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Abstract

This study explores the potential of microstructured hollow fiber designs to enhance process performance in a direct contact membrane distillation (DCMD) system. Hollow fibers with ten different geometries (wavy and gear-shaped cross sections) were evaluated. A series of three dimensional computational fluid dynamic (CFD) simulations were carried out to investigate their capability in terms of depolarizing the buildup of liquid boundary layers, thus improving water productivity.

Analyses of heat and mass transfer as well as the flow-field distribution in respective MD modules were obtained. It was found that the enhancement of the heat-transfer coefficients, \( h_f \), was up to 4.5-fold for a module with a wavy fiber design 07 and an approximate 5.5-fold \( h_p \) increase for a gear-shaped fiber design. The average temperature polarization coefficient and mass flux \( N_m \) of the gear-shaped fiber module showed an improvement of 57 % and 66 %, respectively, over the original straight fiber design, followed by the wavy designs 07 and 08. The enhanced module performance was attributed to the improved hydrodynamics through the flow channels of various fiber geometries, which was confirmed by the visualization of flow-field and temperature profiles in CFD. Investigations of the fiber-length effect showed that the gear-shaped fiber modules exhibited the highest flux enhancement of 57–65 % with the same length, compared to the modules with original straight and wavy fibers.

In addition, the gear-shaped fiber module is very sensitive to feed velocity changes. Therefore, employing a smart microstructured design on the membrane surface would bring in a significant improvement under adverse flow conditions. Moreover, the computed water
production and hydraulic energy consumption (HEC) among the modules with various fiber geometries were compared. With 1.9-fold surface area increase per unit volume, the gear-shaped fiber configuration had the highest water production but the lowest HEC, followed by wavy designs 07 and 08.
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1. Introduction

As a hybrid process of membrane separation and conventional distillation, membrane distillation (MD) is considered as a promising alternative technology for desalination to obtain clean water when waste-heat or low grade heat are available. It is a combined mass- and heat-transfer process, where the driving force is the vapor pressure gradient (due to the temperature difference) across the membrane wall. The membrane material itself should be sufficiently hydrophobic to separate the feed (hot) and permeate (cold) streams, as well as to prevent pore-wetting problems. In the configuration of direct contact MD (DCMD), the mass and heat transfers take place in three steps: water molecules in the hot stream first evaporate at the mouth of the membrane pores, then the vapor flows through the membrane matrix until condensation takes places on the cold permeate surface. As a result, high-purity water is generated. Having been studied for over 3 decades, MD is of great interest due to the benefits of moderate operating temperature, acceptable permeation rate and high salt rejection. However, industrial applications of MD remain limited due to the following technical challenges [1, 2]: difficulties in fabricating suitable MD membranes for high water permeation flux and prevention of pore wetting; relatively high thermal energy consumption (with the access to low great heat), and poor flow hydrodynamics and/or severe temperature polarization (TP) effects that compromises module performance [3, 4].

In MD, the membrane structure and material properties contribute to the permeation rate, wetting resistance as well as the TP effect. Therefore, a surge of studies in recent years have
focused on membrane development [5-9], with special interest in hollow fibers due to their high surface area and modular versatility [3]. Although a few highly permeable hollow fiber membranes with large MD coefficients are available [7, 10-15], the development of MD membranes are still constrained by the conventional spinning methods and the limitation of suitable material properties. Also, the advantages of highly permeable fibers are often compromised by the morphological defects, weak mechanical strength and unsustainable long-term performance [11].

On the other hand, many studies have also focused on strategies to improve the MD performance through optimizing operation parameters [9, 16-20] and designing novel membrane modules [21-23] to alleviate the TP phenomenon and enhance permeation flux. It is shown that by incorporating proper flow alteration aids such as channeled design, external spacers or baffles into module designs, secondary flows or eddies are created. As a result, the MD flux can be greatly enhanced and the TP phenomenon can be mitigated [1, 9, 16-19, 24-29].

Another area that has been investigated for improved module performance is the microstructured surface design of the hollow fiber membranes. Inspired by the concept of corrugated surface adopted in heat-exchangers to enhance flow hydrodynamics, novel hollow fibers with modified surface geometries have been fabricated using specially designed spinnerets [30, 31]. It was reported that hollow fibers with modified surface geometries such as gear or flower shapes in cross-section have greatly improved membrane filtration performance by not only allowing a 5 or 6-fold increase of surface area and hence significant
water flux enhancement, but also acting as turbulence promoters and improving anti-fouling performance for submerged membrane bioreactors (MBR) and ultrafiltration modules [32-36]. In spite of the potential benefits for creating similar improvement in a combined mass- and heat-transfer process, no related work has been done for MD applications.

In parallel with extensive experimental studies to investigate the hydrodynamics in membrane modules, computational fluid dynamics (CFD) modeling has also been widely adopted to simulate and analyze fluid dynamic behaviors in membrane processes [37, 38]. With the visualization of the flow-field (including velocity, pressure, temperature and concentration profiles) at any locations in a defined flow channel, CFD modeling can be used to correlate the fundamental mass- and heat-transfer performance with flow hydrodynamics. Therefore, it has become a valuable evaluation tool for industrial applications. Nevertheless, the CFD simulations of the MD process have been greatly limited due to the complex coupling of mass and heat transfer across bulk fluids and the membrane matrix. Mostly, simplified mathematical models were used in prior MD modeling work [37]. For instance, simulations of the feed, permeate and membrane were often treated as a conjugate problem to obtain velocity and temperature fields; while the solute transport and latent heat induced by evaporation were ignored [39, 40]. To date, the CFD work on hollow fiber MD modules is sparsely reported [38, 41]. A recent CFD study, which proposed an improved heat-transfer model to couple the latent heat to the energy conservation equation and combine it with the Navier-Stokes equations, was performed by our group to address the transport relationships between the fluids (feed and permeate) and the membrane in a single-fiber MD module [38]. The model has also been used to analyze the effectiveness of different process enhancement strategies by
identifying the controlling local resistances in MD under various circumstances [41, 42].

