx)$. Thus we conclude that $f(u, v, x, b) < 0$ for $0 < x \leq b, u \geq 1, v \geq 1$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let x, a, b, u, v, s be real numbers with $0 < x \leq a \leq b, u \geq 1, v \geq 1, u + v \geq 2$ and $0 \leq s \leq v$, then*

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{u + v - 1}{ux + sa + (v - s)b} + \frac{1}{x^2(u/x + s/a + (v - s)/b)} - \frac{1}{x} \\ &- \frac{u + v - 2}{b^2(u + v)^2} (s(x - a) + (v - s)(x - b)) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

with equality holding if and only if one of the following cases is true: 1. $x = a = b$; 2. $a = b, u = v = 1$; 3. $s = 0, u = v = 1$; 4. $s = 0, x = b$; 5. $s = 0, x = a$; 6. $s = u = v = 1$.

Proof. Let $M = \{(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | 0 \leq s \leq v, x \leq a \leq b\}$. Furthermore, we define $H(s, a)$ as the expression on the left-hand side of (4.2), where $(s, a) \in M$. It suffices to show $H(s, a) < 0$. We denote the absolute minimum of H by $m = (s_0, a_0)$. If m is an interior point of M , then we obtain

$$0 = \frac{1}{s} \frac{\partial H}{\partial a} - \frac{1}{a - b} \frac{\partial H}{\partial s} \Big|_{(s,a)=(s_0,a_0)} = \frac{a - b}{x^2 a^2 b (u/x + s/a + (v - s)/b)^2} < 0$$

Hence, m is a boundary point of M , so that we get

$$m \in \{(s_0, x), (s_0, b), (0, a_0), (v, a_0)\}$$

Using lemma 4.1 we obtain

$$H(s_0, x) = f(u + s_0, v - s_0, x, b) < 0$$

$$H(s_0, b) = H(0, a_0) = f(u, v, x, b) < 0$$

$$H(v, a_0) = f(u, v, x, a_0) < 0$$

Thus, we get: if $(s, a) \in M$, then $H(s, a) \leq 0$. The conditions for equality can be easily checked by using lemma 4.1. □

5. A SHARPENING OF KY FAN'S INEQUALITY

In this section we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. For $0 < x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n$, $q = \min\{\omega_i\}$

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{1-2q}{2x_1^2} \sigma_n \geq (1-q) \ln A_n + q \ln H_n - \ln G_n \geq \frac{1-2q}{2x_n^2} \sigma_n$$

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{1-2q}{2x_1^2} \sigma_n \geq \ln G_n - q \ln A_n - (1-q) \ln H_n \geq \frac{1-2q}{2x_n^2} \sigma_n$$

with equality holding if and only if $q = 1/2$ or $x_1 = \dots = x_n$.

Proof. The proof uses the ideas in [6]. We will prove the right-hand side inequality of (5.1) and the proofs for other inequalities are similar. Fix $0 < x = x_1, x_n = b$ with $x_1 < x_n$, we define

$$f_n(\mathbf{x}_n, q) = (1-q) \ln A_n + q \ln H_n - \ln G_n - \frac{1-2q}{2x_n^2} \sigma_n$$

where we regard A_n, G_n, H_n as functions of $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

We then have

$$g_n(x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \frac{1}{\omega_1} \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_1} = \frac{1-q}{A_n} + \frac{qH_n}{x_1^2} - \frac{1}{x_1} - \frac{1-2q}{x_n^2} (x_1 - A_n)$$

We want to show $g_n \leq 0$. Let $D = \{(x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2} | 0 < x \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{n-1} \leq b\}$. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in D$ be the absolute minimum of g_n . Next, we show that

$$(5.3) \quad \mathbf{a} = (x, \dots, x, a, \dots, a, b, \dots, b) \text{ with } x < a < b$$

where the numbers x, a , and b appear r, s , and t times, respectively, with $r, s, t \geq 0, r+s+t = n-2$.

Suppose not, this implies two components of \mathbf{a} have different values and are interior points of D . We denote these values by a_k and a_l . Partial differentiation leads to

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{B}{a_i^2} + C = 0$$

for $i = k, l$, where

$$B = q \frac{H_n^2}{x_1^2}, C = -\frac{1-q}{A_n^2} + \frac{1-2q}{x_n^2}$$

Since $z \mapsto B/z^2 + C$ is strictly monotonic for $z > 0$, (5.4) yields $a_k = a_l$. This contradicts our assumption that $a_k \neq a_l$. Thus (5.3) is valid and it suffices to show $g_n \leq 0$ for the case $n = 2, 3$.

When $n = 2$, by setting $x_1 = x, x_2 = b, \omega_1/q = u, \omega_2/q = v$, we can identify g_2/q as (4.1) and the result follows from lemma 4.1.

When $n = 3$, by setting $x_1 = x, x_2 = a, x_3 = b, \omega_1/q = u, \omega_2/q = s, \omega_3/q = v - s$, we can identify g_3/q as (4.2) and the result follows from lemma 4.2.

