



VICTORIA UNIVERSITY
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

Trace inequalities of Cassels and Grüss type for operators in Hilbert spaces

This is the Published version of the following publication

Dragomir, Sever S (2017) Trace inequalities of Cassels and Grüss type for operators in Hilbert spaces. *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Mathematica*, 9 (1). 74 - 93. ISSN 1844-6094

The publisher's official version can be found at

<https://www.degruyter.com/view/j/ausm.2017.9.issue-1/ausm-2017-0006/ausm-2017-0006.xml>

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/36363/>

Trace inequalities of Cassels and Grüss type for operators in Hilbert spaces

Sever S. Dragomir

Mathematics, School of Engineering & Science
Victoria University, Australia

DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in the Mathematical and
Statistical Sciences,

School of Computer Science and Applied Mathematics,
University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa
email: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

Abstract. Some trace inequalities of Cassels type for operators in Hilbert spaces are provided. Applications in connection to Grüss inequality and for convex functions of selfadjoint operators are also given.

1 Introduction

Let $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be two positive n -tuples with

$$0 < m_1 \leq a_i \leq M_1 < \infty \text{ and } 0 < m_2 \leq b_i \leq M_2 < \infty; \quad (1)$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and some constants m_1, m_2, M_1, M_2 .

The following reverses of the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality for positive sequences of real numbers are well known:

a) *Pólya-Szegő's inequality* [44]:

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k\right)^2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{M_1 M_2}{m_1 m_2}} + \sqrt{\frac{m_1 m_2}{M_1 M_2}} \right)^2.$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A63, 47A99

Key words and phrases: trace class operators, Hilbert-Schmidt operators, Schwarz inequality, Grüss inequality solution

b) *Shisha-Mond's inequality* [48]:

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2}{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k} - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2} \leq \left[\left(\frac{M_1}{m_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{m_1}{M_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2.$$

If $\bar{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ is a positive sequence, then the following weighted inequalities also hold:

c) *Cassels' inequality* [15]. If the positive real sequences $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\bar{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ satisfy the condition

$$0 < m \leq \frac{a_k}{b_k} \leq M < \infty \text{ for each } k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad (2)$$

then

$$\frac{\left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_k^2 \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k b_k^2 \right)}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_k b_k \right)^2} \leq \frac{(M + m)^2}{4mM}.$$

d) *Greub-Reinboldt's inequality* [34]. We have

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_k^2 \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k b_k^2 \right) \leq \frac{(M_1 M_2 + m_1 m_2)^2}{4m_1 m_2 M_1 M_2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_k b_k \right)^2,$$

provided $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\bar{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ satisfy the condition (1).

For other recent results providing discrete reverse inequalities, see the monograph online [15].

The following reverse of Schwarz's inequality in inner product spaces holds [16].

Theorem 1 (Dragomir, 2003, [16]) *Let $A, a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x, y \in H$, a complex inner product space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. If*

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Ay - x, x - ay \rangle \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

or equivalently,

$$\left\| x - \frac{a + A}{2} \cdot y \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |A - a| \|y\|, \quad (4)$$

holds, then we have the inequality

$$0 \leq \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 - |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} |A - a|^2 \|y\|^4. \quad (5)$$

The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is sharp in (5).

In 1935, G. Grüss [35] proved the following integral inequality which gives an approximation of the integral mean of the product in terms of the product of the integrals means as follows:

$$\left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right| \quad (6)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} (\Phi - \phi) (\Gamma - \gamma),$$

where $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are integrable on $[a, b]$ and satisfy the condition

$$\phi \leq f(x) \leq \Phi, \gamma \leq g(x) \leq \Gamma \quad (7)$$

for each $x \in [a, b]$, where $\phi, \Phi, \gamma, \Gamma$ are given real constants.

Moreover, the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is sharp in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller one.

In [18], in order to generalize the Grüss integral inequality in abstract structures the author has proved the following inequality in inner product spaces.

Theorem 2 (Dragomir, 1999, [18]) *Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space over \mathbb{K} ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$) and $e \in H$, $\|e\| = 1$. If $\varphi, \gamma, \Phi, \Gamma$ are real or complex numbers and x, y are vectors in H such that the conditions*

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle \Phi e - x, x - \varphi e \rangle \geq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \langle \Gamma e - y, y - \gamma e \rangle \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

hold, then we have the inequality

$$|\langle x, y \rangle - \langle x, e \rangle \langle e, y \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{4} |\Phi - \varphi| |\Gamma - \gamma|. \quad (9)$$

The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is best possible in the sense that it can not be replaced by a smaller constant.

For other results of this type, see the recent monograph [21] and the references therein.

For other Grüss type results for integral and sums see the papers [1]-[3], [8]-[10], [17]-[24], [31], and the references therein.