The present work aims to evaluate the performance of a shell-side feed DCMD system with nine different types of modified fiber geometries via three dimensional (3D) CFD modeling. Since the gear- or flower-shaped fibers were comprehensively studied experimentally by others [30, 31], the current study mainly discusses the wavy microstructure and only one specific gear-shaped design. The following aspects have been investigated computationally: (1) optimization of fiber geometric structures in terms of main MD process metrics and flow-field and temperature distributions; (2) investigations of the length effect of modules with original straight, optimized wavy and gear-shaped fibers; (3) effect of flow conditions on the performance of the modules with original straight and modified fibers; (4) comparison of membrane area, water production and hydraulic energy consumption (HEC) among modules with various micro-structured fibers using the original design as a benchmark.

2. Theory

1.1 Geometric structures and CFD modeling methods

3D models were developed using the commercial software Fluent 6.3 to study the hydrodynamic behavior and heat transfer characteristics of ten modules with original straight and modified fibers of various surface geometries.

All the modules have the same cylindrical housings. Except for the original straight fibers,
modified fibers were designed to have regularly distanced waves with a constant wall thickness or gear-like (cross-section) structure on the outer surface. The assumed dimensions of these single-fiber modules are 0.25–0.84 m in length and 0.0095 m in shell diameter. For the convenience of CFD modeling, the geometries were assumed to be ideal axially-symmetric structures. Hence, a series of geometric structure for 3D computing domains were built using Gambit 2.4.6 for half of the actual modules, whose mirrored images of cross-sectional structures (within a length range of 0.015 m with respect to the overall length of 0.25 m) are shown in Fig. 1 (a); while Fig. 1 (b) shows a local domain amplification to specify the dimensional parameters of these wavy microstructures, in which \( R_{mi} \) and \( R_{mo} \) are the inner and outer radii of the fiber, \( \Delta x \) and \( \Delta y \) are the cross-sectional dimensions of a wave in \( x \) and \( r \) (from the baseline to the conic peak) directions; \( L_x \) is the interval between two waves; \( b \) is the shape parameter, which represents the shape of the conic wave. To clearly show the structures of these configurations, Fig. 2 depicts the 3D schematics of single-fiber modules containing fibers of a representative wavy design and gear-shaped, respectively. In all modules, the feed and permeate streams flow through the shell and lumen of the fiber, respectively, in a counter-current mode. The dimensional specifications and respective actual outer surface area \( A_s \) (taking into account the surface corrugation) of these modified fiber geometries are given in Table 1, which shows that both wavy designs 02 and 03 have a 3.6-fold area increase compared to the original fiber; while the gear-shaped fiber gains 1.9-fold increase.

With the geometries structured, CFD simulations were carried out using Fluent. A coupled heat-transfer model was built to combine the latent heat induced by evaporation/condensation.
on the membrane surfaces into the MD process. Nevertheless, the influence of the normal mass flow across the membrane matrix was ignored due to a negligible contribution from the MD mass flux in a single module [38]. Based on the governing transport equations and boundary conditions involved in the simulating process discussed in a previous study [38], a brief summary is given in Table 2. It includes mathematical models, boundary conditions and modeling algorithms. In this study, a laminar model is used for simulating the original module operated under laminar operating conditions ($Re < 2000$); while a realizable $k-\varepsilon$ method is applied for the original module under turbulent conditions ($Re > 2000$) or configurations with modified fibers.

In addition, it is assumed that all nine modified fibers have the similar membrane characteristics (i.e., wall thickness, porosity, and pore size/pore size distribution, etc) as the original fiber but with different surface geometries [38]. Also, the module specifications and the operating conditions are the same as used previously [38]. The heat-transfer model has been verified previously [38, 41, 42], based on an established DCMD system for a series of experimental settings, including various feed inlet temperatures, fiber lengths and flow velocities, and modified module configurations with external aids. Based on our previous verification results, the comparisons between the CFD simulation results and experimental data of mass flux ($N_m$) and feed pressure drop ($\Delta P_f$) showed good agreement with small relative errors of $\pm 5\%$. Hence, the model verification shall not be repeated here.
1.2 Computational domain and grid structures

As mentioned previously, the geometric structures of ten single-fiber modules with original straight and modified fibers were created in this study using the Gambit software. A combination of tetrahedral and hexahedral grids was adopted to generate meshes within the calculating domains for all configurations. The grid number was optimized using the grid independence tests. In the radial $r$ direction, a grid scale of $5 \times 10^{-6}$ m was chosen for the bulk permeate and membrane, and progressively increased scales from $5 \times 10^{-6}$ to $2 \times 10^{-4}$ m were set for the bulk feed (shell-side); while in the axial $x$ direction, a grid scale of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ m was employed. A local mesh structure of a module with straight fibers is shown in Fig. 3. It is noted that the effect of the hollow fiber membrane surface roughness on the wall boundary conditions was ignored due to its much smaller scale than that of a mesh element. The iteration criterion for convergence is $10^{-5}$ in Fluent.

1.3 Heat-transfer analysis of MD process

As the influence of the normal mass transferred across the membrane matrix was ignored due to the negligible amount, the corresponding induced latent heat was treated as a MD characteristic and coupled into the heat-transfer model during the simulations [41]. The MD heat transport is often elucidated in three steps, and the overall heat-transfer rate through the membrane, $Q$, consists of the latent heat $Q_{MD}$ (evaporation) and heat loss $Q_{HL}$ (conduction).
The respective heat-transfer coefficients of the feed $h_f$, the permeate $h_p$ and the membrane $h_m$ can be calculated based on the temperature profiles provided by CFD simulations. The main heat-transfer equations and definitions and equations required for data post-processing are summarized in Table 3.

