



VICTORIA UNIVERSITY
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

A new quantum f-divergence for trace class operators in hilbert spaces

This is the Published version of the following publication

Dragomir, Sever S (2014) A new quantum f-divergence for trace class operators in hilbert spaces. Entropy, 16 (11). 5853 - 5875.

The publisher's official version can be found at
<http://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/16/11/5853>
Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/30360/>

Article

A New Quantum f -Divergence for Trace Class Operators in Hilbert Spaces

Silvestru Sever Dragomir ^{1,2}

¹ Mathematics, School of Engineering & Science, Victoria University, PO Box 14428, Melbourne City, MC 8001, Australia; E-Mail: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

² School of Computational & Applied Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, Johannesburg 2050, South Africa

External Editor: Kevin H. Knuth

Received: 13 October 2014; in revised form: 30 October 2014 / Accepted: 3 November 2014 /

Published: 6 November 2014

Abstract: A new quantum f -divergence for trace class operators in Hilbert Spaces is introduced. It is shown that for normalised convex functions it is nonnegative. Some upper bounds are provided. Applications for some classes of convex functions of interest are also given.

Keywords: self-adjoint bounded linear operators; functions of operators; trace of operators; quantum divergence

1. Introduction

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space satisfying $|\mathcal{A}| > 2$ and μ be a σ -finite measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) . Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all probability measures on (X, \mathcal{A}) which are absolutely continuous with respect to μ . For $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$, let $p = \frac{dP}{d\mu}$ and $q = \frac{dQ}{d\mu}$ denote the Radon–Nikodym derivatives of P and Q with respect to μ .

Two probability measures $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ are said to be orthogonal and we denote this by $Q \perp P$ if:

$$P(\{q = 0\}) = Q(\{p = 0\}) = 1.$$

Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a convex function that is continuous at zero, *i.e.*, $f(0) = \lim_{u \downarrow 0} f(u)$. In 1963, I. Csiszár [1] introduced the concept of f -divergence as follows.

Definition 1. Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$. Then:

$$I_f(Q, P) = \int_X p(x) f \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x), \tag{1}$$

is called the f -divergence of the probability distributions Q and P .

Remark 1. Observe that, the integrand in the formula (1) is undefined when $p(x) = 0$. The way to overcome this problem is to postulate for f as above that:

$$0f \left[\frac{q(x)}{0} \right] = q(x) \lim_{u \downarrow 0} \left[uf \left(\frac{1}{u} \right) \right], \quad x \in X. \tag{2}$$

For f continuous convex on $[0, \infty)$ we obtain the $*$ -conjugate function of f by:

$$f^*(u) = uf \left(\frac{1}{u} \right), \quad u \in (0, \infty)$$

and:

$$f^*(0) = \lim_{u \downarrow 0} f^*(u).$$

It is also known that if f is continuous convex on $[0, \infty)$, then so is f^* .

The following two theorems contain the most basic properties of f -divergences. For their proofs we refer the reader to Chapter 1 of [2] (see also [3]).

Theorem 1 (Uniqueness and symmetry theorem). Let f, f_1 be continuous convex on $[0, \infty)$. We have:

$$I_{f_1}(Q, P) = I_f(Q, P),$$

for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$, such that:

$$f_1(u) = f(u) + c(u - 1),$$

for any $u \in [0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2 (Range of values theorem). Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function on $[0, \infty)$. For any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$, we have the double inequality:

$$f(1) \leq I_f(Q, P) \leq f(0) + f^*(0). \tag{3}$$

(i) If $P = Q$, then the equality holds in the first part of (3).

If f is strictly convex at one, then the equality holds in the first part of (3) if and only if $P = Q$;

(ii) If $Q \perp P$, then the equality holds in the second part of (3).

If $f(0) + f^*(0) < \infty$, then equality holds in the second part of (3) if and only if $Q \perp P$.

The following result is a refinement of the second inequality in Theorem 2 (see Theorem 3 in [3]). A function f defined on $[0, \infty)$ is called normalised if $f(1) = 0$.

Theorem 3. Let f be a continuous convex and normalised function on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(0) + f^*(0) < \infty$. Then

$$0 \leq I_f(Q, P) \leq \frac{1}{2} [f(0) + f^*(0)] V(Q, P) \tag{4}$$

for any $Q, P \in \mathcal{P}$.

For other inequalities for f -divergence see [4–15].

We now give some examples of f -divergences that are well-known and often used in the literature (see also [3]).

(1) The class of χ^α -divergences. The f -divergences of this class, which is generated by the function $\chi^\alpha, \alpha \in [1, \infty)$, defined by:

$$\chi^\alpha(u) = |u - 1|^\alpha, \quad u \in [0, \infty)$$

have the form:

$$I_f(Q, P) = \int_X p \left| \frac{q}{p} - 1 \right|^\alpha d\mu = \int_X p^{1-\alpha} |q - p|^\alpha d\mu. \tag{5}$$

From this class only the parameter $\alpha = 1$ provides a distance in the topological sense, namely the total variation distance $V(Q, P) = \int_X |q - p| d\mu$. The most prominent special case of this class is, however, Karl Pearson’s χ^2 -divergence:

$$\chi^2(Q, P) = \int_X \frac{q^2}{p} d\mu - 1$$

that is obtained for $\alpha = 2$.

(2) Dichotomy class. From this class, generated by the function $f_\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$f_\alpha(u) = \begin{cases} u - 1 - \ln u & \text{for } \alpha = 0; \\ \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} [\alpha u + 1 - \alpha - u^\alpha] & \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}; \\ 1 - u + u \ln u & \text{for } \alpha = 1; \end{cases}$$

only the parameter $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ($f_{\frac{1}{2}}(u) = 2(\sqrt{u} - 1)^2$) provides a distance, namely, the Hellinger distance:

$$H(Q, P) = \left[\int_X (\sqrt{q} - \sqrt{p})^2 d\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Another important divergence is the Kullback–Leibler divergence obtained for $\alpha = 1$,

$$KL(Q, P) = \int_X q \ln \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) d\mu.$$

(3) Matsushita’s divergences. The elements of this class, which is generated by the function $\varphi_\alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1]$ given by:

$$\varphi_\alpha(u) := |1 - u^\alpha|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \quad u \in [0, \infty),$$

are prototypes of metric divergences, providing the distances $[I_{\varphi_\alpha}(Q, P)]^\alpha$.

(4) Puri–Vincze divergences. This class is generated by the functions $\Phi_\alpha, \alpha \in [1, \infty)$ given by:

$$\Phi_\alpha(u) := \frac{|1 - u|^\alpha}{(u + 1)^{\alpha-1}}, \quad u \in [0, \infty).$$

It has been shown in [16] that this class provides the distances $[I_{\Phi_\alpha}(Q, P)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$.