In order to state some reverses of Schwarz and Grüss type inequalities for trace operators on complex Hilbert spaces we need some preparations as follows.

2 Some facts on trace of operators

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an *orthonormal basis* of H . We say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* if

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty. \quad (10)$$

It is well known that, if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ are orthonormal bases for H and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ then

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|A^*f_j\|^2 \quad (11)$$

showing that the definition (10) is independent of the orthonormal basis and A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator iff A^* is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ the set of Hilbert-Schmidt operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ we define

$$\|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (12)$$

for $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . This definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis.

Using the triangle inequality in $\ell^2(I)$, one checks that $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is a *vector space* and that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, which is usually called in the literature as the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm*.

Denote the *modulus* of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

Because $\| |A| x \| = \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in H$, A is Hilbert-Schmidt iff $|A|$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|A\|_2 = \||A|\|_2$. From (11) we have that if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$.

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , we say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is *trace class* if

$$\|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty. \quad (13)$$

The definition of $\|A\|_1$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the set of trace class operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

We define the *trace* of a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ to be

$$\text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle, \quad (14)$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if H is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (14) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

Utilising the trace notation we obviously have that

$$\langle A, B \rangle_2 = \text{tr}(B^*A) = \text{tr}(AB^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_2^2 = \text{tr}(A^*A) = \text{tr}(|A|^2)$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following Hölder's type inequality has been obtained by Ruskai in [45]

$$|\text{tr}(AB)| \leq \text{tr}(|AB|) \leq \left[\text{tr}(|A|^{1/\alpha}) \right]^\alpha \left[\text{tr}(|B|^{1/(1-\alpha)}) \right]^{1-\alpha} \tag{15}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $|A|^{1/\alpha}, |B|^{1/(1-\alpha)} \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$.

In particular, for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ we get the Schwarz inequality

$$|\text{tr}(AB)| \leq \text{tr}(|AB|) \leq \left[\text{tr}(|A|^2) \right]^{1/2} \left[\text{tr}(|B|^2) \right]^{1/2} \tag{16}$$

with $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

For the theory of trace functionals and their applications the reader is referred to [49].

For some classical trace inequalities see [11], [13], [42] and [53], which are continuations of the work of Bellman [5]. For related works the reader can refer to [4], [6], [11], [32], [36], [37], [39], [46] and [50].

We denote by

$$\mathcal{B}_1^+(H) := \{P : P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H), P \text{ and is selfadjoint and } P \geq 0\}.$$

We obtained recently the following result [29]:

Theorem 3 *For any $A, C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ we have the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PAC)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PA)}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|A - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|A - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \left[\frac{\text{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm.

In the following we establish other similar results for trace that generalize the classical Cassels' inequality stated in the introduction.

3 Cassels type trace inequalities

For two given operators $T, U \in B(H)$ and two given scalars $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the transform

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T, U) = (T^* - \bar{\alpha}U^*)(\beta U - T).$$

This transform generalizes the transform

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T) := (T^* - \bar{\alpha}1_H)(\beta 1_H - T) = \mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T, 1_H),$$

where 1_H is the identity operator, which has been introduced in [27] in order to provide some generalizations of the well known Kantorovich inequality for operators in Hilbert spaces.

We recall that a bounded linear operator T on the complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called *accretive* if $\operatorname{Re} \langle Ty, y \rangle \geq 0$ for any $y \in H$.

Utilizing the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T, U)x, x \rangle &= \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathcal{C}_{\beta, \alpha}(T, U)x, x \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2 \|Ux\|^2 - \left\| Tx - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot Ux \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2 \langle |U|^2 x, x \rangle - \left\langle \left| T - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot U \right|^2 x, x \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

that holds for any scalars α, β and any vector $x \in H$, we can give a simple characterization result that is useful in the following:

Lemma 1 For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $T, U \in B(H)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The transform $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T, U)$ (or, equivalently, $\mathcal{C}_{\beta, \alpha}(T, U)$) is accretive;
- (ii) We have the norm inequality

$$\left\| Tx - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot Ux \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \|Ux\| \quad (19)$$

for any $x \in H$;

- (iii) We have the following inequality in the operator order

$$\left| T - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot U \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2 |U|^2.$$

As a consequence of the above lemma we can state:

Corollary 1 *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $T, U \in B(H)$. If $C_{\alpha, \beta}(T, U)$ is accretive, then*

$$\left\| T - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot U \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \|U\|. \quad (20)$$

Remark 1 *In order to give examples of linear operators $T, U \in B(H)$ and numbers $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the transform $C_{\alpha, \beta}(T, U)$ is accretive, it suffices to select two bounded linear operator S and V and the complex numbers z, w ($w \neq 0$) with the property that $\|Sx - zVx\| \leq |w| \|Vx\|$ for any $x \in H$, and, by choosing $T = S, U = V, \alpha = \frac{1}{2}(z + w)$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(z - w)$ we observe that T and U satisfy (19), i.e., $C_{\alpha, \beta}(T, U)$ is accretive.*

The following result also holds:

Lemma 2 *Let, either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(H), A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H), A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}[P(A^* - \bar{\gamma}B^*)(\Gamma B - A)]) \geq 0 \quad (21)$$

if and only if

$$\operatorname{tr} \left(P \left| A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} B \right|^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr} (P|B|^2). \quad (22)$$

To simplify the writing, we say that (A, B) satisfies the P - (γ, Γ) -trace property.

