

# Inequalities for the numerical radius in unital normed algebras

This is the Published version of the following publication

Dragomir, Sever S (2010) Inequalities for the numerical radius in unital normed algebras. Demonstratio Mathematica, 43 (1). pp. 129-137. ISSN 2391-4661

The publisher's official version can be found at https://www.degruyter.com/view/j/dema.2010.43.issue-1/dema-2013-0217/dema-2013-0217.xml?format=INT

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository https://vuir.vu.edu.au/37972/

## S. S. Dragomir

# INEQUALITIES FOR THE NUMERICAL RADIUS IN UNITAL NORMED ALGEBRAS

**Abstract.** In this paper, some inequalities between the numerical radius of an element from a unital normed algebra and certain semi-inner products involving that element and the unity are given.

#### 1. Introduction

Let A be a unital normed algebra over the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $a \in A$ . Recall that the numerical radius of a is given by (see [2, p. 15])

$$(1.1) v(a) = \sup\{|f(a)|, f \in A', ||f|| \le 1 \text{ and } f(1) = 1\},\$$

where A' denotes the dual space of A, i.e., the Banach space of all continuous linear functionals on A.

It is known that  $v(\cdot)$  is a norm on A that is equivalent to the given norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . More precisely, the following double inequality holds:

(1.2) 
$$\frac{1}{e} ||a|| \le v(a) \le ||a||$$

for any  $a \in A$ , where  $e = \exp(1)$ .

Following [2], we notice that this crucial result appears slightly hidden in Bohnenblust and Karlin [1, Theorem 1] together with the inequality  $||x|| \le e\Psi(x)$ , where  $\Psi(x) = \sup\{|\lambda|^{-1}\log||e^{\lambda x}||\}$  over  $\lambda$  complex,  $\lambda \ne 0$ , which occurs on page 219. A simpler proof was given by Lumer [5], though with the constant 1/4 in place of 1/e. For a simple proof of (1.2) that borrows ideas from Lumer and from Glickfeld [6], see [2, p. 34].

A generalisation of (1.2) for powers has been obtained by M. J. Crabb [3] who proved that

(1.3) 
$$||a^n|| \le n! (\frac{e}{n})^n [v(a)]^n \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

for any  $a \in A$ .

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 46H05, 46K05, 47A10.

Key words and phrases: unital normed algebras, numerical radius, semi-inner products.

In this paper, some inequalities between the numerical radius of an element and the superior semi-inner product of that element and the unity in the normed algebra A are given via the celebrated representation result of Lumer from [5].

#### 2. Some subsets in A

Let  $D(1) := \{ f \in A' | ||f|| \le 1 \text{ and } f(1) = 1 \}$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and r > 0, we define the subset of A by

$$\bar{\Delta}(\lambda, r) := \{ a \in A \mid |f(a) - \lambda| \le r \text{ for each } f \in D(1) \}.$$

The following result holds.

**PROPOSITION 1.** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and r > 0. Then  $\bar{\Delta}(\lambda, r)$  is a closed convex subset of A and

$$(2.1) \bar{B}(\lambda, r) \subseteq \bar{\Delta}(\lambda, r),$$

where  $\bar{B}(\lambda, r) := \{a \in A | ||a - \lambda|| \le r\}.$ 

Now, for  $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ , define the set

$$\bar{U}(\gamma, \Gamma) := \{ a \in A \mid \text{Re}[(\Gamma - f(a))(\overline{f(a)} - \overline{\gamma})] \ge 0 \text{ for each } f \in D(1) \}.$$

The following representation result may be stated.

**PROPOSITION 2.** For any  $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\gamma \neq \Gamma$ , we have:

(2.2) 
$$\bar{U}(\gamma, \Gamma) = \bar{\Delta}\left(\frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2}, \frac{1}{2}|\Gamma - \gamma|\right).$$

**Proof.** We observe that for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  we have the equivalence

$$\left|z - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2}\right| \le \frac{1}{2}|\Gamma - \gamma|$$

if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}[(\Gamma - z)(\bar{z} - \bar{\gamma})] \ge 0.$$

This follows by the equality

$$\frac{1}{4}|\Gamma - \gamma|^2 - \left|z - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2}\right|^2 = \operatorname{Re}[(\Gamma - z)(\bar{z} - \bar{\gamma})]$$

that holds for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The equality (2.2) is thus a simple conclusion of this fact.

Making use of some obvious properties in  $\mathbb{C}$  and for continuous linear functionals, we can state the following corollary as well.

