



**VICTORIA UNIVERSITY**  
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

## *Some $f$ -Divergence Measures Related to Jensen's One*

This is the Published version of the following publication

Dragomir, Sever S (2023) Some  $f$ -Divergence Measures Related to Jensen's One. *Universal Journal of Mathematics and Applications*, 6 (4). pp. 140-154.  
ISSN 2619-9653

The publisher's official version can be found at  
<https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/ujma/issue/80861/1362709>  
Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/48216/>

# Some $f$ -Divergence Measures Related to Jensen's One

Silvestru Sever Dragomir<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics, College of Engineering & Science, Victoria University, PO Box 14428, Melbourne City, MC 8001, Australia

<sup>2</sup>DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in the Mathematical, and Statistical Sciences, School of Computer Science, & Applied Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, Johannesburg 2050, South Africa

## Article Info

**Keywords:**  $f$ -divergence measures,  $\chi^2$ -divergence, HH  $f$ -divergence measures, Jensen divergence

**2010 AMS:** 26D15, 94A17

**Received:** 19 September 2023

**Accepted:** 19 November 2023

**Available online:** 22 November 2023

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce some  $f$ -divergence measures that are related to the Jensen's divergence introduced by Burbea and Rao in 1982. We establish their joint convexity and provide some inequalities between these measures and a combination of Csiszár's  $f$ -divergence,  $f$ -midpoint divergence and  $f$ -integral divergence measures.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space satisfying  $|\mathcal{A}| > 2$  and  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all probability measures on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  which are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . For  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ , let  $p = \frac{dP}{d\mu}$  and  $q = \frac{dQ}{d\mu}$  denote the Radon-Nikodym derivatives of  $P$  and  $Q$  with respect to  $\mu$ .

Two probability measures  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$  are said to be *orthogonal* and we denote this by  $Q \perp P$  if

$$P(\{q = 0\}) = Q(\{p = 0\}) = 1.$$

Let  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be a convex function that is continuous at 0, i.e.,  $f(0) = \lim_{u \downarrow 0} f(u)$ .

In 1963, I. Csiszár [1] introduced the concept of  $f$ -divergence as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then

$$I_f(Q, P) = \int_X p(x) f\left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right] d\mu(x), \quad (1.1)$$

is called the  $f$ -divergence of the probability distributions  $Q$  and  $P$ .

**Remark 1.2.** Observe that, the integrand in the formula (1.1) is undefined when  $p(x) = 0$ . The way to overcome this problem is to postulate for  $f$  as above that

$$0f\left[\frac{q(x)}{0}\right] = q(x) \lim_{u \downarrow 0} \left[uf\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)\right], \quad x \in X. \quad (1.2)$$

We now give some examples of  $f$ -divergences that are well-known and often used in the literature (see also [2]).

### 1.1. The class of $\chi^\alpha$ -divergences

The  $f$ -divergences of this class, which is generated by the function  $\chi^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$ , defined by

$$\chi^\alpha(u) = |u - 1|^\alpha, \quad u \in [0, \infty)$$

have the form

$$I_f(Q, P) = \int_X p \left| \frac{q}{p} - 1 \right|^\alpha d\mu = \int_X p^{1-\alpha} |q - p|^\alpha d\mu. \tag{1.3}$$

From this class only the parameter  $\alpha = 1$  provides a distance in the topological sense, namely the *total variation distance*  $V(Q, P) = \int_X |q - p| d\mu$ . The most prominent special case of this class is, however, *Karl Pearson's  $\chi^2$ -divergence*

$$\chi^2(Q, P) = \int_X \frac{q^2}{p} d\mu - 1$$

that is obtained for  $\alpha = 2$ .

### 1.2. Dichotomy class

From this class, generated by the function  $f_\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$f_\alpha(u) = \begin{cases} u - 1 - \ln u & \text{for } \alpha = 0; \\ \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} [\alpha u + 1 - \alpha - u^\alpha] & \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}; \\ 1 - u + u \ln u & \text{for } \alpha = 1; \end{cases}$$

only the parameter  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  ( $f_{\frac{1}{2}}(u) = 2(\sqrt{u} - 1)^2$ ) provides a distance, namely, the *Hellinger distance*

$$H(Q, P) = \left[ \int_X (\sqrt{q} - \sqrt{p})^2 d\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Another important divergence is the *Kullback-Leibler divergence* obtained for  $\alpha = 1$ ,

$$KL(Q, P) = \int_X q \ln \left( \frac{q}{p} \right) d\mu.$$

### 1.3. Matsushita's divergences

The elements of this class, which is generated by the function  $\varphi_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  given by

$$\varphi_\alpha(u) := |1 - u^\alpha|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \quad u \in [0, \infty),$$

are prototypes of metric divergences, providing the distances  $[I_{\varphi_\alpha}(Q, P)]^\alpha$ .

### 1.4. Puri-Vincze divergences

This class is generated by the functions  $\Phi_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$  given by

$$\Phi_\alpha(u) := \frac{|1 - u|^\alpha}{(u + 1)^{\alpha-1}}, \quad u \in [0, \infty).$$

It has been shown in [3] that this class provides the distances  $[I_{\Phi_\alpha}(Q, P)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ .

### 1.5. Divergences of Arimoto-type

This class is generated by the functions

$$\Psi_\alpha(u) := \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \left[ (1 + u^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - 2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} (1 + u) \right] & \text{for } \alpha \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}; \\ (1 + u) \ln 2 + u \ln u - (1 + u) \ln (1 + u) & \text{for } \alpha = 1; \\ \frac{1}{2} |1 - u| & \text{for } \alpha = \infty. \end{cases}$$

It has been shown in [4] that this class provides the distances  $[I_{\Psi_\alpha}(Q, P)]^{\min(\alpha, \frac{1}{\alpha})}$  for  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\frac{1}{2}V(Q, P)$  for  $\alpha = \infty$ . For  $f$  continuous convex on  $[0, \infty)$  we obtain the *\*-conjugate* function of  $f$  by

$$f^*(u) = uf \left( \frac{1}{u} \right), \quad u \in (0, \infty)$$

and

$$f^*(0) = \lim_{u \downarrow 0} f^*(u).$$

It is also known that if  $f$  is continuous convex on  $[0, \infty)$  then so is  $f^*$ .

