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Abstract

Diagnostic analysis of reverse osmosis membranes that are fed with Western Treatment Plant (WTP) recycled water was investigated by both thermodynamic calculations and laboratory experiments, in order to predict the feasibility of RO desalting for WTP. The thermodynamic calculations suggested that RO recoveries of 80-85% were feasible with careful control of feed water pH and the use of chemical additives such as antiscalants and chelating agents, it also predicted the major minerals of concern to be silica, calcium fluoride, calcium carbonate, and calcium phosphate. Following the thermodynamic simulations, diagnostic laboratory experiments were undertaken. The experiments showed that the major contributor to scale formation was indeed calcium phosphate and possibly another calcium based compound, which was strongly suspected to be calcium carbonate. Based on previously published literature that indicated anti-scalants did not substantially decrease the scaling effect of calcium phosphate and laboratory tests that indicated controlling the pH to 6.4 in the feed water dramatically reduced scaling formation, it was suggested that the feed water could be controlled by pH adjustments only. Inter-stage pH correction was suggested as an optional technique to enhance the overall water recovery to above 95%.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Developing an assessment tool

The raw water fed to a reverse osmosis (RO) desalting system usually contains sparingly soluble salts such as (Ca)\textsubscript{3}(PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}, CaCO\textsubscript{3}, CaSO\textsubscript{4} and silica. As purified water permeates through the membrane, the concentration of the dissolved species is continuously increased. If the solubility limit of a sparingly soluble salt is exceeded, scale precipitation will clog the membrane and cause a drastic decline in the permeate flowrate [1]. To avoid scaling difficulties, it is essential to restrict the fractional recovery of purified water below a critical threshold limit at which there is a risk of scale precipitation. In view of the economic benefit of high water recovery, the effective solubility limits of scaling salts, and hence the allowable water recovery, are usually extended by dosing the raw feed water with an anti-scalant and/or acid in order to control its pH. It is therefore of great interest to establish the magnitude of the maximum permissible water recovery level with given raw water feed and to determine the relative effectiveness of various chemical additives that might be used to extend the water
recovery limit. However, currently, there is no satisfactory laboratory test for characterization of the threshold limits of scaling and for confident selection of the most cost effective chemical additives. The only reliable technique involves time consuming pilot plant tests [2].

The aim of the current work was to investigate the potential of scale formation with water from the WTP using a relatively quick and simple assessment tool which will assist in designing a possible full scale plant. That was achieved by combining thermodynamic simulation of scale precipitation with a simple proven laboratory procedure [3].

1.2. Calcium Phosphate Scaling

The scaling species generally encountered in the desalination of seawater and brackish water feeds, mostly CaCO₃, CaSO₄ and silica, are commonly controlled by a wide variety of inhibiting compounds (‘‘antiscalants’’). However, the major difficulty in wastewater effluent purification by RO lies in effective control of calcium phosphate scaling [4]. Field experience reported in recent publications is somewhat confusing. Some reports provide experimental evidence on the failure of antiscalants to mitigate calcium phosphate precipitation, while in some major RO effluent projects it is claimed that calcium phosphate scaling can be managed by the use of antiscalants with control of pH and limiting the water recovery. A RO pilot plant in Israel [5] examined effluents containing 12–31 mg/L PO₄, 90–120 mg/L SO₄, 100–130 mg/L Ca and having a pH in the range of 6.7–8.0. It was concluded that prevention of calcium phosphate scaling might be achieved through a combination of antiscalant dosage, lowered pH and low water recovery. Currently, it is far from clear if calcium phosphate scaling can be reliably inhibited by addition of antiscalants. In a recent study [6] five available antiscalants were tested and all proved to be ineffective over most solution compositions. The experimental data indicated that antiscalants are likely to prove ineffective when the operating conditions are as follows: solution pH above 7.0–7.2, permeate flux above 40 L/m² h and concentration polarisation (CP) modulus higher than approximately 1.5. With current state of art antiscalants it seems that control of phosphate scaling by antiscalants is problematic and must be very carefully monitored.

2. Experimental

Modelling provided a first-order indication of the process limitations and the minerals of major concern, thereby drastically reducing the number of bench scale experiments that followed.

