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Abstract

Objective: Mechanisms of insulin resistance in polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) remain ill defined, contributing to sub-optimal therapies. Recognising skeletal muscle plays a key role in glucose homeostasis we investigated early insulin signalling, its association with aberrant transforming growth factor β (TGFβ)-regulated tissue fibrosis. We also explored the impact of aerobic exercise on these molecular pathways.

Methods: A secondary analysis from a cross-sectional study was undertaken in women with (n = 30) or without (n = 29) PCOS across lean and overweight BMIs. A subset of participants with (n = 8) or without (n = 8) PCOS who were overweight completed 12 weeks of aerobic exercise training. Muscle was sampled before and 30 min into a euglycaemic-hyperinsulinaemic clamp pre and post training.

Results: We found reduced signalling in PCOS of mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR). Exercise training augmented but did not completely rescue this signalling defect in women with PCOS. Genes in the TGFβ signalling network were upregulated in skeletal muscle in the overweight women with PCOS but were unresponsive to exercise training except for genes encoding LOX, collagen 1 and 3.

Conclusions: We provide new insights into defects in early insulin signalling, tissue fibrosis, and hyperandrogenism in PCOS-specific insulin resistance in lean and overweight women. PCOS-specific insulin signalling defects were isolated to mTOR, while gene expression implicated TGFβ ligand regulating a fibrosis in the PCOS-obesity synergy in insulin resistance and altered responses to exercise. Interestingly, there was little evidence for hyperandrogenism as a mechanism for insulin resistance.
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Introduction

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) affects 8–13% of women of reproductive age (1) has major metabolic (increased type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D) and cardiovascular risk factors) (2, 3, 4), reproductive (leading cause of anovulatory infertility) (5, 6) and psychological (anxiety and depression) (7) impacts, representing a substantial health burden. Despite its high prevalence, the aetiology and ideal therapies for PCOS remain unclear (8). Insulin resistance is a central characteristic (9, 10), driving both hyperandrogenism and clinical features. The risk of T2D in PCOS is increased 4.4-fold independent of BMI (3, 4, 11) accounting for 23% of T2D in young women (12); yet, the underlying mechanisms of insulin resistance in PCOS remain ill defined (8, 13). Therapeutic strategies in PCOS include medical therapy (metformin) and weight management via diet and exercise (6, 14, 15), which reduce but not reverse insulin resistance and fail to optimally treat PCOS. In this context, greater insight into aetiology of insulin resistance in PCOS is needed.

Based on euglycaemic-hyperinsulinaemic clamp data, prevalence of insulin resistance has been reported in up to 95% of women with PCOS as diagnosed by Rotterdam criteria (9). PCOS has a unique PCOS-related insulin resistance (intrinsic insulin resistance) as 75% of lean PCOS are insulin resistant, compared to lean women without PCOS. This insulin resistance is exacerbated by obesity (extrinsic insulin resistance (9, 10, 13)). Intrinsic insulin resistance in PCOS is likely due to a dysfunctional response to insulin in metabolically active peripheral tissues including adipose tissue and skeletal muscle (8, 13). As skeletal muscle accounts for 70–80% of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (16) defects may have profound effects on whole body insulin sensitivity. Reduced insulin sensitivity in skeletal muscle has well-established mechanisms including defective insulin signalling (17, 18), with reduced activation of key signalling proteins such as insulin receptor substrate (IRS)1/2, protein kinase B (PKB)/Akt and its downstream substrate Akt substrate 160KDa (AS160) (17, 18, 19). While similar defects in insulin stimulated signalling have been found in women with PCOS (13, 20), these signalling defects are not consistent (21) and unable to explain the PCOS-specific IR.

Raja-Khan et al. (22) has proposed an alternative hypothesis that dysfunctional transforming growth factor β (TGFβ) signalling regulated by fibrillins and latent TGFβ-binding proteins may lead to increased organ stroma or fibrosis. This may predispose women with PCOS to morbidities like insulin resistance, as TGFβ can directly impact glucose uptake and insulin signalling (23, 24, 25) and/or create a physical barrier to glucose uptake into muscle (26). The role of aberrant extracellular matrix (ECM) remodelling or tissue fibrosis and TGFβ in the aetiology of PCOS-related insulin resistance has not been investigated.

We therefore hypothesised that the women with PCOS compared to BMI-matched controls would be associated with unique defects in insulin signal transduction and altered ECM and TGFβ signalling network gene expression. We aimed to examine the activation/phosphorylation of proteins in both the proximal and distal parts of the insulin signalling cascade before and 30 min into a euglycaemic–hyperinsulinaemic insulin clamp and gene expression of the ECM and TGFβ ligand signalling network in women with or without PCOS (spanning lean and obese BMIs). Our secondary aim was to investigate the impact of exercise training on the aberrant skeletal muscle insulin signalling and gene expression of the ECM and TGFβ ligand signalling network.

