



**VICTORIA UNIVERSITY**  
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

*Factors that influence running intensity in interchange players in professional rugby league*

This is the Accepted version of the following publication

Delaney, JA, Thornton, HR, Duthie, Grant and Dascombe, BJ (2016) Factors that influence running intensity in interchange players in professional rugby league. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 11 (8). 1047 - 1052. ISSN 1555-0265

The publisher's official version can be found at  
<http://journals.humankinetics.com/doi/abs/10.1123/ijsp.2015-0559?journalCode=ijsp>  
Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/32749/>

1 *Title:* Factors that influence running intensity in interchange  
2 players within professional rugby league.

3

4 *Submission Type:* Original investigation.

5

6 *Authors:* Jace A. Delaney<sup>1,2</sup>, Heidi R. Thornton<sup>1,2</sup>, Grant M. Duthie<sup>3</sup>  
7 and Ben J. Dascombe<sup>1</sup>.

8

9 *Institutions and Affiliations:*

10

11 <sup>1.</sup> Applied Sports Science and Exercise Testing Laboratory,  
12 Faculty of Science and Information Technology, University  
13 of Newcastle, Ourimbah, NSW 2258

14

15 <sup>2.</sup> Newcastle Knights Rugby League Club, Mayfield, NSW  
16 2304

17

18 <sup>3.</sup> Institute of Sport, Exercise and Active Living, Victoria  
19 University, Melbourne, VIC 3011

20

21 *Corresponding Author:*

22

23 Mr Jace A. Delaney

24 School of Environmental and Life Sciences

25 Faculty of Science and Information Technology

26 University of Newcastle

27 32 Industrial Drive, Mayfield, 2304

28 Ph: +61 437 600 202

29 Email: [jdelaney@newcastleknights.com.au](mailto:jdelaney@newcastleknights.com.au)

30

31 *Preferred Running Head:* Factors affecting rugby league  
32 interchanges.

33

34 *Abstract Word Count:* 248

35 *Text-only Word Count:* 3763

36 *Number of Tables:* 2

37 *Number of Figures:* 0

38 **ABSTRACT**

39           Rugby league coaches adopt replacement strategies for  
40 their interchange players to maximize running intensity,  
41 however it is important to understand the factors which may  
42 influence match performance. **Purpose:** To assess the  
43 independent factors affecting running intensity sustained by  
44 interchange players during professional rugby league. **Methods:**  
45 Global positioning system (GPS) data were collected from all  
46 interchanged players (starters and non-starters) within a  
47 professional rugby league squad across 20 matches of a National  
48 Rugby League (NRL) season. A multilevel mixed model  
49 approach was employed to establish the effect of various  
50 technical (attacking and defensive involvements), temporal  
51 (bout duration, time in possession etc.) and situational (season  
52 phase, recovery cycle etc.) factors on the relative distance  
53 covered and average metabolic power ( $P_{met}$ ) during competition.  
54 Significant effects were standardised using correlation  
55 coefficients, and the likelihood of the effect was described using  
56 magnitude-based inferences. **Results:** Superior intermittent  
57 running ability resulted in *very likely large* increases in both  
58 relative distance and  $P_{met}$ . As the length of a bout increased, both  
59 measures of running intensity exhibited a *small* decrease. There  
60 were at least *likely small* increases in running intensity for  
61 matches played after short recovery cycles and against strong  
62 opposition. During a bout, the number of collision-based  
63 involvements increased running intensity, whilst time in  
64 possession and ball time-out-of-play decreased demands.  
65 **Conclusions:** These data demonstrate a complex interaction of  
66 individual and match-based factors that require consideration  
67 when developing interchange strategies, and the manipulation of  
68 training loads during shorter recovery periods and against  
69 stronger opponents may be beneficial.

70

71 **Keywords:** Performance analysis, coaching, football, metabolic  
72 power.

73

74 **INTRODUCTION**

75           The quantification of competition movement demands in  
76 rugby league is now a common practice, primarily through the  
77 use of global positioning systems (GPS).<sup>1-3</sup> The analysis of  
78 match-play data has proved useful for differentiating positional  
79 demands<sup>3</sup>, monitoring workload<sup>1,2</sup> and for developing recovery  
80 strategies.<sup>4</sup> Moreover, recent research<sup>1</sup> has demonstrated  
81 considerable match-to-match variability in physical performance  
82 measures such as high and very-high speed running, which  
83 highlights the need to investigate the factors that contribute to  
84 these changes in competition output. For example, the running  
85 demands of rugby league have been shown to be affected by both  
86 individual fitness capacities<sup>5</sup> and the quality of the opposition.<sup>6</sup>  
87 Whilst these findings are useful, it is important to note that these  
88 variables may not influence match performance in isolation, and  
89 it may be that controlling for the confounding effect of multiple  
90 variables simultaneously is the most appropriate method.

