



VICTORIA UNIVERSITY
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

The development and validation of the pandemic medication-assisted treatment questionnaire for the assessment of pandemic crises impact on medication management and administration for patients with opioid use disorders

This is the Published version of the following publication

Leventelis, Christonikos, Katsouli, Alexandra, Stavropoulos, Vasileios, Karasavvidou, Anna, Papadopoulos, Panagiotis, Barmpas, Petros T, Tasoulis, Sotiris, Veskoukis, Aristidis S and Tsironi, Maria (2023) The development and validation of the pandemic medication-assisted treatment questionnaire for the assessment of pandemic crises impact on medication management and administration for patients with opioid use disorders. *Nordic Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, 40 (1). pp. 76-94. ISSN 1455-0725

The publisher's official version can be found at
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/14550725221135574>
Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/47274/>

The development and validation of the pandemic medication-assisted treatment questionnaire for the assessment of pandemic crises impact on medication management and administration for patients with opioid use disorders

Nordic Studies on Alcohol and Drugs
2023, Vol. 40(1) 76–94

© The Author(s) 2023

Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions

DOI: 10.1177/14550725221135574

journals.sagepub.com/home/nad



Christonikos Leventelis 

Organization Against Drugs, Athens, Greece; Nursing Department, University of Peloponnese, Panarcadian Hospital Erythrou, Tripoli, Greece

Alexandra Katsouli

Organization Against Drugs, Athens, Greece

Vasileios Stavropoulos 

Organization Against Drugs, Athens, Greece

Anna Karasavidou

Organization Against Drugs, Athens, Greece

Panagiotis Papadopoulos

Organization Against Drugs, Athens, Greece

Petros T. Barmpas

Department of Computer science and Biomedical Informatics, University of Thessaly, Lamia, Greece

Submitted September 1, 2022; accepted October 12, 2022

Corresponding author:

Christonikos Leventelis, Toxicology Scientist, Department of Nursing, University of Peloponnese, Tripoli, 22100, Greece.
Email: xleventelis@yahoo.gr; clevente@uop.gr



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access page (<https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage>).

Sotiris Tasoulis

Department of Computer science and Biomedical Informatics, University of Thessaly,
Lamia, Greece

Aristidis S. Veskoukis

Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Thessaly, Trikala, Greece

Maria Tsironi

Nursing Department, University of Peloponnese, Panarcadian Hospital Erythrou,
Tripoli, Greece

Abstract

Pandemic and the globally applied restriction measures mainly affect vulnerable population groups, such as patients with opioid use disorders. Towards inhibiting SARS-Cov-2 spread, the medication-assisted treatment (MAT) programs follow strategies targeting the reduction of in-person psychosocial interventions and an increase of take-home doses. However, there is no available instrument to examine the impact of such modifications on diverse health aspects of patients under MAT. The aim of this study was to develop and validate the PANdemic Medication-Assisted Treatment Questionnaire (PANMAT/Q) to address the pandemic effect on the management and administration of MAT. In total, 463 patients under MAT participated. Our findings indicate that PANMAT/Q has been successfully validated exerting reliability and validity. It can be completed within approximately 5 min, and its implementation in research settings is advocated. PANMAT/Q could serve as a useful tool to identify the needs of patients under MAT being at high risk of relapse and overdose.

Keywords

buprenorphine, COVID-19 pandemic, medication-assisted treatment, methadone, opioid addiction, questionnaire

Introduction

Patients with opioid use disorders (OUDs) constitute a highly vulnerable population group. Indeed, the restriction and social distancing measures adopted by societies to decelerate the COVID-19 pandemic significantly affect their lives through intensifying medical and psychiatric co-morbidity (Volkow, 2020; Williams et al., 2021). This global public health crisis has induced serious changes in the implemented programs of medication-assisted treatment (MAT), since it led to crucial modifications in the delivery of medical care and in the regimen (i.e., management and administration) of

medication for opioid use disorders (MOUD) (Chan et al., 2022; Treitler et al., 2022). In particular, there has been a trend observed by the patients with OUDs to offer and buy illicit substances from the black market (Baillargeon et al., 2021), thus altering the management of MOUD, with craving and misuse being the most serious outcomes (Lambert, 2020). Moreover, economic and health disparities, inadequacy of supportive environment, homelessness, imprisonment, psychological trauma, stigma, and barriers that impede access to treatment due to restrictive measures have resulted in the adoption of unsafe practices that disturb

the management and administration of MOUD (Vasylyeva et al., 2020). Consequently, relapse to opioids and further impairment of the already problematic quality of life (QoL) of patients with OUDs have been observed; however, reliable tools for the assessment of such situations under extreme periods, such as the COVID-19 pandemic crisis, are lacking (Leventelis et al., 2020a; Tyndall, 2020).

Several uni- and multidimensional scales and tools have been developed to describe and assess specific problems on the procedure of substance use, such as the desire for using, the disorders due to use, or the severity of health problems contributed to substance use (Marsden et al., 1998; McLellan et al., 1992; Tiffany et al., 1993). However, in most of the cases, the existing tools fail to include in their evaluation all potential dimensions of human behavior (Terwee et al., 2007). Regarding the impact of the pandemic on mental health, diverse tools have been developed for the assessment of psychological destruction (Akan, 2022), fear (Zolotov et al., 2022), anxiety (Chandu et al., 2020), preventive behaviors (Chang et al., 2022), the impact of events (Vanaken et al., 2020), and the QoL (Repišti et al., 2020). Referring to patients with OUDs under MAT, most of the available studies have been focused mainly on the description of the emotional states of the patients affected by COVID-19, creating tools for the detection of worries or fear (i.e., the COVID-19 Worry Index and the Fear of COVID-19 scale), or to assess the forces that promote motivation to substance use (Rogers et al., 2020). Other tools have been built to measure COVID-19-related anxiety and depression (Adinolfi et al., 2022), as well as symptoms of anxiety and depressive disorders, suicidal attitude, and substance use disorders due to COVID-19 (Czeisler et al., 2020).

However, the need for the development of a questionnaire that will consider the alterations in the MAT programs dictated by the pandemic through the incorporation of the appropriate and accurate questions is indisputable. The above-mentioned alterations were implemented under the concept that the spread of COVID-19

should also be prevented in MAT programs to protect the members of the vulnerable population who attend them. Towards this direction, specific adjustments in the MAT administration procedures were made. The most important were the confinement of the daily face-to-face visits of the patients in the MAT programs to take their medication, the enhancement of the number of the take-home doses (i.e., 15 or 30 take-home doses, thus the patients visit the MAT programs only once or twice a month), the reduction of the frequency of drug urine screening for toxic substances, and the constraint of the services that offer psychosocial support to the patients (Corace et al., 2022; Del Pozo & Rich, 2020; Harris et al., 2022; Nunes et al., 2021).