(5) Divergences of Arimoto-type. This class is generated by the functions:

$$\Psi_\alpha(u) := \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \left[(1+u)^\alpha - 2^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}(1+u) \right] & \text{for } \alpha \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}; \\ (1+u) \ln 2 + u \ln u - (1+u) \ln(1+u) & \text{for } \alpha = 1; \\ \frac{1}{2} |1-u| & \text{for } \alpha = \infty. \end{cases}$$

It has been shown in [17] that this class provides the distances $[I_{\Psi_\alpha}(Q, P)]^{\min(\alpha, \frac{1}{\alpha})}$ for $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $\frac{1}{2}V(Q, P)$ for $\alpha = \infty$.

In order to introduce a quantum f -divergence for trace class operators in Hilbert spaces and study its properties we need some preliminary facts as follows.

2. Trace of Operators

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . We say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator if:

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty. \tag{6}$$

It is well known that, if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ are orthonormal bases for H and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then:

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|A^*f_j\|^2 \tag{7}$$

showing that the definition (6) is independent of the orthonormal basis and A is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator iff A^* is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ the set of Hilbert–Schmidt operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ we define:

$$\|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{8}$$

for $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . This definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis.

Using the triangle inequality in $l^2(I)$, one checks that $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is a vector space and that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, which is usually called in the literature as the Hilbert–Schmidt norm.

Denote the modulus of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

Because $\||A|x\| = \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in H$, A is Hilbert–Schmidt iff $|A|$ is Hilbert–Schmidt and $\|A\|_2 = \||A|\|_2$. From (7) we have that if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$.

The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of Hilbert–Schmidt operators:

Theorem 4. *We have:*

(i) $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product:

$$\langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, Be_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle B^*Ae_i, e_i \rangle \tag{9}$$

and the definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$;

(ii) We have the inequalities:

$$\|A\| \leq \|A\|_2 \tag{10}$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and:

$$\|AT\|_2, \|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2 \tag{11}$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$;

(iii) $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(H);$$

(iv) $\mathcal{B}_{fin}(H)$, the space of operators of finite rank, is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$;

(v) $\mathcal{B}_2(H) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(H)$, where $\mathcal{K}(H)$ denotes the algebra of compact operators on H .

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , we say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is trace class if:

$$\|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty. \tag{12}$$

The definition of $\|A\|_1$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the set of trace class operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

The following proposition holds:

Proposition 1. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;
- (ii) $|A|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$;
- (iii) A (or $|A|$) is the product of two elements of $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following properties are also well known:

Theorem 5. *With the above notations:*

(i) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \|A^*\|_1 \text{ and } \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1 \tag{13}$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_1(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iii) We have:

$$\mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) = \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iv) We have:

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \{ \langle A, B \rangle_2 \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H), \|B\| \leq 1 \};$$

(v) $(\mathcal{B}_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space.

(vi) We have the following isometric isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{B}_1(H) \cong \mathcal{K}(H)^* \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_1(H)^* \cong \mathcal{B}(H),$$

where $\mathcal{K}(H)^*$ is the dual space of $\mathcal{K}(H)$ and $\mathcal{B}_1(H)^*$ is the dual space of $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$.

We define the trace of a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ to be:

$$\text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle, \tag{14}$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if H is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (14) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

Theorem 6. *We have:*

(i) *If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and:*

$$\text{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\text{tr}(A)}; \tag{15}$$

(ii) *If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and:*

$$\text{tr}(AT) = \text{tr}(TA) \text{ and } |\text{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|; \tag{16}$$

(iii) *$\text{tr}(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\|\text{tr}\| = 1$;*

(iv) *If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$;*

(v) *$\mathcal{B}_{fin}(H)$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$.*

Utilising the trace notation, we obviously have that:

$$\langle A, B \rangle_2 = \text{tr}(B^*A) = \text{tr}(AB^*) \text{ and } \|A\|_2^2 = \text{tr}(A^*A) = \text{tr}(|A|^2)$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following Hölder’s type inequality has been obtained by Ruskai in [18]:

$$|\text{tr}(AB)| \leq \text{tr}(|AB|) \leq \left[\text{tr}(|A|^{1/\alpha}) \right]^\alpha \left[\text{tr}(|B|^{1/(1-\alpha)}) \right]^{1-\alpha} \tag{17}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $|A|^{1/\alpha}, |B|^{1/(1-\alpha)} \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$.

In particular, for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ we get the Schwarz inequality:

$$|\text{tr}(AB)| \leq \text{tr}(|AB|) \leq \left[\text{tr}(|A|^2) \right]^{1/2} \left[\text{tr}(|B|^2) \right]^{1/2} \tag{18}$$

with $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

If $A \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $P \geq 0$, then:

$$0 \leq \text{tr}(PA) \leq \|A\| \text{tr}(P). \tag{19}$$

Indeed, since $A \geq 0$, then $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for any $x \in H$. If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , then:

$$0 \leq \langle AP^{1/2}e_i, P^{1/2}e_i \rangle \leq \|A\| \|P^{1/2}e_i\|^2 = \|A\| \langle Pe_i, e_i \rangle$$

for any $i \in I$. Summing over $i \in I$, we get:

$$0 \leq \sum_{i \in I} \langle AP^{1/2}e_i, P^{1/2}e_i \rangle \leq \|A\| \sum_{i \in I} \langle Pe_i, e_i \rangle = \|A\| \text{tr}(P)$$

and since:

$$\sum_{i \in I} \langle AP^{1/2}e_i, P^{1/2}e_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle P^{1/2}AP^{1/2}e_i, e_i \rangle = \text{tr} (P^{1/2}AP^{1/2}) = \text{tr} (PA)$$

we obtain the desired result (19).

This obviously imply the fact that, if A and B are self-adjoint operators with $A \leq B$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $P \geq 0$, then:

$$\text{tr} (PA) \leq \text{tr} (PB). \tag{20}$$

Now, if A is a self-adjoint operator, then we know that:

$$|\langle Ax, x \rangle| \leq \langle |A| x, x \rangle \text{ for any } x \in H.$$

This inequality follows by Jensen’s inequality for the convex function $f(t) = |t|$ defined on a closed interval containing the spectrum of A .