The following two theorems contain the most basic properties of  $f$ -divergences. For their proofs we refer the reader to Chapter 1 of [5] (see also [2]).

**Theorem 1.3** (Uniqueness and Symmetry Theorem). *Let  $f, f_1$  be continuous convex on  $[0, \infty)$ . We have*

$$I_{f_1}(Q, P) = I_f(Q, P),$$

for all  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$  if and only if there exists a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f_1(u) = f(u) + c(u - 1),$$

for any  $u \in [0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 1.4** (Range of Values Theorem). *Let  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous convex function on  $[0, \infty)$ . For any  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ , we have the double inequality*

$$f(1) \leq I_f(Q, P) \leq f(0) + f^*(0). \quad (1.4)$$

(i) *If  $P = Q$ , then the equality holds in the first part of (1.4).*

*If  $f$  is strictly convex at 1, then the equality holds in the first part of (1.4) if and only if  $P = Q$ ;*

(ii) *If  $Q \perp P$ , then the equality holds in the second part of (1.4).*

*If  $f(0) + f^*(0) < \infty$ , then equality holds in the second part of (1.4) if and only if  $Q \perp P$ .*

The following result is a refinement of the second inequality in Theorem 1.4 (see [2, Theorem 3]).

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $f$  be a continuous convex function on  $[0, \infty)$  with  $f(1) = 0$  ( $f$  is normalised) and  $f(0) + f^*(0) < \infty$ . Then*

$$0 \leq I_f(Q, P) \leq \frac{1}{2} [f(0) + f^*(0)] V(Q, P) \quad (1.5)$$

for any  $Q, P \in \mathcal{P}$ .

For other inequalities for  $f$ -divergence see [6–20].

## 2. Some Preliminary Facts

For a function  $f$  defined on an interval  $I$  of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ , by following the paper by Burbea & Rao [21], we consider the  $\mathcal{J}$ -divergence between the elements  $t, s \in I$  given by

$$\mathcal{J}_f(t, s) := \frac{1}{2} [f(t) + f(s)] - f\left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right).$$

As important examples of such divergences, we can consider [21],

$$\mathcal{J}_\alpha(t, s) := \begin{cases} (\alpha - 1)^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (t^\alpha + s^\alpha) - \left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right)^\alpha \right], & \alpha \neq 1, \\ [t \ln(t) + s \ln(s) - (t+s) \ln\left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right)], & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

If  $f$  is convex on  $I$ , then  $\mathcal{J}_f(t, s) \geq 0$  for all  $(t, s) \in I \times I$ .

The following result concerning the joint convexity of  $\mathcal{J}_f$  also holds:

**Theorem 2.1** (Burbea-Rao, 1982 [21]). *Let  $f$  be a  $C^2$  function on an interval  $I$ . Then  $\mathcal{J}_f$  is convex (concave) on  $I \times I$ , if and only if  $f$  is convex (concave) and  $\frac{1}{f''}$  is concave (convex) on  $I$ .*

We define the *Hermite-Hadamard trapezoid* and *mid-point divergences*

$$\mathcal{T}_f(t, s) := \frac{1}{2} [f(t) + f(s)] - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)t + \tau s) d\tau \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_f(t, s) := \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)t + \tau s) d\tau - f\left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right) \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $(t, s) \in I \times I$ .

We observe that

$$\mathcal{J}_f(t, s) = \mathcal{T}_f(t, s) + \mathcal{M}_f(t, s) \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $(t, s) \in I \times I$ .

If  $f$  is convex on  $I$ , then by *Hermite-Hadamard inequalities*

$$\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \geq \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)a+\tau b) d\tau \geq f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)$$

for all  $a, b \in I$ , we have the following fundamental facts

$$\mathcal{J}_f(t, s) \geq 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_f(t, s) \geq 0 \tag{2.4}$$

for all  $(t, s) \in I \times I$ .

Using *Bullen's inequality*, see for instance [22, p. 2],

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)a+\tau b) d\tau - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)a+\tau b) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

we also have

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}_f(t, s) \leq \mathcal{J}_f(t, s). \tag{2.5}$$

Let us recall the following special means:

a) The *arithmetic mean*

$$A(a, b) := \frac{a+b}{2}, \quad a, b > 0,$$

b) The *geometric mean*

$$G(a, b) := \sqrt{ab}; \quad a, b \geq 0,$$

c) The *harmonic mean*

$$H(a, b) := \frac{2}{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}}; \quad a, b > 0,$$

d) The *identric mean*

$$I(a, b) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a}\right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}} & \text{if } b \neq a \\ a & \text{if } b = a \end{cases}; \quad a, b > 0$$

e) The *logarithmic mean*

$$L(a, b) := \begin{cases} \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a} & \text{if } b \neq a \\ a & \text{if } b = a \end{cases}; \quad a, b > 0$$

f) The *p-logarithmic mean*

$$L_p(a, b) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{b^{p+1} - a^{p+1}}{(p+1)(b-a)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } b \neq a, \quad p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 0\} \\ a & \text{if } b = a \end{cases}; \quad a, b > 0.$$

If we put  $L_0(a, b) := I(a, b)$  and  $L_{-1}(a, b) := L(a, b)$ , then it is well known that the function  $\mathbb{R} \ni p \mapsto L_p(a, b)$  is *monotonic increasing* on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We observe that for  $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$  we have

$$\int_0^1 [(1-\tau)a+\tau b]^p d\tau = L_p^p(a, b), \quad \int_0^1 [(1-\tau)a+\tau b]^{-1} d\tau = L^{-1}(a, b)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \ln[(1-\tau)a+\tau b] d\tau = \ln I(a, b).$$

Using these notations we can define the following divergences for  $(t, s) \in I^n \times I^n$  where  $I$  is an interval of positive numbers:

$$\mathcal{J}_p^p(t, s) := A(t^p, s^p) - L_p^p(t, s)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_p(t, s) := L_p^p(t, s) - A^p(t, s)$$

for all  $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{-1}(t, s) := H^{-1}(t, s) - L^{-1}(t, s)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{-1}(t, s) := L^{-1}(t, s) - A^{-1}(t, s)$$

for  $p = -1$  and

$$\mathcal{T}_0(t, s) := \ln \left( \frac{G(t, s)}{I(t, s)} \right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_0(t, s) := \ln \left( \frac{I(t, s)}{A(t, s)} \right)$$

for  $p = 0$ .