All these changes may negatively affect the procedure of successful maintenance treatment, thus preventing rehabilitation via increase of craving. Interestingly, methadone and buprenorphine, along with counseling and psychosocial services offered by MAT programs, aim to the high adherence of patients to treatment. In parallel, this MAT practice intends to reduce illegal substance use and overdose mortality rates, as well as to inhibit aggressive and drug-seeking behaviors (Joseph et al., 2021; Treitler et al., 2022). Notwithstanding, because of the loosening of the regulations on MOUD attributed to COVID-19 measures, concerns regarding the diversion of opioids into the black market and the potential surge of harmful health consequences from misuse and overdose of prescribed medications have emerged (Del Pozo & Rich, 2020; Nagelhout et al., 2017; Nunes et al., 2021). To our knowledge, there is no available tool regarding the assessment of the pandemic impact on the management and administration of MOUD and on several health and social parameters that pertain to MAT patients as evaluated by the patients themselves (Harris et al., 2022). This is an important matter, since examined from the patient perspective, a major context for the observed impact of the pandemic-related changes on mental and clinical parameters could guide

research and public health policies to address specific issues as they have been stressed by the patients themselves (Harris et al., 2022). In that end, the main objective of the present study was the development and validation of the PANdemic Medication-Assisted Treatment Questionnaire (PANMAT/Q), an instrument for the management and administration of MOUD, namely methadone and buprenorphine, in patients under MAT programs. It is expected that such a questionnaire, which is oriented toward patients under MOUD, will offer valuable insight concerning the impact of restriction measures applied globally in the COVID-19 era, as well as in similar crises that will potentially arise in the future, on the trajectory to retention to maintenance treatment, and, finally, rehab and social reintegration.

Material and methods

The development of the questionnaire

Conceptual framework. After the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, the Greek Organization against Drugs (OKANA) and other relevant authorities worldwide provided their patients with their take-home doses for an extended period up to a whole month. The concept for this action was to prevent the patients from visiting them every day, thus preventing the coronavirus spread. In compliance with a period of crisis, the Substance Abuse and Human Services Administration (SAMHSA) emphasized on the maintenance of the adherence of MOUD and encouraged providers to communicate two ideas to encourage the success of this modified way to offer medication to patients (Del Pozo & Rich, 2020). The first idea was that methadone and buprenorphine, as agonist MOUD, are a highly integral part of the therapeutic treatment of OUDs (Del Pozo & Rich, 2020). The second idea referred to the fact that access to treatment, even under this regimen, ensures high adherence and protection of the patients against overdose, whereas, although the danger for MOUD diversion and

misuse is existent, it is considered less crucial (Del Pozo & Rich, 2020). To that end, the MAT programs were rapidly adapted in the new reality, regarding both the procedures of medication administration and psychosocial support. Nevertheless, enhancement of medication diversion, misuse, and overdose were often noted, highlighting serious issues emerged from the management of MOUD and retention to treatment due to the COVID-19 pandemic (Corace et al., 2022; Del Pozo & Rich, 2020; Harris et al., 2022; Nunes et al., 2021).

Item generation

The main query of this study was to develop a questionnaire that will offer to professionals the ability to evaluate the management and administration of MOUD, namely methadone and buprenorphine, in patients under MAT programs during a period of public health crisis that is the COVID-19 pandemic. On the basis of the theoretical background and the referred concerns, 35 items concerning mood, substance administration, pandemic measures, sociability, accessibility to therapeutic programs, biopsychosocial support, and wellness were created from scratch and were initially proposed. The chosen type of measurement was a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (i.e., not at all) to 5 (i.e., very much). According to the literature, a 5-, 7-, or 10-point Likert scale allows the respondents to adequately express their feelings in a more sensitive manner compared to a scale with two, three, or four response options (Preston & Colman, 2000).

Content validity

For the validation of the proposed PANMAT/Q, 10 expert scientists in the fields of addiction, psychiatry, nursing, psychology, and questionnaire development evaluated whether the introduced 35 items with a 4-point Likert scale could lead to the desired outcome. After three rounds, 26 items with a content validity index (CVI) > .9 were selected. A CVI \geq .8 is considered

acceptable (Zamanzadeh et al., 2015). The readability of the questionnaire was reviewed by a Greek linguist. Then, 50 patients were invited to assess the language clarity and readability of the items and to identify potential difficulties with questionnaire completion.

Convergent validity

To assess convergent validity, the PANMAT/Q was correlated with the Nottingham Health Profile (NHP) and the Heroin Craving Questionnaire (HCQ). The NHP is a self-administered tool and has been used to evaluate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the QoL of patients under MAT. It evaluates the discomfort of an individual who suffers from various health problems and their effects on his everyday activities. The validity and reliability of the Greek version were high with test-retest reliability coefficients, Spearman's r -value in the range of .77–.86 (Leventelis et al., 2020a). The HCQ is a multidimensional self-administered instrument and has been used to measure the cravings of opioid-addicted patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. The reliability of the HCQ is high as indicated by the value of Cronbach's $\alpha = .9$ (Leventelis et al., 2020b)

Participants and procedure

Based on the literature, the appropriate number of participants is recommended to be equal to 10 times the number of the items of the questionnaire (DeVellis, 2017). In our case, 260 participants are an adequate population. For the needs of the study, considering a percentage of dropout (usually 10%), 550 patients randomly chosen using an electronic software, who participated in 54 MAT programs of OKANA, received the questionnaire. A total of 463 questionnaires were returned and used for the analysis. All patients were completely informed about the purpose and objectives of the experiment. The confidentiality of personal data was fully ensured, whereas each patient signed a consensus form before participating

in the study. According to the inclusion criteria, the patients should be aged over 20 years, they should be long-term users of opioid substances experiencing physical and mental dependence, and they should be active members in MAT programs during at least two quarantine periods during the COVID-19 pandemic. Patients with severe psychopathology and serious pathological problems, which impaired attending the program, were excluded from the study. All patients completed the three above-mentioned instruments, i.e., PANMAT/Q, HCP, and NHP, to assess the effects of the pandemic on the management and administration of MOUD, on craving, and on their QoL.