**COROLLARY 1.** For any  $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

(2.3) 
$$\bar{U}(\gamma, \Gamma) = \{a \in A \mid \operatorname{Re}[f(\Gamma - a)\overline{f(a - \gamma)}] \ge 0 \text{ for each } f \in D(1)\}$$
  

$$= \{a \in A \mid (\operatorname{Re}\Gamma - \operatorname{Re}f(a))(\operatorname{Re}f(a) - \operatorname{Re}\gamma) + (\operatorname{Im}\Gamma - \operatorname{Im}f(a))(\operatorname{Im}f(a) - \operatorname{Im}\gamma) \ge 0 \text{ for each } f \in D(1)\}.$$

Now, if we assume that  $\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma) \ge \operatorname{Re}(\gamma)$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\Gamma) \ge \operatorname{Im}(\gamma)$ , then we can define the following subset of A:

(2.4) 
$$\bar{S}(\gamma, \Gamma) := \{ a \in A \mid \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma) \ge \operatorname{Re} f(a) \ge \operatorname{Re}(\gamma) \text{ and } \operatorname{Im}(\Gamma) \ge \operatorname{Im} f(a) \ge \operatorname{Im}(\gamma) \text{ for each } f \in D(1) \}.$$

One can easily observe that  $\bar{S}(\gamma, \Gamma)$  is closed, convex and

(2.5) 
$$\bar{S}(\gamma, \Gamma) \subseteq \bar{U}(\gamma, \Gamma).$$

### 3. Semi-inner products and Lumer's theorem

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed linear space over the real of complex number field  $\mathbb{K}$ . The mapping  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2$  is obviously convex and then there exist the following limits:

$$\langle x, y \rangle_i = \lim_{t \to 0^-} \frac{\|y + tx\|^2 - \|y\|^2}{2t},$$
$$\langle x, y \rangle_s = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\|y + tx\|^2 - \|y\|^2}{2t}$$

for every two elements  $x, y \in X$ . The mapping  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$  ( $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i$ ) will be called the *superior semi-inner product* (the interior semi-inner product) associated to the norm  $\| \cdot \|$ .

We list some properties of these semi-inner products that can be easily derived from the definition (see for instance [4]). If  $p, q \in \{s, i\}$  and  $p \neq q$ , then:

- (i)  $\langle x, x \rangle_p = ||x||^2$ ;  $\langle ix, x \rangle_p = \langle x, ix \rangle_p = 0$ ,  $x \in X$ ;
- (ii)  $\langle \lambda x, y \rangle_p = \lambda \langle x, y \rangle_p$ ;  $\langle x, \lambda y \rangle_p = \lambda \langle x, y \rangle_p$  for  $\lambda \ge 0, x, y \in X$ ;
- (iii)  $\langle \lambda x, y \rangle_p = \lambda \langle x, y \rangle_q$ ;  $\langle x, \lambda y \rangle_p = \lambda \langle x, y \rangle_q$  for  $\lambda < 0, x, y \in X$ ;
- (iv)  $\langle ix, y \rangle_p = -\langle x, iy \rangle_p$ ;  $\langle \alpha x, \beta y \rangle = \alpha \beta \langle x, y \rangle$  if  $\alpha \beta \geq 0$ ,  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (v)  $\langle -x, y \rangle_p = \langle x, -y \rangle_p = -\langle x, y \rangle_q, \ x, y \in X;$
- (vi)  $|\langle x, y \rangle_p| \le ||x|| ||y||, x, y \in X;$
- (vii)  $\langle x_1 + x_2, y \rangle_{s(i)} \leq (\geq) \langle x_1, y \rangle_{s(i)} + \langle x_2, y \rangle_{s(i)}$  for  $x_1, x_2, y \in X$ ;
- (ix)  $\langle \alpha x + y, x \rangle_p = \alpha ||x||^2 + \langle y, x \rangle_p, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \ x, y \in X;$
- (x)  $|\langle y+z,x\rangle_p \langle z,x\rangle_p| \le ||y|| ||x||, x,y,z \in X;$
- (xi) the mapping  $\langle \cdot, x \rangle_p$  is continuous on  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  for each  $x \in X$ .

The following result essentially due to Lumer [5] (see [2, p. 17]) can be stated.

**THEOREM 1.** Let A be a unital normed algebra over  $\mathbb{K}$  ( $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}$ ). For each  $a \in A$ ,

$$(3.1) \max\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda | \lambda \in V(a)|\} = \inf_{\alpha > 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} [\|1 + \alpha a\| - 1] = \lim_{\alpha \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\alpha} [\|1 + \alpha a\| - 1],$$
 where  $V(a)$  is the numerical range of a (see for instance [2, p. 15]).