91  
92           To account for the influence of multiple factors,  
93 Kempton and Coutts<sup>7</sup> utilized a multilevel mixed modelling  
94 approach to assess the independent effects of a variable whilst  
95 concurrently controlling for a range of other variables. It was  
96 found that the total relative ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) and high-speed ([HS];  
97  $\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) distances were reduced as a result of short recovery  
98 cycles, playing away from home and early competition games of  
99 the season. In addition, running intensity was decreased through  
100 increased defensive loads, but remained unaffected by attacking  
101 involvements, and players exhibiting greater aerobic abilities  
102 were also able to sustain a greater running intensity throughout  
103 match-play. Whilst these findings are useful for the development  
104 of specific preparation and recovery strategies, it is possible that  
105 for interchanged players, the time spent on the field may  
106 substantially influence the running intensity maintained  
107 throughout that bout.

108  
109           Modern interchange strategies utilized by professional  
110 rugby league teams require backs to complete the entire match,  
111 whilst forwards often complete the match in two or more bouts.<sup>8</sup>  
112 Previous research has demonstrated a decline in running  
113 intensity throughout an interchange bout amongst interchange  
114 professional rugby league players, potentially due to transient  
115 fatigue as a result of match-play.<sup>9</sup> However no study has yet  
116 investigated the impact of bout duration of the running intensity  
117 maintained, and such information could assist coaches in  
118 developing interchange plans.

119  
120           In addition to the difference in match time between  
121 interchange and non-interchange players,<sup>8,9</sup> it is also important  
122 to address the differences in the physical requirements of these

123 positions during match-play. For example, hit-up forwards (prop  
124 and second row) have been shown to be involved in more  
125 collisions, relative to playing time, than any other positional  
126 group.<sup>8</sup> As a result of this increased contact load, it is important  
127 to control for attacking and defensive collisions when  
128 investigating the movement demands of these positions.<sup>7</sup> This,  
129 combined with the spatial limitations imposed on rugby league  
130 players due to the presence of opposition players, would indicate  
131 that players in these positions may be unable to achieve the same  
132 total or high-speed relative distances as other players who are  
133 more laterally positioned<sup>2</sup>. It therefore may be beneficial to also  
134 assess the acceleration-based running requirements when  
135 investigating the running demands of interchanged rugby league  
136 players. The metabolic power ( $P_{\text{met}}$ ) method represents a  
137 theoretical model for quantifying team sports movement  
138 demands, where the energetic cost of accelerated and decelerated  
139 running is accounted for.<sup>10,11</sup> Specific to rugby league, Kempton  
140 et al.<sup>2</sup> reported that hit-up forwards covered 76% more distance  
141 over a high-power threshold of  $20 \text{ W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  when compared to an  
142 equivalent traditional high-speed threshold of  $14.4 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ,  
143 further demonstrating the importance of quantifying accelerated  
144 running for this position.

145  
146 Overall, it can be seen that the competition requirements  
147 of interchange rugby league players are unique, and as a result  
148 they should be assessed independently of full-match players.  
149 Therefore, this study adapted the mixed model approach of  
150 Kempton and Coutts,<sup>7</sup> to assess the factors affecting the running  
151 intensity sustained by interchange rugby league players.  
152 Specifically, this study investigated the independent effects of  
153 bout duration, match location, recovery length, season phase,  
154 opposition strength and recent form, match outcome, time out of  
155 play, time in possession, tackles made and received, and  
156 individual player fitness on the running intensity achieved by  
157 these players. The findings of this study may assist coaches in  
158 formulating interchange strategies, which is particularly  
159 important given the recent decrease in number of available  
160 interchanges from ten to eight.