Since the function  $f(\tau) = \tau^p$ ,  $\tau > 0$  is convex for  $p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$ , then we have

$$\mathcal{T}_p(t, s), \mathcal{M}_p(t, s) \geq 0 \quad (2.6)$$

for all  $(t, s) \in I \times I$ .

For  $p \in (0, 1)$  the function  $f(\tau) = \tau^p$ ,  $\tau > 0$  and for  $p = 0$ , the function  $f(\tau) = \ln \tau$  are concave, then we have for  $p \in [0, 1)$  that

$$\mathcal{T}_p(t, s), \mathcal{M}_p(t, s) \leq 0 \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $(t, s) \in I \times I$ .

Finally for  $p = 1$  we have both  $\mathcal{T}_1(t, s) = \mathcal{M}_1(t, s) = 0$  for all  $(t, s) \in I \times I$ .

We need the following convexity result that is a consequence of Burbea-Rao's theorem above:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f$  be a  $C^2$  function on an interval  $I$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}_f$  and  $\mathcal{M}_f$  are convex (concave) on  $I \times I$ , if and only if  $f$  is convex (concave) and  $\frac{1}{f''}$  is concave (convex) on  $I$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{T}_f$  and  $\mathcal{M}_f$  are convex on  $I \times I$  then the sum  $\mathcal{T}_f + \mathcal{M}_f = \mathcal{J}_f$  is convex on  $I \times I$ , which, by Burbea-Rao theorem implies that  $f$  is convex and  $\frac{1}{f''}$  is concave on  $I$ .

Now, if  $f$  is convex and  $\frac{1}{f''}$  is concave on  $I$ , then by the same theorem we have that the function  $\mathcal{J}_f : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{J}_f(t, s) := \frac{1}{2} [f(t) + f(s)] - f\left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right)$$

is convex.

Let  $t, s, u, v \in I$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\tau) &:= \mathcal{J}_f((1-\tau)(t, s) + \tau(u, v)) = \mathcal{J}_f(((1-\tau)t + \tau u, (1-\tau)s + \tau v)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [f((1-\tau)t + \tau u) + f((1-\tau)s + \tau v)] - f\left(\frac{(1-\tau)t + \tau u + (1-\tau)s + \tau v}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [f((1-\tau)t + \tau u) + f((1-\tau)s + \tau v)] - f\left((1-\tau)\frac{t+s}{2} + \tau\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ .

Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  with  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ . By the convexity of  $\mathcal{J}_f$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(\alpha\tau_1 + \beta\tau_2) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_f((1-\alpha\tau_1 - \beta\tau_2)(t, s) + (\alpha\tau_1 + \beta\tau_2)(u, v)) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_f((\alpha + \beta - \alpha\tau_1 - \beta\tau_2)(t, s) + (\alpha\tau_1 + \beta\tau_2)(u, v)) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_f(\alpha(1-\tau_1)(t, s) + \beta(1-\tau_2)(t, s) + \alpha\tau_1(u, v) + \beta\tau_2(u, v)) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_f(\alpha[(1-\tau_1)(t, s) + \tau_1(u, v)] + \beta[(1-\tau_2)(t, s) + \tau_2(u, v)]) \\ &\leq \alpha \mathcal{J}_f((1-\tau_1)(t, s) + \tau_1(u, v)) + \beta \mathcal{J}_f((1-\tau_2)(t, s) + \tau_2(u, v)) \\ &= \alpha\varphi(\tau_1) + \beta\varphi(\tau_2), \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $\varphi$  is convex on  $[0, 1]$  for all  $t, s, u, v \in I$ .

Applying the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for  $\varphi$  we get

$$\frac{1}{2} [\varphi(0) + \varphi(1)] \geq \int_0^1 \varphi(\tau) d\tau \quad (2.8)$$

and since

$$\varphi(0) = \frac{1}{2} [f(t) + f(s)] - f\left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right),$$

$$\varphi(1) = \frac{1}{2} [f(u) + f(v)] - f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)t + \tau u) d\tau + \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)s + \tau v) d\tau \right] - \int_0^1 f\left((1-\tau)\frac{t+s}{2} + \tau\frac{u+v}{2}\right) d\tau,$$

hence by (2.8) we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [f(t) + f(s)] - f\left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} [f(u) + f(v)] - f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)t + \tau u) d\tau + \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)s + \tau v) d\tau \right] - \int_0^1 f\left((1-\tau)\frac{t+s}{2} + \tau\frac{u+v}{2}\right) d\tau.$$

Re-arranging this inequality, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{f(t) + f(u)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)t + \tau u) d\tau \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{f(s) + f(v)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)s + \tau v) d\tau \right] \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ f\left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) - \int_0^1 f\left((1-\tau)\frac{t+s}{2} + \tau\frac{u+v}{2}\right) d\tau \right],$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{J}_f(t, u) + \mathcal{J}_f(s, v)] \geq \mathcal{J}_f\left(\frac{t+s}{2}, \frac{u+v}{2}\right) = \mathcal{J}_f\left(\frac{1}{2}(t, u) + \frac{1}{2}(s, v)\right),$$

for all  $(t, u), (s, v) \in I \times I$ , which shows that  $\mathcal{J}_f$  is Jensen's convex on  $I \times I$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}_f$  is continuous on  $I \times I$ , hence  $\mathcal{J}_f$  is convex in the usual sense on  $I \times I$ .