**Remark 1.** In terms of semi-inner products, the above identity can be stated as:

(3.2) 
$$\max\{\operatorname{Re} f(a)|f\in D(1)\} = \langle a,1\rangle_s.$$

The following result that provides more information may be stated.

**THEOREM 2.** For any  $a \in A$ , we have:

$$(3.3) \langle a, 1 \rangle_{v,s} = \langle a, 1 \rangle_{s},$$

where

$$\langle a, b \rangle_{v,s} := \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{v^2(b+ta) - v^2(b)}{2t}$$

is the superior semi-inner product associated with the numerical radius.

**Proof.** Since  $v(a) \leq ||a||$ , we have:

$$\langle a, 1 \rangle_{v,s} = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{v^2(1+ta) - v^2(1)}{2t} = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{v^2(1+ta) - 1}{2t}$$
  
 $\leq \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\|1+ta\|^2 - 1}{2t} = \langle a, 1 \rangle_s.$ 

Now, let  $f \in D(1)$ . Then, for each  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{\alpha} [f(1 + \alpha a) - f(1)] = \frac{1}{\alpha} [f(1 + \alpha a) - 1],$$

giving

$$\operatorname{Re} f(a) = \frac{1}{\alpha} [\operatorname{Re} f(1 + \alpha a) - f(1)] \le \frac{1}{\alpha} [|f(1 + \alpha a)| - 1]$$
$$\le \frac{1}{\alpha} [v(1 + \alpha a) - 1].$$

Taking the infimum over  $\alpha > 0$ , we deduce that

(3.4) 
$$\operatorname{Re} f(a) \leq \inf_{\alpha > 0} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} [v(1 + \alpha a) - 1] \right] = \lim_{\alpha \to 0^+} \left[ \frac{v^2(1 + \alpha a) - 1}{2\alpha} \right]$$
$$= \lim_{\alpha \to 0^+} \frac{v(1 + \alpha a) - 1}{\alpha} = \langle a, 1 \rangle_{v,s}.$$

If we now take the supremum over  $f \in D(1)$  in (3.4), we obtain:

$$\sup\{\operatorname{Re} f(a)|f\in D(1)\} \le \langle a,1\rangle_{v,s}$$

which, by Lumer's identity, implies that  $\langle a, 1 \rangle_s \leq \langle a, 1 \rangle_{v,s}$ .

COROLLARY 2. The following inequality holds

$$(3.5) |\langle a, 1 \rangle_s| \le v(a) (\le ||a||).$$

**Proof.** Schwarz's inequality for the norm v(.) gives that

$$|\langle a, 1 \rangle_{v,s}| \le v(a)v(1) = v(a),$$

and by (3.3), the inequality (3.5) is proved.

# 4. Reverse inequalities for the numerical radius

Utilising the inequality (3.5) we observe that for any complex number  $\beta$  located in the closed disc centered in 0 and with radius 1 we have  $|\langle \beta a, 1 \rangle_s|$  as a lower bound for the numerical radius v(a). Therefore, it is a natural question to ask how far these quantities are from each other under various assumptions for the element a in the unital normed algebra A and the scalar  $\beta$ . A number of results answering this question are incorporated in the following theorems.

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and r > 0. If  $a \in \bar{\Delta}(\lambda, r)$ , then

$$(4.1) v(a) \le \left\langle \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|\lambda|} a, 1 \right\rangle_{s} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{r^{2}}{|\lambda|}.$$

**Proof.** Since  $a \in \bar{\Delta}(\lambda, 1)$ , we have  $|f(a) - \lambda|^2 \le r^2$ , giving that

(4.2) 
$$|f(a)|^2 + |\lambda|^2 \le 2 \operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)] + r^2$$

for each  $f \in D(1)$ .

Taking the supremum over  $f \in D(1)$  in (4.2) and utilising the representation (3.2), we deduce that

$$(4.3) v^2(a) + |\lambda|^2 \le 2\langle \bar{\lambda}a, 1\rangle_s + r^2$$

which is an inequality of interest in and of itself.

On the other hand, we have the elementary inequality

$$(4.4) 2v(a)|\lambda| \le v^2(a) + |\lambda|^2,$$

which, together with (4.3) implies the desired result (4.1).

**Remark 2.** Notice that, by the inclusion (2.1), a sufficient condition for (4.1) to hold is that  $a \in \bar{B}(\lambda, r)$ .