Thus we have shown that $g_n = \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_n} \leq 0$ with equality holding if and only if $n = 1$ or $n = 2, q = 1/2$. By letting x_1 tend to x_2 , we have

$$f_n(\mathbf{x}_n, q) \geq f_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, q) \geq f_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}_{n-1}, q')$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{n-1} = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with weights $\omega_1 + \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n-1}, \omega_n$ and $q' = \min\{\omega_1 + \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n\}$. Here we have used the following inequality, which is a consequence of (3.1)(see [9]):

$$\ln A_n - \ln H_n \geq \frac{1}{x_n^2} \sigma_n$$

It then follows by induction that $f_n > f_{n-1} > \dots > f_2 = 0$ when $q = 1/2$ in f_2 or else $f_n > f_{n-1} > \dots > f_1 = 0$ and this completes the proof. \square

We note the above theorem gives a sharpening of Sierpiński's inequality[13], originally states for the unweighted case($\omega_i = 1/n$) as:

$$H_n^{n-1} A_n \leq G_n \leq A_n^{n-1} H_n$$

The following corollary gives refinements of (1.4):

Corollary 5.1. For $0 < x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n < 1$, $q = \min\{\omega_i\}$

$$(5.5) \quad \left(\frac{A_n'^{(1-q)} H_n'^q}{G_n'} \right)^{\frac{(1-x_1)^2}{x_1^2}} \geq \frac{A_n^{1-q} H_n^q}{G_n} \geq \left(\frac{A_n'^{(1-q)} H_n'^q}{G_n'} \right)^{\frac{(1-x_n)^2}{x_n^2}}$$

$$(5.6) \quad \left(\frac{G_n'}{A_n'^q H_n'^{(1-q)}} \right)^{\frac{(1-x_1)^2}{x_1^2}} \geq \frac{G_n}{A_n^q H_n^{1-q}} \geq \left(\frac{G_n'}{A_n'^q H_n'^{(1-q)}} \right)^{\frac{(1-x_n)^2}{x_n^2}}$$

with equality holding if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ or $q = 1/2$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of theorem 5.1, following from a similar argument as in [12]. \square

6. CONCLUSION REMARKS

We note that if for $x_n \leq 1/2$ one has

$$\left(\frac{x_1}{1-x_1} \right)^\beta < \frac{P'_{n,r} - P'_{n,s}}{P_{n,r} - P_{n,s}} < \left(\frac{x_n}{1-x_n} \right)^\alpha$$

then $\beta \geq 1, \alpha \leq 1$, otherwise by letting ϵ tend to 0 in (2.1), we will get contradictions.

It was conjectured that an additive companion of (1.4) is true(see [1]):

$$(6.1) \quad n(G_n - G'_n) \leq (n-1)(A_n - A'_n) + H_n - H'_n$$

In [3], H. Alzer asked if the above conjecture is true, whether there exists a weighted version or not. Based on what we've got in this paper, it is natural to give the following conjecture of the weighed version of (6.1):

Conjecture 6.1. For $0 < x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq 1/2$, $q = \min\{\omega_i\}$

$$(6.2) \quad G_n - G'_n \leq (1-q)(A_n - A'_n) + q(H_n - H'_n)$$

Recently, H. Alzer, S. Ruscheweyh and L. Salinas[6] asked the following question: What is the largest number $\alpha = \alpha(n)$ and what is the smallest number $\beta = \beta(n)$ such that

$$\alpha(A_n - A'_n) + (1-\alpha)(H_n - H'_n) \leq G_n - G'_n \leq \beta(A_n - A'_n) + (1-\beta)(H_n - H'_n)$$

for all $x_i \in (0, 1/2](j = 1, \dots, n)$?

We note here $\alpha \leq 0$, since the left-hand side inequality above can be written as:

$$(6.3) \quad \alpha A_n + (1-\alpha)H_n - G_n \leq \alpha A'_n + (1-\alpha)H'_n - G'_n$$

By a similar argument as in the proof of theorem 2.1, replacing (x_1, \dots, x_n) by $(\epsilon x_1, \dots, \epsilon x_n)$ and letting ϵ tend to 0 in (6.3), we find that (6.3) implies:

$$(6.4) \quad \alpha A_n + (1-\alpha)H_n - G_n \leq 0$$

for any \mathbf{x} . If we further let x_1 tend to 0 in (6.4), we get

$$\alpha A_n \leq 0$$

which implies $\alpha \leq 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Alzer, An inequality for arithmetic and harmonic means, *Aequationes Math.*, **46** (1993), 257-263.
- [2] H. Alzer, The inequality of Ky Fan and related results, *Acta Appl. Math.*, **38** (1995), 305-354.
- [3] H. Alzer, On Ky Fan's inequality and its additive analogue, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **204** (1996), 291-297.
- [4] H. Alzer, A new refinement of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **27** (1997), no. 3, 663-667.
- [5] H. Alzer, On an additive analogue of Ky Fan's inequality, *Indag. Math.(N.S.)*, **8** (1997), 1-6.
- [6] H. Alzer, S. Ruscheweyh and L. Salinas, On Ky Fan-type inequalities, *Aequationes Math.*, **62** (2001), 310-320.
- [7] E.F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg 1961
- [8] D. I. Cartwright and M. J. Field, A refinement of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **71** (1978), 36-38.
- [9] P. Gao, A generalization of Ky Fan's inequality, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **28** (2001), 419-425.
- [10] P. Gao, Certain Bounds for the Differences of Means, *RGMA Research Report Collection* **5**(3), Article 7, 2002.
- [11] A.McD. Mercer, Bounds for A-G, A-H, G-H, and a family of inequalities of Ky Fan's type, using a general method, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **243** (2000), 163-173.
- [12] P. Mercer, A note on Alzer's refinement of an additive Ky Fan inequality, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **3** (2000), 147-148.
- [13] W. Sierpiński, On an inequality for arithmetic, geometric and harmonic means, *Warsch. Sitzungsber.*, **2** (1909), 354-358(in Polish).
- [14] P. F. Wang and W. L. Wang, A class of inequalities for the symmetric functions, *Acta Math. Sinica*, **27** (1984), 485-497(in Chinese).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109
E-mail address: penggao@umich.edu