Materials and methods

Participants

The participants that are included in this secondary analysis are a subset of women who participated in our previously published studies (9, 27, 28, 29) and consented to muscle biopsies. Specifically, we included fifty-nine (Fig. 1 and Table 1) of the original cohort of premenopausal women with or without PCOS. The women were categorised according to PCOS status and matched (group means) for BMI generating four groups: (i) lean with PCOS (LP), (ii) lean without (control; LC), (iii) overweight to obese with PCOS (OWP) and (iv) overweight to obese without PCOS (control; OWC). Confirmation of PCOS diagnosis was undertaken by expert endocrinologists (SKH, AEJ and HJT) based on Rotterdam criteria. The Southern Health Research Advisory and Ethics Committee approved the study and participants gave written informed consent. The clinical trial registration number is ISRCTN84763265.

Study design

For this ancillary mechanistic observational study and interventional sub-study (Fig. 1) data were collected...
at baseline in all women (after three-month washout of relevant medications) and following 12 weeks of exercise training (subgroup of women with overweight/obesity $n=8$ per group). Data were collected in the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle in control women and wherever feasible in the women with PCOS. Endpoint analyses were done by staff blinded to group and intervention stage.

**Exercise intervention**

The subgroup of overweight to obese participants consisting of women with PCOS ($n=8$) and without PCOS (controls; $n=8$) undertook 12 weeks of supervised, personalised progressive, aerobic exercise training on a motorised treadmill as described previously. Briefly, participants attended three 1 h sessions each week, which sequentially alternated between moderate-intensity (walking or jogging at 70% of VO$_2$peak or 75–85% HRmax) and high-intensity interval training (six 5-min intervals with 2-min recovery period at approximately 95–100% of VO$_2$peak or approximately 95–100% HRmax). The intensity and/or duration of each participant’s exercise session were individually progressed and increased over the duration of the intervention (28, 29, 30).

**Clinical and biochemical measurements**

Participants were assessed for anthropometric measures including body weight, height, body composition, abdominal visceral fat and subcutaneous fat and, waist, and hip circumference as Table 1. Insulin sensitivity was determined using the euglycaemic-hyperinsulinaemic clamp technique (40 mU/m$^2$/min) as previously reported (9). Blood samples were batch analysed for fasting glucose, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, insulin, testosterone and HbA1c. Low-density lipoprotein and the homeostatic model insulin resistance assessment (HOMA) were calculated (31).