Now, if we use the second Hermite-Hadamard inequality for  $\varphi$  on  $[0, 1]$ , we have

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(\tau) d\tau \geq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{2.9}$$

Since

$$\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ f\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{s+v}{2}\right) \right] - f\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{t+s}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{u+v}{2}\right)$$

hence by (2.9) we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)t + \tau u) d\tau + \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)s + \tau v) d\tau \right] - \int_0^1 f\left((1-\tau)\frac{t+s}{2} + \tau\frac{u+v}{2}\right) d\tau \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ f\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{s+v}{2}\right) \right] - f\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t+s}{2} + \frac{u+v}{2}\right)\right),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)t + \tau u) d\tau - f\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)s + \tau v) d\tau - f\left(\frac{s+v}{2}\right) \right] \geq \int_0^1 f\left((1-\tau)\frac{t+s}{2} + \tau\frac{u+v}{2}\right) d\tau - f\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t+s}{2} + \frac{u+v}{2}\right)\right)$$

that can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{M}_f(t, u) + \mathcal{M}_f(s, v)] \geq \mathcal{M}_f\left(\frac{t+s}{2}, \frac{u+v}{2}\right) = \mathcal{M}_f\left(\frac{1}{2}(t, u) + \frac{1}{2}(s, v)\right)$$

for all  $(t, u), (s, v) \in I \times I$ , which shows that  $\mathcal{M}_f$  is Jensen's convex on  $I \times I$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_f$  is continuous on  $I \times I$ , hence  $\mathcal{M}_f$  is convex in the usual sense on  $I \times I$ . □

The following reverses of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality hold:

**Lemma 2.3** (Dragomir, 2002 [10] and [11]). *Let  $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function on  $[a, b]$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{8} \left[ h_+ \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - h_- \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right] (b-a) \\ &\leq \frac{h(a) + h(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b h(\tau) d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} [h_-(b) - h_+(a)] (b-a) \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{8} \left[ h_+ \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - h_- \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right] (b-a) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b h(\tau) d\tau - h \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{8} [h_-(b) - h_+(a)] (b-a). \quad (2.11)$$

The constant  $\frac{1}{8}$  is best possible in all inequalities from (2.10) and (2.11).

We also have:

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $f$  be a  $C^1$  convex function on an interval  $I$ . If  $\mathring{I}$  is the interior of  $I$ , then for all  $(t, s) \in \mathring{I} \times \mathring{I}$  we have*

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}_f(t, s) \leq \mathcal{T}_f(t, s) \leq \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{C}_{f'}(t, s) \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_{f'}(t, s) := [f'(t) - f'(s)] (t - s). \quad (2.13)$$

*Proof.* Since for  $b \neq a$

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt = \int_0^1 f((1-t)a + tb) dt,$$

then from (2.10) we get

$$\frac{f(t) + f(s)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)t + \tau s) d\tau \leq \frac{1}{8} [f'(t) - f'(s)] (t - s)$$

for all  $(t, s) \in \mathring{I} \times \mathring{I}$ . □

**Remark 2.5.** *If*

$$\gamma = \inf_{t \in \mathring{I}} f'(t) \text{ and } \Gamma = \sup_{t \in \mathring{I}} f'(t)$$

are finite, then

$$\mathcal{C}_{f'}(t, s) \leq (\Gamma - \gamma) |t - s|$$

and by (2.12) we get the simpler upper bound

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}_f(t, s) \leq \mathcal{T}_f(t, s) \leq \frac{1}{8} (\Gamma - \gamma) |t - s|.$$

Moreover, if  $t, s \in [a, b] \subset \mathring{I}$  and since  $f'$  is increasing on  $\mathring{I}$ , then we have the inequalities

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}_f(t, s) \leq \mathcal{T}_f(t, s) \leq \frac{1}{8} [f'(b) - f'(a)] |t - s|. \quad (2.14)$$

Since  $\mathcal{J}_f(t, s) = \mathcal{T}_f(t, s) + \mathcal{M}_f(t, s)$ , hence

$$0 \leq \mathcal{J}_f(t, s) \leq \frac{1}{4} [f'(b) - f'(a)] |t - s|.$$

**Corollary 2.6.** *With the assumptions of Lemma 2.4 and if the derivative  $f'$  is Lipschitzian with the constant  $K > 0$ , namely*

$$|f'(t) - f'(s)| \leq K |t - s| \text{ for all } t, s \in \mathring{I},$$

then we have the inequality

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}_f(t, s) \leq \mathcal{T}_f(t, s) \leq \frac{1}{8} K (t - s)^2. \quad (2.15)$$



### 3. Main Results

Let  $P, Q, W \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We define the following  $f$ -divergence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_f(P, Q, W) &:= \int_X w(x) \mathcal{J}_f\left(\frac{p(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{q(x)}{w(x)}\right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_X w(x) f\left(\frac{p(x)}{w(x)}\right) d\mu(x) + \int_X w(x) f\left(\frac{q(x)}{w(x)}\right) d\mu(x) \right] - \int_X w(x) f\left(\frac{p(x) + q(x)}{2w(x)}\right) d\mu(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

If we consider the *mid-point divergence measure*  $M_f$  defined by

$$M_f(Q, P, W) := \int_X f\left[\frac{q(x) + p(x)}{2w(x)}\right] w(x) d\mu(x)$$

for any  $Q, P, W \in \mathcal{P}$ , then from (3.1) we get

$$\mathcal{J}_f(P, Q, W) = \frac{1}{2} [I_f(P, W) + I_f(Q, W)] - M_f(Q, P, W). \tag{3.2}$$

We can also consider the *integral divergence measure*

$$A_f(Q, P, W) := \int_X \left( \int_0^1 f\left[\frac{(1-t)q(x) + tp(x)}{w(x)}\right] dt \right) w(x) d\mu(x).$$

We introduce the related  $f$ -divergences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_f(P, Q, W) &:= \int_X w(x) \mathcal{T}_f\left(\frac{p(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{q(x)}{w(x)}\right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [I_f(P, W) + I_f(Q, W)] - A_f(Q, P, W) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_f(P, Q, W) &:= \int_X w(x) \mathcal{M}_f\left(\frac{p(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{q(x)}{w(x)}\right) d\mu(x) \\ &= A_f(Q, P, W) - M_f(Q, P, W). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

We observe that

$$\mathcal{J}_f(P, Q, W) = \mathcal{T}_f(P, Q, W) + \mathcal{M}_f(P, Q, W).$$

If  $f$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$  then by the Hermite-Hadamard and Bullen's inequalities we have the positivity properties

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \mathcal{T}_f(P, Q, W)$$

and

$$0 \leq \mathcal{J}_f(P, Q, W)$$

for  $P, Q, W \in \mathcal{P}$ .

We have the following result:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f$  be a  $C^2$  function on an interval  $(0, \infty)$ . If  $f$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$  and  $\frac{1}{f''}$  is concave on  $(0, \infty)$ , then for all  $W \in \mathcal{P}$ , the mappings*

$$\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \ni (P, Q) \mapsto \mathcal{J}_f(P, Q, W), \mathcal{M}_f(P, Q, W), \mathcal{T}_f(P, Q, W)$$

are convex.

