



**VICTORIA UNIVERSITY**  
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

*The influence of individual, task and environmental constraint interaction on skilled behaviour in Australian Football training*

This is the Published version of the following publication

Teune, Ben, Woods, Carl, Sweeting, Alice, Inness, Mathew William Hunter and Robertson, Samuel (2022) The influence of individual, task and environmental constraint interaction on skilled behaviour in Australian Football training. *Journal of Sports Sciences*. pp. 1-9. ISSN 0264-0414

The publisher's official version can be found at  
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02640414.2022.2124013>  
Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/44391/>

1 **The influence of individual, task and environmental constraint interaction on skilled behaviour**  
2 **in Australian Football training**

3

4 Word count: 3816

5 Number of figures and tables: six figures and one table

6

7 **Abstract**

8 An important consideration for sport practitioners is the design of training environments that facilitate  
9 skill learning. This study presented a method to determine individual (age, games played, height, mass,  
10 and position), environmental (activity type) and task (pressure and possession time) constraint  
11 interaction to evaluate player training behaviour. Skill actions (n=7301) were recorded during training  
12 activities (n=209) at a single professional Australian Football club and four measures of player  
13 behaviour were determined for each activity: disposal frequency, kick percentage, pressure, and  
14 possession time. K-means clustering assigned training activities into four groups, with regression trees  
15 used to determine the interaction between constraints and their influence on disposal frequency and  
16 type. For most regression tree models, only the environmental constraint was included. This showed all  
17 players adapted similarly to the constraints of each training activity. In one exception, a critical value  
18 of 60 games experience was identified as an individual constraint which interacted with activity type  
19 one to influence disposal frequency. Practically, this individual constraint value could be used to guide  
20 training design by grouping players of similar experience together. This study is presented as a practical  
21 tool for sport practitioners and coaches, which considers constraint interaction, to evaluate player  
22 behaviour and inform training design.

23 **Keywords**

24 Small side games, team sport, coaching, skill acquisition, performance analysis, training design

25

26

## 27 **Introduction**

28 An important consideration for sport practitioners relates to the design of training environments that  
29 can facilitate skill learning (Davids, 2012). Training, then, is an important component of the coaching  
30 process, especially in high performance sport (Hodges & Franks, 2002; Orth et al., 2019). Moreover, it  
31 is the design of game-like training tasks that are particularly important to support the development of  
32 an athlete's skill (Chow, 2013; Davids et al., 2008). What makes training design challenging, is that  
33 skill is an emergent phenomena that results from the various interactions of the person (i.e., the athlete),  
34 the environment they perform in, and the task they are undertaking (Araújo et al., 2006; Newell, 1986).  
35 In other words, it is a confluence of interacting constraints that shapes the emergence of skill, and the  
36 goal of the coach in training design, then, is to nudge or guide athletes towards useful movement and  
37 performance solutions (Woods et al., 2020).

38 The constraints-led approach (CLA) is a framework that can be used to help practitioners with the  
39 design of practice tasks (Davids et al., 2008; Renshaw et al., 2010). In this framework, constraints are  
40 understood as boundaries, which exist along multiple time-scales, that shape the emergent actions of  
41 individuals (Newell, 1986; Newell et al., 2001). Broadly, constraints are classified into one of three  
42 classes: task, environmental and individual (Newell, 1986). In sport, task constraints typically relate to  
43 the intent of an activity; what needs to be achieved and within what time. Environmental constraints  
44 include features external to the performer, such as ambient weather conditions, ground surface  
45 properties, and field size. Individual constraints pertain to characteristics of a performer, like  
46 anthropometric and physiological qualities, or emotional states and arousal levels.

47 In harnessing tenets of the CLA, practitioners can guide athlete behaviour through the careful  
48 manipulation of constraints in practice tasks (Renshaw et al., 2010; Renshaw & Chow, 2019). For  
49 example, reducing field size can increase the frequency of interceptions in soccer (Casamichana &  
50 Castellano, 2010), or manipulating a team outnumber can increase the frequency of passes to uncovered  
51 players in Australian Football<sup>1</sup> (Bonney et al., 2020). The manipulation of key constraints encourages

---

<sup>1</sup> Australian Football is an invasion team sport consisting of 22 (18 on field and 4 substitutes) players per team during match play where teams compete to score points by kicking goals (6 points) or behinds (1 point). In

52 problem-solving and facilitates an athlete's exploration for movement solutions (Woods et al., 2020).  
53 Thus, to assist with athlete learning, the evaluation of constraint manipulations, and how they have  
54 shaped emergent behaviour, can be of use for sports practitioners (Teune, Woods, et al., 2021).