2.1 Step 1 – Thermodynamic simulation

2.1.1 Model calculations

Thermodynamic phase equilibrium calculations were carried with the aid of the OLI Lab Analyzer 1.3 software (OLI Systems, Morris Plains, NJ), with the results presented in terms of the various equilibrium parameters as defined below:
\[ SI_x = \frac{IAP_x}{K_{spx}} \]

SI\(_x\): Saturation index for mineral \(x\)
IAP\(_x\): Ion activity product relevant to mineral \(x\)
Ksp\(_x\): Solubility product for mineral \(x\)

Concentration Factor (CF): The concentration factor is the ratio of the salt concentration in the brine concentrate compared to the feed water.

Definitions:

\[ CF = \frac{C_C}{C_F} = \frac{1 - R_W (1 - R_S)}{1 - R_W} \]
\[ CF \approx \frac{1}{1 - R_W} \quad \text{if} \quad R_S \approx 1 \]

CF: Concentration Factor
CC: Concentration of concentrate
CF: Concentration of feed
RW: Fractional water recovery
RS: Fractional salt rejection

Water quality data that correspond to the WTP reclaimed water quality was supplied by Melbourne Water (Table 1) and this was used for the thermodynamic modelling. The data in Table 1 is averaged water quality data from two sets of monitoring data.

The thermodynamic calculations make several assumptions, and the following points should be noted.

1. The CF used in the calculations represents a lower concentration factor relative to the concentration expected at the membrane surface, as concentration polarization at the membrane surface is not accounted for. The analysis can be refined to account for actual performance conditions given specific membrane performance data and module configuration.

2. Kinetic effects are not reflected in the calculations. Despite favourable thermodynamics, certain minerals may not form or will form very slowly due to slow kinetics.

3. The presence of natural organic matter (NOM) may hinder the formation of certain minerals (thermodynamically and kinetically). The effects of organics were not considered in the calculations.

4. Co-precipitation is not predicted in the thermodynamic calculations.
### Table 1 Feed water quality assumed for thermodynamic calculations (Concentration Factor (CF) = 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>mg/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NH₄⁺</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn²⁺</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe²⁺</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb²⁺</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni²⁺</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>281.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn²⁺</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu²⁺</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr³⁺</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al³⁺</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba²⁺</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>381.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃⁻</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂⁻</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPO₄⁻²</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>237.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F⁻</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>15 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity</td>
<td>205 mg/L  CaCO₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>13.6 Atm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>1066 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1.00039 g/mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Step 2 – Diagnostic lab experiments

A simple laboratory procedure was found to be quite useful for characterizing the scaling propensity of calcium based compounds [3]. The procedure consists of recycling the feed solution while continuously withdrawing permeate. The salts were concentrated as the permeate was removed, progressively increasing the scaling potential. The sharp drop in membrane permeability at the onset of scaling can be used to determine the value of a limiting fractional water recovery. The relative inhibitory effectiveness of various chemical additives can be then be assessed by comparing the limiting fractional water recovery achieved with the various inhibitors.

Experiments were conducted in a single RO pressure vessel, equipped with a 2.5 inch spiral wound membrane. The concentrate was collected and recycled, while the permeate was separated and collected in the permeate vessel (Fig. 1). The apparatus was
constructed from stainless steel and had a variable speed pump to control the feed flow rate.

Figure 1 Experimental RO system

2.2.1 Experimental routine
The system was operated under the following conditions:
- Feed flow rate of 200 L/hr.
- Applied Feed and Concentrate pressure was 15.5 Bar (i.e. No significant pressure drop across the membrane module).
- Feed temperature was in the range 16-22 °C.
- A total volume of approximately 200L was progressively added to the system via the 93L feed tank.

Two types of experiments were performed, a natural pH run (pH=8.2, total running time was 4.5 hours) and a corrected pH run, (pH=6.4, total run time was 2.6 hours). For the corrected pH run, the pH as controlled by adding an initial 50 mg/L of hydrochloric acid at the start of the experiment. The pH was corrected to 6.4 again after 30 minutes operation, as the pH had risen due to CO2 passage through the membrane, to simulate pH correction between RO stages.