## 161 162 **METHODS**

### 163 **Subjects**

164 Eighteen professional rugby league players ( $26.8 \pm 5.3$   
165 yr,  $102.2 \pm 9.9 \text{ kg}$ ,  $1.86 \pm 0.05 \text{ m}$ ) from the same club were  
166 recruited for this study. This cohort included 14 middle forwards  
167 (props and locks) and four hookers, and was representative of all  
168 interchange players (starters and non-starters) throughout the  
169 season. Due to the coaching strategies of the team, no edge  
170 forwards were interchanged tactically (only substituted in the  
171 case of injury), and therefore these players were removed from

172 analysis. Prior to the commencement of the study, all subjects  
173 were informed of the aims and requirements of the research, and  
174 informed consent was obtained. The Institutional Human Ethics  
175 Committee approved all experimental procedures.  
176

## 177 **Methodology**

178 Data were collected during 24 matches across the 2014  
179 National Rugby League (NRL) competitive season (10 wins, 14  
180 losses, final position 12<sup>th</sup>), to determine the effects of various  
181 contextual factors on the running performance of interchange  
182 players. Matches were played on outdoor grass surfaces between  
183 the hours of 14:00-20:00. Each match was classified according  
184 to season phase as early season (mean match-day temperature  $\pm$   
185 SD,  $25.1 \pm 5.9^\circ$  C), mid-season ( $18.2 \pm 3.6^\circ$  C) or late-season  
186 ( $19.3 \pm 2.6^\circ$  C) for matches 1-8, 9-16 and 17-24, respectively.  
187 Further, match location (home or away) and recovery cycle  
188 length (long,  $\geq 7$  days or short, 5-6 days) were used to describe  
189 match conditions. Opposition strength was categorized  
190 according to both final ladder position (strong, average or weak)  
191 and opposition recent form (no. of wins in last 5 matches). Match  
192 result was recorded as won or lost, and points-differential in each  
193 game was taken as the score difference between the two sides at  
194 the end of each game. To account for collisions in both attack  
195 and defence, a commercial statistics supplier (Prozone, Sydney,  
196 Australia) provided the count of times each player was tackled  
197 (n) and the count of tackles effected by each player during each  
198 bout (n). In addition, time in possession and total time (min) in  
199 which the ball was out of play was recorded. Individual  
200 intermittent running ability was assessed via the maximum speed  
201 attained before exhaustion (vIFT) using the 30:15 Intermittent  
202 Fitness Test,<sup>12</sup> approximately 4 weeks prior to the start of the  
203 season.  
204

205 Competition movement demands were recorded using  
206 GPS units at a sampling rate of 15 Hz (SPI HPU, GPSports,  
207 Canberra, Australia). Whilst the validity and reliability of these  
208 units for quantifying total distance covered during team sports  
209 has previously been described,<sup>13</sup> the inter-unit reliability for  
210 quantifying the acceleration-based movement demands of team  
211 sports has been challenged.<sup>14</sup> To minimize such error, each  
212 player was fitted with the same unit for the entire season.  
213 Matches were completed in open stadiums, where the number of  
214 satellites and horizontal dilution of precision (HDOP) were  $8.3$   
215  $\pm 1.4$  and  $1.1 \pm 0.1$ , respectively. Each unit was fitted into a  
216 customized padded pouch in the player's jersey, positioned in  
217 the centre of the back slightly superior to the scapulae. The  
218 average duration spent on the field by each player was  $48.6 \pm$   
219  $14.6$  min, which was broken up into 2-4 bouts (each lasting  $22.0$   
220  $\pm 8.2$  min). The average number of observations per player was

221 16.1 ± 13.3. Upon completion of each match, match files were  
222 downloaded using the appropriate proprietary software (Team  
223 AMS, GPSports, Canberra, Australia). Following this, data were  
224 trimmed to only include the time spent on the field and each bout  
225 was treated as a separate file. In the case that an interchange bout  
226 was broken up by the half-time break, the period was divided  
227 into two individual bouts, and analyzed accordingly. The total  
228 distance covered during each bout was divided by bout duration  
229 to obtain the relative total distance ( $\text{m} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ ).

230

231 In addition to relative distance, the  $P_{\text{met}}$  achieved  
232 throughout each interchange bout, calculated using the methods  
233 of Osgnach et al.,<sup>11</sup> was selected as the dependant variable in  
234 preference of the high-speed running measure utilized by  
235 Kempton and Coutts.<sup>7</sup> High-speed running has been shown to  
236 underestimate the high-intensity activities of competition that  
237 are performed at low speeds, particularly for positions regularly  
238 interchanged such as hit-up forwards.<sup>2</sup> As such, the  $P_{\text{met}}$  measure  
239 was included as a primary outcome measure. Whilst previous  
240 research has shown varying accuracy of this method for  
241 quantifying the energetic cost of team sports movements,<sup>15-17</sup>  
242 this measure has emerged as a stable measure of locomotor load  
243 ( $\text{CV}\% = 4.5\%$ ),<sup>18</sup> where acceleration and velocity-based  
244 movements are accounted for. Considering the spatial  
245 restrictions placed on interchanged players due to the presence  
246 of opposition players,<sup>2</sup>  $P_{\text{met}}$  was chosen as an appropriate  
247 reflection of external load during competition.