55 A challenge for practitioners in evaluating athlete behaviour is that constraints do not function in  
56 isolation but interact, often non-linearly (Newell, 1985). Accordingly, constraint interaction is  
57 important to consider, to protect against the influence of a constraint being over or under valued when  
58 contextualised within larger constraint sets. This increases the complexity of implementing constraint  
59 manipulations during practice and understanding their combined influence on behaviour. In field  
60 hockey, for example, the number of players (i.e., an environmental constraint) and the intent of the task,  
61 have been shown to interact, influencing the frequency of certain actions (Timmerman et al., 2019).  
62 Moreover, studies in Australian Football have examined the multivariate interaction between task and  
63 environmental constraints to evaluate match play kicking performance (Browne et al., 2019; Robertson  
64 et al., 2019), goal kicking performance (Browne et al., 2022) and skilled behaviour during training  
65 activities (Teune, Woods, et al., 2021). Together, this work demonstrates how considering the  
66 interaction of multiple constraints may garner more precise insights to support practice design.  
67 However, investigations of constraint interactions have mainly been limited to environmental and task  
68 constraint classes. To build upon this work, studies which include individual constraint interactions  
69 with environmental and task constraints are largely yet to be explored. One exception in Rugby Union  
70 modelled place kicking effectiveness using logistic regression including interaction between game time  
71 (environmental constraints), score margin (environmental constraint), previous kick success (individual  
72 constraint), distance (task constraint) and angle (task constraint) to goal (Pocock et al., 2018). In this  
73 study, distance and angle to goal were found as significant variables included in a model that accurately  
74 classified 76% of kick outcomes. With this approach, threshold values which influenced kick success  
75 for distance and angle to goal were identified, information that could guide place kicking practice  
76 design.

---

Australian Football, players are permitted to pass the ball via kicking or handballing (punching the ball with a closed fist). Furthermore, players may be allocated specific roles within a team however, roles are dynamic and not restricted by any rules (Australian Football League, 2021)

77 Multivariate analytical techniques, which can consider non-linear constraint interaction, are important  
78 to appropriately contextualise player behaviour (Browne et al., 2021). Some analytical techniques, such  
79 as rule induction or decision trees, have such capabilities and have been applied to constraint analysis  
80 in Australian Football competition (Browne et al., 2019, 2022; Robertson et al., 2019) and practice  
81 (Browne et al., 2020; Teune, Woods, et al., 2021). Further, unsupervised machine learning techniques  
82 such as *k*-means clustering algorithms have been applied to Australian Football to group training  
83 activities according to similarities in player performance (Corbett et al., 2018). Specifically, *k*-means  
84 clustering has been useful to identify associations between training activity design and player  
85 performance (Corbett et al., 2018). These techniques provide interpretable outputs that make them  
86 applicable for end users in sport, such as skill acquisition specialists or coaches. An adaptation of such  
87 techniques may be beneficial as a practical tool for such practitioners to evaluate team sport training  
88 while considering constraint interaction between all three classes. Therefore, the primary aim of this  
89 study was to present a method to measure the relationship between interacting task, environmental and  
90 individual constraints on skill involvement frequency and kick percentage during Australian Football  
91 training. A secondary aim was to highlight the value of determining constraint interaction in applied  
92 sport training environments.

## 93 **Methods**

### 94 *Participants*

95 Participants were listed Australian Football League players ( $n = 54$ , height =  $187\text{cm} \pm 7.83$ , mass =  
96  $84.7\text{ kg} \pm 7.73$ , age =  $24.4\text{ years} \pm 3.42$ ) at a single club during the 2021-2022 seasons. All participants  
97 provided written informed consent and were injury free at the time of participation. Ethical approval  
98 was obtained from the University Ethics Committee (application number: HRE20-138).

### 99 *Data Collection*

100 Data were collected on 209 training activities, consisting of 34 different activity designs. All activities  
101 were characterised as a small-sided game, where two teams competed against each other within a  
102 specified field of play. Each activity type varied in the task goals, rules, field size or number of players.