Thigh muscle (vastus lateralis) samples were obtained by percutaneous biopsy under local anaesthesia (29) immediately prior to and 30 min into the insulin clamp. Muscle biopsies were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at −80°C for subsequent analysis.
Table 1  Participant characteristics and the impact of 12 weeks of aerobic exercise training on the two overweight groups of women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>LC</th>
<th>LP</th>
<th>OWC Pre-training</th>
<th>OWP Pre-training</th>
<th>OWC Post-training</th>
<th>OWP Post-training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participants per group (n)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>28 ± 6&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26 ± 3&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35 ± 4</td>
<td>31 ± 6</td>
<td>35 ± 4</td>
<td>31 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22 ± 2&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23 ± 2&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>36 ± 5</td>
<td>37 ± 7</td>
<td>37 ± 6</td>
<td>36 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.83 (5.9)</td>
<td>0.84 (4.7)</td>
<td>0.84 (12.1)</td>
<td>0.85 (6.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>27 (28)&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30 (28)&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>50 (11)</td>
<td>47 (8)</td>
<td>50 (12)</td>
<td>48 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>16.4 ± 5.0&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.1 ± 6.7&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>48.3 ± 10.6</td>
<td>46.3 ± 10.4</td>
<td>48 (30)</td>
<td>46 (27)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal SCF (cm²)</td>
<td>185 ± 74&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>229 ± 74&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>571 ± 155</td>
<td>582 ± 174</td>
<td>528 (49)</td>
<td>525 (52)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal VF (cm²)</td>
<td>32 ± 22&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35 ± 10&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>117 ± 31</td>
<td>135 ± 58</td>
<td>120 (47)</td>
<td>125 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>112 (69)</td>
<td>110 (77)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose homeostasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBG (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.3&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.7 (3.8)</td>
<td>4.8 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP insulin (pmol/L)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 4.1&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.7&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.6 ± 6.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28.5 ± 12.8</td>
<td>17 (46)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clamp 30 min plasma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insulin (pmol/L)</td>
<td>59.1 ± 7.5&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>58.2 ± 12.4&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>84.7 ± 20</td>
<td>99.6 ± 34</td>
<td>83 (34)</td>
<td>77 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>0.9 (65)&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.9 (44)&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.3 (41)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.8 (64)</td>
<td>3.5 (47)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.3 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIR (mg/min/m²)</td>
<td>332 (29)&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>270 (26)&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>250 (29)&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>131 (105)</td>
<td>245 (57)&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>293 (36)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid profiles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>4.7 (29)</td>
<td>4.9 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.0 (35)</td>
<td>1.2 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL/HDL</td>
<td>1.65 (38)&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.61 (29)&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.27 (24)</td>
<td>3.27 (54)</td>
<td>2.4 (31)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.5 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone (pmol/L)</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.46&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.80</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.79&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.66 ± 0.63</td>
<td>1.4 (48)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.5 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHBG (mmol/L)</td>
<td>78 ± 21&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>72 ± 33&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>45 ± 30</td>
<td>28 ± 2</td>
<td>44 (78)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>47 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH (%</td>
<td>2.2 (52)&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.14 (82)&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.64 (101)&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.47 (51)</td>
<td>3.1 (86)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.3 (103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂peak (mL/kg/min)</td>
<td>38.7 (22)&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35.2 (22)&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24.9 (13)</td>
<td>24.3 (30)</td>
<td>25.7 (12.5)</td>
<td>30.3 (11.3)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCOS diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH participants (n)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotterdam participants (n)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are from the subset of women included in this ancillary analysis (0, 28) and presented as mean ± s.d. or when data were log transformed they are presented as a back transformed mean ± s.d. of the difference or back transformed mean ± s.d. Statistical differences are reported for between-group (LC, LP, OWC and OWP) after adjusting for age meeting both P < 0.05 and clear effects at the 99% CI. ≤significantly different from overweight controls P ≤ 0.05; ≤significantly different from overweight LP: OWP; OWP ≤ 0.05; ≤significantly different from lean PCOS P ≤ 0.05. Statistical differences are reported for training sub-group; ≤significantly different from pre-training P ≤ 0.01; ≤significantly different from pre-training P ≤ 0.05; ≤significantly different change between groups P ≤ 0.01; ≤trend for difference from pre-training P < 0.1; ≤significantly different from PCOS (untrained values) P ≤ 0.01; ≤significantly different from PCOS (untrained values) P ≤ 0.05; ≤number of participants in the group considered to meet NHI diagnostic criteria; ≤number of participants in the group considered to meet Rotterdam diagnostic criteria.

BMI, body mass index; CT, computer tomography; DXA, dual x-ray absorptiometry; FAI, free androgen index; GIR-Clamp, glucose infusion rate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model of insulin resistance (39); LC, lean control; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; LP, lean PCOS; n/a, not applicable; OWC, overweight control; OWP, overweight PCOS; SCF, subcutaneous fat; SHBG, steroid hormone-binding globulin; VF, visceral fat; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio.
**Muscle protein extraction and Western blot analyses**

Equal quantities of protein, extracted as previously reported \((32, 33, 34)\), were resolved by SDS-PAGE (Bio-Rad, Criterion TGX Gels), transferred to a PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad, Turbo-Blot) using optimised protocols, blocked with TBST \((10 \text{ mM Tris; } 10\% \text{ Tween 20})\) containing 5% skim milk, washed 4 times for 5 mins in TBST and immunoblotted overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies \((32, 33, 34)\) targeting total and phospho-proteins including glucose transporter 4 (GLUT4), insulin receptor (IR), insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS-1), protein kinase B/Akt, Akt substrate 160 kDa \((AS160)\), typical and atypical phospho-protein kinase C \((PKCδ/θ; PKCζ/λ)\), mechanistic target of rapamycin \((mTOR)\), glycogen synthase kinase \(3\alpha\) \((GSK)\) and glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase \((GAPDH)\) as the loading control (Supplementary Table 1, see section on supplementary materials given at the end of this article). After washing and incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody \((Perkin Elmer)\) in 5% skim milk and TBST, the immune-reactive proteins were detected with enhanced chemiluminescence \((Amersham Biosciences)\) on the Versadoc MP4000 \((Bio-Rad)\) and quantified by densitometry \((Quantity-One; Bio-Rad)\). All phospho-protein data were normalised to their total protein, unless otherwise indicated \((Figs 2, 4 and Supplementary Figs 1, 2)\) to control for loading variability.

**RNA extraction and TGFβ network/tissue fibrosis gene expression analysis**

Total RNA was isolated from the muscle \((15–20 \text{ mg})\) using TRizol and cleaned up with RNaseasy Total RNA Kit columns \((Qiagen)\). The total RNA content and purity were established by measuring absorbance at 260 and 280 nm \((NanoDrop; Eppendorf)\). Ten micrograms of each RNA sample were then DNase treated using DNase 1 \((Thermo Scientific)\) described in detail in \((35)\).