COROLLARY 3. Let  $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Gamma \neq \pm \gamma$ . If  $a \in \overline{U}(\gamma, \Gamma)$ , then

$$(4.5) v(a) \le \left\langle \frac{\bar{\Gamma} + \bar{\gamma}}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} a, 1 \right\rangle_{a} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^{2}}{|\Gamma + \gamma|}.$$

**Remark 3.** If  $M > m \ge 0$  and  $a \in \bar{U}(m, M)$ , then

$$(4.6) (0 \le) v(a) - \langle a, 1 \rangle_s \le \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{(M-m)^2}{m+M}.$$

Observe that, due to the inclusion (2.5), a sufficient condition for (4.6) to hold is that  $M \ge \text{Re } f(a), \text{Im } f(a) \ge m$  for any  $f \in D(1)$ .

The following result may be stated as well.

**THEOREM 4.** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and r > 0 with  $|\lambda| > r$ . If  $a \in \bar{\Delta}(\lambda, r)$ , then

$$(4.7) v(a) \le \left\langle \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2}} a, 1 \right\rangle_s$$

and, equivalently,

$$(4.8) v^2(a) \le \left\langle \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|\lambda|} a, 1 \right\rangle_0^2 + \frac{r^2}{|\lambda|^2} \cdot v^2(a).$$

**Proof.** Since  $|\lambda| > r$ , we have  $\sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2} > 0$ , hence the inequality (4.3) divided by this quantity becomes

(4.9) 
$$\frac{v^2(a)}{\sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2}} + \sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2} \le \frac{2}{\sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2}} \langle \bar{\lambda}a, 1 \rangle_s.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$2v(a) \le \frac{v^2(a)}{\sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2}} + \sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2},$$

which, together with (4.9), gives

$$(4.10) v(a) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2}} \langle \bar{\lambda}a, 1 \rangle_s.$$

Taking the square in (4.10), we have

$$v^2(a)(|\lambda|^2 - r^2) \le \langle \bar{\lambda}a, 1 \rangle_s^2$$

which is clearly equivalent to (4.8).

COROLLARY 4. Let  $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Re}(\Gamma \bar{\gamma}) > 0$ . If  $a \in \bar{U}(\gamma, \Gamma)$ , then,

$$(4.11) v(a) \le \left\langle \frac{\bar{\Gamma} + \bar{\gamma}}{2\sqrt{\text{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})}} a, 1 \right\rangle_s.$$

**Remark 4.** If  $M \geq m > 0$  and  $a \in \bar{U}(m, M)$ , then

$$(4.12) v(a) \le \frac{M+m}{2\sqrt{mM}} \langle a, 1 \rangle_s,$$

or, equivalently,

$$(0 \le) \ v(a) - \langle a, 1 \rangle_s \le \frac{(\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2}{2\sqrt{mM}} \langle a, 1 \rangle_s \quad \left( \le \frac{(\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2}{2\sqrt{mM}} ||a|| \right).$$

The following result may be stated as well.

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and r > 0 with  $|\lambda| > r$ . If  $a \in \bar{\Delta}(\lambda, r)$ , then

$$(4.13) v^2(a) \le \left\langle \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|\lambda|} a, 1 \right\rangle_s^2 + 2(|\lambda| - \sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2}) \left\langle \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|\lambda|} a, 1 \right\rangle_s.$$

**Proof.** Since (by (4.2))  $\text{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)] > 0$ , dividing by it in (4.2) gives:

$$\frac{|f(a)|^2}{\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)]} + \frac{|\lambda|^2}{\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)]} \le 2 + \frac{r^2}{\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)]},$$

which is clearly equivalent to:

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{|f(a)|^2}{\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)]} - \frac{\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)]}{|\lambda|^2}$$

$$\leq 2 + \frac{r^2}{\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)]} - \frac{\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)]}{|\lambda|^2} - \frac{|\lambda|^2}{\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)]} =: I.$$

Further we have

$$(4.15) I = 2 - \frac{\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)]}{|\lambda|^2} - \frac{(|\lambda|^2 - r^2)}{\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)]}$$

$$= 2 - 2\frac{\sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2}}{|\lambda|} - \left[\frac{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)]}}{|\lambda|} - \frac{\sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)]}}\right]^2$$

$$\leq 2\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{r}{|\lambda|}\right)^2}\right).$$

Hence by (4.14) and (4.15) we have

$$(4.16) |f(a)|^2 \le \frac{\left(\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)]\right)^2}{|\lambda|^2} + 2\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{r}{|\lambda|}\right)^2}\right) \operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)].$$

Taking the supremum in  $f \in D(1)$  and utilising Lumer's result, we deduce the desired inequality (4.13).  $\blacksquare$ 

