

## Trans women participation in sport: a commentary on the conservatism of gender critical feminism

This is the Accepted version of the following publication

Burke, Michael (2022) Trans women participation in sport: a commentary on the conservatism of gender critical feminism. International Journal of Sport Policy and Politics. pp. 1-8. ISSN 1940-6940

The publisher's official version can be found at https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00948705.2022.2043754 Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository https://vuir.vu.edu.au/44050/

International Journal of Sport Policy and Politics

# Trans Women Participation in Sport: A Commentary on the Conservatism of Gender Critical feminism

Journal:	International Journal of Sport Policy and Politics
Manuscript ID	RISP-2022-0008.R1
Manuscript Type:	Critical Commentary
Keywords:	Feminism, Patriarchy, Sport, Gender Criticism, Transformation

### Paper Accepted for publication:- Article can be accessed at:

Burke M. (2022). Trans Women Participation in Sport: A Commentary on the Conservatism of Gender Critical feminism. *International Journal of Sport Policy and Politics* [Ahead of print]

https://doi.org/10.1080/19406940.2022.2101503

Trans Women Participation in Sport: A Commentary on the Conservatism of Gender

Critical feminism

**Abstract:** 

Since the update of the Stockholm consensus in 2015, the policy direction had been to allow trans and non-binary women to participate as women athletes, after satisfying certain restrictions. More recently, a reversal in policy direction towards the exclusion of trans\* athletes from women's competitive sports has occurred. This policy reversal has been driven by a number of authors who openly support a gender critical feminist position. This brief commentary looks at three pillars of the gender critical position, and argues that each of these three pillars will produce conservative outcomes in women's sport that will do nothing to challenge the dominance of men, nor prevent the ongoing subordination of both women and trans\* athletes.

Key Words: Feminism, Patriarchy, Sport, Gender Criticism, Transformation

#### Introduction

It had appeared that the issues around participation in sex/gender<sup>1</sup> categories of sport had reached some sort of comfortable resolution. With limitations that were often around levels of testosterone and periods of exclusion from competition, trans and gender non-binary individuals were allowed to participate in competitions that aligned with their gender identities [for trans players] or where they felt most comfortable and welcomed [for non-binary players]. More recently, a reversal in policy direction towards the exclusion of trans\* athletes from women's competitive sports has occurred. Sports organizations like World Rugby [WR] (World Rugby n.d.), the NCAA (NCAA 2022), the IOC (IOC 2021), and the UK Sports Councils [SCEG] (Sport Councils Equality Group 2021) have chosen to again deal with this difficult and divisive issue (Stewart *et al.* 2021).

The approach taken in 2020 by WR in the redevelopment of their policies was to receive presentations from a variety of specialists, before allowing their own transgender participation working group to produce a position of broad consensus (Tucker 2021). The recommendation was to produce a women's division and an open division in all competitions under the control of WR, and to position trans women players into the open competition. This was justified on both safety and fairness grounds for women players (Pike 2021). In 2021, the UK Sports Councils produced a set of ten principles to guide the formulation of policy that follow the recommendations of the WR committee fairly closely (Sport Councils Equality Group 2021).

The WR policy was influenced by some people who openly support gender critical feminism. The contemporary positions and arguments of gender critical feminists have deep historical roots (McLean 2021). Feminist claims that transgenderism reinforces gender essentialism have been present since the late 1970s (Hines 2019). The recent 're-birth' of the

gender critical strand of feminism can be linked, in the UK at least, to proposed changes to the Gender Recognition Act that were believed to make it easier for trans women to access single-sex spaces for women (McLean 2021), including single-sexed sporting spaces.

The underpinning for my critique will also be feminist.<sup>2</sup> This commentary will look at three key pillars of gender critical feminist sport policy regarding trans woman participation. For space reasons, each pillar will be described in abbreviated ways, with a more elaborate explanation of the gender critical position found in numerous academic articles (Pike 2021; Sailors 2020; Devine 2021, 2022; Pike, Hilton & Howe 2021). Each pillar will then be critiqued using a different feminist frame that locates political strength in the formation of alliances between women and transwomen. The current paper will argue that the outcomes produced by gender critical policy making will hinder the achievement of politically transformative feminist outcomes for women's sports.