103 Skill involvement data were collected via filming with a 25 Hz two-dimensional camera (Canon  
104 XA25/Canon XA20) from a side-on or behind-the-goals perspective. Skill involvements during each  
105 activity were coded via notational analysis software (Sportscode, version 12.2.10, Hudl) using a  
106 customised code window whereby each skill involvement (or “disposal”) was labelled according to the  
107 type (kick or handball) and the player’s name who performed the skill ( $n = 7301$ ). Each disposal was  
108 further labelled with two task constraints: pressure (present or absent) and possession time ( $<2$  s or  $>2$   
109 s), which has been the approach used in other Australian Football work (Browne et al., 2020). Pressure  
110 was defined as a disposal performed within 3 m of an opponent, while possession time was defined as  
111 the time between receiving and disposing the ball. Inter-rater reliability of the notational coding was  
112 assessed using a hold-out sample of 168 disposals, not included in the main analysis, resulting in a  
113 Kappa statistic (Landis & Koch, 1977) of “almost perfect” ( $>0.8$ ) for all variables. Intra-rater reliability  
114 was conducted after a 14-day washout period resulting in Kappa statistics ranging from “substantial”  
115 (0.67-0.8) to “almost perfect” ( $>0.8$ ) across three coders.

116 Individual constraints for each player were recorded at the beginning of each season, which were height  
117 (cm), weight (kg), number of games played (#) and playing position (defender, midfielder, forward or  
118 key position). Key position players typically consist of tall forwards and tall defenders (McIntosh et al.,  
119 2021). Age (years) was also determined as the time period between the player’s date of birth and the  
120 date of training activity occurrence. Playing positions were assigned in consultation with the club’s  
121 coaching staff who were familiar with individual player roles. Distributions of each individual  
122 constraint are shown in Figure 1. Skill involvement data was labelled with individual constraints  
123 according to the player’s name associated with each disposal. For every training activity, each player’s  
124 skilled performance was then summarised according to four measures: disposal frequency, kick  
125 percentage, pressure, and possession time. These measures were chosen through consultation with  
126 club’s coaching staff and Australian Football literature (Teune, Woods, et al., 2021). Disposal frequency  
127 was calculated as the total disposals divided by the activity duration in minutes, while kick percentage  
128 was represented as the percentage of kicked disposals. Pressure was represented as the percentage of

129 pressured disposals, and possession time was represented as the percentage of disposals <2 s. These  
130 calculations resulted in 2499 individual training activity performances.

131 \*\*FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE\*\*

132 **Figure 1.** Distribution of each individual constraint included in analysis.

### 133 *Statistical Analysis*

134 To determine the influence of constraint classes and their interactions on player skilled behaviour, four  
135 analyses were conducted. This approach was taken to demonstrate the influence of constraint classes  
136 when considered both in isolation and in combination.

137 In the first analysis, regression trees were used to estimate the interaction between constraints (Morgan  
138 et al., 2013). To determine the influence of individual constraints alone on player performance, two  
139 regression trees were grown, estimating disposal frequency and kick proportion, respectively. To  
140 determine the interaction between individual and task constraints, two further regression trees were  
141 grown to estimate pressure and possession time. All statistical analysis occurred in the R programming  
142 environment (R Core Team, 2019), with regression trees grown using the *rpart* package (Therneau &  
143 Atkinson, 2022). The five individual constraints were included as predictors in each of the models, and  
144 parameters were specified with a minimum split of 20 observations and a complexity parameter of 0.01.

145 In the second analysis, *k*-means clustering was used to identify the training activities which result in  
146 similar player outputs and were grouped accordingly to determine the influence of environmental  
147 constraints on skilled behaviour (Corbett et al., 2018). A scree plot was first generated to determine the  
148 appropriate number of clusters to use in analysis. 10 maximum iterations were permitted, with each  
149 training activity then assigned to one of the cluster memberships according to the results of the *k*-means  
150 clustering.

151 In the third analysis, to determine the interaction between environmental and individual constraint  
152 classes on skilled behaviour, regression trees were grown to estimate disposal frequency and kick



153 percentage. Each of the five individual constraints and the environmental constraint of activity type  
154 were included in the two models using the same parameters as previous models.

155 In the fourth analysis, to determine the interaction between environmental, individual and task  
156 constraint classes, two regression trees were grown to estimate pressure and possession time. The five  
157 individual constraints and the environmental constraint of activity type were included as predictors in  
158 the model. The same model parameters were used as previous models.

## 159 **Results**

160 Across 2499 training activities, the mean and standard deviation was  $0.59 \pm 0.46$  disposals per minute,  
161  $60.2\% \pm 40\%$  kicks,  $40.7\% \pm 39.5\%$  pressured disposals, and  $51.2\% \pm 40\%$  disposals  $<2$  s. For the two  
162 regression tree models which included only individual constraints, the first estimated disposal frequency  
163 with a mean squared error of 0.22 disposals / min. The second model estimating kick percentage had a  
164 root mean squared error of 44.02 %. For the two regression trees which estimated task constraints using  
165 only individual constraints as predictors, the model estimating pressure had a root mean squared error  
166 of 39.49 %. The model estimating possession time had a root mean squared error of 39.98 %.