Relative gene expression was quantified by real-time PCR using the Qiagen RT2 custom profiler array for fibrosis pathway-related genes. 100 ng of DNase-treated RNA was used for cDNA, diluted 1 in 10 and amplified for 14 genes plus 2 housekeeping genes. cDNA was generated according to manufacturer’s guidelines with modifications \((35)\) using 40 µL of Superscript RT III \((Thermo Fisher Scientific)\). Custom array primers were designed against the human mRNA sequences for the corresponding genes in the Ref Seq database \((Supplementary Table 2)\).

All reactions were performed according to the Sybr-Green™ cycle threshold \((Ct)\) method using a Biorad CFX 384 real-time PCR detection. Thermocycling conditions for the PCR included 10 min at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 1 min at 60°C. Melt curve analysis from 72 to 95°C \((5 \text{ s per } °C)\) was performed to ensure a single defined peak for each amplified product. Comparative Ct calculations for the gene expression were performed by subtracting the mean GAPDH and ACTB Ct values from Ct values of the gene of interest to derive a ΔCt value. The expression of the genes was calculated according to the formula: \(2^{-\Delta \text{Ct}}\).

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were conducted using mixed modelling procedures \((PROC MIXED)\) in Statistical Analysis System \((version 9.4, SAS Institute)\). Data, unless otherwise stated, were log transformed before analysis to overcome heteroscedastic issues and presented as a back-transformed mean with standard deviation \((\text{s.d.})\) as a coefficient of variation \((\text{CV}; \%)\). For the cross-sectional study separate models were generated to compare differences in variables between groups including participant characteristics, gene expression, where the fixed effects in the model estimated the main effects of PCOS \((\text{PCOS/Control})\) and obesity \((\text{BMI - Lean (<27 kg/m}^2)\) and overweight \((>27 \text{ kg/m}^2)\) as per \((9)\) status as nominal variables and the modifying effect of age \((\text{linear numeric variable})\). To estimate the main effects of PCOS and BMI on the fold change \((\text{with an s.d. as expressed CV %})\) in normalised protein phosphorylation induced by 30 min of insulin infusion the fixed effects were again PCOS and BMI status as nominal variables interacted with baseline phosphorylation levels, age \((\text{linear numeric variables})\). For the exercise training sub-study, a similar approach was adopted and the main effects of PCOS \((\text{PCOS/Control})\) and training status \((\text{untrained vs trained})\) on participant characteristics, gene expression, baseline protein abundance and insulin-induced changes phospho-protein abundance were determined with the fixed effects in the model where PCOS and training status as nominal variables and interacted with the dependent variables baseline value. All models estimated between group differences of variables or changes in variables as a percentage and presented with 99% confidence intervals \((99\% \text{ CI})\). Pearson correlations \((\text{PROC CORR}; \text{SAS v9.4})\) were used explore relations between GIR and a select number of variables or changes in variables as a percentage and presented with 99% confidence intervals \((99\% \text{ CI})\). As an a priori decision, we ran a parallel analysis on our mixed
model outcomes using a Bayesian method that has no or minimally informed prior or belief about the effects or standard deviations or magnitude-based inferences (10, 36). This method allowed us to make probabilistic assertions about the true magnitudes of effects using the non-clinical version of magnitude-based inference, according to which an effect was deemed unclear if the 99% CI spanned substantial positive and negative values of the smallest worthwhile effect (0.2 times between participant s.d.); all other effects were deemed clear and assigned the magnitude of the analysed effect, along with the chances that the effect was substantial and/or trivial (36). The magnitude-based inference component of our combined statistical approach was used to provide a standardised effect size (<0.2, trivial; 0.2–0.6, small; 0.6–1.2 moderate, 1.2–2 large) and to account for inflation of error arising from the large number comparisons investigated. We only reported effects that met our significance criteria ($P<0.05$) and were deemed to have a clear standardised-effect by magnitude-based inference for the 99% CI of the analysed effect.

Results

All clinical data differentiating the different groups of women from the subset of participants used in this analysis and the beneficial impact of exercise training are detailed in Table 1. Between-group differences for insulin-stimulated phosphorylation signalling protein abundance and gene expression are reported as fold changes with 99% CI that includes the adjustments for the appropriate covariates.