#### Pillar One- Who counts as a woman in the debate.

The first pillar of the gender critical position is that sport is an area of life where the determination of gender/sex should be done biologically (Zanghellini 2020). The gender critical position, supported by recent research in sport science<sup>3</sup>, suggests that in sport, the legacy physiological advantages and associated performance benefits of being born a male and certainly the benefit of going through the androgenization associated with male puberty (Pike, Hilton & Howe 2021; Hilton & Lundberg 2020) cannot be entirely removed by either surgery or hormonal reversal/depletion for a trans woman. Additionally, it is absurd to produce a protected competition for females on the basis of physiological disadvantages, and then to allow trans women to participate in these competitions whilst still retaining some portion of assigned at birth male [AMAB] advantage (Pike, Hilton & Howe 2021). In

response, gender critical feminists propose to change the men's competition into an open competition which would include trans women (Pike 2021; Pike, Hilton & Howe 2021).

Neither women nor trans women<sup>4</sup> are members of the most dominant and politically strong group of speakers in sports like rugby. Men dominate rugby, and many other sports, in terms of both participation rates, and access to coaching, management and media roles. This hierarchy of power is apparent in the committee structure of WR. The Chair and Vice-Chair of the WR Council are both men. The key committees in terms of regulations and resource provision for playing of the game, the Professional Game Committee [15 men and 0 women], the High Performance Committee [12 men, 3 women], the 7's Strategy Committee [13 men, 2 women] and the Regulations Committee [8 men and 3 women], are all overwhelmingly filled by men and are all chaired by men. In 2018, WR surveyed the gender breakdown of the Boards and Executive Committees of the six regional associations and 13 national unions. Only two of the nineteen examined organizations achieved 33% representation by women (WR 2020). It is men who produced the historical exclusion of women from rugby, and it is men and men's competitions that continue to benefit from the unfair distribution of resources and power that is the result of this historical exclusion.

Ann Hall (1996), the feminist sport sociologist, describes three eras of research about gender/sex in sport. Categoric research investigates the differences in athletic participation and performance between the two sex/genders. Distributive research looks at the inequitable distribution of resources between the sex/genders in sport. Both these types of research treat the two sex/genders as distinct and unrelated entities. In contrast, relational research investigates the historical and social construction of sport that produces the reinforcement of the idea that men are powerful and women are powerless.

An acceptance of a distinction between gender and sex reduces the focus of feminists from the issue of male dominance to the definition and border protection of categorical boundaries. In contrast to the biologically essentialist view of what counts as a woman in gender critical feminism (McLean, 2021), other feminists look at definitions of women produced around shared experiences of subordination (Asta 2018, Jenkins 2016). Haslanger's ameliorative target concept of woman would be defined as anyone who suffers from subordination 'on the basis of *presumed* female sex' (Jenkins 2016, p. 395, my emphasis). Whilst this would not include all assigned at birth female [AFAB] women, it would include all women who are subordinated. Jenkins' purpose is to focus on the relational marginalization of trans women in expanding Haslanger's definition.

Both women and trans women share 'the social or material realities that are, in that context [of sport and of many sports], characteristic of women as a class' (Jenkins 2016, p.410, my insertion). Both groups of players have been historically subordinated in some sporting cultures by men. Recent research studies detail trans\* narratives that talk about the importance of finding a 'collective safety' in sports teams and competitions (Travers 2017; Ferguson & Russell 2021; Cauldwell 2021; Riseman 2021; Barras 2021; Storr *et al.* 2021). This desire for collective safety reflects a similarity in experience to some of the gender critical justifications for separate sporting spaces for women. There is potential for alliance building (Teetzel 2020) through a shared consciousness of unsafety in hegemonically male sports, and to challenge subordination with a shared feminist-transfeminist standpoint.