Proof. We have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 P|B|^2 - P \left| A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} B \right|^2 \\ &= P \left[\frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 |B|^2 - \left| A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} B \right|^2 \right] \\ &= P \left[\frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 |B|^2 - \left(A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} B \right)^* \left(A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} B \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= P \left[\frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 |B|^2 - |A|^2 + \frac{\overline{\gamma + \Gamma}}{2} B^* A + \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} A^* B - \left| \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} \right|^2 |B|^2 \right] \\
&= P \left[-|A|^2 + \frac{\overline{\gamma + \Gamma}}{2} B^* A + \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} A^* B + \left(\frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 - \left| \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} \right|^2 \right) |B|^2 \right] \\
&= P \left[-|A|^2 + \frac{\overline{\gamma + \Gamma}}{2} B^* A + \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} A^* B - \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \overline{\gamma}) |B|^2 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

for any bounded operators A, B, P and the complex numbers $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$.

Taking the trace in (23) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr}(P |B|^2) - \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left| A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} B \right|^2 \right) \tag{24} \\
&= -\operatorname{tr}(P |A|^2) - \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \overline{\gamma}) \operatorname{tr}(P |B|^2) + \frac{\overline{\gamma + \Gamma}}{2} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A) + \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} \operatorname{tr}(P A^* B) \\
&= -\operatorname{tr}(P |A|^2) - \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \overline{\gamma}) \operatorname{tr}(P |B|^2) + \frac{\overline{\gamma + \Gamma}}{2} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A) + \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} \overline{\operatorname{tr}(P B^* A)} \\
&= -\operatorname{tr}(P |A|^2) - \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \overline{\gamma}) \operatorname{tr}(P |B|^2) + \frac{\overline{\gamma + \Gamma}}{2} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A) + \frac{\overline{\gamma + \Gamma}}{2} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A) \\
&= -\operatorname{tr}(P |A|^2) - \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \overline{\gamma}) \operatorname{tr}(P |B|^2) + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{\overline{\gamma + \Gamma}}{2} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A) \right] \\
&= -\operatorname{tr}(P |A|^2) - \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \overline{\gamma}) \operatorname{tr}(P |B|^2) + \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\gamma} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A)] + \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\Gamma} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A)] \\
&= -\operatorname{tr}(P |A|^2) - \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \overline{\gamma}) \operatorname{tr}(P |B|^2) + \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\gamma} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A)] + \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\Gamma} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A)] \\
&= -\operatorname{tr}(P |A|^2) - \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \overline{\gamma}) \operatorname{tr}(P |B|^2) + \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\gamma} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A)] + \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\Gamma} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A)].
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}[P(A^* - \overline{\gamma} B^*)(\Gamma B - A)]) \\
&= \operatorname{Re}[\operatorname{tr}(\Gamma P A^* B + \overline{\gamma} P B^* A - \overline{\gamma} \Gamma P B^* B - P A^* A)] \\
&= \operatorname{Re}[\Gamma \operatorname{tr}(P A^* B) + \overline{\gamma} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A)] - \overline{\gamma} \Gamma \operatorname{tr}(P |B|^2) - \operatorname{tr}(P |A|^2) \\
&= \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\Gamma} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A) + \overline{\gamma} \operatorname{tr}(P B^* A)] - \operatorname{tr}(P |B|^2) \operatorname{Re}(\overline{\gamma} \Gamma) - \operatorname{tr}(P |A|^2),
\end{aligned}$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr}(P |B|^2) - \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left| A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} B \right|^2 \right) \tag{25} \\
&= \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}[P(A^* - \overline{\gamma} B^*)(\Gamma B - A)]),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the desired equivalence. \square

Corollary 2 *Let, either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(\mathbb{H})$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathbb{H})$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(\mathbb{H})$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ and $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. If the transform $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma, \Gamma}(A, B)$ is accretive, then (A, B) satisfies the P - (γ, Γ) -trace property.*

We have the following result:

