

**CENTRE of
POLICY
STUDIES and
the IMPACT
PROJECT**

Menzies Building
Monash University Wellington Road
CLAYTON Vic 3168 AUSTRALIA

Telephone:
(03) 9905 2398, (03) 9905 5112

from overseas:

61 3 9905 2398 or
61 3 9905 5112

Fax numbers:
(03) 9905 2426

from overseas:

61 3 9905 2426

e-mail

impact@vaxc.cc.monash.edu.au

*Sato's Insight on the Relationship
between the Frisch 'Parameter'
and the Average Elasticity
of Substitution*

by

Alan A. POWELL

Monash University

General Paper No. G-99 January 1992

ISSN 1031 9034

ISBN 0 642 10185 X

The Centre of Policy Studies (COPS) is a research centre at Monash University devoted to quantitative analysis of issues relevant to Australian economic policy. The Impact Project is a cooperative venture between the Australian Federal Government and Monash University, La Trobe University, and the Australian National University. During the three years January 1993 to December 1995 COPS and Impact will operate as a single unit at Monash University with the task of constructing a new economy-wide policy model to be known as *MONASH*. This initiative is supported by the Industry Commission on behalf of the Commonwealth Government, and by several other sponsors. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of any sponsor or government.

Sato's Insight on the Relationship between the Frisch 'Parameter' and the Average Elasticity of Substitution

Alan A. Powell^{1, 2}

Monash University

This short note demonstrates that Sato's 1972 insight concerning the equivalence between Frisch's 'money flexibility' parameter and the average elasticity of substitution among commodities needs to be modified if it is to be applied to non-homothetic utility functions. Fortunately the modification is easily implemented.

For those disliking the cardinalist interpretation of additive preferences, Sato's 1972 article offered an ordinalist alternative. Whereas Frisch (1959) had focussed on ω , the elasticity with respect to total expenditure of the marginal utility of an optimally spent dollar, Sato suggested that $1/\omega$ (sometimes called the *money flexibility* 'parameter') be reinterpreted simply as σ , the average elasticity of substitution. Directly additive utility functions would then provide a parametrically parsimonious demand specification quite free from the cardinalist taint.

This note has three purposes: (i) to point out that Sato's relationship

$$\sigma = -1/\omega \tag{1}$$

is not (quite) correct for non-homothetic utility functions; (ii) to define the *average* substitution elasticity precisely; and (iii) to state a relationship between σ and ω which holds for any directly additive utility function.

Point (i) is easily demonstrated by appeal to an example. Consider the case of the two-commodity linear expenditure system (LES):

$$p_i x_i = p_i \gamma_i + \beta_i (y - p_1 \gamma_1 - p_2 \gamma_2) \quad (i=1,2), \tag{2}$$

evaluated at the following values of prices $\{p_1, p_2\}$, nominal expenditure y , and parameters:

Exogenous variable settings:

$$p_1 = p_2 = 1; \quad y = 6 .$$

Parameter settings:

$$\beta_1 = 0.6, \beta_2 = 0.4; \gamma_1 = 0.2, \gamma_2 = 2.8.$$

¹ The author is grateful to Maureen T. Rimmer for comments.

² The author's current address is : IMPACT Research Centre, 11th Floor, Menzies Bldg, Monash University, CLAYTON, VIC. 3168, Australia.

Then, using a well known expression for ω we can compute $-1/\omega$ as

$$-1/\omega = \frac{y - P_1 \gamma_1 - P_2 \gamma_2}{y} = 0.5 , \quad (3)$$

whereas the elasticity of substitution is

$$\sigma = \frac{\beta_1(x_2 - \gamma_2)}{x_2} + \frac{\beta_2(x_1 - \gamma_1)}{x_1} = 0.54. \quad (4)$$

Since there is only one pair of commodities, no ambiguity about the definition of the *average* substitution elasticity is possible, and we find that (1) is contradicted. Choosing the homothetic special case of the LES (by setting $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$ in the above example), we find that $-1/\omega$ does indeed equal σ (both being unity in the example).

The average substitution elasticity in the n -commodity case is now developed from the marginal-budget-share-weighted average of partial substitution elasticities σ_{ij} over all pairs involving commodity i .³ The latter average is

$$S^i = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{W_j E_j}{(1 - W_i E_i)} \sigma_{ij} , \quad (i, j=1, \dots, n) \quad (5)$$

where $W_i \equiv p_i x_i / y$ is the (average) budget share of i , and E_i is the elasticity of demand for i with respect to total expenditure. The average substitution elasticity σ is then defined to be the marginal-budget-share-weighted sum of the S^i :

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i E_i S^i . \quad (6)$$

From Houthakker (1960) we know that the Allen-Uzawa partial substitution elasticities of an additive utility function are:

$$\sigma_{ij} = \phi E_i E_j , \quad (i \neq j; i, j=1, \dots, n) \quad (7)$$

where $\phi \equiv -1/\omega$. Substitution of (5) and (7) into (6) establishes

$$\sigma = \mu \phi = -\mu/\omega \quad (8.1)$$

where⁴

3 This development broadly follows the appendix of Agrawal and Powell (1992); σ is defined there, however, using average (rather than marginal) budget shares as weights. Notice that the weights in (5) are normalized to sum to unity over $j \neq i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

4 If σ is defined using average budget shares as weights (as in Agrawal and Powell (1992)), then μ in (8.2) must be replaced by χ , where χ is obtained from μ in (8.2) by reducing the power of each E term by unity.

$$\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{W_i E_i^2}{(1 - W_i E_i)} \sum_{j \neq i} W_j E_j^2 \right\} . \quad (8.2)$$

The variable μ is often close to unity in practice. It is exactly unity whenever the utility function is homothetic (that is, when $E_i = 1$ for all i). Returning to our initial example in which $E_1 = 1.8$ and $E_2 = 0.6$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= (2/6) \times (1.8)^2 \times 0.6 + (4/6) \times (0.6)^2 \times 1.8 \\ &= 27/25 = 1.08, \end{aligned}$$

which is the ratio of σ (0.54) to ϕ (0.5). Formulae (8.1) and (8.2) allow convenient computation of the average substitution elasticity for any directly additive utility function.

References

- Agrawal, Nisha and Alan A. Powell (1992) "MAIDS Under Additive Preferences: Some Early Estimates", in Ronald Bewley and Tran Van Hoa (eds.), *Contributions to Consumer Demand and Econometrics* (London: Macmillan), pp. 3-23.
- Frisch, Ragnar (1959) "A Complete Scheme for Computing All Direct and Cross Elasticities in a Model with Many Sectors", *Econometrica*, Vol. 27, pp. 177-196.
- Houthakker, H.S. (1960) "Additive Preferences", *Econometrica*, Vol. 28, No. 2 (April 1960), pp. 244-257.
- Sato, Kazuo (1972) "Additive Utility Functions with Double-Log Consumer Demand Functions", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 80, No. 1 (January-February), pp. 102-124.