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an orthonormal basis of H , then:

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{tr} (PA)| &= \left| \sum_{i \in I} \langle AP^{1/2}e_i, P^{1/2}e_i \rangle \right| \leq \sum_{i \in I} |\langle AP^{1/2}e_i, P^{1/2}e_i \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| P^{1/2}e_i, P^{1/2}e_i \rangle = \text{tr} (P |A|), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

for any A a self-adjoint operator and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) := \{P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \text{ with } P \geq 0\}$.

For the theory of trace functionals and their applications the reader is referred to [19].

For some classical trace inequalities see [20–24], which are continuations of the work of Bellman [25]. For related works the reader can refer to [20,24,26–32].

3. Classical Quantum f -Divergence

On complex Hilbert space $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$, where the Hilbert–Schmidt inner product is defined by:

$$\langle U, V \rangle_2 := \text{tr} (V^*U)$$

for $A, B \in \mathcal{B}^+(H)$ consider the operators $\mathfrak{L}_A : \mathcal{B}_2(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\mathfrak{R}_B : \mathcal{B}_2(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ defined by:

$$\mathfrak{L}_A T := AT \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{R}_B T := TB.$$

We observe that they are well defined and since:

$$\langle \mathfrak{L}_A T, T \rangle_2 = \langle AT, T \rangle_2 = \text{tr} (T^*AT) = \text{tr} (|T^*|^2 A) \geq 0$$

and:

$$\langle \mathfrak{R}_B T, T \rangle_2 = \langle TB, T \rangle_2 = \text{tr} (T^*TB) = \text{tr} (|T|^2 B) \geq 0$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, they are also positive in the operator order of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}_2(H))$, the Banach algebra of all bounded operators on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ where $\|T\|_2 = \text{tr} (|T|^2)^{1/2}$, $T \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

Since $\text{tr}(|X^*|^2) = \text{tr}(|X|^2)$ for any $X \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then also:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T^*AT) &= \text{tr}(T^*A^{1/2}A^{1/2}T) = \text{tr}\left((A^{1/2}T)^*A^{1/2}T\right) \\ &= \text{tr}\left(|A^{1/2}T|^2\right) = \text{tr}\left(|(A^{1/2}T)^*|^2\right) = \text{tr}\left(|T^*A^{1/2}|^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $A \geq 0$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

We observe that \mathfrak{L}_A and \mathfrak{R}_B are commutative, therefore the product $\mathfrak{L}_A\mathfrak{R}_B$ is a self-adjoint positive operator on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ for any positive operators $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}^+(H)$ with B invertible, we define the Araki transform $\mathfrak{A}_{A,B} : \mathcal{B}_2(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ by $\mathfrak{A}_{A,B} := \mathfrak{L}_A\mathfrak{R}_{B^{-1}}$. We observe that for $T \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, and we have $\mathfrak{A}_{A,B}T = ATB^{-1}$ and:

$$\langle \mathfrak{A}_{A,B}T, T \rangle_2 = \langle ATB^{-1}, T \rangle_2 = \text{tr}(T^*ATB^{-1}).$$

Observe also, by the properties of trace, that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T^*ATB^{-1}) &= \text{tr}(B^{-1/2}T^*A^{1/2}A^{1/2}TB^{-1/2}) \\ &= \text{tr}\left((A^{1/2}TB^{-1/2})^*(A^{1/2}TB^{-1/2})\right) = \text{tr}\left(|A^{1/2}TB^{-1/2}|^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

giving that:

$$\langle \mathfrak{A}_{A,B}T, T \rangle_2 = \text{tr}\left(|A^{1/2}TB^{-1/2}|^2\right) \geq 0 \tag{22}$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

Let U be a self-adjoint linear operator on a complex Hilbert space $(K; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. The Gelfand map establishes a $*$ -isometrically isomorphism Φ between the set $C(\text{Sp}(U))$ of all continuous functions defined on the spectrum of U , denoted $\text{Sp}(U)$, and the C^* -algebra $C^*(U)$ generated by U and the identity operator 1_K on K as follows:

For any $f, g \in C(\text{Sp}(U))$ and any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

- (i) $\Phi(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha\Phi(f) + \beta\Phi(g)$;
- (ii) $\Phi(fg) = \Phi(f)\Phi(g)$ and $\Phi(\bar{f}) = \Phi(f)^*$;
- (iii) $\|\Phi(f)\| = \|f\| := \sup_{t \in \text{Sp}(U)} |f(t)|$;
- (iv) $\Phi(f_0) = 1_K$ and $\Phi(f_1) = U$, where $f_0(t) = 1$ and $f_1(t) = t$, for $t \in \text{Sp}(U)$.

With this notation we define:

$$f(U) := \Phi(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in C(\text{Sp}(U))$$

and we call it the continuous functional calculus for a self-adjoint operator U .

If U is a self-adjoint operator and f is a real valued continuous function on $\text{Sp}(U)$, then $f(t) \geq 0$ for any $t \in \text{Sp}(U)$ implies that $f(U) \geq 0$, i.e., $f(U)$ is a positive operator on K . Moreover, if both f and g are real valued functions on $\text{Sp}(U)$, then the following important property holds:

$$f(t) \geq g(t) \quad \text{for any } t \in \text{Sp}(U) \quad \text{implies that } f(U) \geq g(U) \tag{P}$$

in the operator order of $B(K)$.

Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Utilising the continuous functional calculus for the Araki self-adjoint operator $\mathfrak{A}_{Q,P} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}_2(H))$ we can define the quantum f -divergence for $Q, P \in S(H) := \{P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H), P \geq 0 \text{ with } \text{tr}(P) = 1\}$ and P invertible, by:

$$S_f(Q, P) := \langle f(\mathfrak{A}_{Q,P}) P^{1/2}, P^{1/2} \rangle_2 = \text{tr}(P^{1/2} f(\mathfrak{A}_{Q,P}) P^{1/2}).$$

If we consider the continuous convex function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $f(0) := 0$ and $f(t) = t \ln t$ for $t > 0$, then for $Q, P \in S(H)$ and Q, P invertible, we have:

$$S_f(Q, P) = \text{tr}[Q(\ln Q - \ln P)] =: U(Q, P),$$

which is the Umegaki relative entropy.

If we take the continuous convex function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(t) = |t - 1|$ for $t \geq 0$, then for $Q, P \in S(H)$ with P invertible, we have:

$$S_f(Q, P) = \text{tr}(P^{1/2} |\mathfrak{A}_{Q,P} - 1_{\mathcal{B}_2(H)}| P^{1/2}) = \text{tr}(|Q - P|) =: V(Q, P),$$

where $V(Q, P)$ is the variational distance.