248

## 249 **Statistical Analysis**

250 Multilevel linear mixed effect models were constructed,  
251 utilizing a similar design to that of Kempton and Coutts.<sup>7</sup> Two  
252 separate models were constructed to examine the influence of  
253 various match play and player characteristics on each of the  
254 dependent running measures including relative distance and  $P_{\text{met}}$   
255 (Table 1). These 2-level models included both random and fixed  
256 effects<sup>19</sup> with units of analysis (individual bout) nested in  
257 clusters of units (individual player). Prior to analysis, the  
258 dependent variables, relative distance, and  $P_{\text{met}}$  values were log  
259 transformed, providing percentage effect of the mean<sup>20</sup>.

260

261 \*\*\*Table 1 near here\*\*\*

262

263 In the model design, a ‘step-up’ approach was used where  
264 only a fixed intercept and the level 2 random factor (player) were  
265 included.<sup>19</sup> Following this, each level 1 fixed effect was added  
266 and retained if statistical significance was demonstrated ( $p <$   
267  $0.05$ ) and improved the model information as determined by a  
268 likelihood ratio test. The order of entry of the fixed effects into  
269 the model was trialled a variety of different ways, and

270 determined to have no effect on the final outcome of the model.  
271 The *t* statistics from the mixed models were converted to effect  
272 size correlations (ES) and associated 90% confidence intervals  
273 (90% CI).<sup>21</sup> Effect size correlations were interpreted as <0.1,  
274 trivial; 0.1-0.3, small; 0.3-0.5, moderate; 0.5-0.7, large; 0.7-0.9,  
275 very large; 0.9-0.99, almost perfect; 1.0, perfect. Furthermore,  
276 the likelihood of the observed effect was established using a  
277 progressive magnitude-based approach, where quantitative  
278 chances of the true effect were assessed qualitatively, as: <1%,  
279 *almost certainly not*; 1-5%, *very unlikely*; 5-25%, *unlikely*; 25-  
280 75%, *possibly*; 75-97.5%, *likely*; 97.5-99% *very likely*; >99%,  
281 *almost certainly*.<sup>22</sup> All statistical analyses were conducted R  
282 statistical software (R.2.1, R foundation for Statistical  
283 Computing)<sup>23</sup> using the *lme4* and *psychometric* packages.

284

285

## 286 RESULTS

287 The percentage effect of various covariates on relative  
288 distance covered (Model 1) and  $P_{met}$  sustained (Model 2) for  
289 interchange players during match play are presented in Table 2.  
290 From the model output, the exponential intercept depicts the  
291 mean log transformed value for the outcome variable, whereas  
292 the coefficient intercept reflects the change associated with a  
293 one-unit change in this. For example, individual fitness level  
294 assessed using the IFT test possessed the greatest influence on  
295 the running demands achieved by interchange players, where a  
296 one-unit increase in the exponential intercept value is associated  
297 with a 1.4 unit increase in IFT score. This resulted in *very likely*  
298 *large* increases in both relative distance covered and  $P_{met}$   
299 maintained throughout the bout. Tackling involvements  
300 occurring both in attack and defence resulted in at least *likely*  
301 *small* increases in running intensity. *Small* increases were also  
302 observed in both dependant measures for matches played against  
303 strong opposition (*likely* to *very likely*) and following a short  
304 recovery period (*very likely*). There were *likely* and *possibly*  
305 *small* increases in  $P_{met}$  during the mid and late stages of the  
306 season, respectively, whilst relative distance covered remained  
307 unaffected during this period. There were at least *very likely*  
308 *small* decreases in both measures of running intensity as a result  
309 of increased bout duration. Similarly, this was evident when a  
310 greater time spent in possession and a higher quantity of ball-  
311 out-of-play time occurred. Neither measure of match result  
312 (win/loss or points differential) had a significant impact within  
313 either model.