Insulin signalling

Abundance of key phospho-proteins in the insulin signalling pathway across the distal and proximal components, as well as the insulin signalling pathway regulators were analysed across the four groups of women to identify early insulin signalling defects in skeletal muscle that align with PCOS-specific insulin resistance (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 1). Overall 30 min of insulin infusion stimulated phosphorylation of the signalling proteins across all groups where
IR-tyr\textsuperscript{1162/1163}, Akt-ser\textsuperscript{473}, Akt-thr\textsuperscript{308}, mTOR, AS160-thr\textsuperscript{642} and GSK-ser\textsuperscript{21,9} underwent significant/meaningful increases \((P<0.05 \text{ and large effects})\) ranging from 1.6 to 4.4-fold (Fig. 2). Only one signalling protein, mTOR demonstrated altered phosphorylation, after adjustments for covariates, where obesity and PCOS reduced phosphorylation. Specifically, PCOS resulted in a moderate 0.6 fold (99% CI 0.4–0.8, \(P=0.01\)) reduction of phospho-mTOR compared to non-PCOS women. Similarly, obesity also induced a moderate 0.6 fold (99% CI 0.4–0.9, \(P=0.019\)) compared to lean women. Taken together these data suggest altered insulin-sensitive metabolic signalling distal to Akt which may impact the translocation of the glucose transporter protein GLUT4 and therefore glucose uptake into muscles. We found no differences in protein expression of GLUT4 between the groups (data not shown).

**Gene expression for tissue fibrosis**

The relative gene expression of COL1A2, COL3A1, DCN, IGF1, LOX, LTBP1, TGFβ2 and TGFβR2 was impacted by both obesity and/or PCOS status with small to moderate between-group differences (Fig. 3) suggesting aberrant signalling via the TGFβ ligand signalling network, establishing a pro-fibrotic gene program. Specifically, COL1A2 and 3A1 were 2.14 fold (99% CI: 1.8, 2.4; \(P=0.001\)) and 2.12 fold (99% CI: 1.7, 2.4%; \(P=0.005\)) higher in OWP compared to OWC. The OWC group had higher (1.83 fold; \(P<0.05\)) expression of both COL1A2 and 3A1 compared to LC. The LTBP1 gene expression was highest in the overweight groups, with OWP having significantly higher (0.75 fold, 99% CI: 0.6, 0.9; \(P=0.008\)) expression than LC. For DCN (1.94 fold, 99% CI: 1.5, 2.2; \(P=0.005\)) and LOX (2.44 fold 99% CI: 1.7, 2.7; \(P=0.021\)) the OWP group had higher gene expression than OWC. While DCN in LC was lower (0.66 fold, \(P=0.031\)) and LOX was 2.98 fold higher (\(P=0.025\)) than OWC. The TGFβ signalling-related gene expression was higher in the OWP group where TGFβ2 and TGFβR2 expression were 1.8 fold (99% CI: 1.5, 2.0; \(P=0.0009\)) and 1.76 fold (99% CI: 1.4, 2.0, \(P=0.004\)) higher in OWP compared to LC respectively. TGFβR2 gene expression was 1.8 fold higher in OWP vs OWC (\(P=0.005\)). In contrast, IGF1 gene expression was lowest in OWC being 2.5 fold (99% CI: 1.6, 4.1; \(P=0.006\)) compared to LC, with OWC also being lower (0.48 fold 99% CI: 0.3, 0.8; \(P=0.026\)) than OWP.

Overall GIR negatively correlated with body composition (BMI; android, gynoid and visceral fat; and DXA-derived fat free mass and appendicular muscle mass) and FAI (Supplementary Table 3). In contrast, GIR was positively correlated with aerobic fitness and SHBG concentrations (Supplementary Table 3). These overall associations were due to the stronger correlations observed in the women with PCOS compared to controls across the BMI categories. GIR was also positively correlated to the fold change in insulin-stimulated (30 min) phospho-mTOR \((r^2=0.43, P=0.02)\) in the PCOS group only and negatively correlated to TGFβR2 gene expression overall \((r^2=-0.37, P=0.03)\).

**Impact of exercise**

In the women (with overweight/obesity) who participated in the 12-week treadmill exercise intervention a number of changes in clinical features (Table 1), insulin signalling (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 2) and TGFβ signalling network gene expression (Fig. 5) were observed. Training-induced differential responses to 30 min of insulin stimulation, where only phospho-Akt\textsuperscript{473} and phospho-GSK\textsuperscript{ser\textsuperscript{219}} were impacted differently by the training intervention. OWP demonstrated a small attenuation in phosphorylation of phospho-Akt\textsuperscript{473} (0.45 fold 99% CI: 0.3, 0.8; \(P=0.019\)) and phospho-GSK\textsuperscript{ser\textsuperscript{219}} (0.48 fold 99% CI: 0.3, 0.8; \(P=0.027\)) compared to OWC women, respectively. Surprisingly, very few phospho-proteins demonstrated clear with-in group impacts of training. Specifically, training resulted in a large augmentation of insulin-stimulated phospho-AS160 of 1.82 fold (99% CI: 1.2, 2.7; \(P=0.025\)) from pre- to post-training in OWP. On the other hand, training resulted in a small attenuation of phospho-GSK\textsuperscript{ser\textsuperscript{219}} (0.58 fold 99% CI: 0.4, 0.7; \(P=0.006\)) from pre- to post-training in OWP group.