167 Visual analysis of the scree plot resulted in four clusters being selected. The four cluster centres  
168 resulting from the subsequent *k*-means clustering analysis is shown in Table 1. The distributions of the  
169 player performance metrics (disposal frequency, kick proportion, pressure, and possession time) within  
170 each activity membership are shown in Figure 2. Cluster one was distinguished as handball only  
171 activities, with high levels of disposal frequency, pressure and lower possession times. Cluster two had  
172 the highest proportion of kicked disposals and disposals  $<2$  s and the lowest level of pressure. Cluster  
173 three had the lowest disposal frequency, a high proportion of kicks with low pressure and time  
174 constraints. While cluster four was similar to cluster one in terms of pressure and possession time, it  
175 involved predominantly kicked disposals with a lower disposal frequency.

176 **Table 1.** Cluster centres (averages) of each training performance metric for drill activity memberships

| Cluster membership | Disposal Frequency (p/min) | % Kicked Disposals | % Pressured Disposals | % Disposals <2 s |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 1                  | 1.11                       | 0                  | 61.6                  | 66.0             |
| 2                  | 0.69                       | 82.0               | 21.3                  | 79.4             |
| 3                  | 0.39                       | 78.5               | 28.3                  | 33.8             |
| 4                  | 0.45                       | 69.5               | 76.2                  | 53.0             |

177

178

**\*\*FIGURE 2 ABOUT HERE\*\***

179

**Figure 2.** Distribution of training performance metrics; disposal frequency (**A**), kick percentage (**B**), pressure (**C**) and possession time (**D**) within each activity membership. Note, in panel **B**, data for cluster membership one has not been displayed given that no kicked disposals were recorded in this membership.

182

183

The regression trees that included environmental and individual constraints, estimating disposal frequency and kick percentage, are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. The results of the tree estimating disposal frequency had a mean squared error of 0.129 disposals / min and an R squared value of 0.40. Games played was the only individual constraint included in the model which was shown to positively influence disposal frequency for activities in membership one. The regression tree estimating kick percentage had a root mean squared error of 29.83 % and an R squared value of 0.54. No individual constraints were included in this model.

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

**\*\*FIGURE 3 ABOUT HERE\*\***

191

**Figure 3.** Regression tree modelling disposal frequency (disposals / min). Environmental constraints (cluster memberships) and individual constraints (age, games played, height, mass, position) were included as independent variables. The top number reported in each node represents the estimated outcome value (disposals / min). The bottom values in each node represent the frequency and percentage of cases within each node.

192

193

194

195

196

**\*\*FIGURE 4 ABOUT HERE\*\***

197 **Figure 4.** Regression tree modelling disposal type (% of kicked disposals). Environmental constraints  
198 (cluster memberships) and individual constraints (age, games played, height, mass, position) were  
199 included as independent variables. The top number reported in each node represents the estimated  
200 outcome value (% of kicked disposals). The bottom values in each node represent the frequency and  
201 percentage of cases within each node.

202 The regression trees that included environmental and individual constraints, used to estimate task  
203 constraints, pressure and possession time, are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. The results of the  
204 model estimating pressure had a root mean squared error of 34.69 % and an R squared value of 0.22.  
205 The model estimating possession time had a root mean squared error of 35.62 % and an R squared value  
206 of 0.21. Neither of these models included any individual constraints to partition the data.

207

**\*\*FIGURE 5 ABOUT HERE\*\***

208 **Figure 5.** Regression tree modelling pressure (% of pressured disposals). Environmental constraints  
209 (cluster memberships) and individual constraints (age, games played, height, mass, position) were  
210 included as independent variables. The top number reported in each node represents the estimated  
211 outcome value (% of pressured disposals). The bottom values in each node represent the frequency  
212 and percentage of cases within each node.

213

**\*\*FIGURE 6 ABOUT HERE\*\***

214 **Figure 6.** Regression tree modelling possession time (% of disposals <2s). Environmental constraints  
215 (cluster memberships) and individual constraints (age, games played, height, mass, position) were  
216 included as independent variables. The top number reported in each node represents the estimated  
217 outcome value (% of disposals <2s). The bottom values in each node represent the frequency and  
218 percentage of cases within each node.

## 219 **Discussion**

220 This study demonstrated a method to evaluate player performance in a team sport training environment  
221 by considering the interaction of individual, environmental and task constraints. Results showed that  
222 the environmental constraint of activity type was the most influential on player performance, indicating  
223 that players adapted their performance to suit the training activity design. The individual constraints  
224 collected in this study had limited influence on player performance, suggesting that coaches achieved  
225 activity designs that constrained player behaviour in a similar way, regardless of individual  
226 characteristics. In one exception however, games played showed an interaction with activity type one,  
227 suggesting that experienced players were able to perform more disposals than less experienced  
228 teammates. Task and environmental constraint interaction was also noted, indicating the environmental  
229 constraint of activity type influenced the levels of the task constraints, pressure and possession time,  
230 however, the individual constraints collected in this study did not influence this.