Administration of MAT

The patients were under either methadone hydrochloride solution (10 mg/mL) or buprenorphine tablets (2–8 mg). Mean doses of methadone and buprenorphine were equal to 72.15 mg/24 h and 15.6 mg/24 h, respectively. According to the literature, daily doses of methadone in the range of 40–100 mg and buprenorphine in the range of 12–14 mg are considered effective (Saxon et al., 2013).

Ethical considerations

The present study was performed in line with the European Union guidelines under the 1975 Helsinki Declaration as revised in 2013 and was approved by the Nursing Department of the University of Peloponnese, Tripoli, Greece and the scientific committee of OKANA.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables were expressed as mean values \pm standard deviation (SD), and qualitative variables were expressed as absolute and relative frequencies. Exploratory factor analysis was carried out to evaluate construct validity, disclose underlying structures, and reduce the number of variables in the impact of PANMAT/Q. Principal component analysis (PCA) was chosen

as an extraction method using Varimax rotation. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin procedure for measuring sample adequacy was applied. The cutoff point for factor loadings was .40 and for eigenvalues it was 1.00. Internal consistency reliability was determined by the calculation of Cronbach's α coefficient. Scales with reliabilities greater than or equal to .70 were considered acceptable. Convergent validity was assessed with the association of PANMAT/Q impact factors with NHP and HCQ subscales via Pearson's correlational analysis (r). Discriminant construct validity was evaluated by comparing COVID-19 impact questionnaire factors between the two substitutes using the Student t -test. All reported p values are two-tailed. Statistical significance was set at $p < .05$ and analyses were conducted using SPSS statistical software (version 22.0).

Results

In total, 463 patients under MAT (76.8% men), whose characteristics are presented in Table 1, voluntarily participated in this study. Their mean age was 44.8 years, their nationality was almost exclusively (97.4%) Greek, and most of them had health insurance (68.5%) and were not married (64.7%). In addition, 41.6% of the participants were high school graduates and 63.8% were unemployed. The mean age at first use was 17.8 years and the mean duration both in MAT programs and of drug use was 7.5 and 16.8 years, respectively. Moreover, 1.5% of the participants had been diagnosed positive for COVID-19, and 6% had been in touch with a case of COVID-19. Furthermore, 39.2% of the volunteers were living in a high-risk area for COVID-19, and 75.2% received buprenorphine

Table 1. The characteristics of the participants.

Characteristics	Values
Sex	
Women	107 (23.2)
Men	355 (76.8)
Age, years	44.8 \pm 15.9
Educational status	
None	8 (1.8)
Primary school	57 (12.5)
Secondary school	126 (27.6)
High school	190 (41.6)
Technical school	52 (11.4)
University	20 (4.4)
MSc	4 (.9)
Nationality	
Greek	447 (97.4)
Other	12 (2.6)
Insurance	313 (68.5)
Family status	
Married	73 (16)
Unmarried	295 (64.7)
Widowed	12 (2.6)
Divorced	56 (12.3)
Separated	20 (4.4)
Work status	

(continued)

Table I. (continued)

Characteristics	Values
Full-time	98 (21.4)
Part-time	43 (9.4)
Temporarily out of work	25 (5.4)
Unemployed	293 (63.8)
City	
Athens	192 (41.9)
Thessaloniki	38 (8.3)
Other	228 (49.8)
Place of residence	
Urban	371 (81.9)
Rural	82 (18.1)
Chronic disease	
Diabetes	11 (2.4)
Neoplastic disease	5 (1.1)
Cardiovascular disease	18 (3.9)
COPD	29 (6.3)
Systematic disease	9 (2)
Other	127 (27.5)
None	262 (56.8)
COVID-19 case	7 (1.5)
In contact with COVID-19 case	27 (6)
Tested for COVID-19	107 (23.8)
Living with COVID-19 case	10 (2.2)
Living in high-risk place	178 (39.2)
Travelled in high-risk place	23 (5.1)
Substitute	
Methadone	115 (24.8)
Buprenorphine	348 (75.2)
NHP	
Energy level	37.8 ± 39.3
Pain	15.8 ± 23.6
Emotional reaction	40.5 ± 32
Sleep, mean	44 ± 31.6
Social isolation	36.8 ± 34.9
Physical abilities	15.5 ± 21.6
HCQ	
Desire to use heroine	16.3 ± 10.3
Intention and planning to use heroine	18 ± 11
Anticipation of positive outcome	19.8 ± 11.1
Anticipation of relief from withdrawal or dysphoria	26.3 ± 10.5
Lack of control over use	26.5 ± 10.7

Note. Values are given as n (%) or mean ± SD. COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HCQ = Heroin Craving Questionnaire; NHP = Nottingham Health Profile.

as a MOUD (24.8% were under methadone treatment).

The items of the PANMAT/Q are described in detail in Table 2. Exploratory factor analysis was conducted with Varimax rotation, suggesting six factors, as presented in Table 3. The KMO value was .54 and Bartlett's criterion was statistically significant ($p < .001$). All loadings were above .4, thus all items were included in a factor. All six factors combined explained 80.23% of the variance. The factor "Mood" included three items and explained 13.72% of the variance. In addition, the factor "Substance administration and pandemic measures" included six items and explained 16.55% of the variance. The factor "Sociability" included five items and explained 13.26% of the variance. Moreover, the factor "Accessibility to therapeutic programs" included three items and explained 9.52% of the variance. The factor "Biopsychosocial support from therapeutic programs" included six items and explained 16.34% of the variance. Furthermore, the factor "Wellness" included three items and explained 10.84% of the variance.

Corrected item-total correlations and Cronbach's alpha, if an item was deleted per factor, are presented in Table 4. All corrected item-total correlations were above .4. The internal consistency reliability was acceptable for all factors. More specifically, Cronbach's alpha was .98 for "Mood", .83 for "Substance administration and pandemic measures", .85 for "Sociability", .76 for "Accessibility to therapeutic programs", .88 for "Biopsychosocial support from therapeutic programs", and .86 for "Wellness".

Correlations of PANMAT/Q factors with NHP and HCQ factors are presented in Table 5. Only "Sociability" was positively correlated with "Energy level" of NHP and "Anticipation of relief from withdrawal or dysphoria" and "Lack of control over use" of HCQ. All other factors were not significantly associated with NHP and HCQ factors.