In Table 3, the MD coefficient $C$ and solution temperatures (vapor pressure) given in Eq. (5) both contribute to the permeation flux $N_m$. Based on the prior studies, the $C$ is an intrinsic mass-transfer coefficient of the membrane [43] and is calculated based on a combination of structural parameters (thickness, porosity, pore size and pore size distribution) [42]. Therefore, in the current study a general $C$ value for the selected original straight and wavy designs is determined as $8.0 \times 10^{-7}$ kg·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$·Pa$^{-1}$; while due to the slight variation of thickness, the gear-shaped fiber has a modified value $C^*$ of $6.4 \times 10^{-7}$ kg·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$·Pa$^{-1}$ with fixed membrane matrix structure (where $C^* = (0.6+0.4 (\delta_m/\delta_m^*))C$, with the original $\delta_m = 0.27$ mm and modified $\delta_m^* = 0.52$ mm, as shown in Fig. 2(b)). As important MD performance metrics, the temperature-polarization coefficient ($TPC$) and hydraulic energy consumption ($HEC$) can be calculated based on Eqs. (9) and (10), respectively. The $TPC$ characterizes the actual driving force of the system [3]; while the $HEC$ (J·kg$^{-1}$) and water production (kg·day$^{-1}$) are used to assess the performance of these microstructured fibers in terms of the pumping electricity cost and water production.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 1.4 Optimization of fiber geometric designs in DCMD

##### 1.4.1 Improvement of heat transfer coefficients
Based on an analysis of the controlling heat-transfer resistance in different MD systems, external hydrodynamic aids can be employed to achieve a significant enhancement when the heat transfer through the liquid-boundary layers plays a dominant role [42]. Hence, in this study, a similar DCMD system [highly permeable membrane with membrane coefficient $C = 8.0 \times 10^{-7}$ kg·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$·Pa$^{-1}$ and $C'(\text{gear}) = 6.4 \times 10^{-7}$ kg·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$·Pa$^{-1}$, and relatively low operating temperatures $T_f = 327.15$ K, $T_p = 293.85$ K] was selected to investigate the effectiveness of hydrodynamic improvement by incorporating modified fibers with various surface microstructures. To optimize the fiber geometry particularly for MD applications, nine different microstructures listed in Table 1 were simulated, and Fig. 4 shows the distributions of the simulated heat transfer coefficients at the feed and permeate sides, $h_f$ and $h_p$, along the fiber length $L$, respectively, for single-fiber modules with the original and nine modified fibers.

In Fig. 4 (a) the $h_f$ distribution curves for all fiber geometries show a general decreasing trend along the fiber length $L$. For the original module, the highest $h_f$ appears at the entrance of the feed side and then decreases along the flow direction until reaching a plateau when the flow is fully developed; while for the rest of the modules, the curves continuously decrease towards the exits. This is due to the slower build-up of the liquid boundary layer along the feed flow direction credited to the disturbance by the surface corrugations. Overall, the curves of modules with microstructured fibers are much higher than that of the original one, which shows the lowest average $h_f$ of 1495 W·m$^{-2}$·K$^{-1}$. The configuration with an alternate wavy design 07 (1.25/1.0 mm, $L_x = 1.25$ m) shows the highest $h_f$ of 6823.9 W·m$^{-2}$·K$^{-1}$, which is 4.6-fold higher than the original fiber, followed by another alternate wavy design 08 (2.5/1.0
mm, \( L_x = 2.5 \) m) and the gear-shaped fiber. The simulation results indicate that these new microstructured geometries have successfully introduced flow disturbance to disrupt the temperature polarization layer on the membrane surface and hence enhance the heat transfer. Also, the significant improvement of heat-transfer coefficients by introducing the corrugated surfaces has confirmed the controlling role of the liquid-boundary layers in this MD system with a large membrane coefficient \( C \) & low operating temperatures. More discussion of the flow-field distribution associated with intensified local mixing, reduced TP and enhanced permeation flux is presented in a later section.

In Fig. 4 (b) the curve of the heat-transfer coefficients on the permeate side \( h_p \) of the original module shows a similar trend to its \( h_f \) distribution – a continuous decrease appears after entering the inlet of the permeate side \( (L = 0.25 \text{ m}) \) and then reaches a plateau. The modified designs wavy 01, 02, 03 and 06 follow the same trend. Surprisingly, wavy 02 (5.0/2.0 mm, \( b = 0.5 \)) and 03 (5.0/2.0 mm, \( b = 0.8 \)) even have lower \( h_p \) curves than the original fiber. The possible reason is that the presence of deeper waves (\( \Delta y = 2.0 \text{ mm} \)) has created strong vortices in the valley zones on the permeate side, which caused liquid stagnation. However, the rest of the designs present an increasing \( h_p \) trend. It is observed that the gear-shaped design has the highest average \( h_p \) of a 5.5-fold increase compared to the original fiber, followed by a wavy geometry 05. For all the wavy configurations, the significant \( h_p \) enhancement is mainly due to the appropriate size of the corrugations that have greatly improved the hydrodynamic conditions and heat transfer at the permeate side, by increasing the surface shear-rate of the flow and hence reducing the thickness of liquid boundary layers; while for the gear-shaped design, the improved heat transfer at the permeate side was credited
to the better hydrodynamic conditions on the corrugated outer membrane surface (i.e., feed side), which has much higher wall temperatures and hence a more efficient thermal diffusion across the thermal boundary layers at both sides.

It should be pointed out that different from the conclusions of our previous work on the introduction of external turbulence promoters into MD modules [42], the current $h_p$ simulation results showed that microstructured designs on the membrane surface are able to improve the heat transfer not only at the feed but also at the permeate side.

1.4.2 Temperature-polarization mitigation and flow-filed visualization

It was observed in Fig. 4 that most of the modules with modified fiber geometries have shown significant enhancement in heat transfer coefficients $h_f$ and $h_p$, which are closely related to the TP phenomenon—one of the critical barriers in MD practice. Thus, the corresponding results on TP effect are simulated and shown in Fig. 5, which gives the simulated $TPC$ distributions along the module length $L$ for all modules.

It can be seen from Fig. 5 that all modules present a similar U shape – the maximum $TPC$ value occurs at the entrance and the lowest at the midpoint of the module then a slow increase towards the exit. The reason is that the transmembrane temperature difference ($T_{fm} - T_{pm}$) first decreases and then increases due to the opposite build-ups of thermal boundary layers on the
feed and the permeate sides: the $T_{fm}$ continues to decrease along its flow direction ($x$) and $T_{pm}$ first increases and then decreases along $-x$ direction. It is not surprising to see that the modules with wavy designs 02 and 03 (5.0/2.0 mm, $b = 0.5$ and 0.8) show even lower $TPC$ curves than the original straight one, which are consistent with those of the heat-transfer coefficients in Fig. 4, i.e., the continuous wavy designs 02 and 03 had lower $h_p$ curves than the original straight fiber. The reason responsible for this result may be that a fiber surface with deep and over-frequent corrugations (waves) might result in intense local secondary flows, which are possibly trapped between the valleys of two neighboring projections and subsequently compromise the module performance. Hence, liquid stagnation would instead lead to a lower heat-transfer efficiency and more severe TP phenomenon.