Theorem 4 *Let, either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(\mathbb{H})$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathbb{H})$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(\mathbb{H})$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ and $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma}) = \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma)\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) + \operatorname{Im}(\Gamma)\operatorname{Im}(\gamma) > 0$.*

(i) *If (A, B) satisfies the P - (γ, Γ) -trace property, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{[\operatorname{Re}(\gamma + \Gamma)\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A) + \operatorname{Im}(\gamma + \Gamma)\operatorname{Im}\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A)]^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma)\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) + \operatorname{Im}(\Gamma)\operatorname{Im}(\gamma)} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|\gamma + \Gamma|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})} |\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

(ii) *If the transform $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma, \Gamma}(A, B)$ is accretive, then the inequality (26) also holds.*

Proof. (i) If (A, B) satisfies the P - (γ, Γ) -trace property, then, on utilizing the calculations above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) - \operatorname{tr}\left(P \left| A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} B \right|^2\right) \\ & = -\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) - \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma}) \operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) + \operatorname{Re}[\bar{\gamma}\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A)] + \operatorname{Re}\left[\overline{\Gamma\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A)}\right] \\ & = -\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) - \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma}) \operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) + \operatorname{Re}[\bar{\gamma}\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A)] + \operatorname{Re}\left[\overline{\Gamma\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A)}\right] \\ & = -\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) - \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma}) \operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) + \operatorname{Re}[\bar{\gamma}\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A)] + \operatorname{Re}\left[\overline{\Gamma\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A)}\right] \\ & = -\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) - \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma}) \operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) + \operatorname{Re}[(\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\Gamma})\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A)], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) + \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma}) \operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) & \leq \operatorname{Re}[(\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\Gamma})\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A)] \\ & = \operatorname{Re}(\gamma + \Gamma)\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A) + \operatorname{Im}(\gamma + \Gamma)\operatorname{Im}\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Making use of the elementary inequality

$$2\sqrt{pq} \leq p + q, \quad p, q \geq 0,$$

we also have

$$2\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}|\mathbf{A}|^2)\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}|\mathbf{B}|^2)} \leq \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}|\mathbf{A}|^2\mathbf{big}) + \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}|\mathbf{B}|^2). \quad (28)$$

Utilising (27) and (28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}|\mathbf{A}|^2)\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}|\mathbf{B}|^2)} \\ & \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\gamma + \Gamma)\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{Im}(\gamma + \Gamma)\operatorname{Im}\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{A})}{2\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})}} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

that is equivalent with the first inequality in (26).

The second inequality in (26) is obvious by Schwarz inequality

$$(\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{cd})^2 \leq (\mathbf{a}^2 + \mathbf{c}^2)(\mathbf{b}^2 + \mathbf{d}^2), \quad \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The (ii) is obvious from (i). \square

Remark 2 We observe that the inequality between the first and last term in (26) is equivalent to

$$0 \leq \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}|\mathbf{A}|^2)\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}|\mathbf{B}|^2) - |\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{A})|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|\gamma - \Gamma|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})} |\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{A})|^2. \quad (30)$$

Corollary 3 Let, either $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{B}_+(\mathbf{H})$, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathbf{H})$ or $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(\mathbf{H})$, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{H})$ and $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma}) = \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma)\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) + \operatorname{Im}(\Gamma)\operatorname{Im}(\gamma) > 0$.

(i) If \mathbf{A} satisfies the \mathbf{P} - (γ, Γ) -trace property, namely

$$\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}[\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}^* - \bar{\gamma}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}})(\Gamma\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} - \mathbf{A})]) \geq 0 \quad (31)$$

or, equivalently

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{P}\left|\mathbf{A} - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}}\right|^2\right) \leq \frac{1}{4}|\Gamma - \gamma|^2\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}), \quad (32)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}|\mathbf{A}|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P})} & \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\left[\operatorname{Re}(\gamma + \Gamma)\frac{\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A})}{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P})} + \operatorname{Im}(\gamma + \Gamma)\frac{\operatorname{Im}\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A})}{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P})}\right]^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma)\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) + \operatorname{Im}(\Gamma)\operatorname{Im}(\gamma)} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|\gamma + \Gamma|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})} \left|\frac{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A})}{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P})}\right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

- (ii) If the transform $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma, \Gamma}(A)$ is accretive, then the inequality (26) also holds.
 (iii) We have

$$0 \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|\gamma - \Gamma|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})} \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2. \quad (34)$$

Remark 3 The case of selfadjoint operators is as follows.

Let A, B be selfadjoint operators and either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(\mathcal{H})$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(\mathcal{H})$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ with $mM > 0$.