#### Pillar Two- Safety and the Political Purpose of Separate(d) Space of Women's Rugby

The second pillar of the gender critical position, relevant to combat, contact and collision sports, is that women have historically fought for separate spaces in these sports where female players could be protected from competition against male players on safety

grounds (Pike 2021a; Pike, Howe & Hilton 2021; Sailors 2020). According to Tim O'Connor, a barrister who presented to the WR conference, the important legal principle in terms of injury liability is that WR can't make the sport of rugby more dangerous than it needs be in *the nature of rugby* [my emphasis]. The scientific modelling of the heightened risk in tackling by trans women who have passed through male puberty would suggest that WR is compelled to produce exclusionary regulations on the basis of risk/liability mitigation (Tucker 2021). The heightened risk of injury liability associated with the inclusion of trans women into women competitions can then be generalized to all combat, contact and collision sports. Any inclusion of trans women into these separate sporting spaces would also have a serious effect on player recruitment and retention, resulting in the possible erasure of women's sports as AFAB athletes look to alternative safer activities to pursue successful careers in (Sailors 2020).

A feminist position should investigate what gets done politically in the separate space. Separated spaces are considered politically important by feminists for groups of women that do not have the comfort of authority within normal discourse.<sup>5</sup> Frye explains that separatism allows women to collectively gain semantic authority, but also resist the backlash against such authority by 'controlling concrete access to us' (1983, p106n). From these shared and separate spaces, a more powerful standpoint can be developed that is politically useful for women (Code 2014). A feminist standpoint endeavours to raise the consciousness of women to the oppressive dimension of practices which are presented to women as normal, natural or essential in patriarchal discourse (Keith 2016).

It is here that the conservatism of the gender critical position is revealed. In many historically male sports, it was not a separate space that women received, but more an alcove within an existing male-controlled space (Pielke Jr 2017). This is especially evident in new women's competitions where previously there had been long periods of historical exclusion.

We cannot separate ideas about the inherent features, nature or essence of sports like rugby from the historical exclusion of women from the game for its first hundred years; that is, some of these supposedly essential features were the reasons given in history for the exclusion of women participants. Accepting the current model, nature and essence of rugby will not politically challenge the power that men wield over the game. Stealing a phrase from Devine (2022, p.357), the suggestion of rugby essences and natures 'reinscribes normalized sex-blind hegemonic masculinity and androcentric citizenship.' The newly formed transgender policies of WR and the UK Sport Councils do nothing to challenge the model of sport that continues to sustain male dominance.

#### Pillar Three – Fairness and the Individualism of the Gender Critical Position in Sport

The third pillar of the gender critical position involves the importance of fairness and competition integrity for women athletes. The argument, is that the overwhelming and persisting advantages retained by athletes who have not transitioned after puberty provide an unfair advantage to trans women (Pike 2021). As a result, a proportion of the esteem and rewards associated with successfully competing and winning, including medals, scholarships, profile and economic benefits, would be received by trans women athletes, creating resentment from AFAB athletes (Pike, Hilton & Howe 2021). Retention of younger AFAB athletes would be difficult if the rewards for their success are diluted by the inclusion of successful trans women athletes. Additionally, the inclusion of trans women within the category of women's sport would have the effect of reducing the number of playing spaces available to AFAB athletes (Devine 2022).

The claims made in this gender critical justification concern the rewards or opportunities offered to single AFAB athletes. They are not claims about the inadequacy or

inequity of rewards or opportunities available in women's sports. The structural nature of discrimination against women's sports is displaced by a focus on the consequences of that disadvantage on individual women athletes. This focus takes attention away from the historical and structural inequities that are, for example, still part of women's educational-sport in the United States. Fifty years after the introduction of Title IX, resource discrimination against women athletes in the US system is getting worse, with investigations of the NCAA college system revealing a shortfall of over 148,000 sporting opportunities and \$1 billion worth of athletic support for women each year (Champion Women 2021). It is also important to recall that the immediate effect of Title IX legislation in college sports was both an increase in women participants *and* a reduction in women in coaching and management positions (Shaw 1995) that still persists today. A similar change seems also to be occurring in recent women's professional competitions in sports that had traditionally excluded women as participants, such as the various football codes and ice hockey.

This argument for access to the scarce resources that accrue to victors in sport was a significant premise of the very early sport feminist arguments of Jane English (1978). Her position was that women champions in sport are equally worthy as male champions of respect and the various scarce benefits which accrue to exemplars of performance, because of both the importance of these benefits in producing greater respect for women athletes specifically, and for the sex/gender class of women generally (my emphasis). Authority or cultural capital in sport is related to how sport rewards different groups differently. However, the sporting context has changed from the time that English spoke in. The influence of Title IX in the US and the more general mediatized boom of women's sports has meant that women athletic champions are present in the general cultural space of sport, if not in the cultural spaces of all sports.