231 Individual constraints, when considered alone, did not influence disposal frequency or kick percentage,  
232 nor did they influence the task constraints of pressure or possession time. This contradicts other work  
233 where individual constraints have been influential on skilled performance (Almeida et al., 2016;  
234 Cordovil et al., 2009; Pocock et al., 2018, 2021). This result may be explained by the wide range of  
235 varying activity types included in the current study, leading to variability in performance. Individual  
236 constraints are perceived by coaches as an important feature to consider in practice design (Pocock et  
237 al., 2020). However, these results indicate that there were no general trends in player performance which  
238 were applicable across all activity types. Further context to these constraints is required, thereby helping  
239 coaches evaluate player performance more effectively. This result may also mean that different or more  
240 sensitive individual constraints need to be considered in future research, inclusive of physiological  
241 qualities, such as heart rate, or psychological attributes, such as confidence level (Pocock et al., 2021).

242 The *k*-means clustering was beneficial to determine associations between the practitioner's activity  
243 designs and player performance, whereby activities resulting in similar player performances could be  
244 grouped. For example, the activities included in cluster one were limited to handballs only –  
245 representing tasks with a rule constraint that did not permit kicking. Contrastingly, cluster two activities

246 were designed with constraints which encouraged a high proportion of quick kicks with low levels of  
247 pressure. This suggests, within this group of activities, that players were able to identify passing options  
248 quickly and dispose of the ball before defensive pressure could be applied. *K*-means clustering could  
249 be helpful for activity prescription, allowing coaches to select a range of activities from particular  
250 groups which meet certain training targets, such as a focus on kicking or performing disposals under  
251 pressure. Accordingly, relevant support staff, such as data analysts or skill acquisition specialists, may  
252 use such analysis to help guide the design of practice tasks through careful manipulation of constraints  
253 (Woods et al., 2020). Additionally, the clustering approach used here is flexible, meaning it can be  
254 applied to any team and across any parameters deemed important by practitioners.

255 Including the environmental constraint of activity type with individual constraints in the regression trees  
256 improved the model's accuracy. This result was expected, as activity type was previously grouped  
257 according to the player performance metrics. However, the individual constraints included in the models  
258 had limited capacity in explaining further variance within each activity type. This result highlights the  
259 capability of the coaches to design activities that constrain player performance similarly. Thus, the  
260 minimal influence of individual constraints is a beneficial insight for practitioners, identifying the  
261 consistent influence of their activity design across all players, regardless of individual characteristics.  
262 In one exception, an interaction between activity type one and games played influenced disposal  
263 frequency. According to the cluster centres, activity type one was characterised as a fast game with high  
264 disposal frequency using only handballs, high levels of pressure, and high levels of temporal constraints.  
265 Accordingly, within this group of activities, experience was important in shaping how often a player  
266 performed a disposal (Baker et al., 2003). This may be due to the higher skill of experienced players to  
267 perform under increased temporal and spatial constraints, positioning themselves more optimally to  
268 receive and dispose the ball. Alternatively, experienced players may be more frequently sought out by  
269 teammates as passing options.

270 Importantly, within activity type one, the regression tree model identified a critical value for experience  
271 of 60 games, which may be leveraged by coaches to inform individual differences in performance  
272 during this activity type. Though, it may be beneficial for coaches to utilise support from a broader staff

273 team, including a skill acquisition specialist, to best glean such information. Indeed, the benefits of skill  
274 acquisition support has been highlighted in (para-) Olympic sports (Pinder & Renshaw, 2019; Williams  
275 & Ford, 2009). Thus, a skill acquisition specialist (perhaps working closely with performance analysts)  
276 could undertake an analysis such as that described here, to then be reported back to coaching staff as  
277 additional information which may guide how constraints can be manipulated during practice tasks. For  
278 example, in the present study, players could be divided into “more experienced” (> 60 games) and “less  
279 experienced” (< 60 games) groups. Coaches may utilise this grouping to achieve their training goals,  
280 purposefully accelerating the skill development of less experienced players by placing them against  
281 more experienced ones. Alternatively, less experienced players may train against other less experienced  
282 players, potentially increasing their disposal frequency and providing them with more learning  
283 opportunities. Less experienced players could also be provided additional training activities after the  
284 session, or the activity could be run for longer to allow these players to accrue more disposals.  
285 Regardless, this result exemplifies how the analysis can be practically implemented by skill acquisition  
286 specialists and performance analysts to assist a coach’s ability to structure and plan training sessions  
287 that consider individual differences (Chow, 2013).