The PANMAT/Q factors by MAT are presented in Table 6. Participants under methadone had a significantly greater impact of COVID-19 in almost all sectors (except for "Accessibility

to therapeutic programs") compared to their counterparts under buprenorphine.

Discussion

The present study reports for the first time the development and validation of a psychometric instrument (i.e., PANMAT/Q) for the evaluation of pandemic impact on social and clinical parameters of patients under MAT with methadone and buprenorphine. The PANMAT/Q is referred to patients themselves since it is completed by them and considers the modifications in the MOUD programs that are attributed to the restriction and social distancing measures applied in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. The questionnaire is a concise and friendly-to-use instrument; it can be completed in approximately 5 min, and it covers all major aspects and barriers that mediate in the management and administration of agonists against OUDs in patients who attend MAT programs. According to the factor analysis, six factors are involved in the management and administration of MOUD in MAT patients during the pandemic crisis. These factors are "Mood", "Substance administration and pandemic measures", "Sociability", "Accessibility to therapeutic programs", "Biopsychosocial support from therapeutic programs", and "Wellness".

Research findings indicate that patients with OUDs have experienced the consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic and the subsequent restriction measures to a large extent, facing increased stress, isolation, and sense of insecurity combined with serious health problems due to opioid use (Tracy et al., 2021). Moreover, parameters that exacerbate the negative impact of COVID-19, such as social stigma, lack or confinement in the availability of the services (i.e., medical care and psychosocial support) of MAT programs, and difficulties in the accessibility (e.g., residence in remote areas) of the patients in MAT programs, seem to negatively affect treatment retention and overdose prevention (Corace et al., 2022; Krawczyk et al., 2022;

Table 2. The items of the Pandemic Medication-Assisted Treatment Questionnaire.

No.	Item	Not at all	A little	Moderate	Much	Very much
	Did the pandemic and the social distancing measures implemented affect...					
1	Expressing problems regarding your health?	2 (0.4)	41 (8.9)	303 (65.4)	101 (21.8)	16 (3.5)
2	Your mood when leaving your house in order to go to the MAT program?	2 (.4)	41 (8.9)	322 (69.5)	80 (17.3)	18 (3.9)
3	Your access to healthcare in general?	2 (.4)	41 (8.9)	322 (69.5)	82 (17.7)	16 (3.5)
4	Your activities outside the home?	2 (.4)	81 (17.5)	208 (44.9)	139 (30)	33 (7.1)
5	Your prescription needs regarding additional legally medicines?	2 (.4)	130 (28.1)	153 (33)	130 (28.1)	48 (10.4)
6	The availability of your medication-assisted substance?	30 (6.5)	150 (32.4)	92 (19.9)	125 (27)	66 (14.3)
7	The availability of healthcare services provision by the MAT program?	100 (21.6)	91 (19.7)	61 (13.2)	140 (30.2)	71 (15.3)
8	Your social activities?	101 (21.8)	111 (24)	74 (16)	111 (24)	66 (14.3)
9	Expressing problems regarding your medication-assisted substance?	62 (13.4)	119 (25.7)	70 (15.1)	72 (15.6)	140 (30.2)
10	Your access to your MAT program?	2 (.4)	170 (36.7)	113 (24.4)	45 (9.7)	133 (28.7)
11	The concurrent supply of psychoactive substances?	2 (.4)	98 (21.2)	181 (39.1)	69 (14.9)	113 (24.4)
12	The management of take-home doses, by modifying the amount of medication / substitute taken at home?	3 (.6)	99 (21.4)	167 (36.1)	117 (25.3)	77 (16.6)
13	Tackling difficulties due to the multiple take-home doses?	59 (12.7)	84 (18.1)	125 (27)	193 (41.7)	2 (.4)
14	Availability of psychosocial services by your MAT program?	13 (2.8)	69 (14.9)	162 (35)	160 (34.6)	59 (12.7)
15	Your need to get	7 (1.5)	46 (9.9)	120 (25.9)	191 (41.3)	99 (21.4)

(continued)

Table 2. (continued)

No.	Item	Not at all	A little	Moderate	Much	Very much
	additional psychosocial support by your MAT program?					
16	Your need to get additional support regarding health issues by your MAT program?	2 (.4)	39 (8.4)	125 (27)	186 (40.2)	111 (24)
17	Finding protective equipment (e.g., masks, gloves, antiseptic solutions, thermometers) as a preventive measure?	2 (.4)	94 (20.3)	81 (17.5)	191 (41.3)	95 (20.5)
18	The concurrent illegal use of psychoactive substances?	31 (6.7)	70 (15.1)	138 (29.8)	125 (27)	99 (21.4)
19	Your behavior regarding seeking your medication / substitute?	29 (6.3)	63 (13.6)	144 (31.1)	138 (29.8)	89 (19.2)
20	The duration of time you stayed at home during the day?	60 (13)	6 (1.3)	141 (30.5)	123 (26.6)	133 (28.7)
21	The modification of your daily activities?	2 (.4)	47 (10.2)	169 (36.5)	145 (31.3)	100 (21.6)
22	The magnitude of drug (i.e., psychoactive substances) taking, thus you faced an overdose risk?	49 (10.6)	4 (.9)	166 (35.9)	91 (19.7)	153 (33)
23	Your mental health?	2 (.4)	49 (10.6)	95 (20.5)	110 (23.8)	207 (44.7)
24	Your physical health?	2 (.4)	9 (1.9)	124 (26.8)	144 (31.1)	184 (39.7)
25	Your social interaction?	2 (.4)	62 (13.4)	86 (18.6)	50 (10.8)	263 (56.8)
26	The way you have taken your medication-assisted substance?	2 (.4)	62 (13.4)	62 (13.4)	29 (6.3)	308 (66.5)

Note. Values are given as n (%). MAT = medication-assisted treatment.

Lister & Lister, 2021; Nunes et al., 2021; Rosenblum et al., 2011).

Mood, stress, craving, and drug use are strongly interrelated as craving seems to be increased in the presence of both stressful conditions and drug cues (Preston et al., 2018). Mood, a parameter that is utterly dependent on the COVID-19 pandemic, is a very sensitive indicator as to whether a patient with OUDs will

continue attending the MAT program. This is the case especially for the “negative mood” when we refer to patients under buprenorphine maintenance treatment (BMT) (Panlilio et al., 2019; Preston et al., 2018). It has also been indicated that “negative mood” is related to the medication administration affecting the retention in treatment, and thus potentially may lead to dropout in BMT patients (Hser et al.,

Table 3. The results of the exploratory factor analysis with Varimax rotation and descriptive statistics of the factors emerged.