This contextual change suggests the possibility for a more nuanced response. In some sports, including many of the football codes and the combat sports, and in some countries, it is crucial for AFAB champions to be publicized. And, in these sports and countries, the inclusion of trans women champions would get in the way of this public recognition, especially given the current misgendering and dead naming associated with the oppositional narratives towards trans\* people. But in other sports and countries, the need for public recognition of AFAB achievement is less pressing, and the potential for politically useful and alliance-building inclusion of trans women, against the structures that continue to discriminate against all [cis and trans] women, is possible.

Meghan Tyler explains the contemporary problems facing this third pillar of the gender critical position:

In privileging individual choice above all else, it [choice feminism] doesn't challenge the status quo. It doesn't demand significant social change, and it effectively undermines calls for collective action... Instead of resistance, we now have activities that were once held up as archetypes of women's subordinate status being presented as liberating personal choices. (Tyler 2015, my insertion)

Banet Weiser (2018 cited in Banet-Weiser *et al.*, 2020, my emphasis) explains that the contemporary popularity/visibility of such individualized forms of feminism resides *precisely in their inability* to challenge deep structures of inequity. But as Tyler states: 'The point is to challenge the structures, and name the perpetrators, not to blame [or celebrate] individual women' (2021, p.3, my insertion).

The inability to challenge deeper structures is precisely where gender critical positions in sport lead us. The position produces a concern with defending AFAB women's NCAA/Olympic records where men's times are portrayed as always superior to women's

environment that has still not reached proportionality, or with comfortable participation in a dominant narrative about educational sport that extols rivalries, win records, coercive coaching strategies and athlete abuse as the model for all sport. A different model of sport could be built from the shared and overlapping *range of experiences* of women and trans women within these patriarchal models of sport. An initial point of alliance may be to refuse the individualizing underpinnings of both gender critical and gender affirming feminism, and focus again on the structural barriers to women's authority in society and in sport.

#### A Possible Solution- The Cap-Tied Policies of the Australian Football League

So what can be done to produce this transformative future? A cap-tied gender diversity policy investigates the inclusion of trans women athletes in women's sporting competitions on a case-by-case basis whilst still evaluating safety risks and fairness considerations. As an example of how a cap-tied policy would work, the gender diversity framework of the Australian Football League [AFL] will be explained. AFL is a football game where collisions, tackling, bumping and other forms of contact are legitimate parts of the game. The game is played on ovals that are larger than soccer, gridiron and rugby pitches, with either 16 or 18 players on each team. Players move all across the playing surface without [much] restriction. It is a game that involves both strength and endurance.

The AFL has two separate policies for the treatment of transwomen and gender non-binary players in the competitions that it manages. The first policy deals with gender diversity in the elite and elite pathway competitions (AFL 2018). The second policy deals with all community football competitions that sit below these elite leagues (AFL 2020). The two documents explain that 'the relative priority of considerations of competition success and

Stewart et al. 2021). The application for entry into elite women's competitions by trans women and non-binary people requires the inclusion of medical records, a medical report by the treating practitioner, and data relating to several anthropometric, strength, stamina, physique and performance measures. Assessment of prior playing experience, medical records and anthropometric data is done by an AFL subcommittee on a case-by-case basis and a decision is passed on to the applicant. Review of participation of these athletes, again on a case-by-case basis, is ongoing (AFL 2018). At the community football level, the AFL asserts that social inclusion of trans women and gender diverse players is more important than competitive fairness. The policy here allows participation in the competition that the applicant identifies with, combined with an opportunity for the *AFL Gender Diversity*Participation committee to review if safety or fairness considerations arise. Safety or fairness considerations are also assessed on an individual basis and not on the basis of average gendered size and risk (AFL 2020).