*Proof.* Let  $(P_1, Q_1), (P_2, Q_2) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  with  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_f(\alpha(P_1, Q_1, W) + \beta(P_2, Q_2, W)) &= \mathcal{J}_f(\alpha P_1 + \beta P_2, \alpha Q_1 + \beta Q_2, W) \\ &= \int_X w(x) \mathcal{J}_f\left(\frac{\alpha p_1(x) + \beta p_2(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{\alpha q_1(x) + \beta q_2(x)}{w(x)}\right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X w(x) \mathcal{J}_f\left(\alpha \frac{p_1(x)}{w(x)} + \beta \frac{p_2(x)}{w(x)}, \alpha \frac{q_1(x)}{w(x)} + \beta \frac{q_2(x)}{w(x)}\right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X w(x) \mathcal{J}_f\left(\alpha \left(\frac{p_1(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{q_1(x)}{w(x)}\right) + \beta \left(\frac{p_2(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{q_2(x)}{w(x)}\right)\right) d\mu(x) \\ &=: \Psi \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the convexity of  $\mathcal{J}_f$  on  $I \times I$  proved in Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$\mathcal{J}_f\left(\alpha \left(\frac{p_1(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{q_1(x)}{w(x)}\right) + \beta \left(\frac{p_2(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{q_2(x)}{w(x)}\right)\right) \leq \alpha \mathcal{J}_f\left(\left(\frac{p_1(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{q_1(x)}{w(x)}\right)\right) + \beta \mathcal{J}_f\left(\left(\frac{p_2(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{q_2(x)}{w(x)}\right)\right)$$

for  $x \in X$ . If we multiply by  $w(x) \geq 0$  and integrate over  $d\mu(x)$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &\leq \int_X w(x) \left[ \alpha \mathcal{J}_f \left( \frac{p_1(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{q_1(x)}{w(x)} \right) + \beta \mathcal{J}_f \left( \frac{p_2(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{q_2(x)}{w(x)} \right) \right] d\mu(x) \\ &= \alpha \int_X w(x) \mathcal{J}_f \left( \frac{p_1(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{q_1(x)}{w(x)} \right) d\mu(x) + \beta \int_X w(x) \mathcal{J}_f \left( \frac{p_2(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{q_2(x)}{w(x)} \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \alpha \mathcal{J}_f(P_1, Q_1, W) + \beta \mathcal{J}_f(P_2, Q_2, W), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the convexity of  $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \ni (P, Q) \mapsto \mathcal{J}_f(P, Q, W)$  for all  $W \in \mathcal{P}$ .

The convexity of the other two mappings follows in a similar way and we omit the details. □

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f$  be a  $C^1$  function on an interval  $(0, \infty)$ . If  $f$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$ , then for all  $W \in \mathcal{P}$*

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \mathcal{T}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{8} \Delta_{f'}(Q, P, W) \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\Delta_{f'}(Q, P, W) := \int_X \left[ f' \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) \right] (q(x) - p(x)) d\mu(x). \tag{3.6}$$

*Proof.* From the inequality (2.12) we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ f \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) + f \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) \right] - \int_0^1 f \left( (1-t) \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} + t \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) dt \leq \frac{1}{8} \left( f' \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) \right) \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

If we multiply by  $w(x) > 0$  and integrate on  $X$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} [I_f(P, W) + I_f(Q, W)] - A_f(Q, P, W) &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_X w(x) \left( f' \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) \right) \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \int_X \left( f' \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) \right) (p(x) - q(x)) d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired inequality. □

**Corollary 3.3.** *With the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 and if  $f'$  is Lipschitzian with the constant  $K > 0$ , namely*

$$|f'(s) - f'(t)| \leq K|s - t| \text{ for all } t, s \in (0, \infty),$$

then

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \mathcal{T}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{8} K d_{\chi^2}(Q, P, W), \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$d_{\chi^2}(Q, P, W) := \int_X \frac{(q(x) - p(x))^2}{w(x)} d\mu(x). \tag{3.8}$$

**Remark 3.4.** *If there exists  $0 < r < 1 < R < \infty$  such that the following condition holds*

$$r \leq \frac{q(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \leq R \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X, \tag{(r,R)}$$

then

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \mathcal{T}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{8} [f'(R) - f'(r)] d_1(Q, P) \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$d_1(Q, P) := \int_X |q(x) - p(x)| d\mu(x).$$

Moreover, if  $f$  is twice differentiable and

$$\|f''\|_{[r,R],\infty} := \sup_{t \in [r,R]} |f''(t)| < \infty \tag{3.10}$$

then

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \mathcal{T}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{8} \|f''\|_{[r,R],\infty} d_{\chi^2}(Q, P, W). \tag{3.11}$$

We also have:

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $f$  be a  $C^2$  function on an interval  $(0, \infty)$ . If  $f$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$  and  $\frac{1}{f''}$  is concave on  $(0, \infty)$ , then for all  $W \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$0 \leq \mathcal{J}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{2} [\Psi_{f'}(P, Q, W) + \Psi_{f'}(Q, P, W)], \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\Psi_{f'}(P, Q, W) := \int_X \left[ f' \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{q(x) + p(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \right] (p(x) - w(x)) d\mu(x).$$

*Proof.* It is well known that if the function of two independent variables  $F : D \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex on the convex domain  $D$  and has partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$  and  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}$  on  $D$  then for all  $(t, s), (u, v) \in D$  we have the gradient inequalities

$$\frac{\partial F(t, s)}{\partial x} (t - u) + \frac{\partial F(t, s)}{\partial y} (s - v) \geq F(t, s) - F(u, v) \geq \frac{\partial F(u, v)}{\partial x} (t - u) + \frac{\partial F(u, v)}{\partial y} (s - v). \tag{3.13}$$

Now, if we take  $F : (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$F(t, s) = \frac{1}{2} [f(t) + f(s)] - f\left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right)$$

and observe that

$$\frac{\partial F(t, s)}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ f'(t) - f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \right]$$

and

$$\frac{\partial F(t, s)}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ f'(s) - f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \right]$$

and since  $F$  is convex on  $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ , then by (3.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left[ f'(t) - f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \right] (t - u) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ f'(s) - f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \right] (s - v) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} [f(t) + f(s)] - f\left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} [f(u) + f(v)] + f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ f'(u) - f' \left( \frac{u+v}{2} \right) \right] (t - u) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ f'(v) - f' \left( \frac{u+v}{2} \right) \right] (s - v). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

If we take  $u = v = 1$  in (3.14), then we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ f'(t) - f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \right] (t - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ f'(s) - f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \right] (s - 1) \geq \frac{1}{2} [f(t) + f(s)] - f\left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.15}$$

for all  $(t, s) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ .

If we take  $t = \frac{p(x)}{w(x)}$  and  $s = \frac{q(x)}{w(x)}$  in (3.15) then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left[ f' \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{q(x) + p(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \right] \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ f' \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{q(x) + p(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \right] \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ f \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) + f \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) \right] - f \left( \frac{q(x) + p(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying this inequality with  $w(x) > 0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ w(x) f \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) + w(x) f \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) \right] - w(x) f \left( \frac{q(x) + p(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ f' \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{q(x) + p(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \right] (p(x) - w(x)) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ f' \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{q(x) + p(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \right] (q(x) - w(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . □

**Corollary 3.6.** With the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 and if  $f'$  is Lipschitzian with the constant  $K > 0$ , then

$$0 \leq \mathcal{J}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{4} K \int_X |p(x) - q(x)| \left[ \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \right] d\mu(x). \tag{3.16}$$

*Proof.* We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{f'}(P, Q, W) &\leq \int_X \left| f' \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{q(x) + p(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \right| |p(x) - w(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq K \int_X \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - \frac{q(x) + p(x)}{2w(x)} \right| |p(x) - w(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &= K \int_X \left| \frac{p(x) - q(x)}{2w(x)} \right| |p(x) - w(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} K \int_X \frac{|p(x) - q(x)| |p(x) - w(x)|}{w(x)} d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} K \int_X |p(x) - q(x)| \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| d\mu(x)\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\Psi_{f'}(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{2} K \int_X |p(x) - q(x)| \left| \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| d\mu(x).$$

Finally, by the use of (3.12) we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** If there exist  $0 < r < 1 < R < \infty$  such that the following condition  $(r, R)$  holds and if  $f$  is twice differentiable and (3.10) is valid, then

$$0 \leq \mathcal{J}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{4} \|f''\|_{[r, R], \infty} \times \int_X |p(x) - q(x)| \left[ \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \right] d\mu(x). \quad (3.17)$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right|, \left| \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \leq \max\{R - 1, 1 - r\}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right| \leq R - r,$$

hence by (3.17) we get the simpler bound

$$0 \leq \mathcal{J}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f''\|_{[r, R], \infty} (R - r) \max\{R - 1, 1 - r\}. \quad (3.18)$$

We also have:

**Theorem 3.8.** With the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 and if  $f'$  is Lipschitzian with the constant  $K > 0$ , then

$$0 \leq \mathcal{J}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{6} K \int_X |p(x) - q(x)| \left[ \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \right] d\mu(x). \quad (3.19)$$

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y), (u, v) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ . If we take  $F : (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$F(t, s) = \frac{f(t) + f(s)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1 - \tau)t + \tau s) d\tau$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F(t, s)}{\partial x} &= \frac{1}{2} f'(t) - \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) f'((1 - \tau)t + \tau s) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) [f'(t) - f'((1 - \tau)t + \tau s)] d\tau\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F(t, s)}{\partial y} &= \frac{1}{2} f'(s) - \int_0^1 \tau f'((1 - \tau)t + \tau s) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^1 \tau [f'(s) - f'((1 - \tau)t + \tau s)] d\tau\end{aligned}$$

and since  $F$  is convex on  $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ , then by (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}(t - u) \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) [f'(t) - f'((1 - \tau)t + \tau s)] d\tau + (s - v) \int_0^1 \tau [f'(s) - f'((1 - \tau)t + \tau s)] d\tau \\ \geq \frac{f(t) + f(s)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1 - \tau)t + \tau s) d\tau - \frac{f(u) + f(v)}{2} + \int_0^1 f((1 - \tau)u + \tau v) d\tau \\ \geq (t - u) \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) [f'(u) - f'((1 - \tau)u + \tau v)] d\tau + (s - v) \int_0^1 \tau [f'(v) - f'((1 - \tau)u + \tau v)] d\tau\end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

for all  $(t, s), (u, v) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ .

If we take  $u = v = 1$  in (3.20), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (t-1) \int_0^1 (1-\tau) [f'(t) - f'((1-\tau)t + \tau s)] d\tau + (s-1) \int_0^1 \tau [f'(s) - f'((1-\tau)t + \tau s)] d\tau \\ & \geq \frac{f(t) + f(s)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)t + \tau s) d\tau \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

for all  $(u, v) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ .

If we take  $t = \frac{p(x)}{w(x)}$  and  $s = \frac{q(x)}{w(x)}$  in (3.21) then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1\right) \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left[ f' \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( (1-\tau) \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} + \tau \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) \right] d\tau \\ & + \left(\frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1\right) \int_0^1 \tau \left[ f' \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( (1-\tau) \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} + \tau \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) \right] d\tau \\ & \geq \frac{f\left(\frac{p(x)}{w(x)}\right) + f\left(\frac{q(x)}{w(x)}\right)}{2} - \int_0^1 f \left( (1-\tau) \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} + \tau \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) d\tau \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Since  $f'$  is Lipschitzian with the constant  $K > 0$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{f\left(\frac{p(x)}{w(x)}\right) + f\left(\frac{q(x)}{w(x)}\right)}{2} - \int_0^1 f \left( (1-\tau) \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} + \tau \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) d\tau \\ & \leq \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left| f' \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( (1-\tau) \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} + \tau \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) \right| d\tau \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \int_0^1 \tau \left| f' \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( (1-\tau) \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} + \tau \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) \right| d\tau \\ & \leq K \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \tau d\tau + K \left| \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \tau d\tau \\ & = \frac{1}{6} K \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right| \left[ \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply this inequality by  $w(x) > 0$  and integrate, then we get the desired result (3.19). □

**Corollary 3.9.** *If there exist  $0 < r < 1 < R < \infty$  such that the condition  $(r, R)$  holds and if  $f$  is twice differentiable and (3.10) is valid, then*

$$0 \leq \mathcal{T}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{3} \|f''\|_{[r, R], \infty} (R-r) \max\{R-1, 1-r\}. \tag{3.23}$$