Corollary 5. Let  $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Re}(\Gamma \bar{\gamma}) > 0$ . If  $a \in \bar{U}(\gamma, \Gamma)$ , then

$$v^{2}(a) \leq \left\langle \frac{\bar{\Gamma} + \bar{\gamma}}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} a, 1 \right\rangle_{s}^{2} + 2 \left( \left| \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} \right| - \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \bar{\gamma})} \right) \left\langle \frac{\bar{\Gamma} + \bar{\gamma}}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} a, 1 \right\rangle_{s}.$$

**Remark 5.** If  $M > m \ge 0$  and  $a \in \bar{U}(m, M)$ , then

$$(0 \le) v^2(a) - \langle a, 1 \rangle_s^2 \le (\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2 \langle a, 1 \rangle_s (\le (\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2 ||a||).$$

Finally, the following result can be stated as well.

**THEOREM 6.** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and r > 0 with  $|\lambda| > r$ . If  $a \in \bar{\Delta}(\lambda, r)$ , then

$$(4.17) \quad v(a) \le (|\lambda| + \sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2}) \langle \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{r^2} a, 1 \rangle_s + \frac{|\lambda|(|\lambda| + \sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2})(|\lambda| - 2\sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2})}{2r^2}.$$

**Proof.** From the proof of Theorem 3 above, we have

$$|f(a)|^2 + |\lambda|^2 \le 2\operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)] + r^2$$

which is equivalent with

$$|f(a)|^{2} + (|\lambda| + \sqrt{|\lambda|^{2} - r^{2}})^{2}$$

$$\leq 2 \operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)] + r^{2} - |\lambda|^{2} + (|\lambda| - \sqrt{|\lambda|^{2} - r^{2}})^{2}$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{Re}[f(\bar{\lambda}a)] + |\lambda|^{2} - 2|\lambda|\sqrt{|\lambda|^{2} - r^{2}}.$$

Taking the supremum in this formula over  $f \in D(1)$  and utilising Lumer's representation theorem, we get:

(4.18) 
$$v^{2}(a) + (|\lambda| - \sqrt{|\lambda|^{2} - r^{2}})^{2} \le 2\langle \bar{\lambda}a, 1 \rangle_{s} + |\lambda|(|\lambda| - 2\sqrt{|\lambda|^{2} - r^{2}}).$$
  
Since  $r \ne 0$ , then  $|\lambda| - \sqrt{|\lambda|^{2} - r^{2}} > 0$ , giving
$$(4.19) \qquad 2(|\lambda| - \sqrt{|\lambda|^{2} - r^{2}})v(a) \le v^{2}(a) + (|\lambda| - \sqrt{|\lambda|^{2} - r^{2}})^{2}.$$

Now, utilising (4.18) and (4.19), we deduce

$$v(a) \le \frac{1}{|\lambda| - \sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2}} \langle \bar{\lambda}a, 1 \rangle_s + \frac{|\lambda|(|\lambda| - 2\sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2})}{2(|\lambda| - \sqrt{|\lambda|^2 - r^2})},$$

which is clearly equivalent with the desired result (4.17).

**Remark 6.** If  $M > m \ge 0$  and  $a \in \bar{U}(m, M)$ , then

$$v(a) \le \frac{M+m}{(\sqrt{M}-\sqrt{m})^2} \left[ \langle a, 1 \rangle_s + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{m+M}{2} - 2\sqrt{mM} \right) \right].$$

In particular, if  $a \in \bar{U}(0,\delta)$  with  $\delta > 0$ , then we have the following reverse inequality as well

$$(0 \le) v(a) - \langle a, 1 \rangle_s \le \frac{1}{4} \delta.$$

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for a number of valuable suggestions that have been incorporated in the final version of the paper.

#### References

[1] H. F. Bohnenblust, S. Karlin, Geometrical properties of the unit sphere of Banach algebras, Ann. of Math. 62 (1955), 217–229.

- [2] F. F. Bonsall, J. Duncan, Numerical Ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and of Elements of Normed Algebras, Cambridge University Press, 1971.
- [3] M. J. Crabb, Numerical range estimates for the norm of iterated operators, Glasgow Math. J. 11 (1970), 85–87.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Semi-inner Products and Applications. Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Hauppauge, NY, 2004. x+222 pp.
- [5] G. Lumer, Semi-inner product spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1961), 29–43.
- [6] B. W. Glickfeld, On an inequality of Banach algebra geometry and semi-inner product space theory, Illinois J. Math. 14 (1970), 76-81.

MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE VICTORIA UNIVERSITY
PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE
VICTORIA, 8001, AUSTRALIA
E-mail: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au
http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/dragomir/

Received July 28, 2008; revised version November 4, 2009.