314

315 \*\*\*Table 2 near here\*\*\*

316

## 317 DISCUSSION

318           This study utilized a mixed models approach to examine  
319 the influence of individual fitness and various match  
320 characteristics on interchange players' running intensity during  
321 professional rugby league match-play. It was observed that  
322 individual intermittent fitness ability was the largest contributor  
323 to running intensity achieved throughout a bout amongst these  
324 players. In addition, matches played following a short recovery  
325 period, against strong opponents, and involving more physical  
326 collisions all resulted in increased running demands. In contrast,  
327 longer bouts involving more time in possession and greater ball-  
328 out-of-play time, and against teams in good recent form all  
329 reduced the movement demands of interchanged players. Based  
330 on these findings, interchange strategies may be more  
331 appropriately structured and manipulated to account for such  
332 environmental and situational variants each match.

333

334           Intermittent running ability is critical to rugby league,  
335 and has been shown to differentiate match performance amongst  
336 professional players.<sup>5</sup> As such, the IFT was chosen as an  
337 appropriate reflection of an individual's fitness ability, specific  
338 to the sport.<sup>12</sup> The present study observed a large increase in both  
339 relative distance covered and  $P_{met}$  as a result of increased  
340 intermittent running ability. Our findings are very similar to  
341 those of Kempton and Coutts,<sup>7</sup> where large increases in running  
342 intensity were observed in players exhibiting greater aerobic  
343 fitness. Despite the difference in fitness tests utilized, the  
344 similarity in the magnitude of the effect suggests that this had  
345 little impact on the outcome. Therefore, these findings  
346 collectively demonstrate that irrespective of the interchange  
347 classification of the players in the present study, individual  
348 fitness capacities are imperative in achieving greater running  
349 intensities throughout rugby league competition, possibly due to  
350 an accelerated rate of energy restoration between high-intensity  
351 efforts.<sup>24</sup>

352

353           Modern interchange strategies permit middle forwards  
354 and hookers to complete intense bouts of activity before being  
355 replaced by a substitute player.<sup>9,25</sup> During these bouts, players  
356 are exposed to a higher frequency of physical collisions  
357 compared to their full-match counterparts.<sup>8</sup> Kempton and  
358 Coutts<sup>7</sup> recently suggested that the running intensity achieved  
359 throughout a match is decreased as a result of increased  
360 defensive collisions. However, these findings may have been  
361 confounded by the inclusion of both interchange and full-match  
362 players in the analysis. For example, whilst defensive  
363 involvements might induce poorer locomotive output in full-  
364 match players, the requirement of "middle" players to quickly  
365 retreat into the defensive line following a contact situation might

366 lead to an increased running intensity compared to players who  
367 are less involved in collisions. This is supported by the findings  
368 of Austin et al.,<sup>26</sup> who demonstrated that contact situations are  
369 normally preceded by a bout of high-intensity running. The  
370 findings of the present study suggest that interchange players  
371 who experience more contact situations exhibit a greater running  
372 intensity as a result. However other factors must also be  
373 considered.

374

375           When considering the relationship between contextual  
376 factors and running output amongst interchange players, it is  
377 important to account for the varying duration of bout required of  
378 this position. In the present study, the week-to-week interchange  
379 strategy of the team in question remained relatively constant, and  
380 the length of the bout required of the player resulted in a decrease  
381 in running intensity throughout that bout. This is in support of  
382 Waldron et al.,<sup>9</sup> who observed a decrease in both total and high-  
383 speed relative distance as an on-field bout progressed amongst  
384 professional rugby league players. Taken together, these  
385 findings are indicative of an accumulation of fatigue throughout  
386 an on-field bout, however it is important to note that this is not a  
387 result of the duration of the bout alone, and is rather an  
388 interaction of multiple factors. For example, the running  
389 demands and resultant fatigue of defending are far greater than  
390 time spent attacking,<sup>27</sup> which explains the small decrease in  
391 running intensity as a result of time in possession observed in the  
392 present study. Further, during a match, regular stoppages occur  
393 for a number of reasons including video referrals for refereeing  
394 decisions, or time off for injury. The present study found small  
395 decreases in running performance occurred as a result of an  
396 increase in ball-out-of-play time. These stoppages allow players  
397 to recover from intense periods of play, therefore potentially  
398 prolonging the length of their interchange bout. As a result,  
399 coaches must take care when employing replacement strategies  
400 based on time on the field alone, and should make informed  
401 decisions incorporating all available contextual information to  
402 maximize team performance.