On the other hand, the alternate wavy designs 07, 08 and gear structured modules present relatively higher $TPC$ curves, which are also consistent with their high $h_f$ and $h_p$ distributions in Fig. 4. Compared to the original design, a $TPC$ increase of 70 % is achieved by the gear-fiber module. This might be due to the intensified secondary flows induced by gear-shaped corrugations on the membrane surface that has reduced the thickness of thermal boundary layer and facilitated the heat transfer. For the alternate wavy designs 07 and 08, the reason for improvement may include two factors: firstly, these alternate wavy projections with an appropriate height have increased the surface roughness to create flow eddies and improve the hydrodynamic conditions on both sides; secondly, the presence of wavy corrugations have broadened the flow channel at the permeate side, which allows more cooling water to flow through and achieve better heat exchanging performance. Therefore, the temperature polarization phenomenon is greatly mitigated due to the effective flow alteration caused by
modified flow channels. As a result, the overall driving force is increased.

To further reveal the fundamentals of the heat-transfer enhancement by employing microstructured fibers in MD modules, Fig. 6a shows the local flow-field and temperature distribution in modules with various fiber geometries (within a length range of 0.105–0.125 m with respect to the overall length 0.25 m). The velocity profiles are described by stream traces and temperature distribution in band colors. These simulation results are consistent with the trends of the heat-transfer coefficients curves shown in Fig. 4 and TPC distributions in Fig. 5 for these modified designs. Clearly, the intensity of secondary flows induced by the wavy corrugations increases with increasing wave depth and decreases with increasing the arching width. Nevertheless, it is shown that a microstructure of deeper and more frequent corrugations is not necessarily a superior choice for achieving improved module performance. For example, the wavy design 02 with continuous waves $\Delta x$ of 2.5 mm and depth $\Delta y$ of 2.0 mm showed a negative effect in terms of TP mitigation; while another lower wavy design 05 with continuous waves ($\Delta x = 2.5$ mm and $\Delta y = 1.0$ mm) performed much better. Interestingly, the alternative design 08 with less and low waves ($\Delta x = 2.5$ mm, $\Delta y = 1.0$ mm and $L_x = 2.5$ mm) achieved the best results among these three configurations. However, a further decrease in the number of turbulence aids might result in insufficient flow disturbance and mixing instead, which was reported in a prior study [42]. Due to the difficulty in presenting via a cross-sectional flow field, the flow conditions of the gear-fiber module is analyzed using the local temperature and pressure contours (slicing planes at $x = 0.01$, 0.10 and 0.20 m) in band colors, as shown in Fig. 6b. Compared to the module with an original straight fiber, the presence of a gear-shaped geometry successfully disrupts the liquid boundary layers on the
feed side and simultaneously enhances the permeate flow. Hence, the TP effect is greatly reduced and heat transfer enhanced as indicated in Figs. 4 and 5. Thus, combining the simulation results shown in Figs. 4 and 5, it is concluded that an appropriate design of the membrane surface geometry can lead to reduced thermal boundary layers, alleviated TP phenomenon and hence enhanced heat transfer in a liquid-film controlled MD system.

1.4.3 Enhancement of permeation flux

Fig. 7 gives the distributions of mass fluxes $N_m$ along the fiber length $L$ for modules packed with fibers of various microstructural designs, under the same operating conditions. It is noted that $N_m$ is defined based on the outer membrane area of the fiber. For the original module, the $N_m$ curve has a similar trend as its TPC distribution (shown in Fig. 5), which firstly decreased and then slightly increased due to the countercurrent build-ups of the thermal boundary layers on the feed and permeate sides. However, the modules with modified fibers show dramatic flux increase along the feed flow direction. This is due to the slower flow development and hence boundary layer buildup along a channel with corrugated surface.

Similar to the TPC results in Fig. 5, few configurations with modified fiber geometries show negative results compared to the original straight fiber — wavy 02, 03 and 04, which gain even lower average mass fluxes; while wavy 05 slightly outscores the original configuration. The highest flux enhancement of 60 % is achieved by the module with gear fibers, followed by the alternate wavy designs 07 and 08 with approximate improvement of 38 %. These
modeling results again imply the advantages of a gear-shaped structure and alternate wavy geometries for achieving better module performance. However, the relatively lower fluxes per unit area of the continuous wavy designs 02–05 do not necessarily result in lower water production per day, due to the enhanced contact area generated by the corrugated geometry (a comparison of actual membrane areas $A_s$ is given in Table 1). Therefore, a fair comparison will be provided in terms of water production (kg·day$^{-1}$) in a later section.

1.5 Effect of fiber length for modules with various fiber geometric designs

Based on the previous discussions of the selected MD system (high $C$ value and low operating temperatures), an appropriate modification of fiber geometry could greatly enhance the module performance. Thus, the most promising were selected to further explore their potential for industrial applications. A series of simulations were conducted to compare the length effect among single-fiber modules with original straight, wavy 08 and gear-shaped fibers. The results are shown in Figs. 8 and 9, which depict the effects of module length ($L = 0.25, 0.54$ and $0.84$ m respectively) on the distributions of $TPC$ and $Nm$ along the dimensionless module length $x/L$ under given operating conditions.