Finally, we also have:

**Theorem 3.10.** *With the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 and if  $f'$  is Lipschitzian with the constant  $K > 0$ , then*

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{8} K \int_X |p(x) - q(x)| \left[ \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \right] d\mu(x). \tag{3.24}$$

*Proof.* Let  $(t, s), (u, v) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ . If we take  $F : (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$F(t, s) = \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)t + \tau s) d\tau - f\left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F(t, s)}{\partial x} & = \int_0^1 (1-\tau) f'((1-\tau)t + \tau s) d\tau - \frac{1}{2} f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \\ & = \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left[ f'((1-\tau)t + \tau s) - f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \right] d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F(t, s)}{\partial y} & = \int_0^1 \tau f'((1-\tau)t + \tau s) d\tau - \frac{1}{2} f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \\ & = \int_0^1 \tau \left[ f'((1-\tau)t + \tau s) - f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \right] d\tau \end{aligned}$$

and since  $F$  is convex on  $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ , then by (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (t-u) \left[ \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left[ f'((1-\tau)t + \tau s) - f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \right] d\tau \right] + (s-v) \left[ \int_0^1 \tau \left[ f'((1-\tau)t + \tau s) - f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \right] d\tau \right] \\ & \geq \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)t + \tau s) d\tau - f\left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right) - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)u + \tau v) d\tau + f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \\ & \geq (t-u) \left[ \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left[ f'((1-\tau)u + \tau v) - f' \left( \frac{u+v}{2} \right) \right] d\tau \right] + (s-v) \int_0^1 \tau \left[ f'((1-\tau)u + \tau v) - f' \left( \frac{u+v}{2} \right) \right] d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

If we take  $u = v = 1$  in (3.25), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (t-1) \left[ \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left[ f'((1-\tau)t + \tau s) - f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \right] d\tau \right] + (s-1) \left[ \int_0^1 \tau \left[ f'((1-\tau)t + \tau s) - f' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \right] d\tau \right] \\ & \geq \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)t + \tau s) d\tau - f \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

for all  $(t, s) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ .

If we take  $t = \frac{p(x)}{w(x)}$  and  $s = \frac{q(x)}{w(x)}$  in (3.26) then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \int_0^1 f \left( (1-\tau) \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} + \tau \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) d\tau - f \left( \frac{p(x)+q(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \\ & \leq \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right) \times \left[ \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left[ f' \left( (1-\tau) \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} + \tau \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{p(x)+q(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \right] d\tau \right] \\ & \quad + \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right) \times \left[ \int_0^1 \tau \left[ f' \left( (1-\tau) \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} + \tau \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{p(x)+q(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \right] d\tau \right] \\ & \leq \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \times \left[ \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left| f' \left( (1-\tau) \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} + \tau \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{p(x)+q(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \right| d\tau \right] + \left| \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \\ & \quad \times \left[ \int_0^1 \tau \left| f' \left( (1-\tau) \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} + \tau \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) - f' \left( \frac{p(x)+q(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \right| d\tau \right] \\ & \leq K \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right| \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left| \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right| d\tau + K \left| \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right| \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left| \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right| d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Since

$$\int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left| \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right| d\tau = \frac{1}{8},$$

hence

$$0 \leq \int_0^1 f \left( (1-\tau) \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} + \tau \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right) d\tau - f \left( \frac{p(x)+q(x)}{2w(x)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{8} K \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right| \left[ \left| \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} - 1 \right| \right]$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

If we multiply this inequality by  $w(x) > 0$  and integrate, then we get the desired result (3.19). □

**Corollary 3.11.** *If there exist  $0 < r < 1 < R < \infty$  such that the condition  $(r, R)$  holds and if  $f$  is twice differentiable and (3.10) is valid, then*

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}_f(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{4} \|f''\|_{[r, R], \infty} (R-r) \max\{R-1, 1-r\}. \tag{3.28}$$

### 4. Some Examples

The Dichotomy class of  $f$ -divergences are generated by the functions  $f_\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$f_\alpha(u) = \begin{cases} u - 1 - \ln u & \text{for } \alpha = 0; \\ \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} [\alpha u + 1 - \alpha - u^\alpha] & \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}; \\ 1 - u + u \ln u & \text{for } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$f''_\alpha(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{u^2} & \text{for } \alpha = 0; \\ u^{\alpha-2} & \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}; \\ \frac{1}{u} & \text{for } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

In this family of functions only the functions  $f_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in [1, 2)$  are both convex and with  $\frac{1}{f''_\alpha}$  concave on  $(0, \infty)$ .

We have

$$I_{f_\alpha}(P, W) = \int_X w(x) f_\alpha \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) d\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha-1)} \left[ \int_X w^{1-\alpha}(x) p^\alpha(x) d\mu(x) - 1 \right], & \alpha \in (1, 2), \\ \int_X p(x) \ln \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) d\mu(x), & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$M_{f_\alpha}(Q, P, W) = \int_X f \left[ \frac{q(x) + p(x)}{2w(x)} \right] w(x) d\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha-1)} \left[ \int_X \left[ \frac{q(x)+p(x)}{2} \right]^\alpha w^{1-\alpha}(x) d\mu(x) - 1 \right], & \alpha \in (1, 2) \\ \int_X \left[ \frac{q(x)+p(x)}{2} \right] \ln \left[ \frac{q(x)+p(x)}{2w(x)} \right] d\mu(x), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

We also have

$$\int_0^1 [(1-t)a + tb] \ln [(1-t)a + tb] dt = \frac{1}{4} (b+a) \ln I(a^2, b^2) = \frac{1}{2} A(a, b) \ln I(a^2, b^2).$$

Therefore

$$A_{f_\alpha}(Q, P, W) := \int_X \left( \int_0^1 f \left[ \frac{(1-t)q(x) + tp(x)}{w(x)} \right] dt \right) w(x) d\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha-1)} \left[ \int_X L_\alpha^\alpha \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) w(x) d\mu(x) - 1 \right], & \alpha \in (1, 2) \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_X A \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right) \ln I \left( \left( \frac{q(x)}{w(x)} \right)^2, \left( \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \right)^2 \right) w(x) d\mu(x), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\mathcal{J}_{f_\alpha}(P, Q, W) = \frac{1}{2} [I_{f_\alpha}(P, W) + I_{f_\alpha}(Q, W)] - M_{f_\alpha}(Q, P, W),$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{f_\alpha}(P, Q, W) = \frac{1}{2} [I_{f_\alpha}(P, W) + I_{f_\alpha}(Q, W)] - A_{f_\alpha}(Q, P, W)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{f_\alpha}(P, Q, W) = A_{f_\alpha}(Q, P, W) - M_{f_\alpha}(Q, P, W).$$

According to Theorem 3.1, for all  $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ , the mappings

$$\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \ni (P, Q) \mapsto \mathcal{J}_{f_\alpha}(P, Q, W), \mathcal{M}_{f_\alpha}(P, Q, W), \mathcal{T}_{f_\alpha}(P, Q, W)$$

are convex for all  $W \in \mathcal{P}$ .