We also reported small to moderate differential training-induced changes in relative gene expression (Fig. 5) of genes for ECM deposition, COL1A2, COL3A1, DCN and LOX, which only occurred in OWP women. COL1A2 gene expression increased by 1.65 fold (99% CI: 1.2, 2.2; \(P=0.02\)) from pre- to post-training in the OWP women. Similarly exercise training induced COL3A1 and LOX gene expression increases of 1.94 fold (99% CI: 1.1, 3.4; \(P=0.05\)) and 1.95 fold (99% CI: 1.3, 3.0; \(P=0.03\)) respectively.

The exercise-induced changes in GIR did not correlate with any fold changes in insulin-induced increases/decreases in protein phosphorylation nor changes in gene expression (Supplementary Table 4) in any group. However, changes in FAI were negatively correlated with the training-induced changes in GIR \((r^2=-0.74; P=0.04)\) in women with PCOS, indicating increased insulin sensitivity was associated with a decreased FAI.
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Figure 3
Relative gene expression of the tissue fibrosis (TGFβ) pathway. Gene expression was normalised to the mean of GAPDH and ACTB (the housekeeping genes) expression. (A) COL1A2, (B) COL3A1, (C) DCN, (D) IGF1, (E) LOX, (F) SMAD2, (G) TGF11, (H) LTBP1, (I) TGF81, (J) TGF82, (K) TGF83, (L) TGFBR2. These data are from a subset of women (n = 59) (9). Covariate adjusted statistical difference reported: a- significantly different from overweight control P < 0.05 (and clear effect at 99%CL), b- significantly different from overweight PCOS P < 0.05 (and clear effect at 99%CL). Data are presented as mean ± s.d. (data presented as back transformed and the s.d. was derived as a coefficient of variation (%)).

Figure 4
Normalised fold change of insulin stimulated (30 min) phosphorylation of key proteins in the insulin signalling pathway before and after 12 weeks of intensified aerobic exercise training in overweight women with and without PCOS. (A) insulin receptor (IR), (B) insulin receptor substrate 1 serine 307 (IRS1), (C) protein kinase B/Akt serine 473 (Akt), (D) Akt threonine 308, (E) atypical protein kinase B (PKC (ζ/λ)), (F) typical PKC (δ/θ), (G) mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), (H) Akt substrate 160 kDa (AS160), (I) glycogen synthase kinase ser 21/9. These data are from a subset of women (n = 8 PCOS, n = 8 control) from (28, 29). Covariate adjusted statistical difference reported as: *significant fold increase with insulin stimulation P < 0.05 (and clear effect at 99%CL), **significant fold increase with insulin stimulation P < 0.01 (and clear effect at 99%CL), a significantly different between group training response P < 0.05 (and clear effect at 99%CL), b significantly with in group training response P < 0.05 (and clear effect at 99%CL). Presented as mean ± s.d. (data presented as back transformed and the s.d. was derived as a coefficient of variation (%)).
Discussion

Taking a novel sampling approach, this study demonstrated dysfunction in insulin signalling after 30 min of insulin infusion during an insulin clamp in women with PCOS across a range of BMIs. This dysfunction was distal to Akt/PKB and associated with end-clamp insulin sensitivity. Specifically, reduced insulin stimulated phospho-mTOR was associated with PCOS and obesity status. A 12-week exercise intervention improved, but did not rescue insulin sensitivity in OWP compared to OWC women and these improvements were accompanied by augmented phospho-AS160 and phospho-mTOR (trend) but attenuated phospho-Akt-ser\(^{473}\) and phospho-GSKser\(^{21/9}\) in PCOS. We found genes in the TGF\(\beta\) regulated tissue fibrosis pathway that encode ECM components (\(\text{COL}1\text{A}2\), \(\text{COL}3\text{A}1\)), enzymes in the collagen deposition and assembly (LOX, DCN), ligands (TGFB2) and their receptor were elevated in OWP. After the 12-week training intervention \(\text{COL}1\text{A}2\), \(\text{COL}3\text{A}1\), DCN and LOX were differentially regulated in the OWP compared to OWC women. Overall women with PCOS, especially the OWP showed a gene expression pattern conducive to greater TGF\(\beta\) ligand-driven ECM or fibrosis, even after the exercise training intervention.