In Fig. 8 all $TPC$ curves for different modules show U shapes with different curvatures. Regardless of fiber geometries, the average $TPC$ values of these configurations decrease with increasing module length. i.e., shorter modules are less vulnerable to the TP effect and hence
show higher TPCs. Overall, the modules with original straight fibers have the lowest curves among all configurations with the same lengths; while the ones with gear-shaped and wavy 08 fibers show similarly high TPCs. For the module with the original fiber, an increase of length from 0.25 m to 0.54 m causes 20 % TPC decrease; a further increase of length to 0.84 m results in an approximate 50 % decrease in the average TPC. Moreover, the shorter module ($L = 0.25$ m) presents a rather flat U shape compared to the longer ones with much slower flow development along the dimensionless length $x/L$. Yet, the module with a wavy design 08 presents 17 % decrease with a length increase from 0.25 m to 0.54 m and 21 % deterioration with a further increase to $L = 0.84$ m. The modules with gear-shaped fibers show a similar percentage of TPC decrease with increasing fiber length. Apparently, a much slower decrease with increasing fiber length is observed for modified fibers, due to a slower buildup of boundary layers at the same location (but a smaller $x/L$ as the module gets longer). Although it was reported that longer modules tend to be subject to more severe TP effect [9], the simulated results in Fig. 8 show that fibers with modified geometries are advantageous for scale-up modules.

Fig. 9 shows the distributions of mass flux $N_m$ for these three fiber geometries (straight, wavy 08 and gear-shaped) along the dimensionless module length $x/L$. In general, for the same configuration the local mass flux decreases with increasing module length at the same $x/L$, which is consistent with both our previous experimental data and simulation results [38, 44]. This is mainly due to the decrease in the local driving forces and the build-up of thermal boundary layers as the flow channel length increases. Except for a downward U shape for the module with a short straight fiber (0.25 m), the rest of the $N_m$ curves show an upward U shape
— a slight decrease at the entrance region, where the thinnest boundary layer of the feed side appears, and then an increase as the transmembrane temperature difference increases with increasing dimensionless length $x/L$. This is a result of the counter-current buildup of liquid boundary layers. Although longer modules with larger membrane areas will result in a higher water production, an optimized length should be chosen for industrial applications based on an acceptable magnitude of the local driving force and a more even distribution of $N_m$.

It is also observed in Fig. 9 that the $N_m$ of the modified designs (wavy and gear-shaped) increases more significantly along the dimensionless module length $x/L$ than the original module. This is mainly attributed to the increase of driving force as a result of disruptive boundary layers by surface corrugation. Overall, the modules with gear-shaped fibers gain the highest flux enhancement ratios among all configurations with the same length. Interestingly, compared to original modules, the gear-fiber configuration gains a slight increase of enhancement from 57 % to 65 % with increasing length from 0.25 m to 0.84 m. Therefore, it is meaningful to employ a modified fiber geometry like a gear-shaped, which performs more advantageously when longer modules are desired for a higher water production in industry.

1.6 Effects of flow conditions for modules with different fiber geometries

1.6.1 Reynolds number of the feed, $Re_f$
As a conventional strategy to improve hydrodynamic conditions in MD systems, an increase of flow velocity to create turbulence is found to be effective. However, similar to other hydrodynamic approaches [42], its effectiveness may differ in MD modules of different configurations. Based on the previous simulation results of geometric optimization, the gear-shaped design was selected and simulated to investigate the effect of flow conditions with modified surface geometry. With other operating conditions kept constant, Figs. 10 and 11 show the effects of flow conditions (in terms of Reynolds number, \( Re \)) on the TPC and mass flux \( N_m \) distributions for 0.25 m-long modules with single straight and gear fibers, respectively. In Fig. 10 (a) the average TPC increases with increasing \( Re_f \) for either configuration due to the improved fluid dynamics at a higher flow velocity. For the original module, all TPC distributions for varied feed flow conditions from laminar to turbulence (i.e., \( Re_f = 420 – 2500 \)) show a similar U shape—initially decreasing after entering the module and then slowly increasing towards the exit; while the curves of the gear-fiber modules present a rather flat trend after leaving the entrance region. It is noted that the \( Re_f \) for the gear-fiber module was calculated based on the modified hydraulic diameter characterized by its gear-shaped surface.

Compared to the gear-fiber configuration, in Fig. 10 (a) the original module generally shows lower average TPC values and a negligible change with a velocity increase under laminar flow (\( Re_f \leq 1500 \)). The TPC of the original module only fluctuates within 6 % with \( Re_f \) increasing from 420 to 1500; while the turbulence condition (\( Re_f = 2500 \)) brings 30 % TPC increase compared to that of \( Re_f = 420 \). The dramatic enhancement is credited to the reduced thickness of liquid boundary layer and hence improved heat transfer under turbulent flow.
conditions. In contrast, the gear-fiber module shows fairly significant changes with the corresponding $Re_f$ adjustment. This is probably because of the ups and downs of the gear-shaped surface, which could facilitate the occurrence of cross flow and hence enhanced fluid dynamics even at an extremely low $Re_f$. For instance, the gear-fiber module shows an encouraging average $TPC$ of 0.7, which is 1.6 times higher than the original configuration, at the same low $Re_f$ of 420.

In Fig. 10 (b) the mass flux $N_m$ increases with increasing $Re_f$ for both configurations. Similar to the $TPC$ distributions, the $N_m$ curves of the original module show a downward U shape along the fiber length $L$ and have a rather insignificant response to a $Re_f$ increase under laminar conditions ($Re_f \leq 1500$); its $N_m$ distribution presents a dramatic increase along the feed flow direction once the turbulent condition is reached ($Re_f = 2500$). The maximum flux increase is up to 50% compared to that of $Re_f = 420$. On the other hand, the gear-fiber module shows an initial decrease at the entrance and subsequent increase along the fiber length for each flow condition. Although a relatively flat curve is obtained at an extremely low $Re_f$ of 420, the slope of the $N_m$ curve for the gear-fiber configuration increases significantly with increasing $Re_f$. This indicates that the flow disturbance induced by the gear microstructure becomes more intense as the flow develops along the flow channel, especially at a higher flow velocity.
3.3.2 Reynolds number of the permeate, $Re_p$

Similarly, with the $Re_p$ kept constant, the permeate flow conditions ($Re_p$) was varied to simulate the module performance in terms of TP alleviation and flux enhancement. Fig. 11 presents the $TPC$ and $Nm$ distributions along the fiber length $L$ for both the original and gear-fiber modules with increasing $Re_p$ from 220 to 2500. Similar to Fig. 10, the original module shows much lower $TPC$ and $Nm$ curves compared to the modified configuration at the same $Re_p$.