If we take $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(t) = t^2 - 1$ for $t \geq 0$, then for $Q, P \in S(H)$ with P invertible, we have:

$$S_f(Q, P) = \text{tr}(Q^2 P^{-1}) - 1 =: \chi^2(Q, P), \tag{23}$$

which is called the χ^2 -distance.

Let $q \in (0, 1)$ and define the convex function $f_q : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f_q(t) = \frac{1-t^q}{1-q}$. Then:

$$S_{f_q}(Q, P) = \frac{1 - \text{tr}(Q^q P^{1-q})}{1 - q},$$

which is Tsallis relative entropy.

If we consider the convex function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{t} - 1)^2$, then:

$$S_f(Q, P) = 1 - \text{tr}(Q^{1/2} P^{1/2}) =: h^2(Q, P),$$

which is known as Hellinger discrimination.

If we take $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(t) = -\ln t$, then for $Q, P \in S(H)$ and Q, P invertible, we have:

$$S_f(Q, P) = \text{tr}[P(\ln P - \ln Q)] = U(P, Q).$$

In the important case of finite dimensional space H and the generalized inverse P^{-1} , numerous properties of the quantum f -divergence have been obtained in the recent papers [33–36] and the references therein. We omit the details.

4. A New Quantum f -Divergence

In order to simplify the writing, we denote by $\mathcal{S}_1(H)$ the set of all density operators which are elements of $\mathcal{B}_1^+(H)$ having unit trace.

We observe that, if P, Q are self-adjoint with $P, Q \geq 0$ and P is invertible, then $P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0$.

Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function on $[0, \infty)$. We can define the following new quantum f -divergence functional:

$$D_f(Q, P) := \text{tr} \left[P f \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \tag{D}$$

for $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P invertible. The definition can be extended for any continuous function.

If we take the convex function $f(t) = t^2 - 1, t \geq 0$, then we get:

$$D_f(Q, P) := \text{tr} \left[P \left[\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 - 1 \right] \right] = \text{tr} (Q^2P^{-1}) - 1 =: \chi^2(Q, P),$$

for $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P invertible, which is the Karl Pearson’s χ^2 -divergence version for trace class operators. This divergence is the same as the one generated by the classical f -divergence, see (23).

More general, if we take the convex function $f(t) = t^n - 1, t \geq 0$ and n a natural number with $n \geq 2$, then we get:

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(Q, P) &:= \text{tr} \left[P \left[\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^n - 1 \right] \right] = \text{tr} \left(Q (QP^{-1})^{n-1} \right) - 1 \\ &=: D_{\tilde{\chi}^n}(Q, P) \end{aligned}$$

for $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P invertible.

If we take the convex function $f(t) = t \ln t$ for $t > 0$ and $f(0) := 0$, then we get:

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(Q, P) &= \text{tr} \left[P \left[P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ln \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \right] \\ &= \text{tr} \left[P^{\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ln \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] =: D_{KL}(Q, P) \end{aligned}$$

for $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P and Q invertible. We observe that this is not the same as Umegaki relative entropy introduced above.

If we take the convex function $f(t) = -\ln t$ for $t > 0$, then we get:

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(Q, P) &= -\text{tr} \left[P \ln \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] = \text{tr} \left[P \ln \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{-1} \right] \\ &= \text{tr} \left[P \ln \left(P^{\frac{1}{2}}Q^{-1}P^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] =: \tilde{D}_{KL}(Q, P) \end{aligned}$$

for $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P and Q invertible.

If we take the convex function $f(t) = |t - 1|, t \geq 0$, then we get:

$$D_f(Q, P) = \text{tr} \left[P \left| P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H \right| \right] =: D_V(Q, P)$$

for $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P invertible.

If we consider the convex function $f(t) = \frac{1}{t} - 1, t > 0$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(Q, P) &= \text{tr} \left[P \left[\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{-1} - 1_H \right] \right] \\ &= \text{tr} \left[P \left(P^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{-1} P^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] - 1 = \chi^2(P, Q) \end{aligned}$$

for $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P and Q invertible.

If we take the convex function $f(t) = f_q(t) = \frac{1-t^q}{1-q}, q \in (0, 1)$, then we get:

$$D_{f_q}(Q, P) = \frac{1}{1-q} \left(1 - \text{tr} \left[P \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^q \right] \right),$$

which is different, in general, from the Tsallis relative entropy introduced above.

Other examples may be considered by taking the convex functions from the introduction. The details are omitted.

Suppose that I is an interval of real numbers with interior $\overset{\circ}{I}$ and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function on I . Then, f is continuous on $\overset{\circ}{I}$ and has finite left and right derivatives at each point of $\overset{\circ}{I}$. Moreover, if $x, y \in \overset{\circ}{I}$ and $x < y$, then $f'_-(x) \leq f'_+(x) \leq f'_-(y) \leq f'_+(y)$ which shows that both f'_- and f'_+ are nondecreasing function on $\overset{\circ}{I}$. It is also known that a convex function must be differentiable except for at most countably many points.

For a convex function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the subdifferential of f denoted by ∂f is the set of all functions $\varphi : I \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$, such that $\varphi(\overset{\circ}{I}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and:

$$f(x) \geq f(a) + (x - a) \varphi(a) \text{ for any } x, a \in I. \tag{24}$$

It is also well known that if f is convex on I , then ∂f is nonempty, $f'_-, f'_+ \in \partial f$ and if $\varphi \in \partial f$, then:

$$f'_-(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq f'_+(x) \text{ for any } x \in \overset{\circ}{I}.$$

In particular, φ is a nondecreasing function.

If f is differentiable and convex on $\overset{\circ}{I}$, then $\partial f = \{f'\}$.

Theorem 7. Let f be a continuous convex function on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(1) = 0$. Then, we have:

$$0 \leq D_f(Q, P) \tag{25}$$

for any $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P invertible.

If f is continuously differentiable on $(0, \infty)$, then we also have:

$$D_f(Q, P) \leq D_{(\cdot)f'(\cdot)}(Q, P) - D_{f'}(Q, P). \tag{26}$$

Proof. For any $x \geq 0$, we have from the gradient inequality (24) that:

$$f(x) \geq f(1) + (x - 1) f'_+(1)$$

and since f is normalised, then:

$$f(x) \geq (x - 1) f'_+(1). \tag{27}$$

Utilising the property (P) for the positive operator $A = P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ where $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P invertible, then we have the inequality in the operator order:

$$f\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \geq \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1\right) f'_+(1). \tag{28}$$

Utilising the property (20) for the inequality (28), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}\left[Pf\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] &\geq \text{tr}\left[P\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1\right) f'_+(1)\right] \\ &= f'_+(1) \left[\text{tr}\left(PP^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) - \text{tr}(P)\right] \\ &= f'_+(1) [\text{tr}(Q) - \text{tr}(P)] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality (25) is proven.

