



**VICTORIA UNIVERSITY**  
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

## *Normalized Jensen Functional, Superquadracity and Related Inequalities*

This is the Published version of the following publication

Abramovich, S and Dragomir, Sever S (2007) Normalized Jensen Functional, Superquadracity and Related Inequalities. Research report collection, 10 (3).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/18367/>

# NORMALIZED JENSEN FUNCTIONAL, SUPERQUADRACITY AND RELATED INEQUALITIES

S. ABRAMOVICH AND S. S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. In this paper we generalize the inequality

$$MJ_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) \geq J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \geq mJ_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q})$$

where

$$J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right),$$

obtained by S.S. Dragomir for convex functions. We provide cases where we can improve the bounds  $m$  and  $M$  for convex functions, and also, we show that for the class of superquadratic functions nonzero lower bounds of  $J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - mJ_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q})$  and nonzero upper bounds of  $J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - MJ_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q})$  can be pointed out. Finally, an inequality related to the Čebyšev functional and superquadracity is also given.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the normalized Jensen functional

$$(1.1) \quad J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right),$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ ,  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $I$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

This type of functionals were considered by S. S. Dragomir in [5], where the following theorem was proved:

**Theorem 1** ([5, Theorem 1]). *Consider the normalized Jensen functional (1.1) where  $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function on the convex set  $C$  in a real linear space, and  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C^n$ ,  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$  are nonnegative  $n$ -tuples satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 1$ ,  $q_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then*

$$(1.2) \quad MJ_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) \geq J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \geq mJ_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}),$$

provided

$$m := \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right), \quad M := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right).$$

In the following section we show when (1.2) holds for  $m^*$  larger than  $\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right)$ , and  $M^*$  smaller than  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right)$ . Although  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $x_i \in I$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$

---

*Date:* 26 June, 2007.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15.

*Key words and phrases.* Convex Functions, Superquadratic Functions, Jensen Inequality, Jensen Steffensen Inequality, Čebyšev Inequality.

is not necessarily a monotonic  $n$ -tuple, we use Jensen-Steffensen's inequality that states that if  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex, where  $I$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(x_i) \geq A_n f(\bar{x}),$$

where  $\bar{x} := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i}{A_n}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is any monotone  $n$ -tuple in  $I^n$ , and  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is a real  $n$ -tuple that satisfies the condition:

$$(1.4) \quad 0 \leq A_i \leq A_n, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{where } A_i = \sum_{j=1}^i a_j, \quad \text{and } A_n > 0$$

(see for instance [6, page 43]).

In Section 2 we also show that for a class of superquadratic functions defined below, nonzero lower bounds of  $J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - mJ_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q})$  and of  $J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - m^*J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q})$  and nonzero upper bounds of  $J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - MJ_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q})$  and of  $J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - M^*J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q})$  are obtained. In addition, we get in the last section an inequality related to the Čebyšev's type functional and superquadracity.

**Definition 1** ([2, Definition 1]). *A function  $f$  defined on an interval  $I = [0, a]$  or  $[0, \infty)$  is superquadratic, if for each  $x$  in  $I$  there exists a real number  $C(x)$  such that*

$$(1.5) \quad f(y) - f(x) \geq f(|y - x|) + C(x)(y - x)$$

for all  $y \in I$ .

For example, the functions  $x^p$ ,  $p \geq 2$  and the functions  $-x^p$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq 2$  are superquadratic functions as well as the function  $f(x) = x^2 \log x$ ,  $x > 0$ ,  $f(0) = 0$ .