The two-pronged system is not perfect (O'Halloran 2021). The framework maintains an historical narrative that trans women can play in women's competitions, but only if they do not win (Riseman 2021). But it is a more nuanced policy response than the blanket ban suggested by WR and the SCEG review done by UK Sports Councils. It positions the fairness of inclusion or exclusion on the basis of individual athlete characteristics and experience, rather than averages of the sexes. Additionally, it recognizes the importance of elite achievement by AFAB footballers, given the long history of exclusion of women from the sport. A sacrifice is being asked of some trans women athletes in their exclusion from elite levels of sport when their physique and/or competitive playing history would result in domination of the women's game. But compromises must be made from both sides when alliances are being produced (Teetzel 2020).

#### **Conclusion**

Sara Ahmed (2021) argues that the gender critical position actually produces 'conservative feminism.' Policies about the participation of trans women in women's sporting competitions reveal this conservatism. Stealing an evocative phrase from Bargetz and Sanos (2020, p. 501), the gender critical approach in sport will 'yield a politics without politics.' The policies suggested by World Rugby and the UK Sports Councils, cement an apparent need for all AFAB athletes to be 'protected' from competition against all AMAB athletes, reproducing and normalising the gender/sex binary in sport (Anderson & Travers 2017). Additionally, regardless of any individual benefactors of these policies, the structural barriers to women accessing power in sports are reinforced as equity-based demands are displaced by encouraging a focus on the necessity to protect women from trans women, rather than from the far more powerful men who control sport. Further, these policies prevent the possibility of challenge to the hegemonic power of men produced by an alliance between women and trans women in sport.

In asking for alliances between women and trans women athletes, I am not completely clear on where any specific boundaries should be drawn. However, I am certain that discrimination against women in sport is the result of men's control over sport, and a focus in policy on biological categories in sport is unlikely to change the organizational dominance of men. The gender critical position that inclusion *always* causes [intolerable] unfairness (Pike, Hilton & Howe 2021, my emphasis) is counterproductive to the feminist cause. Policy making must acknowledge contrasts between historically male-exclusive, sex/gender-appropriate and historically female-controlled sports and organizations, as this acknowledgement will impact on judgements of the utility of AFAB success to the

achievement of broader feminist goals of recognition and transformation. Separately sexed competitions allow for the fiction of male (all-male) superiority over female (all-female) performance. Transgender inclusion with a softening of the gender binary (Hamilton *et al.* 2021) in cap-tied women's sports can reveal the overlap in AFAB and AMAB performance, an important starting point in challenging male power.

#### References

AFL., 2020. Gender Diversity Policy: Community Football. Available from:

<a href="https://resources.afl.com.au/afl/document/2020/09/30/7977b922-eb1e-4ac9-9193-cb3e3ab50da9/AM-7411-0920-AFL-Community-Football-GDP-Policy\_FA.pdf">https://resources.afl.com.au/afl/document/2020/09/30/7977b922-eb1e-4ac9-9193-cb3e3ab50da9/AM-7411-0920-AFL-Community-Football-GDP-Policy\_FA.pdf</a>
[Accessed 6 June, 2021].

AFL., 2018. Gender Diversity Policy – AFLW and AFL. Available from:

<a href="https://s.afl.com.au/staticfile/AFL%20Tenant/AFL/Files/Gender%20Diversity%20Policy.pdf">https://s.afl.com.au/staticfile/AFL%20Tenant/AFL/Files/Gender%20Diversity%20Policy.pdf</a> [Accessed 6 June, 2021].

Ahmed, S., 2021. Gender Critical = Gender Conservative. *Feministkilljoys* [online].

Available from: <a href="https://feministkilljoys.com/2021/10/31/gender-critical-gender-conservative/">https://feministkilljoys.com/2021/10/31/gender-critical-gender-conservative/</a>. [Accessed 31 October, 2021].

Anderson, E. & Travers, A., 2017. *Transgender Athletes in Competitive Sport*. New York:

Routledge

Asta, 2018, Conferralism about Sex and Gender. In Asta (ed.) *Categories we live by: The*construction of Sex, Gender, Race, and Other Social Categories. Oxford Scholarship

[Online], 70-92. Available from:

https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/oso/9780190256791.001.
0001/oso-9780190256791-chapter-5 [Accessed 4 January, 2022].