From the gradient inequality, we also have for any $x \geq 0$:

$$(x - 1) f'(x) + f(1) \geq f(x)$$

and since f is normalised, then:

$$(x - 1) f'(x) \geq f(x)$$

which, as above, implies that:

$$\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right) f'\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \geq f\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right). \tag{29}$$

Making use of the property (20) for the inequality (29), then we get:

$$\text{tr}\left[P\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right) f'\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] \geq \text{tr}\left[Pf\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right], \tag{30}$$

which is the required inequality (26). ■

Remark 2. If we take $f(t) = -\ln t, t > 0$ in Theorem 7, then we get:

$$0 \leq \tilde{D}_{KL}(Q, P) \leq \chi^2(P, Q) \tag{31}$$

for any $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P and Q invertible.

If we take the convex function $\varepsilon(t) = e^{t-1} - 1$, then:

$$D_\varepsilon(Q, P) = \text{tr}\left[P \exp\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right)\right] - 1,$$

where $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P invertible.

By Theorem 7, we get:

$$0 \leq D_\varepsilon(Q, P) \leq \text{tr}\left[P\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right) \exp\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right)\right], \tag{32}$$

where $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P invertible.

The inequality in (32) is equivalent to:

$$0 \leq D_\varepsilon(Q, P) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\text{tr}\left[P^{\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right)\right] + 1\right],$$

where $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P invertible.

The following lemma is of interest in itself:

Lemma 1. *Let S be a self-adjoint operator such that $\gamma 1_H \leq S \leq \Gamma 1_H$ for some real constants $\Gamma \geq \gamma$. Then, for any $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\text{tr}(PS^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)}\right)^2 & (33) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma - \gamma) \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr}\left(P \left|S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H\right|\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma - \gamma) \left[\frac{\text{tr}(PS^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)}\right)^2\right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma - \gamma)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr}\left(P \left(S - \frac{\Gamma + \gamma}{2} 1_H\right) \left(S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H\right)\right) & (34) \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr}\left(PS \left(S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H\right)\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\Gamma + \gamma}{2} \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr}\left(P \left(S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{\text{tr}(PS^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)}\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

since, obviously:

$$\text{tr}\left(P \left(S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H\right)\right) = 0.$$

Now, since $\gamma 1_H \leq S \leq \Gamma 1_H$, then:

$$\left|S - \frac{\Gamma + \gamma}{2} 1_H\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma - \gamma).$$

Taking the modulus in (34) and using the properties of trace, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\text{tr}(PS^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)}\right)^2 & (35) \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \left|\text{tr}\left(P \left(S - \frac{\Gamma + \gamma}{2} 1_H\right) \left(S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H\right)\right)\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr}\left(P \left|\left(S - \frac{\Gamma + \gamma}{2} 1_H\right) \left(S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H\right)\right|\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma - \gamma) \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr}\left(P \left|S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H\right|\right), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the first part of (33).

By Schwarz inequality for trace, we also have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left(P \left| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right| \right) \\ & \leq \left[\frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left(P \left(S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right)^2 \right) \right]^{1/2} \\ & = \left[\frac{\text{tr}(PS^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

From (35) and (36), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text{tr}(PS^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma - \gamma) \left[\frac{\text{tr}(PS^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that:

$$\left[\frac{\text{tr}(PS^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma - \gamma).$$

By (36), we then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left(P \left| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right| \right) \\ & \leq \left[\frac{\text{tr}(PS^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma - \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

that proves the last part of (33). ■

Corollary 1. Let $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P invertible and such that there exists $0 < r \leq 1 \leq R$ satisfying the condition (38). Then:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \chi^2(Q, P) & \leq \frac{1}{2} (R - r) D_V(Q, P) \leq \frac{1}{2} (R - r) \chi(Q, P) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} (R - r)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Proof. Utilising the inequality (33) for $S = P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (0 \leq) \chi^2(Q, P) & \leq \frac{1}{2} (R - r) \text{tr} \left(P \left| P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (R - r) \chi(Q, P) \leq \frac{1}{4} (R - r)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality (37) is proved. ■

We observe that if $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P invertible and there exists $r, R > 0$ with:

$$r1_H \leq P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq R1_H, \tag{38}$$

then by the property (20), we get:

$$r \operatorname{tr}(P) \leq \operatorname{tr}\left(P P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq R \operatorname{tr}(P)$$

showing that $r \leq 1 \leq R$.

The following result provides a simple upper bound for the quantum f -divergence $D_f(Q, P)$.

Theorem 8. *Let f be a continuous convex function on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(1) = 0$. Then, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq D_f(Q, P) &\leq \frac{1}{2} [f'_-(R) - f'_+(r)] D_V(Q, P) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [f'_-(R) - f'_+(r)] \chi(Q, P) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} (R - r) [f'_-(R) - f'_+(r)] \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

for any $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P invertible and satisfying the condition (38).

Proof. Without loosing the generality, we prove the inequality in the case when f is continuously differentiable on $(0, \infty)$.

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{tr}\left[P\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right)\left[f'\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) - \lambda 1_H\right]\right] \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left[P\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right)f'\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and for any $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P invertible.

Since f' is monotonic nondecreasing on $[r, R]$, then:

$$f'_+(r) \leq f'(x) \leq f'_-(R) \text{ for any } x \in [r, R].$$

This implies in the operator order that:

$$f'_+(r) 1_H \leq f'\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq f'_-(R) 1_H,$$

therefore:

$$\left|f'\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) - \frac{f'_-(R) + f'_+(r)}{2} 1_H\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} [f'_-(R) - f'_+(r)] 1_H. \tag{41}$$

From (30) and (40), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \operatorname{tr}\left[P f\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] &\leq \operatorname{tr}\left[P\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right)f'\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left[P\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right)\left[f'\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) - \frac{f'_-(R) + f'_+(r)}{2} 1_H\right]\right] \\ &= \left|\operatorname{tr}\left[P\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right)\left[f'\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) - \frac{f'_-(R) + f'_+(r)}{2} 1_H\right]\right|\right| \\ &\leq \operatorname{tr}\left[P\left|\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right)\left[f'\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) - \frac{f'_-(R) + f'_+(r)}{2} 1_H\right]\right|\right] \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left[P\left|\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right)\right|\left|f'\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) - \frac{f'_-(R) + f'_+(r)}{2} 1_H\right|\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [f'_-(R) - f'_+(r)] \operatorname{tr}\left[P\left|P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1_H\right|\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [f'_-(R) - f'_+(r)] V(Q, P), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the first inequality in (39).