Defects in insulin signalling in skeletal muscle are well documented for insulin-resistant conditions (17), including PCOS (13, 37). Our data significantly expands this work exploring possible defects in both proximal and distal components of this pathway in lean and overweight controls and women with PCOS. In agreement with the literature (13, 17, 37) our data demonstrated an obesity-induced defect in the insulin receptor activation of signalling, due to differential phosphorylation of tyr\(^{1162/1163}\) between the lean and overweight groups with no impact of PCOS status. When obesity (BMI) and prevailing insulin concentrations were accounted for, the obesity-driven differences were negated and the lack of impact of PCOS status remained (Fig. 2), as previously reported (13, 37). Our data allowed us to explore the hypothesis of Diamanti-Kandarakis and Dunaif (13) where there may be a PCOS-specific serine kinase targeting IRS1/2. mTOR could be this kinase (38, 39), however, our \textit{in vivo} data contrast with this hypothesis as no insulin-stimulated change was detected in any group. These data suggest that signalling defects are more likely distal to the IR and IRS1/2 in skeletal muscle of women with PCOS.

A key finding of this study, was that our \textit{in vivo} data show reduced phosphorylation of mTOR occurred in PCOS-specific manner and implicates this protein.

Figure 5
Training response of relative gene expression in the tissue fibrosis (TGF\(\beta\)) pathway for women with and without PCOS after 12 weeks of intensified exercise training. (A) \(\text{COL}1\text{A}2\), (B) \(\text{COL}3\text{A}1\), (C) DCN, (D) \(\text{IGF}1\), (E) LOX, (F) \(\text{SMAD}2\), (G) TGFB1, (H) LTBP1, (I) TGFB1, (J) TGFB2, (K) TGFB3, (L) TGFB3. These data are from a subset of women (\(n = 8\) PCOS, \(n = 8\) control) from (28, 29). Presented as mean ± s.d. (data presented as back transformed and the s.d. was derived as a coefficient of variation (%)). Covariate adjusted statistical difference reported: *significant within group training effect \(P < 0.05\) (and clear effect at 99%CL), *significant difference between OWC and OWP \(P < 0.05\) (and clear effect at 99%CL).
complex as a mechanism of insulin resistance in PCOS (Supplementary Table 3). These data differ from a recent study (21) investigating insulin signalling defects in lean hyperandrogenic women with PCOS, where defects in adenosine monophosphate kinase (AMPK) and pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) activity explain insulin resistance. This work was not without limitations (e.g. lean women only, no fitness assessments (8)) and did not consider mTOR, the alternate metabolic signalling pathway. mTOR signalling via its complex mTORC1 (mTOR+raptor) is associated with nutrition-regulated anabolic processes in skeletal muscle (40). More recently the mTORC2 (mTOR+rictor) has been linked to insulin resistance in skeletal muscle in vitro and animal models in the presence of reduced phospho-Akt ser473, altered intracellular glucose handling, irrespective of levels of phospho-Akt-thr108 and GLUT4 vesicle trafficking (39). The women with PCOS from the exercise training sub-group had improved, but not rescued mTOR signalling responses to insulin, which did not correlate with training-induced changes in GIR (Supplementary Table 4). As we were unable to quantify the different mTOR complexes, due to tissue availability, we could not establish if mTORC2 was associated with this PCOS-specific reduction in insulin signalling and sensitivity. More research is needed to understand the PCOS-specific mTOR downregulation and its role in the intrinsic insulin resistance in skeletal muscle.

AS160, GSK3, typical PKC (δ/θ) and atypical PKC (ι/ξ) insulin-stimulated phosphorylation were surprisingly similar between groups but there were trends for obesity to reduce signalling. GLUT4 is considered important in skeletal muscle insulin and exercise stimulated glucose uptake (26) where our data for protein expression of GLUT4 (data not shown) surprisingly found no differences between the four groups of women. While these data align with previous work suggesting comparable levels of GLUT4 between women with and without PCOS (41), our methodology (centrifuged muscle lysate and heating prior to gel separation) may mask/underestimate any true differences and effects. The 12-week exercise intervention clearly impacted the dysfunctional phosphorylation of three signalling proteins. Specifically, phospho-AS160, a key protein in Glut4 vesicle translocation (42), was improved in the OWP women, aligning with other training studies (in males (32)). On the other hand phospho-Akt and -GSK3 (regulation of glycogen synthesis (38)) demonstrated contradictory reductions post-training in the OWP women. This was unexpected, especially the reduced phospho-Akt; however, there is the non-linearity of signalling via Akt (43) and thus limiting its impact on any training induced improvements of GIR in OWP. The training intervention did not induce significant changes in the other signalling proteins, each having a vital role in regulating insulin-stimulated glucose uptake either as downstream substrates of Akt or independently including regulation of Glut4 vesicle translocation (Atypical PKC (δ/θ) (44)) and integration lipid availability to reduce glucose uptake (typical PKC (δ/θ) (45, 46)). In summary, our data suggest that the reduced early activation of proteins below Akt are linked to PCOS-specific insulin resistance. This is despite the alteration but not normalisation of phosphorylation defects by training. Overall, these data and that of others (9, 10) suggests insulin signalling defects in skeletal muscle account for some insulin resistance, but additional molecular mechanisms are responsible for the PCOS-specific insulin resistance and its synergy with obesity.