403

404           The findings of the present study show that during  
405 matches against strong opposition, interchange players cover a  
406 greater relative distance throughout each on-field bout. In  
407 contrast, Kempton and Coutts<sup>7</sup> reported no change in relative  
408 distance covered as a result of opposition strength, but did  
409 observe *small to moderate* influences on high-speed running.  
410 The small increase in  $P_{met}$  may reflect the more appropriate  
411 measure of high-intensity running amongst this cohort, and  
412 therefore it could be suggested that matches completed against  
413 strong opposition result in a greater overall high-intensity

414 running demand. In addition, the current study attempted to  
415 quantify recent form by accounting for the number of wins  
416 achieved in the last five games played, which resulted in slight  
417 decreases in both measures of running intensity. However,  
418 recording wins alone may not appropriately for the context of  
419 those wins in relation to the entire competition, or the strength  
420 of the opposition defeated. As such, future research may benefit  
421 from accurately quantifying recent form, accounting for these  
422 contextual factors. Recently, amongst semi-elite interchange  
423 rugby league players, Black and Gabbett<sup>28</sup> observed an increase  
424 in running intensity towards the end of a match players  
425 competing in losing teams. Interestingly, the present study  
426 observed no effect of match outcome on the running intensity  
427 achieved by interchanged players, which may reflect the higher  
428 quality of players in the current cohort, or the lack of  
429 differentiation of where a bout occurred throughout the match  
430 for these players.

431

432 Another contextual factor that may be accounted in the  
433 planning of interchange strategies is the recovery period between  
434 consecutive matches. Whilst previous research<sup>7</sup> showed that  
435 shorter match recovery periods resulted in decrements in running  
436 intensity measures, the present study showed contrary evidence  
437 of this, identifying that reduced recovery periods (5-6 days)  
438 positively influenced both measures of running intensity. It is  
439 suggested that the successful attenuation of training loads during  
440 shorter recovery periods may have assisted in the dissipation of  
441 fatigue, permitting athletes to re-perform in a superior  
442 physiological state. It is possible that the dissimilarities in these  
443 findings may be attributable to discrepancies in training loads  
444 between the two clubs, particularly in the days prior to match-  
445 play. Whilst this is difficult to ascertain, future research may  
446 investigate this utilizing data from multiple teams that adopt  
447 different training load strategies, determining the resultant effect  
448 on match performance, or examining physiological measures of  
449 fatigue such as salivary immune and endocrine indicators.<sup>29,30</sup>

450

451 Interestingly, it was noted that mid to late season games  
452 had a positive effect on  $P_{met}$  of interchange players. These  
453 findings are in support of Kempton and Coutts,<sup>7</sup> where early  
454 season games negatively affected running intensity, indicating  
455 that games later in the season demonstrated greater running  
456 intensities. Possible reasons for this may be the heightened  
457 importance of achieving a higher ladder position to make finals  
458 toward the end of the season or environmental factors such as  
459 reduced thermal strain during the winter months. Further, these  
460 findings may be evidence of successful training load  
461 periodization and enhanced recovery strategies adopted to

462 attenuate cumulative fatigue throughout a congested match  
463 schedule. In contrast to the observed effect of season phase on  
464 running intensity, results of the present study showed no notable  
465 effect of match location (home or away) on either measure of  
466 running intensity. This is in contrast to the findings of Kempton  
467 and Coutts,<sup>7</sup> where matches played away from home negatively  
468 influenced the running intensities achieved. This discrepancy  
469 between findings may be a result of the inclusion of only  
470 interchanged players in the present study, where it is possible  
471 that the reduced playing duration of these players may diminish  
472 the effects of match location. As such, more scope for research  
473 exists to examine the effect of match location particularly when  
474 extended travel is required on the potential of this to affect match  
475 performance.

476

477         There are several limitations that must be considered  
478 when interpreting the findings of this study. Firstly, the study  
479 was able to recruit one team in isolation, and therefore the results  
480 may differ between teams due to differences in coaching  
481 strategy, or overall team performance. Secondly, only one  
482 measure of physical fitness was able to be taken prior to the start  
483 of the season, and it may be that fitness levels may deviate  
484 throughout the course of a season. Lastly, outside of the collision  
485 counts provided in the present study, no attempt was made to  
486 quantify the intensity or physical cost of the contact situation.  
487 Whilst this is undoubtedly an important element of match-play  
488 within interchange rugby league players, current technology is  
489 unable to detect the isometric contractions that form a large  
490 component of the “wrestle” situation. As a result, it was a focus  
491 of the current research to quantify the running demands of these  
492 players only, and therefore these results must be taken  
493 cautiously.