The rest follows by (37). ■

Example 1. 1) If we take $f(t) = -\ln t, t > 0$ in Theorem 8, then we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \tilde{D}_{KL}(Q, P) \leq \frac{R-r}{2rR} D_V(Q, P) \\ &\leq \frac{R-r}{2rR} \chi(Q, P) \leq \frac{(R-r)^2}{4rR} \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

for any $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$ with P, Q invertible and satisfying the condition:

$$r1_H \leq P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq R1_H, \tag{43}$$

with $r > 0$.

2) With the same conditions as in 1) for Q, P and if we take $f(t) = t \ln t, t > 0$ in Theorem 8, then we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq D_{KL}(Q, P) \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) D_V(Q, P) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) \chi(Q, P) \leq \frac{1}{4} (R-r) \ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

3) If we take in (39) $f(t) = f_q(t) = \frac{1-t^q}{1-q}$, then we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq D_{f_q}(Q, P) \leq \frac{q}{2(1-q)} \left(\frac{R^{1-q} - r^{1-q}}{R^{1-q}r^{1-q}}\right) V(Q, P) \\ &\leq \frac{q}{2(1-q)} \left(\frac{R^{1-q} - r^{1-q}}{R^{1-q}r^{1-q}}\right) \chi(Q, P) \\ &\leq \frac{q}{4(1-q)} \left(\frac{R^{1-q} - r^{1-q}}{R^{1-q}r^{1-q}}\right) (R-r) \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

provided that $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$, with P, Q invertible and satisfying the condition (43).

We have the following upper bound, as well:

Theorem 9. Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function that is normalized. If $Q, P \in \mathcal{S}_1(H)$, with P invertible, and there exists $R \geq 1 \geq r \geq 0$ such that the condition (38) is satisfied, then:

$$0 \leq D_f(Q, P) \leq \frac{(R-1)f(r) + (1-r)f(R)}{R-r}. \tag{46}$$

Proof. By the convexity of f , we have:

$$f(t) = f\left(\frac{(R-t)r + (t-r)R}{R-r}\right) \leq \frac{(R-t)f(r) + (t-r)f(R)}{R-r}$$

for any $t \in [r, R]$.

This inequality implies the following inequality in the operator order of $\mathcal{B}(H)$:

$$f\left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq \frac{\left(R1_H - P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) f(r) + \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}QP^{-\frac{1}{2}} - r1_H\right) f(R)}{R-r}, \tag{47}$$

for $Q, P \in S_1(H)$, with P invertible, and $R \geq 1 \geq r \geq 0$ such that the condition (38) is satisfied.

Utilising the property (20), we get from (47) that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tr} \left[P f \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{f(r)}{R-r} \operatorname{tr} \left[P \left(R 1_H - P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] + \frac{f(R)}{R-r} \operatorname{tr} \left[P \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} - r 1_H \right) \right] \\ & = \frac{(R-1) f(r) + (1-r) f(R)}{R-r}, \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

and the inequality (46) is thus proven. ■

Remark 3. If we take in (46) $f(t) = t^2 - 1$, then we get:

$$0 \leq \chi^2(Q, P) \leq (R-1)(1-r) \frac{R+r+2}{R-r} \tag{49}$$

for $Q, P \in S_1(H)$, with P invertible and satisfying the condition (38).

If we take in (46) $f(t) = t \ln t$, then we get the inequality:

$$0 \leq D_{KL}(Q, P) \leq \ln \left[r^{\frac{(R-1)r}{R-r}} R^{\frac{R(1-r)}{R-r}} \right] \tag{50}$$

provided that $Q, P \in S_1(H)$, with P, Q invertible and satisfying the condition (38).

With the same assumptions for P, Q , if we take in (46) $f(t) = -\ln t$, then we get the inequality:

$$0 \leq \tilde{D}_{KL}(Q, P) \leq \ln \left[r^{\frac{1-R}{R-r}} R^{\frac{r-1}{R-r}} \right]. \tag{51}$$

5. Further Upper Bounds

We also have:

Theorem 10. Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function that is normalized. If $Q, P \in S_1(H)$, with P invertible, and there exists $R > 1 > r \geq 0$ such that the condition (38) is satisfied, then:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq D_f(Q, P) & \leq \frac{(R-1)(1-r)}{R-r} \Psi_f(1; r, R) \\ & \leq \frac{(R-1)(1-r)}{R-r} \sup_{t \in (r, R)} \Psi_f(t; r, R) \\ & \leq (R-1)(1-r) \frac{f'_-(R) - f'_+(r)}{R-r} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} (R-r) [f'_-(R) - f'_+(r)] \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where $\Psi_f(\cdot; r, R) : (r, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by:

$$\Psi_f(t; r, R) = \frac{f(R) - f(t)}{R-t} - \frac{f(t) - f(r)}{t-r}. \tag{53}$$

We also have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \leq S_f(Q, P) &\leq \frac{(R-1)(1-r)}{R-r} \Psi_f(1; r, R) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} (R-r) \Psi_f(1; r, R) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} (R-r) \sup_{t \in (r, R)} \Psi_f(t; r, R) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} (R-r) [f'_-(R) - f'_+(r)].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{54}$$

Proof. By denoting:

$$\Delta_f(t; r, R) := \frac{(t-r)f(R) + (R-t)f(r)}{R-r} - f(t), \quad t \in [r, R]$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_f(t; r, R) &= \frac{(t-r)f(R) + (R-t)f(r) - (R-r)f(t)}{R-r} \\
 &= \frac{(t-r)f(R) + (R-t)f(r) - (T-t+t-r)f(t)}{R-r} \\
 &= \frac{(t-r)[f(R) - f(t)] - (R-t)[f(t) - f(r)]}{M-m} \\
 &= \frac{(R-t)(t-r)}{R-r} \Psi_f(t; r, R)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{55}$$

for any $t \in (r, R)$.

From the proof of Theorem 9 and since $f(1) = 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{tr} \left[Pf \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] &\leq \frac{(R-1)f(r) + (1-r)f(R)}{R-r} - f(1) \\
 &= \Delta_f(1; r, R) = \frac{(R-1)(1-r)}{R-r} \Psi_f(1; r, R)
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $Q, P \in S_1(H)$, with P invertible, and $R > 1 > r \geq 0$ such that the condition (38) is valid.