- (i) If (A, B) satisfies the P -(m, M)-trace property, then we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)\operatorname{tr}(PB^2) \leq \frac{(m+M)^2}{4mM} [\operatorname{tr}(PBA)]^2 \quad (35)$$

or, equivalently

$$0 \leq \operatorname{tr}(PA^2)\operatorname{tr}(PB^2) - [\operatorname{tr}(PBA)]^2 \leq \frac{(m-M)^2}{4mM} [\operatorname{tr}(PBA)]^2. \quad (36)$$

(ii) If the transform $\mathcal{C}_{m, M}(A, B)$ is accretive, then the inequality (35) also holds.

- (iii) If $(A - mB)(MB - A) \geq 0$, then (35) is valid.

We observe that the inequality (35) is the operator trace inequality version of Cassels' inequality from Introduction.

4 Trace inequalities of Grüss type

Let P be a selfadjoint operator with $P \geq 0$. The functional $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2, P}$ defined by

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{2, P} := \operatorname{tr}(PB^*A) = \operatorname{tr}(APB^*) = \operatorname{tr}(B^*AP)$$

is a nonnegative Hermitian form on $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2, P}$ satisfies the properties:

- (h) $\langle A, A \rangle_{2, P} \geq 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$;
 (hh) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2, P}$ is linear in the first variable;
 (hhh) $\langle B, A \rangle_{2, P} = \overline{\langle A, B \rangle_{2, P}}$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$.

Using the properties of the trace we also have the following representations

$$\|A\|_{2, P}^2 := \operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) = \operatorname{tr}(APA^*) = \operatorname{tr}(|A|^2P)$$

and

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{2, P} = \operatorname{tr}(APB^*) = \operatorname{tr}(B^*AP)$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$.

The same definitions can be considered if $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(\mathcal{H})$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

We have the following Grüss type inequality:

Theorem 5 *Let, either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(\mathbb{H})$, $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathbb{H})$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(\mathbb{H})$, $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ with $P|A|^2, P|B|^2, P|C|^2 \neq 0$ and $\lambda, \Gamma, \delta, \Delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma}), \operatorname{Re}(\Delta\bar{\delta}) > 0$. If (A, C) has the trace P - (λ, Γ) -property and (B, C) has the trace P - (δ, Δ) -property, then*

$$\left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A) \operatorname{tr}(PB^*C)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|\gamma - \Gamma| |\delta - \Delta|}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma}) \operatorname{Re}(\Delta\bar{\delta})}}. \quad (37)$$

Proof. We prove in the case that $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(\mathbb{H})$ and $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathbb{H})$.

Making use of the Schwarz inequality for the nonnegative hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2,P}$ we have

$$|\langle A, B \rangle_{2,P}|^2 \leq \langle A, A \rangle_{2,P} \langle B, B \rangle_{2,P}$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathbb{H})$.

Let $C \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathbb{H})$, $C \neq 0$. Define the mapping $[\cdot, \cdot]_{2,P,C} : \mathcal{B}_2(\mathbb{H}) \times \mathcal{B}_2(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$[A, B]_{2,P,C} := \langle A, B \rangle_{2,P} \|C\|_{2,P}^2 - \langle A, C \rangle_{2,P} \langle C, B \rangle_{2,P}.$$

Observe that $[\cdot, \cdot]_{2,P,C}$ is a nonnegative Hermitian form on $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathbb{H})$ and by Schwarz inequality we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle A, B \rangle_{2,P} \|C\|_{2,P}^2 - \langle A, C \rangle_{2,P} \langle C, B \rangle_{2,P} \right|^2 \\ & \leq \left[\|A\|_{2,P}^2 \|C\|_{2,P}^2 - |\langle A, C \rangle_{2,P}|^2 \right] \left[\|B\|_{2,P}^2 \|C\|_{2,P}^2 - |\langle B, C \rangle_{2,P}|^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathbb{H})$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \operatorname{tr}(PB^*A) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - \operatorname{tr}(PC^*A) \operatorname{tr}(PB^*C) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \left[\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - |\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A)|^2 \right] \\ & \quad \times \left[\operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - |\operatorname{tr}(PB^*C)|^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where for the last term we used the equality $|\langle B, C \rangle_{2,P}|^2 = |\langle C, B \rangle_{2,P}|^2$.