494

## 495 **PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS**

496         The findings of this study permit coaching staff to adopt  
497 evidence based replacement strategies that consider the  
498 multifaceted interplay of factors that potentially affect running  
499 performances, facilitating maximum team performance. During  
500 match play, athletes are inhibited in their ability to generate  
501 running intensity when the ball is out of play, and this should be  
502 considered before making replacement interchange decisions. In  
503 addition, the relative demands of attacking play seem to be less  
504 demanding than defensive play, and therefore may allow a player  
505 to prolong an on-field bout. The ability to maintain a high  
506 running intensity throughout an interchange bout may be  
507 attenuated by the development of intermittent fitness abilities,  
508 including exposure to regular collision events. Tailoring of

509 recovery strategies as well as manipulating training loads during  
510 shorter recovery periods and when playing greater opposition  
511 strength may also help facilitate the increased running demands  
512 inflicted by these contextual factors.

513

## 514 **CONCLUSION**

515 This study examined the independent effects of various  
516 match-related, contextual and individual characteristics on the  
517 running intensities of interchange players during professional  
518 rugby league match-play. The statistical approach utilized  
519 provides a comprehensive understanding of the percentage effect  
520 of the various interacting factors, superior to that of commonly  
521 used statistical methods. Factors recognized to have had the  
522 greatest detrimental effect on the running intensity included  
523 longer bout durations, greater opposition strength, the longer the  
524 time the ball was out of play and time spent in attack. In contrast,  
525 factors positively influencing the running intensities included the  
526 tackling involvements (the number of tackles made by the player  
527 and the number of tackles made to the player) and a shorter  
528 match recovery period.

529

## 530 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

531 There were no conflicts of interest. No external sources of  
532 funding were provided for the completion for this study.

533

534     **REFERENCES**

- 535     1.     Kempton T, Sirotic AC, Coutts AJ. Between match variation in  
536             professional rugby league competition. *Journal of science and*  
537             *medicine in sport / Sports Medicine Australia.*  
538             2014;17(4):404-407.
- 539     2.     Kempton T, Sirotic AC, Rampinini E, Coutts AJ. Metabolic  
540             power demands of rugby league match play. *International*  
541             *journal of sports physiology and performance.* 2015;10(1):23-  
542             28.
- 543     3.     McLellan CP, Lovell DI, Gass GC. Performance analysis of elite  
544             Rugby League match play using global positioning systems.  
545             *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National*  
546             *Strength & Conditioning Association.* 2011;25(6):1703-1710.
- 547     4.     McLellan CP, Lovell DI. Neuromuscular responses to impact  
548             and collision during elite rugby league match play. *Journal of*  
549             *strength and conditioning research / National Strength &*  
550             *Conditioning Association.* 2012;26(5):1431-1440.
- 551     5.     Gabbett TJ, Stein JG, Kemp JG, Lorenzen C. Relationship  
552             between tests of physical qualities and physical match  
553             performance in elite rugby league players. *Journal of strength*  
554             *and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning*  
555             *Association.* 2013;27(6):1539-1545.
- 556     6.     Gabbett TJ. Influence of the opposing team on the physical  
557             demands of elite rugby league match play. *Journal of strength*  
558             *and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning*  
559             *Association.* 2013;27(6):1629-1635.
- 560     7.     Kempton T, Coutts AJ. Factors affecting exercise intensity in  
561             professional rugby league match-play. *Journal of science and*  
562             *medicine in sport / Sports Medicine Australia.* 2015.
- 563     8.     Gabbett TJ, Jenkins DG, Abernethy B. Physical demands of  
564             professional rugby league training and competition using  
565             microtechnology. *Journal of science and medicine in sport /*  
566             *Sports Medicine Australia.* 2012;15(1):80-86.
- 567     9.     Waldron M, Highton J, Daniels M, Twist C. Preliminary  
568             evidence of transient fatigue and pacing during interchanges  
569             in rugby league. *International journal of sports physiology*  
570             *and performance.* 2013;8(2):157-164.
- 571     10.    di Prampero PE, Fusi S, Sepulcri L, Morin JB, Belli A, Antonutto  
572             G. Sprint running: a new energetic approach. *The Journal of*  
573             *experimental biology.* 2005;208(Pt 14):2809-2816.
- 574     11.    Osgnach C, Poser S, Bernardini R, Rinaldo R, di Prampero PE.  
575             Energy cost and metabolic power in elite soccer: a new match  
576             analysis approach. *Medicine and science in sports and*  
577             *exercise.* 2010;42(1):170-178.
- 578     12.    Scott TJ, Delaney JA, Duthie GM, et al. Reliability and  
579             usefulness of the 30-15 intermittent fitness test in rugby  
580             league. *Journal of strength and conditioning research /*  
581             *National Strength & Conditioning Association.*  
582             2015;29(7):1985-1990.
- 583     13.    Johnston RJ, Watsford ML, Pine MJ, Spurrs RW, Murphy AJ,  
584             Pruyn EC. The validity and reliability of 5-Hz global positioning