288 The environmental constraint of activity type interacted with the two task constraints of pressure and  
289 possession time however, the regression trees were only able to explain 22% and 21% of the variance  
290 in these constraints, respectively. This indicated that these constraints were highly variable within  
291 activity types and may be a result of constraint manipulations implemented by coaches which were not  
292 collected in this study. For example, field dimension or the number of players may have been  
293 manipulated from session to session, according to changes in player availability or to directly influence  
294 player performance. Indeed, field dimension and the number of players has been shown to influence  
295 player performance in Australian Football (Bonney et al., 2020; Fleay et al., 2018; Teune, Spencer, et  
296 al., 2021; Teune, Woods, et al., 2021). In the present study, only the environmental constraint of activity  
297 type was shown to influence the task constraints, with none of the individual constraints included in the  
298 resulting models. Accordingly, alternate or improved measures of individual constraints may need to  
299 be collected to determine their influence on player performance. For example, players were allocated

300 into one of four positions; forward, midfield, defender or key position. However, unlike some sports,  
301 such as netball, the nature of positions in Australian Football is dynamic. More detailed position  
302 groupings may influence the models such as including small general forwards and defenders, or rucks,  
303 as used in other Australian Football work (McIntosh et al., 2018).

304 Given the applied nature of the current study, there are limitations that require acknowledgement. First,  
305 specific constraints such as field dimensions, number of players or task rules were not collected. This  
306 could have been manipulated by coaches between sessions and may therefore have influenced  
307 behaviour. These environmental and task constraints have been modelled in previous Australian  
308 Football work (Teune, Woods, et al., 2021), however, future studies may look to include individual  
309 constraints within such models to provide deeper insight into player behaviour. Additionally,  
310 environmental constraints, like fluctuations in wind, rain, ambient temperature or time in session of the  
311 practice task were not collected, which may have influenced player performance. Future work may also  
312 measure a broader range of player behaviour metrics within training activities, including defensive skill  
313 involvements, such as tackles or intercepts, skill involvement effectiveness, or team behaviour metrics  
314 such as team separateness or surface area. Finally, given the broad time range in which data collection  
315 occurred, it is possible that player performance changed according to tactical directions of coaching  
316 staff. Thus, future work may benefit from measuring training performance adaptations over longitudinal  
317 timelines to inform training design (Farrow & Robertson, 2017).

## 318 **Conclusion**

319 This study developed a method to measure interaction between individual, environmental and task  
320 constraints during Australian Football training. The environmental constraint of activity type was the  
321 most influential on individual training performance, highlighting the achievement of coaches to design  
322 training which constrains all players similarly. The individual constraint of player experience interacted  
323 with one activity type. It was shown how the analysis can be used to identify critical constraint values,  
324 such as 60 games played, which can inform training design by allocating players into specific groupings.  
325 This study is presented as a practical tool for sport practitioners and coaches to evaluate the performance  
326 of their players during training and inform the design and structure of training activities.

327 **List of abbreviations**

328 CLA            Constraints Led Approach

329 **Declarations**

330 *Competing interests*

331 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

332



333 **References**

- 334 Almeida, C. H., Duarte, R., Volossovitch, A., & Ferreira, A. P. (2016). Scoring mode and age-related  
335 effects on youth soccer teams' defensive performance during small-sided games. *Journal of*  
336 *Sports Sciences*, 34(14), 1355–1362. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1150602>
- 337 Araújo, D., Davids, K., & Hristovski, R. (2006). The ecological dynamics of decision making in sport.  
338 *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 7(6), 653–676.  
339 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2006.07.002>
- 340 Australian Football League. (2021). *2021 Laws of the Game*.  
341 [https://resources.afl.com.au/afl/document/2021/05/17/8ee25f9e-5aff-4813-9c5d-  
342 1addfa655470/2021-Laws-of-the-Game-.pdf?\\_ga=2.173672032.252968313.1648181475-  
343 820647460.1647339803](https://resources.afl.com.au/afl/document/2021/05/17/8ee25f9e-5aff-4813-9c5d-1addfa655470/2021-Laws-of-the-Game-.pdf?_ga=2.173672032.252968313.1648181475-820647460.1647339803)
- 344 Baker, J., Cote, J., & Abernethy, B. (2003). Sport-Specific Practice and the Development of Expert  
345 Decision-Making in Team Ball Sports. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 15(1), 12–25.  
346 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200305400>
- 347 Bonney, N., Ball, K., Berry, J., & Larkin, P. (2020). Effects of manipulating player numbers on  
348 technical and physical performances participating in an Australian football small-sided game.  
349 *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 30(21), 2430–2436.
- 350 Browne, P., Sweeting, A. J., Davids, K., & Robertson, S. (2019). Prevalence of interactions and  
351 influence of performance constraints on kick outcomes across Australian Football tiers:  
352 Implications for representative practice designs. *Human Movement Science*, 66, 621–630.  
353 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2019.06.013>
- 354 Browne, P., Sweeting, A. J., & Robertson, S. (2022). Modelling the Influence of Task Constraints on  
355 Goal Kicking Performance in Australian Rules Football. *Sports Medicine - Open*, 8(1), 13.  
356 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-021-00393-9>
- 357 Browne, P., Sweeting, A. J., Woods, C. T., & Robertson, S. (2021). Methodological Considerations for  
358 Furthering the Understanding of Constraints in Applied Sports. *Sports Medicine - Open*, 7(1),  
359 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-021-00313-x>