In Section 2 we use also the following lemmas and theorem for superquadratic functions:

**Lemma 1** ([2, Lemma 2.1]). *Let  $f$  be a superquadratic function with  $C(x)$  as in (1.5).*

- (i) *Then  $f(0) \leq 0$*
- (ii) *If  $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$ , then  $C(x) = f'(x)$  wherever  $f$  is differentiable at  $x > 0$ .*
- (iii) *If  $f \geq 0$ , then  $f$  is convex and  $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$ .*

**Lemma 2** ([3, Lemma 2.3]). *Suppose that  $f$  is superquadratic. Let  $x_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and let  $\bar{x} := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$ , where  $a_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$ . Then*

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(x_i) - f(\bar{x}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(|x_i - \bar{x}|).$$

The following Theorem 2 was proved in [1, Theorem 1] for differentiable positive superquadratic functions  $f$ , but because of Lemma 1 (iii) it holds also when  $f$  is not always differentiable.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $I$  is  $[0, a]$  or  $[0, \infty)$ , be nonnegative superquadratic function. Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a monotone nonnegative  $n$ -tuple in  $I^n$  and  $\mathbf{a}$  satisfies (1.4). Let*

$$(1.7) \quad \bar{x} := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i}{A_n}$$

Then

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(x_i) - A_n f(\bar{x}) \geq (n-1) A_n f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i |x_i - \bar{x}|}{(n-1) A_n}\right).$$

## 2. THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we use the following notations:

Let  $\mathbf{x}_\uparrow = (x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(n)})$  be the *increasing rearrangement* of  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Let  $\pi$  be the permutation that transfers  $\mathbf{x}$  into  $\mathbf{x}_\uparrow$  and let  $(\bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_n)$  and  $(\bar{q}_1, \dots, \bar{q}_n)$  be the  $n$ -tuples obtained by the same permutation  $\pi$  on  $(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  and  $(q_1, \dots, q_n)$  respectively. Then for an  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $x_i \in I$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  where  $I$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  we get the following results:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ , where  $0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^i \bar{p}_j \leq 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ , and  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ ,  $0 < \sum_{j=1}^i \bar{q}_j < 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 1$ , and  $\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{q}$ . Denote

$$(2.1) \quad m_i := \frac{\sum_{j=1}^i \bar{p}_j}{\sum_{j=1}^i \bar{q}_j}, \quad \bar{m}_i := \frac{\sum_{j=i}^n \bar{p}_j}{\sum_{j=i}^n \bar{q}_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

where  $(\bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_n)$  and  $(\bar{q}_1, \dots, \bar{q}_n)$  are as denoted above, and

$$(2.2) \quad m^* := \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{m_i, \bar{m}_i\}, \quad M^* := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{m_i, \bar{m}_i\}.$$

If  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is any  $n$ -tuple in  $I^n$ , where  $I$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$(2.3) \quad M^* J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) \geq J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \geq m^* J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}),$$

where  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function on the interval  $I$ .

*Proof.* As  $\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{q}$  it is clear that  $m^* < 1$ ,  $m^* \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right)$ , and  $M^* > 1$ ,

$$M^* \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right).$$

As  $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 1$  and  $q_i > 0$  it is obvious that there is an integer  $k$ ,  $2 \leq k \leq n$  such that  $x_{(k-1)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n q_i x_i \leq x_{(k)}$ .

We apply Jensen-Steffensen's inequality for the increasing  $(n+1)$ -tuple  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})$

$$(2.4) \quad y_i = \begin{cases} x_{(i)}, & i = 1, \dots, k-1 \\ \sum_{j=1}^n q_j x_j, & i = k \\ x_{(i-1)}, & i = k+1, \dots, n+1 \end{cases}$$

and to

$$(2.5) \quad a_i = \begin{cases} \bar{p}_i - m^* \bar{q}_i, & i = 1, \dots, k-1 \\ m^*, & i = k \\ \bar{p}_{i-1} - m^* \bar{q}_{i-1}, & i = k+1, \dots, n+1 \end{cases}$$

where  $m^*$  is defined in (2.2).

It is clear that  $\mathbf{a}$  satisfies (1.4). Therefore, (1.3) holds for the increasing  $(n+1)$ -tuple  $\mathbf{y}$  and for a convex function  $f$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i f(y_i) &= m^* f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i x_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - m^* q_i) f(x_i) \\ &\geq f\left(m^* \sum_{i=1}^n q_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - m^* q_i) x_i\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

In other words

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \geq m^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(x_i) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i x_i\right)\right).$$

This completes the proof of the right side inequality in (2.3).