2.2.2 Monitoring the scaling process
The scaling process was evaluated from measurements of the permeate decline and the depletion of the phosphate concentration in the recycling feed solution. Total phosphate concentration was determined using HACH spectrophotometer, by the Phosphorus Reactive method (HACH method No.8048). To enable comparison of experimental results, permeate flux data was normalized with respect to the initial, scale-free, membrane flux, correcting also for the slight flux changes caused by temperature and Net Driving Pressure (NDP) fluctuations. Standard procedures have been used for normalising RO performance data (ASTM 2001). These procedures incorporate the use of temperature and pressure correction factors, evaluated at standard and actual conditions [7].
3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Thermodynamic Model results

3.1.1 Effect of pH on SI – Feed water

The figure below (Fig. 2), demonstrates the importance of feed water pH control to minimize the potential of scaling/fouling in WTP water by aluminium, phosphate, and carbonate minerals. Based on thermodynamic calculations, over saturation (SI>1) with respect to these minerals can be avoided by controlling feed water pH below approximately 5.5. It may be possible to operate at higher pH with the aid of chelating agents and/or antiscalants.

Figure 2 Effect of pH on saturation indices at CF = 1.

3.1.2 Potential of mineral scaling during RO desalination at various water recoveries

Thermodynamic calculations were performed for various CF values and for three pH values (5, 6, and 7). The results at each CF correspond to a concentrate solution from a hypothetical RO desalination process operated with complete salt rejection, $R_s = 1$. These strict conditions result in a conservative analysis with respect to the formation of mineral salts. The saturation index, SI, as a function of water recovery was first calculated assuming the absence of precipitation. The composition and the total weight of major minerals that may potentially form mineral scale were then predicted assuming equilibrium conditions between the solid and liquid phases. The total weight of minerals were considered in terms of total solids precipitated per unit volume of RO feed water (at CF=1); these provide a measure of the precipitation potential of the concentrate for various water recoveries.

The calculations (Fig. 3) predict that the main scalants for RO desalination of WTP water are calcium fluoride, silica, calcium carbonate, and calcium phosphate. The pH
control is very important so as to control the precipitation of calcium phosphate and calcium carbonate. At the low pH range, it is possible to mitigate calcium carbonate and calcium phosphate scaling with water recoveries reaching greater than 95%. Although the aluminium precipitation potential is quite low (<0.5 mg/L-feed), some degree of control might be necessary, either through pH adjustment and/or the use of chelating agent. The pH value, however, provides little control over CaF₂ and silica precipitation. The potential of scaling by CaF₂ and silica becomes noticeable at water recoveries around 40% and 84%, respectively. It is noted that some antiscalant manufacturers have claimed that calcium fluoride precipitation can be mitigated by using antiscalants provided that the saturation index for this mineral is less than about 120 (i.e., SI_{CaF2}<120). This SI is reached in the concentrate at around 90% recovery. Similar claims have also been made for barium sulphate (also for SI<60). Silica, however, is much more difficult to control because of its complex chemistry and literature data on silica precipitation in multi-ion systems is limited.

![Figure 3 Saturation indices versus water recovery at constant pH = 7.](image)

### 3.2 Diagnostic laboratory experiments

Figure 4 shows significant differences in permeate flow decay with recovery between the natural pH and pH 6.4 experiments. Both flows are normalised with respect to the initial flow, which allows better comparison between the two cases.
Lowering the pH to 6.4 delayed the onset of scaling to a water recovery of 80% compared to 45% with the natural pH of 8.2. Furthermore, the rejection of salts was maintained at >95% for the entire range of water recoveries investigated. Clearly acidic pH conditions improve membrane performance, in-line with the higher solubility values for scaling salts at these pH values.