Since:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi_f(1; r, R) &\leq \sup_{t \in (r, R)} \Psi_f(t; r, R) \\
 &= \sup_{t \in (r, R)} \left[\frac{f(R) - f(t)}{R-t} - \frac{f(t) - f(r)}{t-r} \right] \\
 &\leq \sup_{t \in (r, R)} \left[\frac{f(R) - f(t)}{R-t} \right] + \sup_{t \in (r, R)} \left[-\frac{f(t) - f(r)}{t-r} \right] \\
 &= \sup_{t \in (r, R)} \left[\frac{f(R) - f(t)}{R-t} \right] - \inf_{t \in (r, R)} \left[\frac{f(t) - f(r)}{t-r} \right] \\
 &= f'_-(R) - f'_+(r),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{56}$$

and, obviously:

$$\frac{1}{R-r} (R-1)(1-r) \leq \frac{1}{4} (R-r),
 \tag{57}$$

then by (55)–(57), we have the desired result (52).

The rest is obvious. ■

Remark 4. If we consider the convex normalized function $f(t) = t^2 - 1$, then:

$$\Psi_f(t; r, R) = \frac{R^2 - t^2}{R - t} - \frac{t^2 - r^2}{t - r} = R - r, \quad t \in (r, R)$$

and we get from (52) the simple inequality:

$$0 \leq \chi^2(Q, P) \leq (R - 1)(1 - r) \tag{58}$$

for $Q, P \in S_1(H)$, with P invertible and satisfying the condition (38), which is better than (49).

If we take the convex normalized function $f(t) = t^{-1} - 1$, then we have:

$$\Psi_f(t; r, R) = \frac{R^{-1} - t^{-1}}{R - t} - \frac{t^{-1} - r^{-1}}{t - r} = \frac{R - r}{rRt}, \quad t \in [r, R].$$

Furthermore:

$$D_f(Q, P) = \chi^2(P, Q).$$

Using (52), we get:

$$(0 \leq) \chi^2(P, Q) \leq \frac{(R - 1)(1 - r)}{Rr} \tag{59}$$

for $Q, P \in S_1(H)$, with Q invertible and satisfying the condition (38).

If we consider the convex function $f(t) = -\ln t$ defined on $[r, R] \subset (0, \infty)$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_f(t; r, R) &= \frac{-\ln R + \ln t}{R - t} - \frac{-\ln t + \ln r}{t - r} \\ &= \frac{(R - r) \ln t - (R - t) \ln r - (t - r) \ln R}{(R - t)(t - r)} \\ &= \ln \left(\frac{t^{R-r}}{r^{R-t} R^{t-r}} \right)^{\frac{1}{(R-t)(t-r)}}, \quad t \in (r, R). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (52), we have:

$$(0 \leq) \tilde{D}_{KL}(Q, P) \leq \ln \left[r^{\frac{1-R}{R-r}} R^{\frac{r-1}{R-r}} \right] \leq \frac{(R - 1)(1 - r)}{rR} \tag{60}$$

for $Q, P \in S_1(H)$, with P, Q invertible and satisfying the condition (38).

If we consider the convex function $f(t) = t \ln t$ defined on $[r, R] \subset (0, \infty)$, then:

$$\Psi_f(t; r, R) = \frac{R \ln R - t \ln t}{R - t} - \frac{t \ln t - r \ln r}{t - r}, \quad t \in (r, R),$$

which gives that:

$$\Psi_f(1; r, R) = \frac{R \ln R}{R - 1} - \frac{r \ln r}{1 - r}.$$

Using (52), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} (0 \leq) D_{KL}(Q, P) &\leq \ln \left[R^{\frac{(1-r)R}{R-r}} r^{\frac{(1-R)r}{R-r}} \right] \\ &\leq (R - 1)(1 - r) \ln \left[\left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{R-r}} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

for $Q, P \in S_1(H)$, with P, Q invertible and satisfying the condition (38).

We also have:

Theorem 11. Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function that is normalized. If $Q, P \in S_1(H)$, with P invertible, and there exists $R > 1 > r \geq 0$ such that the condition (38) is satisfied, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq D_f(Q, P) && (62) \\
 &\leq 2 \max \left\{ \frac{R-1}{R-r}, \frac{1-r}{R-r} \right\} \left[\frac{f(r) + f(R)}{2} - f\left(\frac{r+R}{2}\right) \right] \\
 &\leq 2 \left[\frac{f(r) + f(R)}{2} - f\left(\frac{r+R}{2}\right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We recall the following result (see for instance [37]) that provides a refinement and a reverse for the weighted Jensen’s discrete inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 n \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \{p_i\} &\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - f\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \right] && (63) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) - f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \\
 &\leq n \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \{p_i\} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - f\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function defined on the convex subset C of the linear space X , $\{x_i\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \subset C$ are vectors and $\{p_i\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ are nonnegative numbers with $P_n := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i > 0$.

For $n = 2$, we deduce from (63) that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 \min \{s, 1-s\} &\left[\frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] && (64) \\
 &\leq s f(x) + (1-s) f(y) - f(sx + (1-s)y) \\
 &\leq 2 \max \{s, 1-s\} \left[\frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in C$ and $s \in [0, 1]$.

Now, if we use the second inequality in (64) for $x = r, y = R, s = \frac{R-t}{R-r}$ with $t \in [r, R]$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{(R-t) f(r) + (t-r) f(R)}{R-r} - f(t) && (65) \\
 &\leq 2 \max \left\{ \frac{R-t}{R-r}, \frac{t-r}{R-r} \right\} \left[\frac{f(r) + f(R)}{2} - f\left(\frac{r+R}{2}\right) \right] \\
 &\leq 2 \left[\frac{f(r) + f(R)}{2} - f\left(\frac{r+R}{2}\right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in [r, R]$.

This implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr} \left[P f \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{(R-1) f(r) + (1-r) f(R)}{R-r} \\ & \leq 2 \max \left\{ \frac{R-1}{R-r}, \frac{1-r}{R-r} \right\} \left[\frac{f(r) + f(R)}{2} - f \left(\frac{r+R}{2} \right) \right] \\ & \leq 2 \left[\frac{f(r) + f(R)}{2} - f \left(\frac{r+R}{2} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed. ■

Remark 5. If we take in (62) $f(t) = t^{-1} - 1$, then we have:

$$0 \leq \chi^2(P, Q) \leq \max \{R-1, 1-r\} \frac{R-r}{rR(r+R)} \tag{66}$$

for $Q, P \in S_1(H)$, with P invertible and satisfying the condition (38).