Since (A, C) has the trace P - (λ, Γ) -property and (B, C) has the trace P - (δ, Δ) -property, then by (30) we have

$$0 \leq \operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - |\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|\gamma - \Gamma|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})} |\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A)|^2 \quad (39)$$

and

$$0 \leq \operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - |\operatorname{tr}(PB^*C)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|\delta - \Delta|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Delta\bar{\delta})} |\operatorname{tr}(PB^*C)|^2. \quad (40)$$

If we multiply the inequalities (39) and (40) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - |\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A)|^2 \right] \\ & \times \left[\operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - |\operatorname{tr}(PB^*C)|^2 \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{|\gamma - \Gamma|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})} \frac{|\delta - \Delta|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Delta\bar{\delta})} |\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A)|^2 |\operatorname{tr}(PB^*C)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

If we use (38) and (41) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \operatorname{tr}(PB^*A) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - \operatorname{tr}(PC^*A) \operatorname{tr}(PB^*C) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{|\gamma - \Gamma|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})} \frac{|\delta - \Delta|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Delta\bar{\delta})} |\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A)|^2 |\operatorname{tr}(PB^*C)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Since $P, A, B, C \neq 0$ then by (39) and (40) we get $\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}(PB^*C) \neq 0$. Now, if we take the square root in (42) and divide by $|\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A) \operatorname{tr}(PB^*C)|$ we obtain the desired result (37). \square

Corollary 4 *Let, either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(\mathbb{H})$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(\mathbb{H})$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ with $P|A|^2, P|B|^2 \neq 0$ and $\lambda, \Gamma, \delta, \Delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma}), \operatorname{Re}(\Delta\bar{\delta}) > 0$. If A has the trace P - (λ, Γ) -property and B has the trace P - (δ, Δ) -property, then*

$$\left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A) \operatorname{tr}(P)}{\operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PB^*)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|\gamma - \Gamma| |\delta - \Delta|}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma}) \operatorname{Re}(\Delta\bar{\delta})}}. \quad (43)$$

The case of selfadjoint operators is useful for applications.

Remark 4 *Assume that A, B, C are selfadjoint operators. If, either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(\mathbb{H})$, $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathbb{H})$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(\mathbb{H})$, $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ with $PA^2, PB^2, PC^2 \neq 0$ and $m, M, n, N \in \mathbb{R}$ with $mM, nN > 0$. If (A, C) has the trace P - (m, M) -property and (B, C) has the trace P - (n, N) -property, then*

$$\left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PBA) \operatorname{tr}(PC^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(PCA) \operatorname{tr}(PBC)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{(M - m)(N - n)}{\sqrt{mnMN}}. \quad (44)$$

If A has the trace P -(k, K)-property and B has the trace P -(l, L)-property, then

$$\left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PBA) \operatorname{tr}(P)}{\operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PB)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{(K - k)(L - l)}{\sqrt{k l K L}}, \quad (45)$$

where $k, l > 0$.

We observe that, if $0 < k 1_H \leq A \leq K 1_H$ and $0 < l 1_H \leq B \leq L 1_H$, then by (46) we have

$$|\operatorname{tr}(PBA) \operatorname{tr}(P) - \operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PB)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{(K - k)(L - l)}{\sqrt{k l K L}} \operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PB) \quad (46)$$

or, equivalently

$$\left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PBA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PB)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{(K - k)(L - l)}{\sqrt{k l K L}} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PB)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}. \quad (47)$$

5 Applications for convex functions

In the paper [30] we obtained amongst other the following reverse of the Jensen trace inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf(A))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - f\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf'(A)A)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf'(A))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} [f'(M) - f'(m)] \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(P \left| A - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right| \right)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \\ \frac{1}{2} (M - m) \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(P \left| f'(A) - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf'(A))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right| \right)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \end{cases} \quad (48) \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} [f'(M) - f'(m)] \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ \frac{1}{2} (M - m) \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P[f'(A)]^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf'(A))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \end{cases} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} [f'(M) - f'(m)] (M - m). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the space of all square matrices of order n with complex elements and $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a Hermitian matrix such that $\operatorname{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$

for some scalars m, M with $m < M$. If f is a continuously differentiable convex function on $[m, M]$, then by taking $P = I_n$ in (48) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f(A))}{n} - f\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{n}\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(A)A)}{n} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{n} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(A))}{n} \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} [f'(M) - f'(m)] \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\left|A - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{n} I_H\right|\right)}{n} \\ \frac{1}{2} (M - m) \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\left|f'(A) - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(A))}{n} I_H\right|\right)}{n} \end{cases} \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} [f'(M) - f'(m)] \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A^2)}{n} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{n}\right)^2\right]^{1/2} \\ \frac{1}{2} (M - m) \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}([f'(A)]^2)}{n} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(A))}{n}\right)^2\right]^{1/2} \end{cases} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} [f'(M) - f'(m)] (M - m).
 \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

The following reverse inequality also holds:

Proposition 1 *Let A be a selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space H and assume that $\operatorname{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ for some scalars m, M with $0 < m < M$. If f is a continuously differentiable convex function on $[m, M]$ with $f'(m) > 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \setminus \{0\}$, $P \geq 0$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf(A))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - f\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf'(A)A)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf'(A))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{(M - m) [f'(M) - f'(m)] \operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(Pf'(A))}{\sqrt{mMf'(m)f'(M)} \operatorname{tr}(P) \operatorname{tr}(P)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The proof follows by the inequality (47) and the details are omitted.