In Fig. 11 (a) the average $TPC$ increases with increasing $Re_p$ for both configurations. The reason is that a change in the heat-exchange rate at a higher $Re_p$ leads to a decrease of permeate bulk temperature and hence a higher transmembrane temperature difference (driving force) occurs at the same fiber length. However, different from the influence of the feed flow conditions, a major increase of $Re_p$ from laminar to turbulence only brings a modest change in their respective $TPC$ results—an increase of 19 % occurs for the original module and 10 % for the gear-fiber one.

Similarly, Fig. 11(b) shows that the average $Nm$ increases with increasing $Re_p$ for both modules. Generally, at a relative higher $Re_p$ ($\geq 1500$) the $Nm$ curves of both modules show an increasing trend along the permeate flow direction. This is because of a decrease of flow temperature on the permeate side, which results in an increasing transmembrane temperature difference towards the feed inlet ($L = 0$ m) at under-developed flow conditions. However, at an extremely low $Re_p$ of 220 when the flow tends to be fully developed, the $Nm$ curves of the
original module shows a decreasing trend; while the gear-fiber module show a more dramatic
decay after the permeate flow enters the module. This is probably due to its particular
corrugated gear structure that might regionally retain some liquid at low $Re_p$ and hence result
in a reduced local driving force due to a more rapid flow development and buildup of liquid
boundary layer along the permeate flow direction. Nevertheless, compared to the original
module, the gear-fiber module still gains 47 % flux enhancement at such a low $Re_p$ of 220.
Overall, a change in the flow conditions from laminar ($Re_p = 220$) to turbulence ($Re_p = 2500$)
has brought significant influence on the permeation flux—32.5 % flux enhancement for the
original module and 46 % for the gear-shaped fiber.

However, it should be pointed out that instead of employing high flow velocity to create
turbulence, a major achievement of TP mitigation and flux enhancement could be
accomplished by utilizing fibers with smarter microstructured designs under laminar
conditions.

1.7 Comparison of water production and hydraulic loss for
modules with various fiber geometries

As discussed in the previous sections, an appropriate geometric modification on the
membrane surface (e.g., alternate wavy or geared shapes) would greatly reduce the
heat-transfer resistance and improve the module performance, when the heat transferred
through the liquid-boundary layers presents a dominant resistance. To fully evaluate these fibers of various geometries, two important process metrics—water production (kg·day⁻¹) and hydraulic energy consumption (HEC) were calculated and compared among various modules (geometric specifications listed in Table 1), as shown in Fig. 12. Assuming that each ideal module contains one-hundred pieces of fiber with different geometric designs (i.e., total membrane area $A = 100 \ A_1$), the configuration with original straight fibers has a HEC of 6.5 J·kg⁻¹ and water production of 46 kg·day⁻¹; while the module with gear fibers shows the highest water production of 136 kg·day⁻¹ but the lowest HEC of 3.5 J·kg⁻¹, followed by the alternate wavy designs 01, 07 and 08. Although the continuous wavy designs 02 and 03 also gain reasonably high water production, they tend to be subject to high hydraulic loss (> 20 J·kg⁻¹). Overall, the gear-fiber module shows the most promising features for achieving approximately 3-fold water production enhancement with only half of the hydraulic loss, compared to the original design.

4. Conclusions

In this study a series of CFD simulations were carried out to explore the potential benefits of employing microstructured hollow fiber membranes used in a DCMD system.

It is found that the module with fibers of gear-shaped structure acheives the highest average $TPC$ and mass flux $N_m$ values compared to the original straight design, followed by the alternate wavy fibers. The enhanced performance of modified modules can be attributed to the
improved hydrodynamics caused by intense secondary flows and improved surface renewal with the presence of corrugations on the membrane surface, which is confirmed by the observation of the flow-field and temperature profiles from the CFD simulations. The investigations on fiber-length effect show that the gear-shaped fiber modules provide the highest flux enhancement ratios with equivalent effective lengths, followed by the modules with alternate wavy fibers. Interestingly, the advantages of the smart fiber designs are further amplified for longer modules, which is attractive for scale-up to a higher total water production in industrial applications.

Investigations of the flow conditions showed that the gear-shaped fiber module has a promising enhancement at an extremely low $Re$. Although a flow transition from laminar to turbulent conditions would bring a significant improvement in a conventional MD module, introducing a smart microstructured design into the membrane surface would be a cost-effective option under adverse flow conditions. Also, the computed water production and hydraulic energy consumption ($HEC$) of different modules with various fiber geometries have been compared. The configuration with gear-shaped fibers has the highest water production but the lowest $HEC$. In addition, a high water production can be obtained using modules with wavy fibers, due to their greatly increased membrane area by surface corrugations. However, these geometries might possibly cause higher $HEC$. It is hoped that this study can bring a new perspective on the development of smart membranes for MD applications, and provide a foundation to guide the fabrication of microstructured hollow fiber membranes with an optimal design.
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Nomenclatures

\( A \) total membrane area of 100 fibers (m\(^2\))
\( A_s \) membrane area of a single fiber (m\(^2\))
\( b \) shape parameter of the wavy arch on the membrane surface
\( C \) membrane distillation coefficient of the membrane (kg·m\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\)·Pa\(^{-1}\))
\( c_p \) specific heat capacity of material (J·kg\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\))
\( d_i \) inner diameter of the hollow fiber (mm)
\( d_o \) outer diameter of the hollow fiber (mm)
\( h \) local heat-transfer coefficient of fluids and membrane (W·m\(^{-2}\)·K\(^{-1}\))
\( \Delta H_T \) latent heat of vaporization of water at temperature \( T \) (J·kg\(^{-1}\))
\( k \) thermal conductivity (W·m\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\))
\( L_b \) dimension of a gear with a square shape (mm)
\( L_x \) interval between two corrugated waves on the membrane surface (mm)
\( N_m \) transmembrane mass flux (kg·m\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\))
\( P \) water vapor pressure (Pa)
\( \Delta P_{fluid} \) pressure drop along the module length in the shell side (Pa)
\( Q \) heat-transfer rate through the liquid film (W)
\( q \) heat flux (W·m\(^{-2}\))
\( q_{MD} \) transmembrane latent heat flux (W·m\(^{-2}\))
\( q_{HL} \) conductive heat loss (W·m\(^{-2}\))
\( Re \) Reynolds number
\( R_{mi}, R_{mo} \) inner, outer radii of hollow fiber (m)
\( S_h \) source term of energy transport equation (J·m\(^{-3}\)·s\(^{-1}\))
\[ S_h = \begin{cases} 
\frac{q_{MD}}{\delta r} \cdot \frac{R_{mo}}{R_{mi}} & \text{for } r = R_{mi} \\
-\frac{q_{MD}}{\delta r} & \text{for } r = R_{mo} \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} \]
\( T \) temperature (K)
\( v \) velocity of feed or permeate (m·s\(^{-1}\))
\( V \) volumetric flow rate of the fluid (m\(^3\)·s\(^{-1}\))
normalized velocity of feed or permeate (m·s⁻¹)
axial, radial directions in cylindrical coordinate (m)
cross-sectional dimension of the wavy arch in x direction (mm)
cross-sectional dimension of the wavy arch in r direction (mm)