If we take in (62) $f(t) = -\ln t$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \tilde{D}_{KL}(Q, P) & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{R-1}{R-r}, \frac{1-r}{R-r} \right\} \ln \left(\frac{(R+r)^2}{4rR} \right) \\ & \leq \ln \left(\frac{(R+r)^2}{4rR} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

for $Q, P \in S_1(H)$, with P invertible and satisfying the condition (38).

From (42), we have the following absolute upper bound:

$$0 \leq \tilde{D}_{KL}(Q, P) \leq \frac{(R-r)^2}{4rR} \tag{68}$$

for $Q, P \in S_1(H)$, with P invertible and satisfying the condition (38).

Utilising the elementary inequality $\ln x \leq x - 1, x > 0$, we have that:

$$\ln \left(\frac{(R+r)^2}{4rR} \right) \leq \frac{(R-r)^2}{4rR},$$

which shows that (67) is better than (68).

6. Conclusions

In this paper we have introduced a new quantum f -divergence for trace class operators in Hilbert Spaces. It is shown that for normalised convex functions it is nonnegative. Some upper bounds are provided. Applications for some classes of convex functions of interest are also given.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for valuable comments that have been implemented in the final version of the paper.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. Csiszár, I. Eine informationstheoretische Ungleichung und ihre Anwendung auf den Beweis der Ergodizität von Markoffschen Ketten . *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.* **1963**, *8*, 85–108, (in German).
2. Liese, F.; Vajda, I. *Convex Statistical Distances*; Texte zur Mathematik, Band 95; Teubner: Leipzig, Germany, 1987.
3. Cerone, P. ; Dragomir, S.S.; Österreicher, F. Bounds on extended f -divergences for a variety of classes. *Kybernetika* **2004**, *40*, 745–756.
4. Cerone, P.; Dragomir, S.S. Approximation of the integral mean divergence and f -divergence via mean results. *Math. Comput. Modelling* **2005**, *42*, 207–219.
5. Dragomir, S.S. Some inequalities for (m, M) -convex mappings and applications for the Csiszár Φ -divergence in information theory. *Math. J. Ibaraki Univ.* **2001**, *33*, 35–50.
6. Dragomir, S.S. Some inequalities for two Csiszár divergences and applications. *Mat. Bilten* **2001**, *25*, 73–90.
7. Dragomir, S.S. An upper bound for the Csiszár f -divergence in terms of the variational distance and applications. *Panamer. Math. J.* **2002**, *12*, 43–54.
8. Dragomir, S.S. Upper and lower bounds for Csiszár f -divergence in terms of Hellinger discrimination and applications. *Nonlinear Anal. Forum* **2002**, *7*, 1–13
9. Dragomir, S.S. Bounds for f -divergences under likelihood ratio constraints. *Appl. Math.* **2003**, *48*, 205–223.
10. Dragomir, S.S. New inequalities for Csiszár divergence and applications. *Acta Math. Vietnam.* **2003**, *28*, 123–134.
11. Dragomir, S.S. A generalized f -divergence for probability vectors and applications. *Panamer. Math. J.* **2003** *13*, 61–69.
12. Dragomir, S.S. Some inequalities for the Csiszár φ -divergence when φ is an L -Lipschitzian function and applications. *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.* No. **2004**, *15*, 57–76.
13. Dragomir, S.S. A converse inequality for the Csiszár Φ -divergence. *Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci.* **2004**, *20*, 35–53.
14. Dragomir, S.S. Some general divergence measures for probability distributions. *Acta Math. Hung.* **2005**, *109*, 331–345.
15. Dragomir, S.S. A refinement of Jensen's inequality with applications for f -divergence measures. *Taiwan. J. Math.* **2010**, *14*, 153–164.
16. Kafka, P.; Österreicher, F.; Vincze, I. On powers of f -divergence defining a distance, *Stud. Sci. Math. Hung.* **1991**, *26*, 415–422.
17. Österreicher, F.; Vajda, I. A new class of metric divergences on probability spaces and its applicability in statistics. *Ann. Inst. Stat. Math.* **2003**, *55*, 639–653.

18. Ruskai, M.B. Inequalities for traces on von Neumann algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1972**, *26*, 280–289.
19. Simon, B. *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1979.
20. Chang, D. A matrix trace inequality for products of Hermitian matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1999**, *237*, 721–725.
21. Coop, I.D. On matrix trace inequalities and related topics for products of Hermitian matrix, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1994**, *188*, 999–1001.
22. Neudecker, H. A matrix trace inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1992**, *166*, 302–303.
23. Yang, Y. A matrix trace inequality. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1988**, *133*, 573–574.
24. Ando, T. Matrix Young inequalities. *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* **1995**, *75*, 33–38.
25. Bellman, R. Some inequalities for positive definite matrices. In *General Inequalities 2*; Beckenbach, E.F., Ed.; Birkhäuser Basel: Basel, Switzerland, 1980; pp. 89–90.
26. Belmega, E.V.; Jungers, M.; Lasaulce, S. A generalization of a trace inequality for positive definite matrices. *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2010**, *7*, 5.
27. Furuichi, S.; Lin, M. Refinements of the trace inequality of Belmega, Lasaulce and Debbah. *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2010**, *7*, 4.
28. Lee, H.D. On some matrix inequalities. *Korean J. Math.* **2008**, *16*, 565–571.
29. Liu, L. A trace class operator inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2007**, *328*, 1484–1486.
30. Shebrawi, K.; Albadawi, H. Operator norm inequalities of Minkowski type, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **2008**, *9*, 1–10.
31. Ulukök, Z.; Türkmen, R. On some matrix trace inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2010**, 201486:1–201486:8.
32. Manjegani, S. Hölder and Young inequalities for the trace of operators. *Positivity* **2007**, *11*, 239–250.
33. Hiai, F.; Petz, D. From quasi-entropy to various quantum information quantities. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **2012**, *48*, 525–542.
34. Hiai, F.; Mosonyi, M.; Petz, D.; Bény, C. Quantum f -divergences and error correction. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **2011**, *23*, 691–747.
35. Petz, D. From quasi-entropy. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Bp. Eötvös Sect. Math.* **2012**, *55*, 81–92.
36. Petz, D. From f -divergence to quantum quasi-entropies and their use. *Entropy* **2010**, *12*, 304–325.
37. Dragomir, S.S. Bounds for the normalized Jensen functional. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **2006**, *74*, 471–476.
38. De Barra, G. *Measure Theory and Integration*; Ellis Horwood Ltd.: Chichester, UK, 1981.
39. Chen, L.; Wong, C. Inequalities for singular values and traces, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **1992**, *171*, 109–120.