There is emerging data suggesting that at least TGFβ2 is an exercise induced adipokine that promotes insulin sensitivity (25). However, these data are currently biased to male samples and mainly rodent physiology but they do further support the notion that TGFβ pathways are important for insulin sensitivity and response to exercise. Human data supporting (23, 24) induction of insulin resistance provide a direct role of TGFβ signalling, via the SMAD proteins in skeletal muscle glucose uptake. In the context of the aetiology of PCOS, our new hypothesis (22) of TGFβ ligand mediated excess stromal deposition or fibrosis, may apply beyond the ovary to metabolic tissues like skeletal muscle in women with PCOS, predisposing them to insulin resistance. Dysfunctional TGFβ or TGFβ superfamily ligand signalling may be involved as anti-müllerian hormone (AMH) (47) and TGFβ1 (48) are elevated in women with PCOS. These ligands act via their respective receptors to activate the SMAD signalling proteins that are not only negative regulators of Akt (49) and mTOR (24), but are key signals in ECM deposition. Thus, this pathway is a plausible contributor to dysfunctional insulin signalling and reduced sensitivity in PCOS. Our gene expression data of elevated collagen, ECM deposition enzymes and TGFβ2R gene expression (pro-fibrotic gene profile), elevated ligands (AMH (47) and TGFβ1 (48)) and our previously reported high tissue density (elevated Hounsfield units) in thigh skeletal muscle from CT analysis (29), support the notion that TGFβ ligand signalling and tissue fibrosis may be involved in PCOS-specific skeletal muscle insulin resistance (8). This may occur not only via SMAD protein signalling interfering with Akt...
and/or mTOR signalling, but also via increased ECM possibly limiting insulin and glucose movement across the interstitial space. Interestingly, exercise training had no effect on this network of genes except LOX, COL1A2 and 3A1 where expression was augmented. This provides a possible mechanism for resistance to insulin sensitising therapies in PCOS, which aligns with other research (23) and warrants further investigation. Therefore, our data support our hypothesis (22) and allows us to expand our understanding of insulin resistance in skeletal muscle in PCOS and its attenuated response to therapy. We now propose that the TGFβ ligand signalling via SMAD-mTOR/SMAD-Akt pathways plus tissue fibrosis is mechanistically involved in PCOS-specific insulin resistance, its synergy with obesity (Fig. 6) and possible resistance to therapy.

Our study limitations included a small sample size (9, 28, 29) due to the invasive procedures used to obtain tissue samples. As this was an ancillary analysis tissue availability was limited (additional analysis via immunoblotting of TGFβ signalling) and not collected to for immunohistochemistry. We acknowledge that the sample size was limited for the exercise arm of the study, which may have limited power to detect exercise-induced alterations in fibrosis in the OWC group. Alternatively, we could also speculate that perhaps on OWC exercise does not re-model the ECM unlike the OWP. However, the strengths of this work were the use of gold-standard methods to assess insulin sensitivity in a community-recruited, well-characterised population of lean and overweight women with or without PCOS. We cannot rule out contributions of differential body composition and other systemic factors (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4) to insulin resistance in women with PCOS. Specifically, FAI index correlated strongly (negatively) with end clamp GIR in women with PCOS but not controls, but were no longer significant when LP and OWP were considered separately ($r^2 \sim -0.40$, $P>0.05$). Additionally, exercise-induced reduction in FAI correlated strongly with the increases in GIR for the sub-group of PCOS women. These data suggest a role of hyperandrogenism in PCOS-specific IR. However, FAI did not correlate with any insulin signalling or TGFβ and tissue fibrosis gene expression data in this study. FAI is a calculated variable dependant on SHBG concentrations, which are impacted by insulin resistance (50). Taken together with most literature (10, 13) this study could not establish the role of hyperandrogenism in causing insulin resistance in PCOS via peripheral tissue insulin signalling and fibrosis.

Conclusions

Our data provide new insights into PCOS-specific insulin resistance and the associated early signalling events in skeletal muscle highlighting a role for aberrant mTOR signalling. These data also support our hypothesis that TGFβ superfamily ligands, their signalling and tissue fibrosis are involved in PCOS-specific insulin resistance.
particularly driving the synergy between obesity and PCOS. Intensified aerobic exercise training did not restore insulin sensitivity, despite improving insulin-stimulated signalling at Akt, AS160 and mTOR in overweight women with PCOS. There was no clear evidence to support a role for hyperandrogenism in peripheral tissue insulin resistance, in women with PCOS. Overall, additional human research (in vivo and in vitro), supported by appropriate animals studies, is warranted to elucidate the role of androgens, mTOR signalling, TGFβ ligand signalling networks and ECM deposition in PCOS-specific insulin resistance.
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