3.1.2 Permeate decay and ions concentration, in different pH

Both calcium carbonate and calcium phosphate were suspected to be the predominant scaling agents for WTP water. If this was the case, then precipitation of calcium phosphate and calcium carbonate on the membrane surface would lead to decreases in the phosphate, bicarbonate and calcium loads in the water recycling through the system. Furthermore, decreases in the ion loads would coincide with a decrease in permeate flow. The Figures below (Figs. 5-6) display both the measured concentrations of phosphate and calcium, and the calculated concentrations of phosphate and calcium based on no precipitation of calcium phosphate and complete rejection of these ions. For both ions, the expected concentrations were calculated by taking into account their initial concentration in the untreated WTP water and the permeate removed during the experiment. Permeate flow is also shown, in order to evaluate at which recovery precipitation started.
Figure 5 Calculated and measured PO4 and Ca concentrations in feed, pH=8.2

Figure 6 Calculated and measured PO4 and Ca concentrations in feed, pH=6.4
These results (Figs 5-6) indicate that without pH correction, Ca, PO\textsubscript{4} and possibly CO\textsubscript{3} precipitate on the membrane and that this leads to a dramatic decrease in permeate flux at approximately 45% recovery. In figure 5, it is clear that calcium phosphate as the only significant scaling compound could not be supported by the results, as the actual Ca concentration in the water begins to deviate from the theoretical Ca concentration at lower recoveries than deviations between the phosphate concentrations. Furthermore, the deviation between the actual and theoretical curves for the Ca concentration begins at the same recovery as the permeate flow rate begins to decline, and before the decline in phosphate concentration. Due to the nature of the experiment and the accuracy of the analysis which was below the ability to detect changes in the mass balance, a definite answer with regards to the additional scaling compound could not be determined. However, it is clear that another Ca containing scaling compound was also playing a role in scaling of RO fed by WTP effluent. According to thermodynamic analysis, it is most likely that with WTP water the additional calcium contained scaling compound would be calcium carbonate, which has a tendency to reach high SI levels at these pH and recovery levels.

In figure 6, the changes between the theoretical curve and the experimental curve were negligible at high recoveries, indicating that calcium phosphate was not a cause for scaling at this pH and that the additional scalant observed in Figure 5 was not present at the lower pH. Indeed no scaling had occurred and the permeate flow decrease was delayed and started at 80% recovery. Scaling is not the only reason for permeate decreases, and this decrease is a result of the increasing osmotic pressure that occurs when the feed is recirculated without the permeate. The increase in osmotic pressure lowers the overall driving force ($P-\pi$), resulting in a lower permeate flow.

In the figure below (Fig. 7), the permeate flow is expressed as a function of NDP for the two pH conditions. It is clear from the results that in the case of the pH=6.4 run we had postponed the scale formation by adjusting the pH. It is also clear that as the pH gradually goes up, membrane performance decreases due to scale formation.

![Figure 7 Permeate flow in different pH conditions as function of NDP](image-url)
Therefore, in order to maintain high recovery level with WTP water, pH control is critical to the performance of RO, as pH was shown to significantly affect the scaling rate. A continuous stabilising approach was proposed, in which pH correction is applied not only at the membrane entrance but also between RO stages. That will reduce pH increases through the membrane system, and may permit recoveries higher than 95%. There is no need to change the pH for recoveries up to 40%, as no scaling of the membranes was observed at these recoveries. As most of the H⁺ passes through the membrane to the permeate side, controlling the pH from 40% recovery to the end of the membrane system may reduce the acid consumption compared to pH correction before entering the RO system.

4. Conclusions

Thermodynamic calculations and pilot experiments identify that low pH operation successfully avoided scaling at high recoveries on WTP recycled water. The major contributors to scale formation are calcium phosphate and possibly calcium carbonate. Previous work has shown that regular antiscalants and even specific calcium phosphate anti-scalants, are not very effective in preventing precipitation of calcium phosphate [4]. This suggests that the feed water could be controlled by pH adjustment alone. However, this would need to be confirmed by longer term experiments to account for the potentially slower rate of precipitation of silica, calcium fluoride and other scaling components.

It should be mentioned that the scaling technique presented in the current paper simulates in time, the recovery and operation along a membrane system. However, the technique uses short operating times and therefore information regarding fouling over long periods of time is not obtained. Nonetheless, the technique may be used to screen the operational conditions, type and concentration of antiscalants etc. The screening experiments are useful, if properly applied to real operational systems, namely, after identification of the preferred operational conditions. The results should be applied in real systems at relatively low recovery levels, watching the system carefully while gradually increasing the recovery.
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