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a Hermitian matrix such that $\operatorname{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ for some scalars m, M with $m < M$. If f is a continuously differentiable convex function

on $[m, M]$ with $f'(m) > 0$ then by taking $P = I_n$ in (50) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f(A))}{n} - f\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{n}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(A)A)}{n} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{n} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(A))}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{(M-m)[f'(M) - f'(m)] \operatorname{tr}(A) \operatorname{tr}(f'(A))}{\sqrt{mMf'(m)f'(M)} \cdot n \cdot n}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

We consider the power function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $f(t) = t^r$ with $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. For $r \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, \infty)$, f is convex while for $r \in (0, 1)$, f is concave.

Let $r \geq 1$ and A be a selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space H and assume that $\operatorname{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ for some scalars m, M with $0 < m < M$. If $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^r)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}\right)^r \\ &\leq r \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^r)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^{r-1})}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} r \frac{(M-m)(M^{r-1} - m^{r-1}) \operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PA^{r-1})}{m^{r/2} M^{r/2} \operatorname{tr}(P) \operatorname{tr}(P)}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

If we take the first and last term in (52) we get the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P) \operatorname{tr}(PA^r)}{\operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PA^{r-1})} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P) [\operatorname{tr}(PA)]^{r-1}}{\operatorname{tr}(PA^{r-1}) [\operatorname{tr}(P)]^{r-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} r \frac{(M-m)(M^{r-1} - m^{r-1})}{m^{r/2} M^{r/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

References

- [1] G. A. Anastassiou, Grüss type inequalities for the Stieltjes integral, *Non-linear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **12** (2007), no. 4, 583–593.
- [2] G. A. Anastassiou, Chebyshev-Grüss type and comparison of integral means inequalities for the Stieltjes integral, *Panamer. Math. J.*, **17** (2007), no. 3, 91–109.
- [3] G. A. Anastassiou, Chebyshev-Grüss type inequalities via Euler type and Fink identities, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **45** (2007), no. 9–10, 1189–1200

- [4] T. Ando, Matrix Young inequalities, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, **75** (1995), 33–38.
- [5] R. Bellman, Some inequalities for positive definite matrices, in: E.F. Beckenbach (Ed.), *General Inequalities 2*, Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on General Inequalities, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1980, pp. 89–90.
- [6] E. V. Belmega, M. Jungers, S. Lasaulce, A generalization of a trace inequality for positive definite matrices, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **7** (2010), no. 2, Art. 26, 5 pp.
- [7] N. G. de Bruijn, Problem 12, *Wisk. Opgaven*, **21** (1960), 12–14.
- [8] P. Cerone, S. S. Dragomir, A refinement of the Grüss inequality and applications. *Tamkang J. Math.*, **38** (2007), no. 1, 37–49.
- [9] P. Cerone, S. S. Dragomir, New bounds for the Čebyšev functional. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **18** (2005), no. 6, 603–611.
- [10] P. Cerone, S. S. Dragomir, Chebychev functional bounds using Ostrowski seminorms, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, **28** (2004), no. 2, 219–228.
- [11] D. Chang, A matrix trace inequality for products of Hermitian matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **237** (1999) 721–725.
- [12] L. Chen, C. Wong, Inequalities for singular values and traces, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **171** (1992), 109–120.
- [13] I. D. Coop, On matrix trace inequalities and related topics for products of Hermitian matrix, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **188** (1994), 999–1001.
- [14] S. S. Dragomir, Some Grüss type inequalities in inner product spaces, *J. Inequal. Pure & Appl. Math.*, **4**(2003), No. 2, Article 42, [ON LINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/v4n2/032.03.html>].
- [15] S. S. Dragomir, *A Survey on Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz Type Discrete Inequalities*, RGMIA Monographs, Victoria University, 2002. (ON LINE: <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/>).
- [16] S. S. Dragomir, A counterpart of Schwarz’s inequality in inner product spaces, *East Asian Math. J.*, **20** (1) (2004), 1–10. Zbl 1094.26017. Preprint *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **6**(2003), Supplement, Article 18. [ON LINE: [http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v6\(E\).html](http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v6(E).html)].

