Approximation of pn by Hn

APPROXIMATION OF p_n BY H_n

AKRAM ALIKHANI
MEHDI HASSANI

Abstract. In this paper we introduce some bounds including $H_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}$, for p_n, n^{th} prime number. Then we observe that the Prime Number Theorem is equivalent with $p_n \sim nH_n$, when n tends to infinity.

1. Introduction

As usual, let p_n be the n^{th} prime. According to the Prime Number Theorem (PNT) [3], we know that:

$$p_n = n \log n + o(n \log n) \qquad (n \to \infty). \tag{1.1}$$

Also, we know that [1], if $H_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}$, then:

$$H_n = \log n + O(1) \qquad (n \to \infty). \tag{1.2}$$

So, considering (1.1) and (1.2), we obtain:

$$p_n = n(H_n + O(1)) + o(n \log n) = nH_n + o(n \log n) \qquad (n \to \infty).$$

Therefore, comparing p_n and nH_n seems to be a nice problem. To consider this problem, we need some bounds concerning p_n and H_n, which we recall them from literatures. About p_n, we have the following bounds [4]:

(1.3)

$$n \log n + n \log_2 n - n + n\frac{\log_2 n - 2.25}{\log n} \leq p_n \leq n \log n + n \log_2 n - n + n\frac{\log_2 n - 1.8}{\log n},$$

which left hand side of it holds true for every $n \geq 2$ and the right hand side of it holds true for every $n \geq 27076$, $\log_2 n$ means $\log \log n$ and base of all logarithms is e. Also, for H_n we have the following bounds [2]:

$$\gamma + \log(n + 0.5) < H_n \leq \gamma + \log(n - 1 + e^{1-\gamma}) \qquad (n \geq 1), \tag{1.4}$$

where γ is Euler constant. In this note, we search some bounds of the form $nH_n + e(n)$, which we will find $e(n)$ in both cases lower and upper bounds.

2. Inequalities of the form nH_n

Consider the following inequality:

$$nH_n + a(n) \leq p_n \leq nH_n + b(n). \tag{2.1}$$

Here, we try to find some suitable functions $a(n)$ and $b(n)$, such that (2.1) holds true.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A41, 26D15.
Key words and phrases. Primes, Harmonic series.

Upper Bound. To find above mentioned upper bound, considering (1.4), we have:

$$n\left(\gamma+\log(n+0.5)\right) < nH_n \le n\left(\gamma+\log(n-1+e^{1-\gamma})\right). \tag{2.2}$$

Using left hand side of above inequality, we have:

$$n\left(\gamma+\log(n+0.5)\right)+b(n) \le nH_n+b(n),$$

and considering this inequality, with the right hand side of (1.3), we must have:

$$n\log n + n\log_2 n - n + n\frac{\log_2 n - 1.8}{\log n} \le n\left(\gamma+\log(n+0.5)\right)+b(n),$$

or equivalently,

$$n\log n + n\log_2 n - n + n\frac{\log_2 n - 1.8}{\log n} - n\left(\gamma+\log(n+0.5)\right) \le b(n),$$

Since, $b(n)$ is going to appears in upper bound for p_n , the best possible case is:

$$b(n) = n\log_2 n - n(1+\gamma) + n\left(\frac{\log_2 n - 1.8}{\log n} - \log\left(1+\frac{0.5}{n}\right)\right). \tag{2.3}$$

Thus, we have:

$$p_n \le nH_n + n\log_2 n - n(1+\gamma) + n\left(\frac{\log_2 n - 1.8}{\log n} - \log\left(1+\frac{0.5}{n}\right)\right), \tag{2.4}$$

which holds for $n \ge 27076$.

Lower Bound. To find above mentioned lower bound, considering (2.2), we have:

$$nH_n + a(n) \le n\gamma + n\log(n-1+e^{1-\gamma}) + a(n).$$

Considering this inequality with the left hand side of (2.1) and the left hand side of (1.3), we must have:

$$n\gamma + n\log(n-1+e^{1-\gamma}) + a(n) \le n\log n + n\log_2 n - n + n\frac{\log_2 n - 2.25}{\log n}.$$

Since, we want to find the maximum lower bound in the left hand side of (2.1), the best possible choice for $a(n)$, is:

$$a(n) = n\log_2 n - n(1+\gamma) + n\left(\frac{\log_2 n - 2.25}{\log n} - \log\left(1+\frac{e^{1-\gamma}-1}{n}\right)\right).$$

So, we have:

$$nH_n + n\log_2 n - n(1+\gamma) + n\left(\frac{\log_2 n - 2.25}{\log n} - \log\left(1+\frac{e^{1-\gamma}-1}{n}\right)\right) \le p_n, \tag{2.5}$$

which holds for $n \ge 2$. Therefore, considering (2.4) and (2.5), for every $n \ge 27076$, we obtain:

$$\left|p_n - \left(nH_n + n\log_2 n - n(1+\gamma)\right)\right| \le n\left(\frac{\log_2 n - 1.8}{\log n} - \log\left(1+\frac{0.5}{n}\right)\right). \tag{2.6}$$

3. An Equivalent for the PNT

Considering (2.6), we obtain:

$$p_n = nH_n + n\log_2 n - n(1+\gamma) + O\left(\frac{n\log_2 n}{\log n}\right),$$

which is a very strong form of an equivalent for the PNT. In fact we observe that the PNT holds if and only if $p_n \sim nH_n$, when n tends to infinity. To see this, first suppose that the PNT holds true. So, when n tends to infinity, we have:

$$p_n = n\log n + o(n\log n).$$

Considering this with $H_n \sim \log n$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= n(H_n + O(1)) + o(n\log n) \\ &= nH_n + O(n) + o(n\log n) \\ &= nH_n + o(n\log n) \\ &= nH_n + o(n(H_n + O(1))) \\ &= nH_n + o(nH_n) + o(O(n)) \\ &= nH_n + o(nH_n). \end{aligned}$$

Inversely, suppose $p_n \sim nH_n$, then:

$$p_n = nH_n + o(nH_n),$$

which considering this with $H_n \sim \log n$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= n(\log n + O(1)) + o(n(\log n + O(1))) \\ &= n\log n + O(n) + o(n\log n + O(n)) \\ &= n\log n + O(n) + o(n\log n) \\ &= n\log n + o(n\log n), \end{aligned}$$

and this is PNT.

References

[1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *HANDBOOK OF MATHEMATICAL FUNCTIONS: with Formulas, Graphs, and Mthematical Tables*, Dover Publications, 1972.
[2] N. Batir, "Some new inequalities for Gamma and polygamma functions", *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, **7**(3), Article 1, 2004.
[3] H. Davenport, Multiplicative Number Theory (Second Edition), Springer-Verlag, 1980.
[4] P. Dusart, Inégalités explicites pour $\psi(X)$, $\theta(X)$, $\pi(X)$ et les nombres premiers, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.* **21** (1999), no. 2, 53–59.

Institute for Advanced, Studies in Basic Sciences, P.O. Box 45195-1159, Zanjan, Iran.
E-mail address: alikhani@iasbs.ac.ir, mmhassany@srttu.edu