Item	Mood	Substance administration and pandemic measures	Sociability	Accessibility to therapeutic programs	Biopsychosocial support from therapeutic programs	Wellness
1	.92					
2	.95					
3	.95					
4		.57				
5		.86				
6		.76				
13		-.55				
20		.71				
21		.65				
7			.61			
8			.79			
9			.78			
25			.79			
26			.81			
10				.68		
11				.81		
12				.77		
14					.76	
15					.91	
16					.86	
17					.67	
18					.57	
19					.56	
22						.78
23						.83
24						.78
Variance		explained (%)	13.72	16.55	13.26	9.52
16.34	10.84					
Mean ± SD	3.16 ± .63	3.30 ± .78	3.48 ± 1.04	3.36 ± .92	3.56 ± .82	3.91 ± .94

2014; Mattick et al., 2014). In addition, recent data have revealed that COVID-19 stress syndrome could highly motivate an individual who belongs to a vulnerable group (e.g., opioid addicts) to use alcohol or drugs to cope with the manifold negative impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic (Taylor et al., 2021); however, there is a lack of evidence regarding patients under MAT. Similarly, individuals who used drugs occasionally before the

pandemic crisis increased the dosage to cope with self-isolation induced by the restriction measures (Taylor et al., 2021). Sociability has been associated with substance use mainly in male adults, while it is positively related to the availability of healthcare services (Chen et al., 2019; Pettersen et al., 2019). It should be noted that it has been demonstrated that the social network constitutes a crucial factor regarding the outcome of a therapeutic

Table 4. Corrected item-total correlations and internal consistency reliability of the PANMAT/Q factors.

	Corrected item-total correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if item deleted	Cronbach's Alpha
Mood			.98
Item 1	.93	1.00	
Item 2	.97	.96	
Item 3	.98	.96	
Substance administration and pandemic measures			.83
Item 4	.54	.82	
Item 5	.74	.78	
Item 6	.60	.81	
Item 13	.49	.83	
Item 20	.63	.80	
Item 21	.70	.79	
Sociability			.85
Item 7	.64	.83	
Item 8	.83	.77	
Item 9	.62	.84	
Item 25	.60	.84	
Item 26	.65	.83	
Accessibility to therapeutic programs			.76
Item 10	.63	.64	
Item 11	.73	.51	
Item 12	.44	.83	
Biopsychosocial support from therapeutic programs			.88
Item 14	.51	.88	
Item 15	.75	.85	
Item 16	.81	.84	
Item 17	.77	.84	
Item 18	.68	.86	
Item 19	.62	.87	
Wellness			.86
Item 22	.77	.77	
Item 23	.88	.65	
Item 24	.59	.92	

Note. PANMAT/Q = Pandemic Medication-Assisted Treatment Questionnaire.

program, whereas the development of social relations within the therapeutic programs can increase the possibilities for rehabilitation (Neale et al., 2018; Weston et al., 2018). On the contrary, the fact that opioid-addicted patients are a stigmatized and marginalized population, the lack of availability of treatment and the absence of attractive therapeutic programs are considered serious barriers to engagement and retention in treatment (Nunes et al., 2021). Unfortunately, to our knowledge there

are no instruments to address the role of these factors on the management of MOUD as pinpointed by the patients themselves.

This study partly deals with this gap in the literature. Indeed, according to the findings reported herein, sociability was related to the dimensions “Anticipation of relief from withdrawal or dysphoria” and “Lack of control over use” presented in HCQ, and with the factor “energy level” of NHP, indicating the relation of energy, as a factor of QoL and wellness,

Table 5. Pearson's correlation coefficients of PANMAT/Q factors with NHP and HCQ factors.

		Mood	Substance administration and pandemic measures	Sociability	Accessibility to therapeutic programs	Biopsychosocial support from therapeutic programs	Wellness
Energy level	r	-.01	.03	.11	-.03	-.01	.03
	p	.756	.472	.016	.520	.905	.473
Pain	r	.00	.07	.08	-.06	-.02	.06
	p	.991	.135	.074	.202	.713	.237
Emotional reaction	r	-.03	.03	.08	.00	.03	-.01
	p	.531	.551	.088	.927	.525	.832
Sleep	r	-.04	.02	.07	.02	.01	-.06
	p	.368	.697	.158	.676	.868	.206
Social isolation	r	.01	.00	.09	.03	.03	-.03
	p	.758	.916	.055	.481	.555	.560
Physical abilities	r	.03	.02	.09	-.03	-.04	-.02
	p	.467	.715	.065	.560	.372	.741
Desire to use heroin	r	.05	.07	.07	.00	-.02	.00
	p	.267	.123	.119	.969	.734	.925
Intention and planning to use heroin	r	.03	.03	.09	-.03	-.05	-.02
	p	.468	.549	.063	.504	.314	.690
Anticipation of positive outcome	r	.05	.04	.07	-.01	.00	-.07
	p	.260	.374	.124	.883	.923	.120
Anticipation of relief from withdrawal or dysphoria	r	.06	.04	.11	.05	.00	.00
	p	.183	.401	.018	.329	.930	.945
Lack of control over use	r	-.03	.03	.11	-.04	.01	-.01
	p	.543	.538	.022	.460	.811	.780

Note. HCQ = Heroin Craving Questionnaire; NHP = Nottingham Health Profile; PANMAT/Q = Pandemic Medication-Assisted Treatment Questionnaire.

Bold numbers: Statistical significance ($p < .05$) between the dimension "Sociability" of PANMAT/Q with the dimension "Energy level" of NHP and the dimensions "Anticipation of relief from withdrawal or dysphoria" and "Lack of control over use" of HCQ.

with the sociability and maintenance of social relationships. Crises including the COVID-19 pandemic have been associated with social isolation, depression, and anxiety (Chiappini et al., 2020; Nguyen & Buxton, 2021), factors that potentially lead to the enhancement of vulnerability and a higher risk of substance use (Goldmann & Galea, 2014). These are the main consequences of the pandemic since the psychosocial support offered from MAT programs allows continuous monitoring of the

treatment program, especially when the patients visit the premises of relevant organizations daily, which is not the case during the pandemic (Leventelis et al., 2020a). Noteworthy, intense social support has been associated with higher retention and completion of treatment (Dobkin et al., 2002). Based on the findings by factor analysis, accessibility to the therapeutic programs plays a pivotal role affecting opioid maintenance treatment and, in conjunction with negative mood and social distancing, influences the

Table 6. PANMAT/Q factors by substitute.