interval angle between two neighboring gears on the cross section of the fiber
stress tensor (kg·m⁻¹·s⁻¹)
viscosity (Pa·s)
Density (kg·m⁻³)
membrane wall thickness (mm)
grid scale in the r direction

bulk average
Feed
feed-side membrane surface
membrane, or membrane surface
inlet and outlet of fluids
Permeate
permeate-side membrane surface
Heat loss
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Research highlights

- Microstructured hollow fibers were designed using CFD modeling for MD applications.

- Analyses of heat and mass transfer and flow field distribution in MD modules were obtained.

- The mass flux of the gear-shaped fiber module showed 66% improvement.

- It was attributed to improved hydrodynamics in the flow channels with varied geometries.
Fig. 1a. Schematic of axially-symmetry single fiber modules in CFD simulating domains

(i) Original module

(ii) Wavy 01, 5.0/1.0, b=0.8

(iii) Wavy 02, 2.5/2.0, b=0.5

(iv) wavy03, 2.5/2.0, b=0.8

(v) wavy04, 1.25/1.0, b=0.7

(vi) wavy05, 2.5/1.0, b=0.5

(vii) wavy06, alternate 5.0/1.0, b=0.8

(viii) wavy07, alternate, 1.25/1.0, b=0.7

(ix) wavy08, alternate 2.5/1.0, b=0.5

Fig. 1a. Schematic of axially-symmetry single fiber modules in CFD simulating domains
Fig. 1b. local domain amplification of an axially-symmetry wavy single-fiber module in CFD simulations
Fig. 2. 3D geometric structures of modules with (a) wavy fiber; (b) gear-shaped fiber.

- $d_o = 1.47 \text{ mm}$
- $d_i = 0.93 \text{ mm}$
- $L_p = 0.25 \text{ mm}$
- $\delta_m = 0.27 \text{ mm}$
- $\alpha = 45^\circ$

(a) Cross section of original fiber
(b) Cross section of gear fiber

Sectional view of a module with a wavy fiber.
Fig. 3. Local mesh schemes of the 3D structure for a module with the original straight fiber
Fig. 4. $h_f$ & $h_p$ distributions along the fiber length for single-fiber modules with fiber of various geometries ($C = 8.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg\cdot m}^{-2}\cdot \text{s}^{-1}\cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$ and $C^{*}(\text{gear}) = 6.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg\cdot m}^{-2}\cdot \text{s}^{-1}\cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$, $L = 0.25 \text{ m}$, $T_{fi} = 327.15 \text{ K}$, $T_{pi} = 293.85 \text{ K}$, $u_{fi} = 0.06 \text{ m}\cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, $u_{pi} = 0.417 \text{ m}\cdot \text{s}^{-1}$)
Fig. 5. TPC distributions along the fiber length for single-fiber modules with fiber of various geometries ($C = 8.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$ and $C^*(\text{gear}) = 6.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$, $T_{fi} = 327.15 \text{ K}$, $T_{pi} = 293.85 \text{ K}$, $L = 0.25 \text{ m}$, $u_{fi} = 0.06 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, $u_{pi} = 0.417 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$)
Fig. 6a. Local flow field visualization for modules with fibers of various wavy designs

\( C = 8.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}, L = 0.25 \text{ m}, T_{fi} = 327.15 \text{ K}, T_{pi} = 293.85 \text{ K}, u_{fi} = 0.06 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}, u_{pi} = 0.417 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1} \)
Fig. 6b. Comparison of local temperature and pressure distributions for single-fiber modules with
(i) original straight fiber; (ii) gear fiber
($C = 8.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$ and $C^*\text{(gear)} = 6.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$, $L = 0.25 \text{ m}$, $T_{fi} = 327.15 \text{ K}$, $T_{pi} = 293.85 \text{ K}$, $u_{fi} = 0.06 \text{ m/s}$, $u_{pi} = 0.417 \text{ m/s}$)
Fig. 7. Mass flux $N_m$ distributions along the fiber length for single-fiber modules with fiber of various geometries ($C = 8.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$ and $C^*(\text{gear}) = 6.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$, $L=0.25, 0.54$ and $0.84 \text{ m}$, $T_{fi} = 327.15 \text{ K}$, $T_{pi} = 293.85 \text{ K}$, $u_{fi} = 0.06 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, $u_{pi} = 0.417 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$)
Fig. 8. Effect of fiber length I: TPC distributions for single-fiber modules with fiber of various geometries

\[ C = 8.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1} \text{ and } C^*(\text{gear}) = 6.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}, \ L = 0.25, 0.54 \text{ and } 0.84 \text{ m, } T_{fi} = 327.15 \text{ K, } T_{pi} = 293.85 \text{ K, } u_{fi} = 0.06 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}, u_{pi} = 0.417 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \]
Fig. 9. Effect of fiber length II: mass flux $N_m$ distributions for single-fiber modules with fiber of various geometries