- 585 system units to measure team sport movement demands.  
 586 *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National*  
 587 *Strength & Conditioning Association*. 2012;26(3):758-765.
- 588 14. Buchheit M, Al Haddad H, Simpson BM, et al. Monitoring  
 589 accelerations with GPS in football: time to slow down?  
 590 *International journal of sports physiology and performance*.  
 591 2014;9(3):442-445.
- 592 15. Buchheit M, Manouvrier C, Cassirame J, Morin JB.  
 593 Monitoring locomotor load in soccer: is metabolic power,  
 594 powerful? *Int J Sport Med*. 2015;In press.
- 595 16. Buglione A, di Prampero PE. The energy cost of shuttle  
 596 running. *European journal of applied physiology*.  
 597 2013;113(6):1535-1543.
- 598 17. Stevens TG, de Ruiter CJ, van Maurik D, van Lierop CJ,  
 599 Savelsbergh GJ, Beek PJ. Measured and Estimated Energy  
 600 Cost of Constant and Shuttle Running in Soccer Players.  
 601 *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 2014;47(6):1219-  
 602 1224.
- 603 18. Rampinini E, Alberti G, Fiorenza M, et al. Accuracy of GPS  
 604 devices for measuring high-intensity running in field-based  
 605 team sports. *International journal of sports medicine*.  
 606 2015;36(1):49-53.
- 607 19. West BT, Welch KB, Galecki AT. *Linear mixed models: a*  
 608 *practical guide using statistical software*. Second Edition ed:  
 609 CRC Press; 2014.
- 610 20. Hopkins W, Marshall S, Batterham A, Hanin J. Progressive  
 611 statistics for studies in sports medicine and exercise science.  
 612 *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 2009;41(1):3.
- 613 21. Rosnow RL, Rosenthal R, Rubin DB. Contrasts and correlations  
 614 in effect-size estimation. *Psychol Sci*. 2000;11(6):446-453.
- 615 22. Hopkins WG. A spreadsheet for deriving a confidence  
 616 interval, mechanistic inference and clinical inference from a  
 617 p value. *Sportscience*. 2007;11:16-20.
- 618 23. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*  
 619 [computer program]. Vienna, Austria R Foundation for  
 620 Statistical Computing; 2015.
- 621 24. Tomlin DL, Wenger HA. The relationship between aerobic  
 622 fitness and recovery from high intensity intermittent  
 623 exercise. *Sports Med*. 2001;31(1):1-11.
- 624 25. Austin DJ, Gabbett TJ, Jenkins DJ. Repeated high-intensity  
 625 exercise in a professional rugby league. *Journal of strength*  
 626 *and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning*  
 627 *Association*. 2011;25(7):1898-1904.
- 628 26. Austin D, Gabbett T, Jenkins D. Tackling in a professional  
 629 rugby league. *Journal of strength and conditioning research /*  
 630 *National Strength & Conditioning Association*.  
 631 2011;25(6):1659-1663.
- 632 27. Gabbett TJ, Polley C, Dwyer DB, Kearney S, Corvo A. Influence  
 633 of field position and phase of play on the physical demands  
 634 of match-play in professional rugby league forwards. *Journal*

- 635 *of science and medicine in sport / Sports Medicine Australia.*  
636 2014;17(5):556-561.
- 637 28. Black GM, Gabbett TJ. Match intensity and pacing strategies  
638 in rugby league: an examination of whole-game and  
639 interchanged players, and winning and losing teams. *Journal*  
640 *of strength and conditioning research / National Strength &*  
641 *Conditioning Association.* 2014;28(6):1507-1516.
- 642 29. Coad A, Gray B, Wehbe G, McCellan C. Physical demands and  
643 salivary immunoglobulin A responses of elite Australian rules  
644 football athletes to match play. *International journal of sports*  
645 *physiology and performance.* 2015;10:613-617.
- 646 30. McLean BD, Coutts AJ, Kelly V, McGuigan MR, Cormack SJ.  
647 Neuromuscular, endocrine, and perceptual fatigue responses  
648 during different length between-match microcycles in  
649 professional rugby league players. *International journal of*  
650 *sports physiology and performance.* 2010;5(3):367-383.
- 651