	Substitute				<i>p</i> Student's t-test
	Methadone		Buprenorphine		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Mood	3.44	.70	3.07	.58	<.001
Substance administration and pandemic measures	3.97	.72	3.07	.67	<.001
Sociability	4.09	.69	3.28	1.06	<.001
Accessibility to therapeutic programs	3.41	.83	3.34	.95	.441
Biopsychosocial support from therapeutic programs	3.77	.81	3.49	.81	.001
Wellness	4.29	.71	3.79	.98	<.001

Note. PANMAT/Q = Pandemic Medication-Assisted Treatment Questionnaire.

retention in treatment and risk of overdose (Joudrey et al., 2021; Nunes et al., 2021; Ravndal & Amundsen, 2010). Recent data indicate that these three factors result in a greater risk for treatment dropout (Lister & Lister, 2021).

The present study, through the introduction of the PANMAT/Q, aims to offer a tool for the valid and reliable assessment of the role of pandemic crises in general on the efficacy of MAT on patients under buprenorphine and methadone. This becomes necessary since, according to the scarce literature, the results regarding the impact of COVID-19 measures on the management of MOUD by patients themselves are ambiguous. Either a rise in overdose death rates (Kelley et al., 2021) or no effect on the same parameter after an increase of the take-home doses due to pandemic measures has been demonstrated (Brothers et al., 2021; Joseph et al., 2021). It appears to be a fact, though, that SARS-CoV-2 induces inflammation of the central nervous system, leading to the deregulation of the functions of serotonin, dopamine, and glutamate, which are directly linked to the use and misuse of addictive substances (Cisneros & Cunningham, 2021). Furthermore, according to our findings, the pandemic crisis affects patients under methadone maintenance treatment (MMT) to a higher extent than those under BMT in all the PANMAT/Q factors except for the factor

“Accessibility”. Research data have demonstrated that BMT patients exhibit a greater increase in “positive mood” (Nunes et al., 2021), while MMT has been associated with a decrease in mortality rates (Nolan et al., 2015).

It must be pointed out that this study comes with the following limitations. First, the PANMAT/Q was developed and validated for patients with OUDs under MAT only and the findings cannot be generalized. Second, even though the theoretical framework behind the PANMAT/Q is considered comprehensive, it has to be mentioned that it was validated herein under the frame of the COVID-19 pandemic. However, given that pandemic crises and the restricted measures adopted may give rise to new factors that are not included in the PANMAT/Q, it is indisputable that in such a situation, its validation should be probably revisited. Finally, this study represents the validation of a promising tool; nevertheless, its strengths and limitations could be modified after the process of revalidation regarding other categories of patients with OUDs.

Conclusion

Given the high potential for fatal overdose in patients experiencing OUDs, an urgent need arises to understand how this population has

experienced the changes that have been made following the pandemic crisis in the assessment of addiction treatment. It appears that the PANMAT/Q addresses this matter properly, since it is a multidimensional instrument that displays high reliability and validity, which are necessary elements to export valid results (Polit & Beck, 2008). We report a successful development and validation of PANMAT/Q in MAT patients under methadone and buprenorphine, as the questionnaire demonstrated internal consistency, reliability, and predictive and construct validity reassuring that it can serve as a valuable tool for the assessment of the impact of pandemic on patients in MAT. It can also serve as a useful and comprehensive method for clinicians, helping to better identify patient needs and those being at high risk for relapse and fatal overdose due to extreme social circumstances that will putatively arise in the future.

Data availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article

ORCID iDs

Christonikos Leventelis  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5221-9210>

Vasileios Stavropoulos  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4819-5201>

References

- Adinolfi, A. C., Bezerra, A. G., Curado, D. F., de Souza, A. & Galduróz, J. (2022). Drug use frequency variation and mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: An online survey. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 72(5)1–15.20(5), 12755–152769. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-021-00546-7>
- Akan, Y. (2022). Development of the “COVID-19 psychological impact scale”: A validity and reliability study. *Current Psychology (New Brunswick, N.J.)*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-02760-5>
- Baillargeon, J., Polychronopoulou, E., Kuo, Y. F. & Raji, M. A. (2021). The impact of substance use disorder on COVID-19 outcomes. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.)*, 72(5), 578–581. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.202000534>
- Brothers, S., Viera, A. & Heimer, R. (2021). Changes in methadone program practices and fatal methadone overdose rates in Connecticut during COVID-19. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 131, 108449. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2021.108449>
- Chan, B., Bougatsos, C., Priest, K. C., McCarty, D., Grusing, S. & Chou, R. (2022). Opioid treatment programs, telemedicine and COVID-19: A scoping review. *Substance Abuse*, 43(1), 539–546. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08897077.2021.1967836>
- Chandu, V. C., Pachava, S., Vadapalli, V. & Marella, Y. (2020). Development and initial validation of the COVID-19 Anxiety Scale. *Indian Journal of Public Health*, 64(Supplement), S201–S204. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijph.IJPH_492_20
- Chang, K. C., Hou, W. L., Pakpour, A. H., Lin, C. Y. & Griffiths, M. D. (2022). Psychometric testing of three COVID-19-related scales among people with mental illness. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 20(1), 324–336. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00361-6>
- Chen, L., Chen, X., Zhao, S., French, D. C., Jin, S. & Li, L. (2019). Predicting substance use and deviant behavior from prosociality and sociability in adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 48(4), 744–752. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-018-0940-4>
- Chiappini, S., Guirguis, A., John, A., Corkery, J. M. & Schifano, F. (2020). COVID-19: The hidden impact on mental health and drug addiction. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11, 767. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.00767>
- Cisneros, I. E. & Cunningham, K. A. (2021). COVID-19 interface with drug misuse and substance use disorders. *Neuropharmacology*, 198, 108766. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2021.108766>
- Corace, K., Suschinsky, K., Wyman, J., Leece, P., Cragg, S., Konefal, S., Pana, P., Barrass, S.,