360 Browne, P., Woods, C. T., Sweeting, A. J., & Robertson, S. (2020). Applications of a working  
361 framework for the measurement of representative learning design in Australian football. *PLOS*  
362 *ONE*, 15(11), e0242336. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242336>

363 Casamichana, D., & Castellano, J. (2010). Time–motion, heart rate, perceptual and motor behaviour  
364 demands in small-sides soccer games: Effects of pitch size. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 28(14),  
365 1615–1623. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2010.521168>

366 Chow, J. Y. (2013). Nonlinear Learning Underpinning Pedagogy: Evidence, Challenges, and  
367 Implications. *Quest*, 65(4), 469–484. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297.2013.807746>

368 Corbett, D. M., Bartlett, J. D., O’connor, F., Back, N., Torres-Ronda, L., & Robertson, S. (2018).  
369 Development of physical and skill training drill prescription systems for elite Australian Rules  
370 football. *Science and Medicine in Football*, 2(1), 51–57.  
371 <https://doi.org/10.1080/24733938.2017.1381344>

372 Cordovil, R., Araújo, D., Davids, K., Gouveia, L., Barreiros, J., Fernandes, O., & Serpa, S. (2009). The  
373 influence of instructions and body-scaling as constraints on decision-making processes in team  
374 sports. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 9(3), 169–179.  
375 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461390902763417>

376 Davids, K. (2012). Learning design for Nonlinear Dynamical Movement Systems. *The Open Sports*  
377 *Sciences Journal*, 5(1). <https://benthamopen.com/ABSTRACT/TOSSJ-5-9>

378 Davids, K., Button, C., & Bennett, S. J. (2008). *Dynamics of skill acquisition: A constraints-led*  
379 *approach*. Human Kinetics.  
380 <http://www.humankinetics.com/products/showproduct.cfm?isbn=9780736036863>

381 Farrow, D., & Robertson, S. (2017). Development of a Skill Acquisition Periodisation Framework for  
382 High-Performance Sport. *Sports Medicine*, 47(6), 1043–1054. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0646-2)  
383 [016-0646-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0646-2)

384 Fleay, B., Joyce, C., Banyard, H., & Woods, C. (2018). Manipulating Field Dimensions During Small-  
385 sided Games Impacts the Technical and Physical Profiles of Australian Footballers. *Journal of*  
386 *Strength and Conditioning Research*, 32(7), 2039–2044.  
387 <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000002423>

388 Hodges, N. J., & Franks, I. M. (2002). Modelling coaching practice: The role of instruction and  
389 demonstration. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 20(10), 793–811.  
390 <https://doi.org/10.1080/026404102320675648>

391 Landis, J. R., & Koch, G. G. (1977). The Measurement of Observer Agreement for Categorical Data.  
392 *Biometrics*, 33(1), 159–174. JSTOR. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2529310>

393 McIntosh, S., Jackson, K. B., & Robertson, S. (2021). Apples and oranges? Comparing player  
394 performances between the Australian Football League and second-tier leagues. *Journal of*  
395 *Sports Sciences*, 39(18), 2123–2132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2021.1921372>

396 McIntosh, S., Kovalchik, S., & Robertson, S. (2018). Examination of player role in the Australian  
397 Football League using match performance data. *International Journal of Performance Analysis*  
398 *in Sport*, 18(3), 451–462. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24748668.2018.1486116>

399 Morgan, S., Williams, M. D., & Barnes, C. (2013). Applying decision tree induction for identification  
400 of important attributes in one-versus-one player interactions: A hockey exemplar. *Journal of*  
401 *Sports Sciences*, 31(10), 1031–1037. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2013.770906>

402 Newell, K. M. (1985). Coordination, Control and Skill. In D. Goodman, R. B. Wilberg, & I. M. Franks  
403 (Eds.), *Advances in Psychology* (Vol. 27, pp. 295–317). North-Holland.  
404 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4115\(08\)62541-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4115(08)62541-8)

405 Newell, K. M. (1986). Constraints on the development of coordination. In M. Wade & H. Whiting  
406 (Eds.), *Motor Development in children: Aspects of coordination and control* (pp. 341–360).  
407 Martinus Nijhoff.