- Banet-Weiser, S., Gill, R., & Rottenberg, C., 2020. Postfeminism, popular feminism and neoliberal feminism? Sarah Banet-Weiser, Rosalind Gill and Catherine Rottenberg in conversation. *Feminist Theory*, 21 (1), 3-24. https://doi.org/10.1177/1464700119842555
- Bargetz, B., & Sanos, S., 2020. Feminist matters, critique and the future of the political. Feminist Theory, 21 (4), 501-516. https://doi.org/10.1177/1464700120967311
- Barras, A., 2021. *The Lived Experience of Transgender and Non-Binary People in Everyday Sport and Physical Exercise in the UK*. Thesis (PhD). University of Brighton.

  Available from:

  <a href="https://cris.brighton.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/31240349/BARRAS\_thesis.pdf">https://cris.brighton.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/31240349/BARRAS\_thesis.pdf</a>

  [Accessed 4 January, 2022].
- Caudwell, J., 2021. Queering Indoor Swimming in the UK: Transgender and Non-binary wellbeing. *Journal of Sport and Social Issues*. (Ahead of Print). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/01937235211043648
- Champion Women, 2021. Discrimination Against Women in College Sports is Getting

  Worse. Available from: <a href="https://titleixschools.com/2020/06/23/gender-gap/">https://titleixschools.com/2020/06/23/gender-gap/</a> [Accessed 8 June, 2021].
- Code, L., 2014. Ignorance, Injustice and the Politics of Knowledge: Feminist Epistemology

  Now.' *Australian Feminist Studies*, 29: 80, 148-160.

  <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08164649.2014.928186">https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08164649.2014.928186</a>

- Devine, C., 2021. Female Sports Participation, Gender Identity and the British 2010 Equality

  Act. *Sport, Ethics and Philosophy*. (Ahead of print). Available from:

  <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/17511321.2021.1993982">https://doi.org/10.1080/17511321.2021.1993982</a>
- Devine, C., 2022. Female Olympians' voices: Female sport categories and IOC transgender guidelines. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, 57 (3), 335-361.

  Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/10126902211021559
- DuBois, Z., & Shattuck-Heidorn, H., 2021. Challenging the Binary: Gender/Sex and the Biologics of Normalcy. *American Journal of Human Biology*. E23623. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.23623">https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.23623</a>
- English, J., 1978. Sex Equality in Sports. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 7 (3), 269-277. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2265148
- Ferguson, L., & Russell, K., 2021. Gender Performance in the Sporting Lives of Young Trans\* People. *Journal of Homosexuality*. (Ahead of Print). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/00918369.2021.1996099
- Frye, M., 1983. *The Politics of Reality*. Trumansburg, NY: The Crossing Press.
- Hall, M. A., 1996. Feminism and Sporting Bodies. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics.
- Hamilton, B. R. *et al.*, 2021. Integrating transwomen athletes into elite competition: The case of elite archery and shooting. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 21 (11), 1500-1509. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2021.1938692">https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2021.1938692</a>
- Hargie, O. D.W., Mitchell, D. H., & Somerville, I. J.A., 2017. "People have a knack of making you feel excluded if they catch on to your difference": Transgender
  Experiences of exclusion in sport. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, 52
  (2), 223-239. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/1012690215583283">https://doi.org/10.1177/1012690215583283</a>.

- Hilton, E. N., & Lundberg, T. R., 2021. Transgender women in the female category of sport: perspectives on testosterone suppression and performance advantage. *Sports Medicine*, 51, 199-214. https://doi.og/10.1007/s40279-020-01389-3.
- Hines, S., 2019. The Feminist Frontier: on Trans and Feminism. *Journal of Gender Studies*. 28 (2), 145-157. https://doi.org/10.1080/09589236.2017.1411791
- IOC, 2021. IOC Framework on Fairness, Inclusion and Non-Discrimination on the Basis of Gender Identity and Sex Variations. Available from:

https://stillmed.olympics.com/media/Documents/News/2021/11/IOC-Framework-

Fairness-Inclusion-Non-discrimination-

2021.pdf?\_ga=2.81008660.263117681.1650434925-amp-gkui-

yZH3JL37Rju7CvWXA [Accessed 20 April, 2022].