The proof of the left side of (2.3) is similar:

We define an increasing  $(n+1)$ -tuple  $\mathbf{z}$

$$(2.6) \quad z_i = \begin{cases} x_{(i)}, & i = 1, \dots, s-1 \\ \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j, & i = s \\ x_{(i-1)}, & i = s+1, \dots, n+1 \end{cases}$$

and to

$$(2.7) \quad b_i = \begin{cases} \bar{q}_i - \frac{\bar{p}_i}{M^*}, & i = 1, \dots, s-1 \\ \frac{1}{M^*}, & i = s \\ \bar{q}_{i-1} - \frac{\bar{p}_{i-1}}{M^*}, & i = s+1, \dots, n+1, \end{cases}$$

where  $s$  satisfies  $x_{s-1} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j \leq x_s$ . As  $\mathbf{b}$  satisfies (1.4) and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_i = 1$ , by using Jensen-Steffensen's inequality, we get the left side of (2.3).

This completes the proof. ■

**Remark 1.** If  $\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right) = \frac{\bar{p}_k}{\bar{q}_k}$ ,  $k \neq 1, n$  and  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right) = \frac{\bar{p}_s}{\bar{q}_s}$ ,  $s \neq 1, n$  then it is clear that for  $p_i \geq 0$ , and  $q_i > 0$ , we get that  $m^* > m$  and  $M^* < M$  and in these cases (2.3) refines (1.2).

In Theorem 4 that deals with superquadratic functions we use the same techniques as used in [5] to prove Theorem 1 for convex functions.

**Theorem 4.** Under the same conditions and definitions on  $\mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{q}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $m$  and  $M$  as in Theorem 1, if  $I$  is  $[0, a)$  or  $[0, \infty)$  and  $f(x)$  is a superquadratic function on  $I$ , then

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - mJ_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) \\ &\geq mf\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n (q_i - p_i) x_i\right|\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - m q_i) f\left(\left|x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n p_j x_j\right|\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - MJ_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) \\ &\leq -\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (M q_i - p_i) f\left(\left|x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n q_j x_j\right|\right) + f\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) x_i\right|\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* To prove (2.8) we define  $\mathbf{y}$  as

$$y_i = \begin{cases} x_i, & i = 1, \dots, n \\ \sum_{j=1}^n q_j x_j, & i = n+1 \end{cases},$$

and  $\mathbf{d}$  as

$$d_i = \begin{cases} p_i - mq_i, & i = 1, \dots, n \\ m, & i = n+1 \end{cases}.$$

Then (1.6) for  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - mq_i) f(x_i) + mf\left(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i x_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_i f(y_i) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_i y_i\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_i f\left(\left|y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_j y_j\right|\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - mq_i) f\left(\left|x_i - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j\right|\right) + mf\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) x_i\right|\right) \end{aligned}$$

which is (2.8).

To get (2.9), we choose  $\mathbf{z}$  and  $\mathbf{r}$  as

$$z_i = \begin{cases} x_i, & i = 1, \dots, n \\ \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j, & i = n+1 \end{cases},$$

and

$$r_i = \begin{cases} q_i - \frac{p_i}{M}, & i = 1, \dots, n \\ \frac{1}{M}, & i = n+1 \end{cases}$$

where  $s$  is any integer  $1 \leq s \leq n-1$ .

Then, as  $f$  is superquadratic and  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i = 1$ ,  $r_i \geq 0$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(q_i - \frac{p_i}{M}\right) f(x_i) + \frac{1}{M} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i x_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} r_i f(z_i) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} r_i z_i\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} r_i f\left(\left|z_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} r_i z_i\right|\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(q_i - \frac{p_i}{M}\right) f\left(\left|x_i - \sum_{j=1}^n q_j x_j\right|\right) + \frac{1}{M} f\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) x_i\right|\right) \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (2.9). ■

**Remark 2.** *If the superquadratic function is also positive and therefore according to Lemma 1 is convex, then (2.8) and (2.9) refine Theorem 1.*

The following result is proved for superquadratic functions using the same technique used in Theorem 3 for convex functions and by using Theorem 2, therefore, the proof is omitted.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $f(x)$  be a positive superquadratic function on  $[0, a]$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, m^*, M^*$  be the same as in Theorem 3. Then

$$(2.10) \quad J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - m^* J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) \\ \geq n f \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - m^* q_i) |x_i - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j| + m^* |\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) x_i|}{n} \right) \geq 0,$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - M^* J_n(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) \\ \leq -n f \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (q_i - \frac{p_i}{M^*}) |x_i - \sum_{j=1}^n q_j x_j| + |\sum_{j=1}^n (q_j - p_j) x_j|}{n} \right) \leq 0.$$

In the following we state another generalisation of the Jensen inequality for superquadratic functions, and then we extend Theorems 4 and 5.