408 Newell, K. M., Liu, Y.-T., & Mayer-Kress, G. (2001). Time scales in motor learning and development.  
409 *Psychological Review*, 108(1), 57.

410 Orth, D., Kamp, J. van der, & Button, C. (2019). Learning to be adaptive as a distributed process across  
411 the coach–athlete system: Situating the coach in the constraints-led approach. *Physical*  
412 *Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 24(2), 146–161.  
413 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17408989.2018.1557132>

414 Pinder, R. A., & Renshaw, I. (2019). What can coaches and physical education teachers learn from a  
415 constraints-led approach in para-sport? *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 24(2), 190–  
416 205. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17408989.2019.1571187>

417 Pocock, C., Bezodis, N. E., Davids, K., & North, J. S. (2018). Hot hands, cold feet? Investigating effects  
418 of interacting constraints on place kicking performance at the 2015 Rugby Union World Cup.  
419 *European Journal of Sport Science*, 18(10), 1309–1316.  
420 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2018.1486459>

421 Pocock, C., Bezodis, N. E., Davids, K., & North, J. S. (2021). Effects of manipulating specific  
422 individual constraints on performance outcomes, emotions, and movement phase durations in  
423 Rugby Union place kicking. *Human Movement Science*, 79, 102848.  
424 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2021.102848>

425 Pocock, C., Bezodis, N. E., Wadey, R., & North, J. S. (2020). Understanding Key Constraints and  
426 Practice Design in Rugby Union Place Kicking: Experiential Knowledge of Professional  
427 Kickers and Experienced Coaches. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 15(5–  
428 6), 631–641.

429 R Core Team. (2019). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for  
430 Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>

431 Renshaw, I., Chow, J. Y., Davids, K., & Hammond, J. (2010). A constraints-led perspective to  
432 understanding skill acquisition and game play: A basis for integration of motor learning theory  
433 and physical education praxis? *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 15(2), 117–137.  
434 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17408980902791586>

435 Renshaw, I., & Chow, J.-Y. (2019). A constraint-led approach to sport and physical education  
436 pedagogy. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 24(2), 103–116.  
437 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17408989.2018.1552676>

438 Robertson, S., Spencer, B., Back, N., & Farrow, D. (2019). A rule induction framework for the  
439 determination of representative learning design in skilled performance. *Journal of Sports  
440 Sciences*, 37(11), 1280–1285. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2018.1555905>

441 Teune, B., Spencer, B., Sweeting, A. J., Woods, C., Inness, M., & Robertson, S. (2021). Application of  
442 a continuous pressure metric for Australian football. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 39(13), 1548–  
443 1554. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2021.1886416>

444 Teune, B., Woods, C., Sweeting, A., Inness, M., & Robertson, S. (2021). The influence of  
445 environmental and task constraint interaction on skilled behaviour in Australian Football.  
446 *European Journal of Sport Science*, 22(8). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2021.1958011>

447 Therneau, T., & Atkinson, B. (2022). *rpart: Recursive Partitioning and Regression Trees*. (R package  
448 version 4.1.16). <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rpart>

449 Timmerman, E. A., Savelsbergh, G. J. P., & Farrow, D. (2019). Creating Appropriate Training  
450 Environments to Improve Technical, Decision-Making, and Physical Skills in Field Hockey.  
451 *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 90(2), 180–189.  
452 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2019.1571678>

453 Williams, A. M., & Ford, P. R. (2009). Promoting a skills-based agenda in Olympic sports: The role of  
454 skill-acquisition specialists. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 27(13), 1381–1392.  
455 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410902874737>

456 Woods, C. T., McKeown, I., Rothwell, M., Araújo, D., Robertson, S., & Davids, K. (2020). Sport  
457 Practitioners as Sport Ecology Designers: How Ecological Dynamics Has Progressively  
458 Changed Perceptions of Skill “Acquisition” in the Sporting Habitat. *Frontiers in Psychology*,  
459 11, 654. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00654>

460