- [17] S. S. Dragomir, Grüss inequality in inner product spaces, *The Australian Math Soc. Gazette*, **26** (1999), No. 2, 66–70.
- [18] S. S. Dragomir, A generalization of Grüss' inequality in inner product spaces and applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **237** (1999), 74–82.
- [19] S. S. Dragomir, Some discrete inequalities of Grüss type and applications in guessing theory, *Honam Math. J.*, **21** (1) (1999), 145–156.
- [20] S. S. Dragomir, Some integral inequalities of Grüss type, *Indian J. of Pure and Appl. Math.*, **31** (4) (2000), 397–415.
- [21] S. S. Dragomir, *Advances in Inequalities of the Schwarz, Grüss and Bessel Type in Inner Product Spaces*, Nova Science Publishers Inc., New York, 2005, x+249 pp.
- [22] S. S. Dragomir, G. L. Booth, On a Grüss-Lupaş type inequality and its applications for the estimation of p-moments of guessing mappings, *Mathematical Communications*, **5** (2000), 117–126.
- [23] S. S. Dragomir, A Grüss type integral inequality for mappings of r-Hölder's type and applications for trapezoid formula, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **31** (1) (2000), 43–47.
- [24] S. S. Dragomir, I. Fedotov, An inequality of Grüss' type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral and applications for special means, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **29** (4) (1998), 286–292.
- [25] S. S. Dragomir, Čebyšev's type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **58** (2010), no. 7-8, 805–814. Preprint *RGMI Res. Rep. Coll.*, **11** (2008), Supp. Art. 9. [Online [http://rgmia.org/v11\(E\).php](http://rgmia.org/v11(E).php)]
- [26] S. S. Dragomir, Grüss' type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.* No. **28** (2011), 207–224. Preprint *RGMI Res. Rep. Coll.* **11** (2008), Supp. Art. 11. [Online [http://rgmia.org/v11\(E\).php](http://rgmia.org/v11(E).php)]
- [27] S. S. Dragomir, New inequalities of the Kantorovich type for bounded linear operators in Hilbert spaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **428** (2008), no. 11–12, 2750–2760.

- [28] S. S. Dragomir, Some Čebyšev's type trace inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* **17** (2014), Art. 111. [Online <http://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a111.pdf>].
- [29] S. S. Dragomir, Some Grüss type inequalities for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* **17** (2014), Art. 114. [Online <http://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a114.pdf>].
- [30] S. S. Dragomir, Reverse Jensen's type trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* **17** (2014), Art. 118. [Online <http://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a118.pdf>].
- [31] A. M. Fink, A treatise on Grüss' inequality, *Analytic and Geometric Inequalities*, 93–113, Math. Appl. 478, Kluwer Academic Publ., 1999.
- [32] S. Furuichi, M. Lin, Refinements of the trace inequality of Belmega, Lasaulce and Debbah. *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **7** (2010), no. 2, Art. 23, 4 pp.
- [33] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić, Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [34] W. Greub and W. Rheinboldt, On a generalisation of an inequality of L.V. Kantorovich, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **10** (1959), 407–415.
- [35] G. Grüss, Über das Maximum des absoluten Betrages von $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx$, *Math. Z.*, **39** (1935), 215–226.
- [36] H. D. Lee, On some matrix inequalities, *Korean J. Math.*, **16** (2008), No. 4, 565–571.
- [37] L. Liu, A trace class operator inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **328** (2007), 1484–1486.
- [38] Z. Liu, Refinement of an inequality of Grüss type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral, *Soochow J. Math.*, **30** (4) (2004), 483–489.
- [39] S. Manjegani, Hölder and Young inequalities for the trace of operators, *Positivity*, **11** (2007), 239–250.

- [40] A. Matković, J. Pečarić, I. Perić, A variant of Jensen's inequality of Mercer's type for operators with applications, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **418** (2006), no. 2–3, 551–564.
- [41] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, A.M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [42] H. Neudecker, A matrix trace inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **166** (1992), 302–303.
- [43] J. Pečarić, J. Mičić, Y. Seo, Inequalities between operator means based on the Mond-Pečarić method. *Houston J. Math.*, **30** (2004), no. 1, 191–207
- [44] G. Pólya, G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis*, Vol. 1, Berlin 1925, pp. 57 and 213–214.
- [45] M. B. Ruskai, Inequalities for traces on von Neumann algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **26** (1972), 280–289.
- [46] K. Shebrawi, H. Albadawi, Operator norm inequalities of Minkowski type, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **9** (1) (2008), 1–10, article 26.
- [47] K. Shebrawi, H. Albadawi, Trace inequalities for matrices, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **87** (2013), 139–148.
- [48] O. Shisha and B. Mond, Bounds on differences of means, *Inequalities I*, New York-London, 1967, 293–308.
- [49] B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.
- [50] Z. Ulukök and R. Türkmen, On some matrix trace inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2010**, Art. ID 201486, 8 pp.
- [51] X. Yang, A matrix trace inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **250** (2000) 372–374.
- [52] X. M. Yang, X. Q. Yang, K. L. Teo, A matrix trace inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **263** (2001), 327–331.
- [53] Y. Yang, A matrix trace inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **133** (1988) 573–574.

Received: October 17, 2016