- Jenkins, K., 2016. Amelioration and Inclusion: Gender Identity and the Concept of *Woman*. *Ethics*, 126, 394-421. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1086/683535">https://doi.org/10.1086/683535</a>
- Keith, L., 2016. Are you for Women's Lib? Feminism vs. Transgenderism [Online].

  Presentation to *Thinking Differently: Feminists Questioning Gender Politics*. London,

  UK. Available from:

  <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RAMm\_JIUoQE&list=PLHE4J0EAEJOs\_qG\_O-60lHuesml6T6B6M&index=2">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RAMm\_JIUoQE&list=PLHE4J0EAEJOs\_qG\_O-60lHuesml6T6B6M&index=2</a> [Accessed January 4, 2022].
- Lawford- Smith, H., 2021. Women-only spaces and right to exclude. Draft Chapter.

  Available from: <a href="https://philpapers.org/archive/LAWWSA-4.pdf">https://philpapers.org/archive/LAWWSA-4.pdf</a> . [Accessed November 27 2021].
- McLean, C., 2021. The growth of the Anti-Transgender Movement in the United Kingdom.

  The Silent Radicalization of the British Electorate. *International Journal of Sociology*,

51 (6), 473-482. Available from:

https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00207659.2021.1939946

- NCAA, 2022. *Transgender Student-Athlete Participation Policy*. Available from:

  <a href="https://www.ncaa.org/sports/2022/1/27/transgender-participation-policy.aspx">https://www.ncaa.org/sports/2022/1/27/transgender-participation-policy.aspx</a>
  [Accessed 20 April, 2022].
- O'Halloran, K., 2021. Inclusion of transgender athletes the elephant in the room during AFLW's Pride Round. *ABC Media*. Available from:

  <a href="https://www.abc.net.au/news/2021-02-08/trans-inclusion-elephant-in-the-room-during-aflws-pride-round/13130474">https://www.abc.net.au/news/2021-02-08/trans-inclusion-elephant-in-the-room-during-aflws-pride-round/13130474</a> [Accessed 12 December, 2021].
- Pielke Jr., R., 2017. Sugar, spice and everything nice: how to end 'sex testing' in international athletics. *International Journal of Sport policy and Politics*, 9 (4), 649-665. https://doi.org/10.1080/19406940.2017.1369448.
- Pike, J., 2021. Safety, Fairness, and Inclusion: Transgender Athletes and the Essence of Rugby. *Journal of the Philosophy of Sport*, 48 (2), 155-168. https://doi.org/10.1080/00948705.2020.1863814.
- Pike, J., Hilton, E., & Howe, L. A., 2021. Fair Game: Biology, Fairness and Transgender

  Athletes in Women's Sport [Online]. Macdonald-Laurier Institute. Available from:

  <a href="https://macdonaldlaurier.ca/files/pdf/Dec2021\_Fair\_game\_Pike\_Hilton\_Howe\_PAPE">https://macdonaldlaurier.ca/files/pdf/Dec2021\_Fair\_game\_Pike\_Hilton\_Howe\_PAPE</a>

  R\_FWeb.pdf [Accessed 4 Jan., 2022].
- Riseman, N., 2021. A History of Transgender Women in Australian Sports, 1976-2017. *Sport in History*. (Ahead of Print). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/17460263.2021.1938194

- Sailors, P. R., 2020. Transgender and Intersex Athletes and the Women's Category in Sport. *Sport, Ethics and Philosophy*, 14 (4), 419-431. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/17511321.2020.1756904">https://doi.org/10.1080/17511321.2020.1756904</a>.
- Shaw, P. L. 1995. Achieving Title IX Gender Equity in College Athletics in an Era of Fiscal Austerity. *Journal of Sport and Social Issues*, 19 (1), 6-27. https://doi.org/10.1177/019372395019001002
- Sports Council Equality Group. 2021. *Guidelines for Transgender Inclusion in Domestic*Sport. Available from:

  <a href="https://equalityinsport.org/docs/300921/Guidance%20for%20Transgender%20Inclusi-on%20in%20Domestic%20Sport%202021.pdf">https://equalityinsport.org/docs/300921/Guidance%20for%20Transgender%20Inclusi-on%20in%20Domestic%20Sport%202021.pdf</a> [Accessed 6 January, 2022].
- Stewart, L et al., 2021. Developing trans-athlete policy in Australian National Sporting

  Organizations. International Journal of Sport Policy and Politics. (Ahead of Print).

  Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/19406940.2021.1955727">https://doi.org/10.1080/19406940.2021.1955727</a>
- Storr, R., Jeanes, R., Rossi, T. & lisahunter, 2021. Are we there yet? (Illusions of) Inclusion in sport for LGBT+ communities in Australia. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, 13 (4), 565-585. Available from:

  <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/10126902211014037">https://doi.org/10.1177/10126902211014037</a>
- Teetzel, S., 2020. Allyship in Elite Women's Sport. *Sport, Ethics and Philosophy*, 14 (4), 432-448. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/17511321.2020.1775691">https://doi.org/10.1080/17511321.2020.1775691</a>
- Travers, A., 2017. Transgender Issues in Sport and Leisure. In Mansfield, L., Caudwell, J., Wheaton, B. & Watson, B. (eds.) *The Palgrave Handbook of Feminism and Sport, Leisure and Physical Education*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, 649- 665.

  https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-53318-0\_40

- Tucker, R., 2021. Transgender Guideline. *Video Presentation Explaining Guideline* [Online].

  Available from: <a href="https://www.world.rugby/video/636472/transgender-guideline-ross-tucker">https://www.world.rugby/video/636472/transgender-guideline-ross-tucker</a>. [Accessed 18 November, 2021]
- Tyler, M., 2021. Can Feminism be Saved from Identity Politics? *Australian Broadcasting Corporation Religion and Ethics* [Online], 8 March. Available from:

  <a href="https://www.abc.net.au/religion/can-feminism-be-saved-from-identity-politics/11646084">https://www.abc.net.au/religion/can-feminism-be-saved-from-identity-politics/11646084</a> [Accessed 8 March, 2021].
- Tyler, M., 2015. No, Feminism is not about Choice. *The Conversation (Aus)*, 30 April.

  Available from: <a href="https://theconversation.com/no-feminism-is-not-about-choice-40896">https://theconversation.com/no-feminism-is-not-about-choice-40896</a>
  [Accessed 30 April, 2015]
- Wiik, A. *et al.*, 2020. Muscle strength, size, and composition following 12 months of gender-affirming treatment in transgender individuals. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 105 (3), e805-e813.
- World Rugby. n.d. *World Rugby Transgender Guidelines*. Available from:

  <a href="https://www.world.rugby/the-game/player-welfare/guidelines/transgender">https://www.world.rugby/the-game/player-welfare/guidelines/transgender</a> [Accessed 20 April, 2022].
- World Rugby, 2020. Balancing the Board: A Toolkit to help increase women's representation on rugby boards [Online]. Available from: <a href="https://pulse-static-files.s3.amazonaws.com/worldrugby/document/2020/03/04/192b22dc-3359-4fdd-952e-3718d1ef3c0a/2020-Balance\_The\_Board\_A5-ENG\_small.pdf">https://pulse-static-files.s3.amazonaws.com/worldrugby/document/2020/03/04/192b22dc-3359-4fdd-952e-3718d1ef3c0a/2020-Balance\_The\_Board\_A5-ENG\_small.pdf</a> [Accessed 18 November, 2021].
- Zanghellini, A., 2020. Philosophical Problems with the Gender-Critical Feminist Argument Against Trans Inclusion. *Sage Open.* https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020927029

- <sup>1</sup> I will use the term sex/gender (or gender/sex) as meaning 'the biosocial entwinement of sex and gender' (DuBois & Shattuck-Heidorn 2021, p.3).
- <sup>2</sup> Whilst some of the suggestions will be supportive of trans women, this is not a transfeminist perspective. Interested readers of the transfeminist sport position could look at Barras (2021) or Caudwell (2021).
- <sup>3</sup> I do not intend to dispute the science that has been presented in articles such as Hilton and Lundberg (2021), Wiik *et al.* (2021) and others.
- <sup>4</sup> I am ignoring the position that trans women are biologically male and therefore enjoy privilege in world rugby.
- <sup>5</sup> This is not the only purpose for separate spaces, according to gender critical feminists (Lawford-Smith 2021).