If  $0 < r < 1 < R$ , then

$$\|f''_\alpha\|_{[r,R],\infty} = \sup_{t \in [r,R]} f''_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{r^2-\alpha} \text{ for } \alpha \in [1, 2).$$

If there exists  $0 < r < 1 < R < \infty$  such that the following condition holds

$$r \leq \frac{q(x)}{w(x)}, \frac{p(x)}{w(x)} \leq R \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X, \tag{(r,R)}$$

then by (3.18), (3.23) and (3.28) we get

$$0 \leq \mathcal{J}_{f_\alpha}(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f''_\alpha\|_{[r,R],\infty} (R-r) \max\{R-1, 1-r\}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$0 \leq \mathcal{T}_{f_\alpha}(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{3} \frac{(R-r)}{r^2-\alpha} \max\{R-1, 1-r\} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}_{f_\alpha}(P, Q, W) \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{(R-r)}{r^2-\alpha} \max\{R-1, 1-r\}, \tag{4.3}$$

for all  $\alpha \in [1, 2)$  and  $W \in \mathcal{P}$ .

The interested reader may apply the above general results for other particular divergences of interest generated by the convex functions provided in the introduction. We omit the details.

## Article Information

**Acknowledgements:** The author would like to express their sincere thanks to the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

**Author's contributions:** The article has a single author. The author has read and approved the final manuscript.

**Conflict of Interest Disclosure:** No potential conflict of interest was declared by the author.

**Copyright Statement:** Author owns the copyright of their work published in the journal and their work is published under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license.

**Supporting/Supporting Organizations:** No grants were received from any public, private or non-profit organizations for this research.

**Ethical Approval and Participant Consent:** It is declared that during the preparation process of this study, scientific and ethical principles were followed and all the studies benefited from are stated in the bibliography.

**Plagiarism Statement:** This article was scanned by the plagiarism program. No plagiarism detected.

**Availability of data and materials:** Not applicable.

## References

- [1] I. Csiszár, *Eine informationstheoretische Ungleichung und ihre Anwendung auf den Beweis der Ergodizität von Markoffschen Ketten*, (German), Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl., **8** (1963), 85–108.
- [2] P. Cerone, S. S. Dragomir, F. Österreicher, *Bounds on extended  $f$ -divergences for a variety of classes*, Kybernetika (Prague), **40**(6) (2004), 745–756.
- [3] P. Kafka, F. Österreicher, I. Vincze, *On powers of  $f$ -divergence defining a distance*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., **26** (1991), 415–422.
- [4] F. Österreicher, I. Vajda, *A new class of metric divergences on probability spaces and its applicability in statistics*, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., **55**(3) (2003), 639–653.
- [5] F. Liese, I. Vajda, *Convex Statistical Distances*, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Band, **95**, Leipzig, (1987).
- [6] P. Cerone, S. S. Dragomir, *Approximation of the integral mean divergence and  $f$ -divergence via mean results*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **42**(1-2) (2005), 207–219.
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, *Some inequalities for  $(m, M)$ -convex mappings and applications for the Csiszár  $\Phi$ -divergence in information theory*, Math. J. Ibaraki Univ., **33** (2001), 35–50.
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, *Some inequalities for two Csiszár divergences and applications*, Mat. Bilten, **25** (2001), 73–90.
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, *An upper bound for the Csiszár  $f$ -divergence in terms of the variational distance and applications*, Panamer. Math. J. **12** (2002), no. 4, 43–54.
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, *An inequality improving the first Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products*, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., **3**(2) (2002), Art. 31.
- [11] S. S. Dragomir, *An inequality improving the second Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products*, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., **3**(3) (2002), Art. 35.
- [12] S. S. Dragomir, *Upper and lower bounds for Csiszár  $f$ -divergence in terms of Hellinger discrimination and applications*, Nonlinear Anal. Forum, **7**(1) (2002), 1–13.
- [13] S. S. Dragomir, *Bounds for  $f$ -divergences under likelihood ratio constraints*, Appl. Math., **48**(3) (2003), 205–223.
- [14] S. S. Dragomir, *New inequalities for Csiszár divergence and applications*, Acta Math. Vietnam., **28**(2) (2003), 123–134.
- [15] S. S. Dragomir, *A generalized  $f$ -divergence for probability vectors and applications*, Panamer. Math. J., **13**(4) (2003), 61–69.
- [16] S. S. Dragomir, *Some inequalities for the Csiszár  $\phi$ -divergence when  $\phi$  is an  $L$ -Lipschitzian function and applications*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., **15** (2004), 57–76.
- [17] S. S. Dragomir, *A converse inequality for the Csiszár  $\Phi$ -divergence*, Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci., **20**(1) (2004), 35–53.
- [18] S. S. Dragomir, *Some general divergence measures for probability distributions*, Acta Math. Hungar., **109**(4) (2005), 331–345.
- [19] S. S. Dragomir, *Bounds for the normalized Jensen functional*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **74**(3)(2006), 471–476.
- [20] S. S. Dragomir, *A refinement of Jensen's inequality with applications for  $f$ -divergence measures*, Taiwanese J. Math., **14**(1) (2010), 153–164.
- [21] J. Burbea, C. R. Rao, *On the convexity of some divergence measures based on entropy functions*, IEEE Tran. Inf. Theor., Vol. IT-**28**(3) (1982), 489–495.
- [22] S. S. Dragomir, C. E. M. Pearce, *Selected Topics on Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities and Applications*, RGMIA Monographs, (2000), <https://rgmia.org/papers/monographs/Master.pdf>.