$C = 8.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg·m}^{-2}·\text{s}^{-1}·\text{Pa}^{-1}$ and $C^*(\text{gear}) = 6.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg·m}^{-2}·\text{s}^{-1}·\text{Pa}^{-1}$, $L = 0.25 \text{ m}$, $T_{fi} = 327.15 \text{ K}$, $T_{pi} = 293.85 \text{ K}$, $u_{fi} = 0.06 \text{ m·s}^{-1}$, $u_{pi} = 0.417 \text{ m·s}^{-1}$)
Fig. 10. Effect of feed flow conditions ($Re_f$) for fibers of single-fiber modules with original straight and gear-shaped fibers (a) $TPC$ distributions; (b) $N_m$ distributions ($C = 8.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$ and $C^*(\text{gear}) = 6.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$, $L = 0.25 \text{ m}$, $T_{fi} = 327.15 \text{ K}$, $T_{pi} = 293.85 \text{ K}$, $Re_f = 420−2500$, $Re_p = 460$)
Fig. 11. Effect of permeate flow conditions ($Re_p$) for fibers of original straight and gear-shaped fibers ($C = 8.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$ and $C^*$(gear) = $6.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$, $L = 0.25 \text{ m}$, $T_{fi} = 327.15 \text{ K}$, $T_{pi} = 293.85 \text{ K}$, $Re_f$ = 836, $Re_p$ = 220–2500)
Fig. 12. Comparison of water production in multi-fiber modules with various fiber geometries (each module contains 100 fibers, $A=100A_s$, $C = 8.0 \times 10^{-7}$ kg·m·s·Pa$^{-1}$ and $C^*(\text{gear}) = 6.4 \times 10^{-7}$ kg·m·s·Pa$^{-1}$, $L = 0.25$ m, $u_{fi} = 0.06$ m·s$^{-1}$, $U_{pi} = 0.417$ m·s$^{-1}$, $T_{fi} = 327.15$ K, $T_{pi} = 293.85$ K)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometric pattern</th>
<th>Wavy geometry</th>
<th>Gear structure</th>
<th>Geometric pattern</th>
<th>Wavy geometry</th>
<th>Gear structure</th>
<th>Surface area $A_s \times 10^4$ m²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unmodified</td>
<td>$\Delta x$ / $\Delta y$</td>
<td>$L_x$ / $b$ / $\alpha$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Wavy 01 5.0/1.0</td>
<td>21.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0 / 2.0</td>
<td>0 / 0.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Wavy 02 2.5/2.0</td>
<td>41.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 / 2.0</td>
<td>0 / 0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Wavy 03 2.5/2.0</td>
<td>41.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.25 / 1.0</td>
<td>0 / 0.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Wavy 04 alternate 5.0/1.0</td>
<td>21.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.25 / 1.0</td>
<td>0 / 0.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wavy 05 2.5/1.0</td>
<td>22.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0 / 1.0</td>
<td>0 / 0.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Wavy 06 alternate 2.5/1.0</td>
<td>16.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.25 / 1.0</td>
<td>0 / 0.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Gear-shaped</td>
<td>19.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
1. $\Delta x$ and $\Delta y$ are the dimensions of the wavy arch in x and y directions, respectively; $L_x$ is the interval between two waves, $b$ is the shape parameter representing the angle between the tangent of the circle and baseline. For example, a wavy design 2.5/1.0 indicates an arch of 2.5mm in x direction, 1.0mm in y direction from the baseline to the conic peak.
2. $\alpha$ is the interval angle between gears on the fiber. $L_b$ is the dimension of a gear with square shape.
Table. 2. Summary of CFD mathematical models, boundary conditions and algorithms[38]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governing transport equations</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuity equation</td>
<td>[ \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{\bar{v}}) = 0 ] (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momentum transport equation*</td>
<td>[ \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{\bar{v}} \mathbf{\bar{v}}) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{\bar{t}}) + \rho \mathbf{\bar{g}} ] (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy conservation equation</td>
<td>[ \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{\bar{v}} \rho c_p T) = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) + S_h ] (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary conditions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entrance of fluids (feed/permeate)**</td>
<td>( U_{fi} = 0.06 ) (-) 0.283 m( \cdot )s(^{-1} ), ( u_{pi} = 0.417 ) m( \cdot )s(^{-1} ), ( T_{fi} = 327.15 ) – 360.15 K, ( T_{pi} = 294.0 ) – 327 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exits of fluids (feed/permeate)</td>
<td>outlet pressure is 0.0 Pa (gauge pressure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane wall</td>
<td>no-slip condition, conjugate heat conduction:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( q_f \bigg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( T_f \bigg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution algorithms</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure-velocity coupling</td>
<td>SIMPLE (Semi-Implicit Method for Pressure Linked Equations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation equation discretization</td>
<td>QUICK (Quadratic Upstream Interpolation for Convective Kinetics)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The momentum equation here only involves the motion in fluids, not the penetration through the membrane matrix. no-slip condition and no molecular transport across the membrane is applied in this model;  
** typical experimental values
Table. 3. Summary of heat-transfer equations and definitions in MD\textsuperscript{[41]}*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat transfer rate $Q^{**}$</th>
<th>$Q = Q_f = Q_p = Q_{MD} + Q_{HL}$                                                                 (4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latent heat flux $q_{MD}$</td>
<td>$q_{MD} = N_m \cdot \Delta H_{T_m} = h_{MD} \cdot (T_{fm} - T_{pm}) = C \cdot \Delta P$          (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local heat-transfer coefficient of the feed $h_f$</td>
<td>$h_f = \frac{q_f}{(T_f - T_{fm})}$                                                              (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local heat-transfer coefficient of the permeate $h_p$</td>
<td>$h_p = \frac{q_p}{(T_{pm} - T_p)}$                                                              (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent heat-transfer coefficient of the membrane $h_m^{[334]}$</td>
<td>$h_m = \left( C \Delta P \cdot \Delta H_{T_{fm}} + k_m \cdot R_{lm} \right) \frac{1}{(T_{fm} - T_{pm})}$ (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature-polarization coefficient ($TPC$)\textsuperscript{[45]}</td>
<td>$TPC = \frac{T_{fm} - T_{pm}}{T_f - T_p}$                                                        (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic energy consumption ($HEC$)</td>
<td>$HEC = \frac{\Delta P_{fluid} \cdot V}{N_m \cdot A}$                                            (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The MD related mass- and heat-transfer equations here only involves in the CFD data postprocessing;

**The heat-transfer rate $Q = q \cdot A$