- Porath, A. & Hutton, B. (2022). Evaluating how has care been affected by the Ontario COVID-19 Opioid Agonist Treatment Guidance: Patients' and prescribers' experiences with changes in unsupervised dosing. *The International Journal on Drug Policy*, *102*, 103573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2021.103573>
- Czeisler, M. E., Lane, R. I., Petrosky, E., Wiley, J. F., Christensen, A., Njai, R., Weaver, M. D., Robbins, R., Facer-Childs, E. R., Barger, L. K., Czeisler, C. A., Howard, M. E. & Rajaratnam, S. (2020). Mental health, substance use, and suicidal ideation during the COVID-19 pandemic - United States, June 24-30, 2020. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, *69*(32), 1049–1057. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6932a1>
- Del Pozo, B. & Rich, J. D. (2020). Revising our attitudes towards agonist medications and their diversion in a time of pandemic. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, *119*, 108139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2020.108139>
- DeVellis, R. F. (2017). *Scale development: Theory and applications* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Dobkin, P. L., De, C. M., Paraherakis, A. & Gill, K. (2002). The role of functional social support in treatment retention and outcomes among outpatient adult substance abusers. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*, *97*(3), 347–356. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1360-0443.2002.00083.x>
- Goldmann, E. & Galea, S. (2014). Mental health consequences of disasters. *Annual Review of Public Health*, *35*, 169–183. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-032013-182435>
- Harris, M., Lambert, A. M., Maschke, A. D., Bagley, S. M., Walley, A. Y. & Gunn, C. M. (2022). “No home to take methadone to”: Experiences with addiction services during the COVID-19 pandemic among survivors of opioid overdose in Boston. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, *135*, 108655. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2021.108655>
- Hser, Y. I., Saxon, A. J., Huang, D., Hasson, A., Thomas, C., Hillhouse, M., Jacobs, P., Teruya, C., McLaughlin, P., Wiest, K., Cohen, A. & Ling, W. (2014). Treatment retention among patients randomized to buprenorphine/naloxone compared to methadone in a multi-site trial. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*, *109*(1), 79–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.12333>
- Joseph, G., Torres-Lockhart, K., Stein, M. R., Mund, P. A. & Nahvi, S. (2021). Reimagining patient-centered care in opioid treatment programs: Lessons from the Bronx during COVID-19. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, *122*, 108219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2020.108219>
- Joudrey, P. J., Adams, Z. M., Bach, P., Van Buren, S., Chaiton, J. A., Ehrenfeld, L., Guerra, M. E., Gleeson, B., Kimmel, S. D., Medley, A., Mekideche, W., Paquet, M., Sung, M., Wang, M., You Kheang, R., Zhang, J., Wang, E. A. & Edelman, E. J. (2021). Methadone access for opioid use disorder during the COVID-19 pandemic within the United States and Canada. *JAMA Network Open*, *4*(7), e2118223. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.18223>
- Kelley, M. A., Lucas, J., Stewart, E., Goldman, D. & Doctor, J. N. (2021). Opioid-related deaths before and after COVID-19 stay-at-home orders in Los Angeles County. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *228*, 109028. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2021.109028>
- Krawczyk, N., Maniates, H., Hulsey, E., Smith, J. S., DiDomenico, E., Stuart, E. A., Saloner, B. & Bandara, S. (2022). Shifting medication treatment practices in the COVID-19 pandemic: A statewide survey of Pennsylvania opioid treatment programs. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.0000000000000981>
- Lambert, D. G. (2020). Opioids and the COVID-19 pandemic: Does chronic opioid use or misuse increase clinical vulnerability? *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, *125*(4), e382–e383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.07.004>
- Leventelis, C., Koutsilieris, M., Geitona, M., Malliori, M., Zyga, S., Rojas Gil, A. P., Tzavella, F., Georgouloupoulou, E., Koutsopoulou, E., Kampitsi, A. & Tsironi, M. (2020a). Quality of life in patients under substitution treatment with methadone and buprenorphine. *The Journal of Addictive Behaviors, Therapy & Rehabilitation*, *9*(4). [https://doi.org/10.37532/jabtr.2020.9\(4\).199](https://doi.org/10.37532/jabtr.2020.9(4).199)
- Leventelis, C., Veskoukis, S. A., Malliori, M., Koutsilieris, M., Zyga, S., Rojas Gil, A. P., Kampitsi, A., Goutzourelas, N. & Tsironi, M.

- (2020b). Validation of heroin craving questionnaire in Greek patients under substitution treatment with methadone and buprenorphine: How to prevent a relapse. *The Journal of Addictive Behaviors, Therapy & Rehabilitation*, 9(1). [https://doi.org/10.37532/abtr.2020.9\(1\).189](https://doi.org/10.37532/abtr.2020.9(1).189)
- Lister, J. J. & Lister, H. H. (2021). Improving methadone access for rural communities in the USA: Lessons learned from COVID-19 adaptations and international models of care. *Rural and Remote Health*, 21(4), 6770. <https://doi.org/10.22605/RRH6770>
- Marsden, J., Gossop, M., Stewart, D., Best, D., Farrell, M., Lehmann, P., Edwards, C. & Strang, J. (1998). The Maudsley Addiction Profile (MAP): A brief instrument for assessing treatment outcome. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*, 93(12), 1857–1867. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1360-0443.1998.9312185711.x>
- Mattick, R. P., Breen, C., Kimber, J. & Davoli, M. (2014). Buprenorphine maintenance versus placebo or methadone maintenance for opioid dependence. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (2), CD002207. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD002207.pub4>
- McLellan, A. T., Kushner, H., Metzger, D., Peters, R., Smith, I., Grissom, G., Pettinati, H. & Argeriou, M. (1992). The fifth edition of the Addiction Severity Index. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 9(3), 199–213. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0740-5472\(92\)90062-s](https://doi.org/10.1016/0740-5472(92)90062-s)
- Nagelhout, G. E., Hummel, K., de Goeij, M., de Vries, H., Kaner, E. & Lemmens, P. (2017). How economic recessions and unemployment affect illegal drug use: A systematic realist literature review. *The International Journal on Drug Policy*, 44, 69–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2017.03.013>
- Neale, J., Tompkins, C. & Strang, J. (2018). Qualitative exploration of relationships between peers in residential addiction treatment. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, 26(1), e39–e46. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12472>
- Nguyen, T. & Buxton, J. A. (2021). Pathways between COVID-19 public health responses and increasing overdose risks: A rapid review and conceptual framework. *The International Journal on Drug Policy*, 93, 103236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2021.103236>
- Nolan, S., Hayashi, K., Milloy, M. J., Kerr, T., Dong, H., Lima, V. D., Lappalainen, L., Montaner, J. & Wood, E. (2015). The impact of low-threshold methadone maintenance treatment on mortality in a Canadian setting. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 156, 57–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.08.037>
- Nunes, E. V., Levin, F. R., Reilly, M. P. & El-Bassel, N. (2021). Medication treatment for opioid use disorder in the age of COVID-19: Can new regulations modify the opioid cascade? *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 122, 108196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2020.108196>
- Panlilio, L. V., Stull, S. W., Kowalczyk, W. J., Phillips, K. A., Schroeder, J. R., Bertz, J. W., Vahabzadeh, M., Lin, J. L., Mezghanni, M., Nunes, E. V., Epstein, D. H. & Preston, K. L. (2019). Stress, craving and mood as predictors of early dropout from opioid agonist therapy. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 202, 200–208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.05.026>
- Pettersen, H., Landheim, A., Skeie, I., Biong, S., Brodahl, M., Oute, J. & Davidson, L. (2019). How social relationships influence substance use disorder recovery: A collaborative narrative study. *Substance Abuse : Research and Treatment*, 13, 1178221819833379. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1178221819833379>
- Polit, D. F. & Beck, C. T. (2008). *Nursing research: Principles and methods* (8th edn). Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.
- Preston, C. C. & Colman, A. M. (2000). Optimal number of response categories in rating scales: Reliability, validity, discriminating power, and respondent preferences. *Acta Psychologica*, 104(1), 1–15. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0001-6918\(99\)00050-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0001-6918(99)00050-5)
- Preston, K. L., Kowalczyk, W. J., Phillips, K. A., Jobes, M. L., Vahabzadeh, M., Lin, J. L., Mezghanni, M. & Epstein, D. H. (2018). Before and after: Craving, mood, and background stress in the hours surrounding drug use and stressful events in patients with opioid-use disorder. *Psychopharmacology*, 235(9), 2713–2723. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-018-4966-9>
- Ravndal, E. & Amundsen, E. J. (2010). Mortality among drug users after discharge from inpatient treatment: An 8-year prospective study. *Drug*