**Theorem 6.** Assume that  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with  $x_i \geq 0$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  is a probability sequence and  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_k)$  is another probability sequence with  $n, k \geq 2$ . Then for any superquadratic function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we have the inequality

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) \\ \geq f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) + \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} f \left( \left| \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right| \right).$$

*Proof.* By the definition of superquadratic functions, we have

$$(2.13) \quad f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) \\ \geq f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) + C \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \\ + f \left( \left| \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right| \right)$$

for any  $x_{i_j} \geq 0, i_j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Now, if we multiply (2.13) with  $p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} \geq 0$ , sum over  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  from 1 to  $n$  and take into account that  $\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} = 1$  we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.14) \quad & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) \\
 & \geq f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) + C \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} f \left( \left| \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right| \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

However

$$\begin{aligned}
 I & : = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \\
 & = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i
 \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) \\
 & = q_1 \sum_{i_1=1}^n p_{i_1} x_{i_1} \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^n p_{i_2} \dots p_{i_k} + \dots + q_k \sum_{i_k=1}^n p_{i_k} x_{i_k} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_{k-1}} \\
 & = q_1 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i + \dots + q_k \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i
 \end{aligned}$$

hence  $I = 0$  and by (2.14) we get the desired result (2.12). ■

**Theorem 7.** Assume that  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with  $x_i \in I$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $I$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ ,  $r_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are probability sequences, and  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_k)$ , another probability sequence with  $n, k \geq 2$ . Then, for any convex function  $f$  on  $I$  we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.15) \quad & M \left( \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k} f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) - f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \right) \right) \\
 & \geq \left( \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) - f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \right) \\
 & \geq m \left( \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k} f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) - f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $m := \min_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n} \left( \frac{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}}{r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k}} \right)$ ,  $M := \max_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n} \left( \frac{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}}{r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k}} \right)$ .



*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1:

We will prove the right side of the inequality. The left side of the inequality is similar.

As

$$\begin{aligned} & m \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i + \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^n (p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} - m r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k}) \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \\ &= \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i, \end{aligned}$$

$0 \leq m \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} - m r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k} \leq 1$  and  $m + \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k=1}^n (p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} - m r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k}) = 1$  we get as a result of the convexity of  $f$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & m f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \right) + \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k=1}^n (p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} - m r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k}) f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) \\ & \geq f \left( m \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i + \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k=1}^n (p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} - m r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k}) f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) \right) \\ & = f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the right inequality of (2.15). ■

Below we state the analogue to Theorem 7 for superquadratic functions. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4 and hence it is omitted.

**Theorem 8.** *Under the same conditions on  $\mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{q}$ ,  $\mathbf{r}$ ,  $m$  and  $M$  as in Theorem 7, if  $I$  is  $[0, a)$  or  $[0, \infty)$  and  $f(x)$  is a superquadratic function on  $I$ , then:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) - f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \\ & - m \left( \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k} f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) - f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \right) \right) \\ & \geq m f \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (r_i - p_i) x_i \right| \right) \\ & + \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n (p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \dots p_{i_k} - m r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k}) f \left( \left| \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} - \sum_{s=1}^n p_s x_s \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) - f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \\
 & - M \left( \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k} f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} \right) - f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \right) \right) \\
 & \leq -f \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (r_i - p_i) x_i \right| \right) \\
 & - \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n (p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \dots p_{i_k} - M r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k}) f \left( \left| \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_{i_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n r_s x_s \right| \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $f$  is also positive, then this inequality refines (2.15).