- and *Alcohol Dependence*, 108(1-2), 65–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2009.11.008>
- Repišti, S., Jovanović, N., Kuzman, M., Medved, S., Jerotić, S., Ribić, E., Majstorović, T., Simoska, S., Novotni, L., Milutinović, M., Stoilkovska, B., Radojčić, T., Ristić, I., Zebić, M., Pemovska, T. & Russo, M. (2020). How to measure the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on quality of life: COV19-QoL, the development, reliability and validity of a new scale. *Global Psychiatry Archives*, 3(2), 201–210. <https://doi.org/10.52095/gpa.2020.1377>
- Rogers, A. H., Shepherd, J. M., Garey, L. & Zvolensky, M. J. (2020). Psychological factors associated with substance use initiation during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychiatry Research*, 293, 113407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113407>
- Rosenblum, A., Cleland, C. M., Fong, C., Kayman, D. J., Tempalski, B. & Parrino, M. (2011). Distance traveled and cross-state commuting to opioid treatment programs in the United States. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*, 2011, 948789. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/948789>
- Saxon, A. J., Hser, Y. I., Woody, G. & Ling, W. (2013). Medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction: Methadone and buprenorphine. *Journal of Food and Drug Analysis*, 21(4), S69–S72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfda.2013.09.037>
- Taylor, S., Paluszczek, M. M., Rachor, G. S., McKay, D. & Asmundson, G. (2021). Substance use and abuse, COVID-19–related distress, and disregard for social distancing: A network analysis. *Addictive Behaviors*, 114, 106754. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2020.106754>
- Terwee, C. B., Bot, S. D., de Boer, M. R., van der Windt, D. A., Knol, D. L., Dekker, J., Bouter, L. M. & de Vet, H. C. (2007). Quality criteria were proposed for measurement properties of health status questionnaires. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 60(1), 34–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2006.03.012>
- Tiffany, S. T., Singleton, E., Haertzen, C. A. & Henningfield, J. E. (1993). The development of a cocaine craving questionnaire. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 34(1), 19–28. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716\(93\)90042-o](https://doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90042-o)
- Tracy, K., Wachtel, L. & Friedman, T. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 on opioid treatment program (OTP) services: Where do we go from here? *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 131, 108394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2021.108394>
- Treitler, P. C., Bowden, C. F., Lloyd, J., Enich, M., Nyaku, A. N. & Crystal, S. (2022). Perspectives of opioid use disorder treatment providers during COVID-19: Adapting to flexibilities and sustaining reforms. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 132, 108514. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2021.108514>
- Tyndall, M. (2020). Safer opioid distribution in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *The International Journal on Drug Policy*, 83, 102880. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2020.102880>
- Vanaken, L., Scheveneels, S., Belmans, E. & Hermans, D. (2020). Validation of the impact of event scale with modifications for COVID-19 (IES-COVID19). *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11, 738. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.00738>
- Vasylyeva, T. I., Smyrnov, P., Strathdee, S. & Friedman, S. R. (2020). Challenges posed by COVID-19 to people who inject drugs and lessons from other outbreaks. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 23(7), e25583. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jia2.25583>
- Volkow, N. D. (2020). Collision of the COVID-19 and addiction epidemics. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 173(1), 61–62. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-1212>
- Weston, S., Honor, S. & Best, D. (2018). A tale of two towns: A comparative study exploring the possibilities and pitfalls of social capital among people seeking recovery from substance misuse. *Substance use & Misuse*, 53(3), 490–500. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10826084.2017.1341925>
- Williams, J. R., Girdler, S., Williams, W. & Cromeens, M. G. (2021). The effects of co-occurring interpersonal trauma and gender on opioid use and misuse. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 36, 23–24. NP13185–NP13205. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260519900309>
- Zamanzadeh, V., Ghahramanian, A., Rassouli, M., Abbaszadeh, A., Alavi-Majd, H. & Nikanfar, A. R. (2015). Design and implementation content validity study: Development of an instrument for measuring patient-centered communication.

Journal of Caring Sciences, 4(2), 165–178.
<https://doi.org/10.15171/jcs.2015.017>

Zolotov, Y., Reznik, A., Bender, S. & Isralowitz, R.
(2022). COVID-19 fear, mental health, and

substance use among Israeli university students.
*International Journal of Mental Health and
Addiction*, 20(1), 230–236. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00351-8>