### 3. OTHER INEQUALITIES

The definition of superquadratic functions and their properties draw our attention to the possibility of using the *Čebyšev functional* and its properties to get new type of reverse Jensen Inequality.

For a function  $C : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we consider the Čebyšev type functional

$$T(C, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i C(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \sum_{i=1}^n p_i C(x_i).$$

It is well known that, if  $C$  is monotonic nondecreasing function on  $[0, \infty)$  then the sequences  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $C(\mathbf{x}) := (C(x_1), \dots, C(x_n))$  are synchronous and for any probability sequence  $\mathbf{p}$  we have the *Čebyšev inequality*

$$T(C, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \geq 0.$$

If certain bounds for the values of the function  $C(x_i)$  are known, namely

$$(3.1) \quad -\infty < m \leq C(x_i) \leq M < \infty \quad \text{for any } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

then the following inequality due to Cerone & Dragomir [4] holds:

$$(3.2) \quad |T(C, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})| \leq \frac{1}{2} (M - m) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \left| x_i - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j \right|.$$

The constant  $\frac{1}{2}$  is best possible in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller quantity.

We can state now the following reverse of the Jensen inequality for superquadratic functions:

**Theorem 9.** *Assume that  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with  $x_i \geq 0$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  is a probability sequence with  $n \geq 2$ . Then for any superquadratic function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $C(x_i)$  satisfying (2.16), where  $C(x)$  is as in Definition*

1 we have the inequality,

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} (M - m) \sum_{i=1}^n p_j \left| x_j - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right| - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j f \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i - x_j \right| \right) \\ \geq \sum_{j=1}^n p_j f(x_j) - f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \left( \geq \sum_{j=1}^n p_j f \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i - x_j \right| \right) \right).$$

*Proof.* Utilising the definition of the superquadratic functions we have

$$(3.4) \quad f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \geq f(x_j) + C(x_j) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i - x_j \right) + f \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i - x_j \right| \right)$$

for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

If we multiply (3.4) by  $p_j \geq 0, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , sum over  $j$  from 1 to  $n$  and take into account that  $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1$  we get

$$(3.5) \quad f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \\ \geq \sum_{j=1}^n p_j f(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n p_j C(x_j) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i - x_j \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n p_j f \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i - x_j \right| \right).$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_j C(x_j) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i - x_j \right) = -T(C, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$$

hence by (3.2) and (3.5) we deduce the desired result (3.3). ■

**Remark 3.** We observe that, as a "by-product" from (3.3) we get the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} T(C, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \geq \sum_{j=1}^n p_j f \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i - x_j \right| \right)$$

while from (3.3) we get

$$\frac{1}{2} (M - m) \sum_{i=1}^n p_j \left| x_j - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right| \geq \sum_{j=1}^n p_j f \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i - x_j \right| \right).$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abramovich, S. Banić, M. Matic, and J. Pečarić, Jensen- Steffensen's and related inequalities for superquadratic functions, *Math. Ineq. Appl.* **10** (2007), pp. .
- [2] S. Abramovich, G. Jameson and G. Sinnamon, Refining Jensen's inequality, *Bull.Math. Soc. Math. Roum.* **47**, (2004), pp. 3-14.
- [3] S. Abramovich, G. Jameson and G. Sinnamon, Inequalities for averages of convex and superquadratic functions, *J. Inequal. Pure & Appl. Math.* **5** (4), (2004), Article 91.
- [4] P. Cerone and S.S. Dragomir, A Refinement of the Grüss inequality and applications, *Tamkang J. Math.* **38**(2007), No. 1, pp. 37-49, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **5**(2002), No. 2, Article 14, [ONLINE <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v5n2.html>].
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the normalised Jensen functional, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **74** (2006), pp. 471-478.

- [6] J. Pečarić, F. Proschan, Y. L. Tong, *Convex Functions, Partial Orderings, and Statistical Applications*, Academic Press, Inc. (1992).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA, HAIFA 31905, ISRAEL

*E-mail address:* `abramos@math.haifa.ac.il`

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & MATHEMATICS, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428,,  
MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

*E-mail address:* `Sever.Dragomir@vu.edu.au`